Please cite as

Keele, Benjamin John, What If Law Journal Citations Included Digital Object Identifiers? A Snapshot of Major Law Journals (March 18, 2010). Available at SSRN: <http://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.1577074>

What if Law Journal Citations Included Digital Object Identifiers?:

A Snapshot of Major Law Journals

Benjamin J. Keele

Abstract

Prevailing citation practice in law journals is to use uniform resource locators (URLs) when citing electronic sources. Digital object identifiers (DOIs) provide a more reliable and robust mechanism for citing digital, scholarly articles. This study examines to what extent DOIs exist but are not used in law journal citations. Citations to scholarly articles from twenty-two randomly-selected articles appearing in the 2007-2008 volumes of four major law journals (*Harvard Law Review*, *Columbia Law Review*, *Yale Law Journal*, and *University of Pennsylvania Law Review*) were checked for existing DOIs using CrossRef's Simple Text Query form. This resulted in 394 citations that could have had DOIs, but did not. This non-trivial number suggests that law journal editors and librarians should consider adding DOIs to citations. For journals that publish exclusively online or are interdisciplinary, assigning DOIs to their own articles may be a prudent measure to better ensure long-term digital access and citation by scholars in other fields.

Keywords: digital object identifier, link rot, legal citation

Introduction

Citation practice in law journals has a long, venerated history. A key function of a citation is enabling researchers to locate the unique resource that is being cited. The traditional rules for citing scholarly articles have performed this function pretty well—120 HARV. L. REV. 393 points to the one 1

DRAFT—Please contact author at bkeele@umail.iu.edu before citing.

Questions and comments most welcome.

article that starts on page 393 of the Harvard Law Review's 120th volume. In addition to being a unique identifier for articles, the volume-title-page citation is persistent—it is highly unlikely that the hard copy journals would ever be re-paginated such that the identifier would no longer reliably represent the cited article.

However, like many things, digital communications have rendered long-standing practices less useful than they used to be. Journals now routinely reference electronic sources, including electronic versions of scholarly articles, and some journals even publish exclusively online. The traditional citation system based on paper does not seamlessly map onto digital articles. When articles are not initially published in paper or PDF format, then traditional page numbers do not make much sense. Even when originally paper articles are digitized and posted online, the most common means of pointing to these documents, the Uniform Resource Locator (URL) is unreliable due to “link rot.”¹

Persistent digital identifiers have been created to help ameliorate this problem, and one device, the digital object identifier (DOI), has been generally adopted by many scholarly publishers.² While many publishers articles in non-legal disciplines assign DOIs, law journals have not made much use of DOIs in footnotes or as identifiers for their articles. This study looks at a snapshot of a selection of articles published in 2007 and 2008 in the law journals that edit the *Bluebook*, the dominant legal citation guide.

To decide whether legal scholarship should join other disciplines in assigning and citing with DOIs, it would be useful to have some idea of the extent to which articles cited in law journals have DOIs that could be, but are not, included in the footnotes. If enough articles cited in law journals have DOIs, then journal editors, law librarians, and producers of legal citation guides will have evidence to support using DOIs in citations.

Literature Review

DRAFT—Please contact author at bkeele@uemail.iu.edu before citing.
Questions and comments most welcome.

Proper citation is important in all serious scholarship, but for legal scholarship precise and accurate citation is particularly emphasized. As Rumsey has noted, “Access to the authority underlying (and cited in) the author's work is crucial for scholarship.”³ Due to their extensive background discussions and research documentation, many law journal articles become references for their niche areas of law. Accurate and reliable retrieval of cited resources is thus crucial to one of law journals' core functions.

While traditional citation of paper resources somewhat satisfies this need, it fails to permit adequate citation of exclusively digital articles. Some non-legal (such as *D-Lib Magazine* and *First Monday*) and legal (like the *Duke Law & Technology Review*) digital journals do not use conventional pagination, and while many online law review supplements do provide articles in PDF with pagination, they are never widely printed, and complete citations require some sort of digital identifier (at present, generally a URL). For articles that are published both in print and online, providing parallel citations to both media increases accessibility. Electronic links, most commonly as URLs, are necessary for effective citations in law journals, no matter whether the journal is published in print or digital format..

However, several studies have raised serious concerns about URLs' long-term reliability. In 2002 Rumsey studied citations containing URLs from 1997 to 2001. She found that only 61% of links from 2001 still worked, and that percentage dropped to 30% for links made in 1997.⁴ Most of the references using URLs were probably not to law journal articles, but instead news stories, government documents and organizational publications. Rumsey recommended that journals include parallel citations to more stable print and commercial database sources in case links break. She also advocated for journals or libraries retaining print copies of cited online sources.⁵

Two other studies provided further evidence that link rot is a problem in law journals. Neacsu examined twenty articles that cited to URLs and found that twelve of the articles had broken links.⁶ Canick compared the persistence of references using URLs and references to commercial databases. He

DRAFT—Please contact author at bkeele@umail.iu.edu before citing.
Questions and comments most welcome.

found that resources in commercial databases generally fared better in terms of retrievability than sources on the World Wide Web.⁷ In 2006 Davis studied a narrower range of URLs in Washington state law journals. She found that roughly 40% of links were broken and that most URLs were from the .com, .org and .gov domains.⁸ Since most law journals are based at law schools and thus would be in the .edu domain, it is likely very few of these URLs pointed to law journal articles. While no articles were found studying the longevity of URLs for law journal articles, given the frequent turnover of journal staff and inevitable revision of law school and journal websites, there is little reason to think that article URLs can remain functional for the long term without some effort. This is especially bothersome for law journals, which, according to a 2006 study, cite other law journal articles in almost 60% of their citations.⁹

Given this troubling evidence for link rot in law journals, solutions needed to be developed. Proposals to maintain print copies, provide parallel cites to print resources in case the URLs break, and citing to commercial databases have been mentioned. Lyons suggested adopting persistent digital identifiers to combat link rot. These identifiers point to a specific digital document instead of the location on a server that is hosting it. Thus, if the document is moved to another server due to a website redesign or because a journal is taken over by another publisher, the persistent identifier would still allow the document to be retrieved. After reviewing the various models for persistent digital identification, she urged librarians to assist journals in adding digital object identifiers and persistent URLs to footnotes when possible.¹⁰

There are several models of persistent digital identifiers, but the one most relevant to law journals is the digital object identifier model. The DOI System¹¹ provides unique identifiers for scholarly works. A typical DOI looks like this: 10.5555/journal2005.4. The “10.” simply marks the string of characters as a DOI, and the “5555” is a prefix assigned to a particular publisher. The suffix that appears after the forward slash points to a specific scholarly work and can be any arbitrary set of

DRAFT—Please contact author at bkeele@umail.iu.edu before citing.

Questions and comments most welcome.

characters. Some publishers simply use a string of numbers, while others use a combination of numbers, letters, and punctuation marks. When a DOI is resolved by adding it to the end of <http://dx.doi.org> in a web browser or entering it into a resolver form, the researcher is sent to the most current location of the official version of the scholarly work. The publisher can decide whether the article is open access or requires a subscription or fee. Even if a publisher rearranges its website, changes names, or is absorbed by another publisher, the DOI System maintains a central and current index that enables researchers to find articles online, while normal URLs would be broken by such changes. When accessed through computers of libraries that subscribe to databases containing articles with DOIs, those DOIs, along with an OpenURL resolver program, will send researchers to the versions of articles in accessible databases.

Due to these advantages, the DOI has become the standard digital identifier for scholarly publishing, with most hard science and many social science and humanities publishers using DOIs for their articles.¹² The latest edition of the *Publication Manual of the American Psychological Association* recommends including DOIs in citations when possible.¹³ Law journals, however, have yet to generally adopt use of DOIs either by assigning DOIs to articles or including DOIs in citations. A recent search of Westlaw's law journal database indicated that only 383 articles in the entire database of thousands of articles contained any mention of DOIs. This could partly be due to the fact that the major citation guides for law journals, the *Bluebook*¹⁴ and the *ALWD Citation Manual*¹⁵ do not mention DOIs, although both give citation examples that use Westlaw and Lexis's unique database identifiers, indicating that the editors recognize the importance of unique digital identifiers.

Perhaps law journal citations that only uses URLs and commercial database identifiers generally lead researchers to the desired sources. But what benefits are journals leaving on the table by not using DOIs? This study seeks to examine how many scholarly articles cited in law journals have existing DOIs that could have been, but were not, included in the citation.

DRAFT—Please contact author at bkeele@umail.iu.edu before citing.
Questions and comments most welcome.

Methods

The *Bluebook* is edited by four major law journals, the *Harvard Law Review*, *Yale Law Journal*, *Columbia Law Review*, and *University of Pennsylvania Law Review*. Since these journals have long been leaders in legal citation practice, this study will examine to what extent scholarly articles are cited in their pages that could have had DOIs included in their citations. A search was performed of Westlaw's database for each journal for “doi /2 10.” (“doi” within two words of “10.”) A common form of citing a DOI looks like this: “DOI: 10.5555/article,” so this search would retrieve articles that included DOIs in their footnotes. All four searches retrieved no documents, so none of the four *Bluebook*-editing journals appear to have included DOIs in their citations.

All 87 scholarly articles written by non-students from the 2007-2008 volumes of the *Harvard Law Review* (volume 121), *Yale Law Journal* (volume 117), *Columbia Law Review* (volume 108), and *University of Pennsylvania Law Review* (volume 156) were downloaded from Westlaw. Twenty-two (25.2%) of the 87 scholarly articles written by non-students were selected by a random number generator (<http://www.randomizer.org/form.htm>). This study focuses on articles, essays, and book reviews by professors, jurists, or practitioners because they constitute the most common category of article published in law journals. Student-written pieces, memorials, or administrative writings that might have different citation practices were excluded. The footnotes in each of the selected articles were manually examined, and any citations to scholarly articles were copied to an Excel spreadsheet. Scholarly articles were identified by being cited as being in a consecutively-paginated journal (e.g., 121 *Yale L.J.* 42, as opposed *The Ledger* May 2006, at 58, the citation form for a non-consecutively-paginated magazine). The extracted citations were sorted alphabetically and duplicate citations were deleted, resulting in 1,041 citations from the 22 selected articles.

DRAFT—Please contact author at bkeele@umail.iu.edu before citing. Questions and comments most welcome.

These citations were then checked for existing DOIs. CrossRef, the DOI registration agency for scholarly publishing, provides an online form that examines citations and retrieves DOIs if they exist.¹⁶ The form is a large text box into which lists of references can be entered. While a specific citation style is not required by the CrossRef form, testing showed that the form did not recognize the *Bluebook* volume-title-page convention or journal title abbreviations. Thus, each citation had to be modified by adding the full journal title, moving the volume number and starting page number together, and removing any signals and pinpoint citations. So, for example, “John Smith, Article Title, 121 J. of Pol. 934, 950 (2007)” was changed to “John Smith, Article Title, Journal of Politics 121:934 (2007).” These modifications were made to the citations in the spreadsheet and the modified citations were copied and pasted into a numbered list to comply with the CrossRef form's instructions that reference lists either be numbered or in alphabetical order by author's last name. Citations were pasted into the form in groups of forty citations to prevent the system from timing out and failing to complete retrieval of DOIs. While it is impossible to know whether the form failed to retrieve some DOIs that did exist, the citation form was tested by entering citations to articles that had been independently found to have DOIs, and the form correctly retrieved the DOIs. The accuracy of the DOIs retrieved was spot-checked by resolving DOIs to see if the correct article was found. While in a few instances the form retrieved two DOIs for an article and only one DOI was correct, all the other checked DOIs led to the assigned article. If a DOI was retrieved for a citation, the DOI was copied into the spreadsheet.

Findings

Of the 1,041 cited articles, 394 (37.8%) had DOIs, and 647 (62.8%) did not. With a few major exceptions, most major law journal articles did not have DOIs assigned to them. Table 1 displays the number of DOIs found that could have been used, broken down by publisher. Table 2 displays the legal

DRAFT—Please contact author at bkeele@umail.iu.edu before citing.

Questions and comments most welcome.

journals who had more than ten articles cited in footnotes that omitted existing DOIs.

Table 1—Existing DOIs that were not included in footnotes, by publisher ($n=394$)

Publisher	Number of DOIs
JSTOR	264
University of Chicago Press	35
Wiley-Blackwell	15
Elsevier	11
Cambridge University Press	10
Oxford University Press	9
Sage	7
Annual Reviews	6
University of California Press	6
Springer	4
Public Library of Science	4
American Psychological Association	3
Project MUSE	3
American Association for the Advancement of Science	3
Guttmacher Institute	2
American Medical Association	1
Nature Publishing Group	1
Massachusetts Medical Society	1
Mary Ann Liebert, Inc.	1
BMJ Publishing Group	1
Royal College of Psychiatrists	1
Duke University Press	1
American Economic Association	1
Institute for Operations Research and the Management Sciences	1
Routledge	1
Now Publishers	1

DRAFT—Please contact author at bkeele@uimail.iu.edu before citing.
Questions and comments most welcome.

Publisher	Number of DOIs
World Health Organization	1
J-STAGE	1

Table 2: Existing DOIs that were not included in footnotes,
by law journal with more than ten cited articles

Law Journal	Number of DOIs
<i>Harvard Law Review</i>	46
<i>Yale Law Journal</i>	38
<i>Stanford Law Review</i>	24
<i>University of Pennsylvania Law Review</i>	19
<i>Columbia Law Review</i>	17
<i>Journal of Legal Studies</i>	17
<i>University of Chicago Law Review</i>	17
<i>Michigan Law Review</i>	15

The law journal articles that did have DOIs fell largely into two categories: articles published by large academic publishers (such as Oxford University Press or the University of Chicago Press) and articles hosted on JSTOR. For example, the *Journal of Legal Studies* is published by the University of Chicago Press and thus, along with all of the Press's other journals, has articles with DOIs. Most law journals are not published by academic publishers, but rather by law schools, which reduces the number of DOI-assigning journals. The journal aggregator JSTOR has begun assigning DOIs to articles in its database,¹⁷ and a few major law journals' content, including the four *Bluebook*-editing journals examined in this study, have been archived in JSTOR. Of all the journals in Table 2 that had at least ten DOIs that could have been used in references to their articles, only the *Journal of Legal Studies*' articles had DOIs that did not resolve to JSTOR.

DRAFT—Please contact author at bkeele@umail.iu.edu before citing.
Questions and comments most welcome.

Discussion

This snapshot of references suggests that fair number of scholarly (legal and non-legal) articles cited in law journals have DOIs that are not being included in the references. This is unfortunate because DOIs provide benefits that traditional *Bluebook* citations and URLs do not, including greater long-term stability and more robust linking between citing and cited articles. Under current legal citation standards, law journals are not taking full advantage of DOIs. Even if the *Bluebook* editors never chose to follow the American Psychological Association and recommend DOIs be included in citations, journals could modify their in-house citation style by adding DOIs to their otherwise *Bluebook*-compliant footnotes. This would increase the utility of some footnotes without causing much confusion to researchers. Journals can either ask authors to provide DOIs in their citations or look up the DOIs during the process of verifying cited sources. Journal staff would have to create reference lists from the footnotes and modify the citations to work with the CrossRef tool, but the amount of additional work needed would not be significant.

A further step journals can take is joining CrossRef and assigning DOIs to their articles. It has been suggested that DOI fees (an annual CrossRef membership fee and one dollar per DOI)¹⁸ may be an obstacle for small publishers,¹⁹ and journals will have to carefully consider whether the fees are worth the benefits DOIs offer. These identifiers may be particularly used for law journals that publish articles exclusively online and journals that are very interdisciplinary in scope. Online journals could use DOIs to help avoid link rot in article URLs, thereby maintaining more reliable online accessibility over the long term. Interdisciplinary journals may benefit from increased access by researchers in other fields by using DOIs to give non-legal scholars a familiar and convenient means of retrieval. While some legal scholarship is valuable to non-legal researchers, lack of familiarity with or access to legal databases may present obstacles to research.²⁰ Assigning DOIs to law journal articles can provide non-legal scholars a parallel access method, while legal scholars are likely to continue relying on legal

DRAFT—Please contact author at bkeele@uimail.iu.edu before citing.

Questions and comments most welcome.

databases such as Lexis, Westlaw and HeinOnline. While JSTOR appears to have begun this process for the most elite law journals, journals that are open access, specialized in scope, or outside the highest tier will need to adopt DOIs on their own if it is to happen in the near foreseeable future.

This method of selecting articles and citations and finding DOIs is far from perfect, and this study does not determine what proportion of law journal references generally could include DOIs. Rather, this snapshot simply shows that the number of omitted DOIs is not trivial. It is certainly possible that errors were made when extracting citations to scholarly articles and DOIs, but most mistakes would lead to an existing DOI being missed rather than purporting to find a DOI that does not exist. If anything, then, this study under-counts the number of DOIs that could have been included in citations.

Conclusion

Traditional legal citation has a long history, but new mechanisms are needed to most effectively cite and link scholarly legal articles. Digital object identifiers provide an established solution that has already been widely accepted in other disciplines. DOIs can be added to footnotes without doing much violence to *Bluebook* style or placing inordinate burdens on journal staff. This is a very preliminary exploration into the suitability of DOIs for law journals, but the non-trivial number of articles cited that had DOIs suggests that journal editors, article authors, and academic librarians who work with legal materials should consider further investigation of DOIs and their role in further bringing legal citation into the digital age.

Supplementary Material—Selected Law Journal Articles Whose Footnotes were Examined

DRAFT—Please contact author at bkeele@umail.iu.edu before citing.

1. Gerken, Heather K. 2007. "The Supreme Court, 2006 Term - Comment - Justice Kennedy and the Domains of Equal Protection". *Harvard Law Review*. 121 (1): 104.
2. Barkow, Rachel E. 2008. "Essay - The Ascent of the Administrative State and the Demise of Mercy". *Harvard Law Review*. 121 (5): 1332.
3. Howse, Robert. 2008. "Book review - The End of the Globalization Debate: A Review Essay". *Harvard Law Review*. 121 (6): 1528.
4. Cox, Adam B, and Thomas J Miles. 2008. "Judging the Voting Rights Act". *Columbia Law Review*. 108 (1): 1.
5. Colby, Thomas B. 2008. "The Federal Marriage Amendment and the False Promise of Originalism". *Columbia Law Review*. 108 (3): 529.
6. Samaha, Adam M. 2008. "Dead Hand Arguments and Constitutional Interpretation". *Columbia Law Review*. 108 (3): 606.
7. Liebman, Benjamin L, and Curtis J Milhaupt. 2008. "Essays - Reputational Sanctions in China's Securities Market". *Columbia Law Review*. 108 (4): 929.
8. Motomura, Hiroshi. 2008. "Essay - Immigration Outside the Law". *Columbia Law Review*. 108 (8): 2037.
9. Hamermesh, Lawrence A, and Michael L Wachter. 2007. "The Short and Puzzling Life of the "Implicit Minority Discount" in Delaware Appraisal Law". *University of Pennsylvania Law Review*. 156 (1): 1.
10. Elmendorf, Christopher S. 2007. "Structuring Judicial Review of Electoral Mechanics: Explanations and Opportunities". *University of Pennsylvania Law Review*. 156 (2): 313.
11. Hu, Henry T C, and Bernard Black. 2008. "Equity and Debt Decoupling and Empty Voting II: Importance and Extensions". *University of Pennsylvania Law Review*. 156 (3): 625.
12. Marcus, Richard L. 2008. "Assessing CAFA's Stated Jurisdictional Policy". *University of*

DRAFT—Please contact author at bkeele@umail.iu.edu before citing.

- Pennsylvania Law Review*. 156 (6): 1765.
13. Silberman, Linda. 2008. "The Role of Choice of Law in National Class Actions". *University of Pennsylvania Law Review*. 156 (6): 2001.
 14. Sherry, Suzanna. 2008. "Commentaries - Overruling Erie: Nationwide Class Actions and National Common Law". *University of Pennsylvania Law Review*. 156 (6): 2135.
 15. Struve, Catherine T. 2008. "Commentary on - Class Settlements Under Attack". *University of Pennsylvania Law Review*. 156 (6): 2143.
 16. Klass, Gregory. 2007. "Contracting for Cooperation in Recovery". *The Yale Law Journal*. 117 (1): 2.
 17. Kapczynski, Amy. 2008. "The Access to Knowledge Mobilization and the New Politics of Intellectual Property". *The Yale Law Journal*. 117 (5): 804.
 18. Greene, Jamal. 2008. "Review - Giving the Constitution to the Courts". *The Yale Law Journal*. 117 (5): 886.
 19. Richman, Daniel. 2008. "Federal Sentencing in 2007: The Supreme Court Holds -- The Center Doesn't". *The Yale Law Journal*. 117 (7): 1374.
 20. Stith, Kate. 2008. "The Arc of the Pendulum: Judges, Prosecutors, and the Exercise of Discretion". *The Yale Law Journal*. 117 (7): 1420.
 21. Mashaw, Jerry L. 2008. "Administration and "The Democracy": Administrative Law from Jackson to Lincoln, 1829-1861". *The Yale Law Journal*. 117 (8): 1568.
 22. Siegel, Reva B. 2008. "Dignity and the Politics of Protection: Abortion Restrictions Under Casey/Carhart". *The Yale Law Journal*. 117 (8): 1694.

- ¹ Sarah Rhodes, "Preserving Born-Digital Legal Materials...Where to Start?," Populism, entry posted January 10, 2010, <http://blog.law.cornell.edu/voxpath/2010/01/10/preserving-born-digital-legal-materials/> [accessed March 18, 2010]; Mary Rumsey, "Runaway Train: Problems of Permanence, Accessibility, and Stability in the Use of Web Sources in Law Review Citations," *Law Library Journal* 94 (2002), http://www.aallnet.org/products/pub_llj_v94n01/2002-02.pdf [accessed March 18, 2010].
- ² CrossRef, "40 Million CrossRef DOIs Preserve the Record of Scholarship," CrossRef, February 5, 2010, <http://www.crossref.org/01company/pr/news020210.html> [accessed March 18, 2010].
- ³ Rumsey, "Runaway Train."
- ⁴ Rumsey, "Runaway Train."
- ⁵ Rumsey, "Runaway Train."
- ⁶ E. Dana Neacsu, "Legal Scholarship and Digital Publishing: Has Anything Changed in the Way We Do Legal Research?," *Legal Reference Services Quarterly* 21, no. 2 & 3 (March 2002): 105-122 [doi: 10.1300/J113v21n02_06].
- ⁷ Simon Canick, "Availability of Works Cited in Recent Law Review Articles on LEXIS, Westlaw, the Internet, and Other Databases," *Legal Reference Services Quarterly* 21, no. 2 & 3 (March 2002): 55-67 [doi: 10.1300/J113v21n02_03].
- ⁸ Helene Davis, "Keeping Validity in Cite: Web Resources Cited in Select Washington Law Reviews, 2001-03," *Law Library Journal* 98 (2006), http://www.aallnet.org/products/pub_llj_v98n04/2006-38.pdf [accessed March 18, 2010].
- ⁹ Vincent Larivière et al., "The Place of Serials in Referencing Practices: Comparing Natural Sciences and Engineering with Social Sciences and Humanities," *Journal of the American Society of Information Science and Technology* 57, no. 8 (April 7, 2006): 997-1004 [doi: 10.1002/asi.20349].
- ¹⁰ Susan Lyons, "Persistent Identification of Electronic Documents and the Future of Footnotes," *Library Law Journal* 97 (2005), http://www.aallnet.org/products/pub_llj_v97n04/2005-42.pdf [accessed March 18, 2010].
- ¹¹ International DOI Foundation, *The DOI Handbook*, 4.4.1 ed. [Oxford: International DOI Foundation, 2006] [doi: 10.1000/186].
- ¹² Amy Brand, "CROSSREF: From Linking to Cross-Provider Search," *Serials Librarian* 50, no. 1 & 2 (May 2006): 119-124 [doi: 10.1300/J123v50n01_11].
- ¹³ American Psychological Association, *Publication Manual of the American Psychological Association*, 6th ed. [Washington, DC: American Psychological Association, 2010].
- ¹⁴ Columbia Law Review et al., eds. *The Bluebook: A Uniform System of Citation*, 18th ed. (Cambridge: Harvard Law Review Association, 2005).
- ¹⁵ Association of Legal Writing Directors and Darby Dickerson, *ALWD Citation Manual: A Professional System of Citation*, 3rd ed. [New York: Aspen Publishers, 2006].
- ¹⁶ CrossRef, "Simple Text Query Version 1.3," <http://www.crossref.org/SimpleTextQuery/> [accessed March 18, 2010].
- ¹⁷ Michael Spinella, "JSTOR and the Changing Digital Landscape," *Interlending & Document Supply* 36, no. 2 (2008): 79-85 [doi: 10.1108/02641610810878549].
- ¹⁸ CrossRef, "Publisher Fees," http://www.crossref.org/02publishers/20pub_fees.html [accessed March 18, 2010].
- ¹⁹ Robert P. Dellavalle, et al., "Going, Going, Gone: Lost Internet References," *Science* 302, no. 5646 (October 31, 2003): 787-788 [doi: 10.1126/science.1088234].

- ²⁰ Mikhail Koulikov, "Indexing and Full-Text Coverage of Law Review Articles in Nonlegal Databases: An Initial Study," *Law Library Journal* 102 (2010), http://www.aallnet.org/products/pub_llj_v102n01/2010-02.pdf [accessed March 18, 2010].