

# English Language Teaching Curriculum and Material Production: Comprehensive Questions

Oleh:

**Besse Darmawati**

Organisasi Riset Arkeologi, Bahasa, dan Sastra

Pusat Riset Bahasa, Sastra, dan Komunitas

Email: [bessedarmawaty@gmail.com](mailto:bessedarmawaty@gmail.com)

## Abstract

Materials development is both a theoretical and practical endeavor. It studies the principles and procedures of designing, implementing, and evaluating language teaching materials as a field. As a project, it entails the creation, evaluation, and adaptation of language teaching materials for use in classrooms by teachers and by materials writers for sale or distribution. Ideally, these two aspects of materials development are interactive in the sense that theoretical studies inform and are informed by classroom materials development and use (e.g. Tomlinson 1998c).

'Materials' include anything that can be used to aid language learning. They can be linguistic, visual, auditory, or kinesthetic, and they can be presented in print, live performance or display, cassette, CD-ROM, DVD, or over the internet. They can be instructional in the sense that they inform learners about the language, experiential in the sense that they provide exposure to the language in use, elicitive in the sense that they stimulate language use, or exploratory in the sense that they seek discoveries about language use.

1. Dalam studinya tentang implementasi kurikulum, Snyder, dkk. (1992) mengidentifikasi tiga perspektif berbeda yakni Fidelity Perspective, Mutual Adaptation Perspective, dan Curriculum Enactment Perspective. Jelaskan perbedaan ketiga perspektif di atas!

### **Answer:**

- Fidelity Perspective means dealing with curriculum implementation. According to Fullan and Pomfrets (in Collin J Marsh, 2009), 'fidelity' is a kind of perspective in curriculum implementation beside 'process perspectives.' Fidelity perspective assume that the planned curriculum must be highly structured and the teacher must be instructed with explicitly instruction. In fidelity model, curriculum change occurs when new behaviors and organizational patterns are taken up; the task of educational reform is to find efficient ways to do the curriculum. Fidelity perspective looks at the degree to which something has been implemented as planned; the match between design and outcome; and the irrespective of how curriculum has been implemented. In short, fidelity prespective highlights the instruction, but the weakness of this perspective is that the curriculum developers ignored the teacher prior experience and teacher's background.
- Mutual Adaptation Perspective is concerned with how a curriculum is adapting during the implementation process by both curriculum developers and teachers. Mutual adaptation perspective tends to see curriculum knowledge as one facet of a larger; complex social system that cannot be taken for granted. According to Skillbeck (1976), mutual adaptation perspective views the curriculum as a dynamic one which

flows by covering the school system other influences, such as environment. As a result, mutual adaptation perspective covers such factors that influence any innovation either within or without the school.

- Curriculum Enactment Perspective is concerned with how curriculum is shaped through the evolving constructs of teacher and students. In enactment perspective, curriculum concerns with classroom experiences and how participants create them, the effect of externally created materials, policies, and characteristics of experiences, including the effect of the experiences to the participants. Consequently, curriculum enactment perspective underlines that the core of curriculum are from the facts of classroom evolving the relationship between teacher, learner, and subject matter.

2. Olshtain (1989) mengemukakan lima tipe kurikulum/silabus yakni Content-Based, Product-Based, Process-Based, Learner-Based, dan Context-Based. Apa fokus utama dari masing-masing tipe tersebut? Jika ditinjau dari kurikulum yang pernah atau sedang berlaku di Indonesia, kurikulum apa saja yang sesuai dengan masing-masing tipe kurikulum/silabus tersebut?

**Answer:**

- ✚ Content-based curriculum/syllabus focuses on learning language through learning something. The primary purpose of instruction is to teach some content or information using the language that the students are also learning. In this type of curriculum, the students are simultaneously language students of whatever content is being taught. An example of content-based language teaching is a science class taught in the language the students need or want to learn.
- ✚ Product-based curriculum/syllabus emphasizes on behavioral objectives. The primary purpose of this curriculum is to bring about significant changes in the students' pattern of behavior. It becomes important to recognize that any statements of objectives of the school should be statements of changes to take place in the students. This type of curriculum is suitable used for vocational school. The stages of product-based curriculum involve (1) diagnosis of need; (2) formulation of objectives; (3) selection of content; (4) organization of content; (5) selection of learning experiences; (6) organization of learning experiences; and (7) determination of what to evaluate and of the ways and means of doing it.
- ✚ Process-based curriculum/syllabus emphasis on the process of learner. This sense curriculum is not a physical thing, but rather the interaction of teachers, students, and knowledges. In this type, curriculum is what actually happens in the classroom and what people do to prepare and evaluate. In short, this curriculum focuses on the learning process in the classroom.
- ✚ Learner-based curriculum/syllabus emphasis on individual development; the curriculum organization emerges from the needs, interest, and purposes of students. In this type, curriculum is usually not preplanned, but evolves teacher and students interaction in relation of learning tasks. Learner-based curriculum developers have purposeful intentions to learner oriented and can be modified upon interaction with students. Therefore, the role for teacher here is just to develop the ability to ascertain the students' interest and motivation.
- ✚ Context-based curriculum/syllabus refers to the use of facts and fictitious examples in teaching environments in order to learn through the actual, practical

experience with a subject, rather than just theoretical parts. This curriculum guides students to focus their attention on, and attempt to resolve problems around them both individual and social in nature. In this type of curriculum, students are usually placed in group activities and the materials are usually based on thematic.

Based on the curriculum implemented in Indonesia, CBSA in 1994 basically uses content-based curriculum/syllabus; KBK in 2006 uses product-based curriculum/syllabus; KTSP in 2009 uses and K13 in 2013 uses context-based curriculum/syllabus.

3. Dalam mendisain kurikulum/silabus terdapat dua jenis pendekatan yakni “Top-Down Approach” dan “Bottom-Up Approach”. Jelaskan bagaimana penerapan kedua pendekatan tersebut!

**Answer:**

The most important thing that must be considered in designing curriculum is approach. Approach itself is preconceptions, assumptions, and theoretical underpinnings for what happens in the classroom. There are two basic curriculum, namely construction curriculum and development curriculum. Construction curriculum refers to Top-Down approach, while development curriculum refers to Bottom-Up approach. Top down approach can be explained as a set of hierarchically ordered processes that are centrally initiated, controlled, and performed by the selected expert committees as decision maker. A decision is made by the supreme authority in the educational system. As result, top-down approach is a command with some instruction from the highest level to be implemented by the lower level.

Meanwhile, bottom-up approach can be explained as a process in which some or all the members of a school community plan, implement, and/or evaluate aspects of the curriculum offering of the school. The procedure of bottom-up approach is starting from the problems appeared from teachers in teaching and learning process, then the teacher evaluates the students’ achievements and makes research about the problem. Through the research, teacher makes hypothesis about the curriculum, then open discussion, such as Focus Group Discussion (FGD), by presenting the all stakeholders of curriculum which will be developed. Finally, the result of FGD’ curriculum will be recommended to the decision maker of education. Consequently, bottom-up approach is a proposal with some development and/or review of previous curriculum from the lower level into the highest level as decision maker.

4. Setidaknya ada empat komponen pokok yang harus dimiliki oleh sebuah kurikulum, yakni Tujuan, Materi, Proses Pembelajaran, dan Evaluasi. Jelaskan mengapa keempat komponen tersebut penting!

**Answer:**

Four important aspects of curriculum:

- (1) Goal. It is defined as general statements concerning desirable and attainable program purposes and aims based on perceived language and situation needs. In deriving goals from perceived needs, goals are general statements of the program’s purposes; goals focus on what the program hopes to accomplish in the future and what the students should be able to do when they leave the program; goals can serve as one basis for developing more precise and

observable objectives; and goals should never be viewed as permanent, but goes dynamically (Brown, 1995: 71—72).

- (2) Material. It is defined as any systematic description of the techniques and exercises to be used in classroom teaching. It is related to the knowledge that the students will achieve. There are three strategies where materials come from, namely adopting material, developing material, and adapting materials (Brown, 1995: 139)
- (3) Learning Activity. It is defined as those activities offered to learners in the teaching-learning situation which are designed to enable them to acquire the designated content and thereby achieve the stated objectives and more broadly the curriculum's intent. It also incorporates all teaching or instructional strategies planned by teachers as well as those methods by which students may learn by themselves within the context of the classroom or learning environment (Print, 1993: 164—165).
- (4) Evaluation. It is defined as the systematic collection and analysis of all relevant information necessary to promote the improvement of a curriculum and assess its effectiveness within the context of the particular institutions involved (Brown, 1995: 218). Evaluation also concerns with making judgements, evaluation requires that judgements be based on appropriate and relevant data. Evaluation in schools is essentially concerned with two major approaches, namely product and process evaluations. Product evaluation is an evaluation of student performance in a specific learning context, while process evaluation examines the experiences and activities involved in the learning situation (Print (1993: 187).

From the four aspects of curriculum, they are related and completed each other. Thus, the existence of goal, material, learning activities, and evaluation are very important in stating curriculum in an institution or school. The four aspects should be stated clearly before implementing the curriculum.

## References

- Brown, James Dean. (1995). *The Elements of Language Curriculum: A Systematic Approach to Program Development*. Massachusetts: Heinle & Heinle Publisher.
- Graves, Kathleen. (2008) The Language Curriculum, in *Language Teaching Journal*, pp. 147—181.
- Richard, Jack C. & Theodore S. Rodgers. 1986. *Approaches and Methods in Language Teaching*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

## Bacaan Inspirasi:

- Darmawati, B., & Sahabuddin, C. (2019). Kalindaqdaq: A Medium of Mandarese Character Building. In *Proceeding of The International Conference on Literature* (Vol. 1, No. 1, pp. 882-890).
- Shinta, M. N., & Darmawati, B. (2021). Nonverbal Communication of Supervisors in The Mentoring Thesis Process: Perceptions Of English Students. *Al-Lisan: Jurnal Bahasa (e-Journal)*, 6(1), 1-11.
- Rahmawati, S., Rahman, S. W., & Darmawati, B. (2018). Pop-Up Book in Reading Comprehension Ability Context in Thematic Learning. *Jurnal*.

- Darmawati, B. (2019). SASTRA DAERAH BUGIS DAN MAKASSAR SEBAGAI KEKUATAN KULTURAL DALAM MEMBANGUN BUDAYA BANGSA INDONESIA.
- Darmawati, B. (2012). Konfigurasi Heroik Dalam Sastra Bugis: Suatu Pendekatan Sosiologi Terhadap Elong Osong. *ATAVISME*, 15(1), 85-94.
- Darmawati, B. (2014). “Kearifan Sistem Pemerintahan Puang Rimaggalatung Dalam Lontarak Bugis. *Jurnal Meta Sastra*, 7(1).
- Darmawati, B., Rahman, A., Halim, A., & Basri, M. (2020, October). Teaching English Through Literature-Based Instruction: An Integrated Study of Language and Literature. In *International Conference on Community Development (ICCD 2020)* (pp. 134-137). Atlantis Press.
- Marzuki, A. G., Santiana, A. K., Alek, N. F., Darmawati, B., & Bin-Tahir, S. Z. The Teaching of EFL Vocabulary through Anticipatory Learning Strategy in Islamic Higher Education Context in Indonesia.
- Darmawati, B., & Herlina, A. (2019, October). Bugis Cultural Taxonomy: An Overview of Hofstede’s Cultural Dimension. In *6th International Conference on Community Development (ICCD 2019)* (pp. 108-110). Atlantis Press.
- Nurwati, A., Darmawati, B., Pakaja, M., Pido, N. W. T., Shinta, M. N., Dilo, A. U., ... & Akhmad, E. Model dan Media Pembelajaran Bahasa Berbasis Online & Offline.
- Darmawati, B., & Km, J. S. A. (2018, July). Law, Social, and Humanity in Buginese Literature. In *2018 3rd International Conference on Education, Sports, Arts and Management Engineering (ICESAME 2018)* (pp. 147-149). Atlantis Press.
- Darmawati, B. (2013). Fabel dalam Bingkai Sastra. *Gramatika: Jurnal Ilmiah Kebahasaan dan Kesastraan*, 1(2), 133-142.
- Asrifan, A., & Syahrir, L. (2021). Code Mixing and Code Switching in the Efl Classroom Interaction.
- Octaberlina, L. R., & Asrifan, A. (2021). THE USE OF HUMOR STORY IN IMPROVING THE STUDENTS’ READING COMPREHENSION.
- Asrifan, A. (2021). IMPROVING STUDENTS’ SPEAKING ABILITY BY USING HAND DOLLS OF THE ELEVENTH GRADE STUDENTS OF MA YMPI RAPPANG.
- Asrifan, A. (2021). ISSUES IN TEFL “International Article Summary & Presentation”: Students’ Reactions to School Based Oral Assessment: Bridging the Gap in Malaysia.
- Asrifan, A. (2021). Modul Perkuliahan RESEARCH ON ENGLISH LANGUAGE TEACHING.

Asrifan, A., Octaberlina, L. R., & Handayani, R. (2021). SEMANTIC ANALYSIS ON THE USE OF ENGLISH LANGUAGE SLOGAN.

Octaberlina, L. R., & Asrifan, A. (2021). English for Specific Purposes (ESP).

Agustang, A., Ariani, A. I., & Asrifan, A. (2021). Konstruksi Sosial Janda Tereksplorasi (Studi Kasus Di Kelurahan Tete Batu Kecamatan Pallangga Kabupaten Gowa).

Asrifan, A., Abdullah, H., Yunus, M., & Patil, A. (2021). An Analysis of Code Mixing in the MOVIE "From London to Bali". *ENGLISH FRANCA: Academic Journal of English Language and Education*, 5(2), 317-338.

Asrifan, A. (2021). Academic Writing.

Asrifan, A., Vargheese, K. J., Hasnidar, H., Hermasyah, S., & Suleha, S. (2021). The Study of Lexical and Contextual Meaning on Lily Song By Alan Walker Feat K-391 & Emelie Hollow (Semantic Approach). *JOURNAL OF ADVANCED ENGLISH STUDIES*, 4(1), 7-14.

Nagauleng, A. M., Asrifan, A., & Waris, A. M. (2021). Perceptions with Non-English Educational Background Competence in English Teaching. *Al-Ishlah: Jurnal Pendidikan*, 13(2), 1259-1268.

Putra, M. T. I., Maulina, M., Muthmainah, M., Asrifan, A., Apriani, E., Ejica, N. C. P., & Peroddin, A. D. D. (2021). Teaching Communicative Grammar: A Literature Review. *CAPE COMORIN: An International Multidisciplinary Double-Blind Peer-reviewed Research Journal*, 3(02), 63-68.

Asrifan, A. (2022). ONLINE METHOD AND MENTAL LEXICON IN CHILDREN LANGUAGE PROCESSING PSYCHOLINGUISTICS APPROACH. *La Ogi: English Language Journal*, 8(1).

Octaberlina, L. R., & Asrifan, A. (2021). MULTIPLE INTELLIGENCES IN BASIC SCHOOL LEARNING.

R Agus Marhendha, R., Syaharudddin, R., Utami, S., Puriani, R. A., Alamsyah Sahabuddin, R., Salijah, E., ... & Sam Hermansyah, F. (2022). *Challenges of Social Sciences, Education, and Technology For Achieving Sustainable Development Goals (SDGS), Jilid II*. Media Sains Indonesia.

Octaberlina, L. R., & Asrifan, A. (2021). Component of Curriculum: Assessment and Testing.

Asrifan, A. (2021). LANGUAGE PLANNING.

Fakka, B., Asrifan, A., Syahrir, L., Hermansyah, S., & Amran, N. A. R. (2022). Correlation Between Self-Concept and Anxiety of Communicative Competence in English Foreign Language Learner. *La Ogi: English Language Journal*, 8(1), 25-34.

- Muthmainnah, M. H., Asrifan, A., & Abdul Wafie, K. (2021). THE EFFECTIVENESS OF INTEGRATING WRITE AROUND MODEL AND SURAH AL QALAM VALUE IN TEACHING.
- Asrifan, A. (2021). THE DIFFERENCES BETWEEN WRITTEN AND SPOKEN LANGUAGE.
- Asrifan, A. (2021). Book Review: Halliday. 1989. Spoken and Written Language. Oxford University Press.
- Putri, R. S., Bibin, M., Putri, A. R. S., & Asrifan, A. GAM IN MODELING THE DISTRIBUTION OF SMALL PELAGIC FISH IN THE MAKASSAR STRAIT.
- Asrifan, A., & Mohammed, M. G. (2021). The Perceptions on Bilingual-based Materials Approach in English for Educational Technology. *Modern Perspectives in Language, Literature and Education Vol. 4*, 28-38.
- Mustanir, A., Razak, M. R. R., & Asrifan, A. (2021). IMPLEMENTASI KEBIJAKAN PEMERINTAH TERHADAP PEMENUHAN HAK-HAK PENDIDIKAN PENYANDANG DISABILITAS DI KABUPATEN ENREKANG. *re-JIEM (Research Journal of Islamic Education Management)*, 4(2), 119-136.
- Erianti, A., Akib, E., & Baso, F. A. (2018). An analysis of teachers' questioning strategies in ELT (English Language Teaching) the classroom interaction at eleventh grade SMA Muhammadiyah 1 UNISMUH Makassar. *Exposure Journal*, 7(1), 58-70.
- Akib, E., & Ghafar, M. N. A. (2015). Assessment for Learning Instrumentation in Higher Education. *International Education Studies*, 8(4), 166-172.
- Akib, E., Imran, M. E., Mahtari, S., Mahmud, M. R., Prawiyogy, A. G., Supriatna, I., & Ikhsan, M. H. (2020). Study on implementation of integrated curriculum in Indonesia. *IJORER: International Journal of Recent Educational Research*, 1(1), 39-57.
- Akib, E., & Muhsin, M. A. (2020). Critical thinking in cognitive domain: Exploring assessment of English teaching at pandemic period of covid-19. *JEES (Journal of English Educators Society)*, 5(2), 178-184.
- Akib, E. (2012). Brain-sketching Technique for Descriptive Text in Improve Writing Skill at Senior High School of Unismuh Makassar. *Exposure*, 1(1), 35-58.
- Akib, E., & Syatriana, E. (2019, October). Engaging EFL Learner in Explainer Video for Creative Writing. In *Proceedings of the 4th Progressive and Fun Education International Conference (PFEIC 2019)* (Vol. 355, pp. 119-123).
- Ampa, A. T., & Akib, E. (2019, June). The students' learning achievement of the english productive skills. In *Eleventh Conference on Applied Linguistics (CONAPLIN 2018)* (pp. 395-399). Atlantis Press.

- Ampa, A. T., Akib, E., & Sari, D. K. (2019). The Use of Transitional Signals in Essay Writing by EFL Students. *International Journal of English Language & Translation Studies*, 7(2), 33-38.
- Syatriana, E., & Erwin, A. (2021). Investigating learning outcome based on a model of English teaching of Indonesian elementary students. *JEES (Journal of English Educators Society)*, 6(2).
- Akib, E. (2020). Pariwisata Dalam Tinjauan Pendidikan: Studi Menuju Era Revolusi Industri. *Pusaka: Journal of Tourism, Hospitality, Travel and Business Event*, 2(1), 1-7.
- Akib, E., Syatriana, E., & Ebrahimi, S. S. (2020). Principle for the Evaluation of Reading Assessment Tools. *Journal of Critical views*, 7(16), 160-164.
- Ratih, K., Tamatea, L., Buckworth, J., Prayitno, H. J., & Akib, E. (2019). Preparing for quality: The alignment of the professional knowledge domain across global, national and local institutional policies. *International Journal of Advanced Science and Technology*, 28(8), 795-799.
- Akib, E. (2019). The effect of mapping instructional materials on EFL learners with different types of materials.
- Saiful, S., Ismail, H., Asriadi, A., Akib, E., & Bakri, R. A. (2022). PENGHIAUAN LAHAN NON PRODUKTIF DI KAMPUNG BERUA OBJEK WISATA RAMMANG-RAMMANG MAROS. *Martabe: Jurnal Pengabdian Kepada Masyarakat*, 5(1), 399-407.
- Saputra, W. A., & Akib, E. (2018, July). Translanguaging in English as Foreign Language (EFL) Classroom Assessment: A Discourse Analysis in Indonesian University. In PROCEEDINGS OF THE 65th TEFLIN INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE (Vol. 65, No. 02).
- Octaberlina, L. R. English For Specific Purposes: Need Analysis.
- Octaberlina, L. R., & Asrifan, A. (2021). MULTIPLE INTELLIGENCES IN BASIC SCHOOL LEARNING.
- Asrifan, A., & Raskova Octaberlina, L. (2021). An Item Analysis Of English Summative Test in EFL Classroom (A case study at Elementary School in Indonesia). *ScienceOpen Preprints*.
- Octaberlina, L. R., & Asrifan, A. (2021). Component of Curriculum: Assessment and Testing.
- Octaberlina, L. R., & Lubis, N. D. A. APPROACHES AND STEPS IN ESP COURSE DESIGN.