Title

Overcoming Supply Chain Disruptions During Pandemics by Utilizing Found Hardware for Open Source Gentle Ventilation

Paper authors

1. Oberloier, Shane
2. Gallup, Nicole
3. Pearce, Joshua M. (Corresponding Author)

Paper author roles and affiliations

1. Department of Electrical & Computer Engineering, Michigan Technological University, USA swoberlo@mtu.edu
2. Department of Biomedical Engineering and Mechanical Engineering, Michigan Technological University, USA ngallup@mtu.edu
3. Department of Materials Science & Engineering and Department of Electrical & Computer Engineering, Michigan Technological University, USA; Équipe de Recherche sur les Processus Innovatifs (ERPI), Université de Lorraine, France; School of Electrical Engineering, Aalto University, Finland; pearce@mtu.edu

Abstract

The COVID-19 pandemic created temporary shortages of medical equipment like ventilators throughout the world. Recent medical research also indicates a gentle ventilation may be more appropriate for many patients. This article details the design of an open source gentle ventilator (gentle-vent) framework that can be used in periods of scarcity when no other options are available. The system utilizes a wide range of commonly available components that are combined using basic electronics skills to achieve the desired end product. The firmware is programed in the Arduino IDE using any Arduino compatible microcontroller. The main function of the gentle-vent is to generate a calibrated pressure wave at the pump to provide support to the patient's breathing. Each gentle-vent permutation was tested using a DIY manometer as it could be done in the field in low-resource settings. These measurements were also verified with an open source VentMon. All permutations were able to accurately hold a desired setpoint for at least 2 hours. The most rudimentary implementation using found mechanical components and perf-board costs less than \$20. The results indicate that an open source approach can be used to make breathing support accessible in most contexts.

Metadata Overview

Main design files: <https://osf.io/ug4z2/>

Target group: Medical care givers, patient/families in scarcity-stricken situations

Skills required: Desktop 3D Printing – easy, Arduino Programming – easy, Breadboarding & wiring – easy OR Perf-board fabrication – medium.

Replication: This is a replication and modification of an existing ventilator design.

See section “Build Details” for more detail.

Keywords

ventilation; repurposed hardware; COVID-19; appropriate technology; medical equipment; open hardware; improvisation

Introduction

The COVID-19 pandemic [1] created temporary shortages of medical equipment like ventilators in the U.S. [2] and in Europe [3] as well as personal protective equipment (PPE) locally [4-6]. With the recent maturation of distributed manufacturing [7] in the context of small businesses [8], fab labs and makerspaces [9,10], and even in the home [11-14] there appears to be an opportunity for distributed manufacturing of medical hardware during pandemics [15] and can outlive for future use, especially when needed for short term management [16]. Thus, not only was there a global interest in ventilator production using conventional methods [17-19], but also for distributed open source ventilators with various degrees of success [20-27]. World economies, however, were being shocked by supply line shortages [28], which leave both commercial and open designs more difficult to reproduce [29,30]. Even if the open designs of conventional ventilators were easily reproducible there is evidence to suggest that ventilators are not necessarily the correct response to COVID-19 symptoms, and instead a gentle ventilation is required [31-33].

This article details a flexible gentle ventilator (gentle-vent), which utilizes commonly available components. By using repurposed hardware, the design can be replicated at little to no cost with common household items. The design outlined in this article is also specified such that a wide range of parts can be combined to achieve the desired end product.

The gentle-vent can be replicated using very basic electronics skills and (depending on your hardware availability) can be constructed on a breadboard. The firmware is programed in the Arduino IDE [34], using the prolific low-cost Arduino Nano. The firmware’s main function is to generate a calibrated pressure wave at the pump to provide support to the patient’s breathing.

COVID-19 poses a great risk to citizens of nations that do not have enough medical equipment to support all of the potential patients generated from the pandemic [35]. The gentle-vent can

help provide support for impoverished citizens with sick loved ones who have been turned away by their medical providers. Those who have underlying respiratory conditions, those with acute respiratory syndrome, or atelectasis are subject to a more severe case of COVID-19 and similar diseases. Thus, a gentle-vent is geared towards them. A mechanical ventilator, or breathing machine, can be too much for someone with these circumstances due to the forceful distribution of air in their lungs. A standard ventilator pushes in air at a rhythmic pace. If the patient does not breath at the same rhythm as the ventilator, the lungs receive unwanted pressure from excessive oxygen, thus damaging tissue within and around the lungs [36]. Mechanical ventilators are also meant for someone who needs assistance in the long-term, thus raise speculation and concern for more health issues to occur, such as pneumonia or vocal cord damage.

Overall Implementation and Design

The gentle-vent design follows open hardware design protocols [37, 38], which consists of a flexible hardware configuration inspired by an existing open source design by Lee [39] and firmware, which can be suited to many hardware configurations.

Hardware

The mechanical system consists of a direct connection of a mouthpiece to an air pump via a short length of tube, which can be seen in Figure 1.

Figure 1: System overview of the gentle-vent.

In order to demonstrate the flexibility of this design, three different air pumps are tested in conjunction with differing electronic control setups.

Table 1: Key characteristics of the tested pumps

Name	Voltage (VDC)	Max Pressure (in H ₂ O)	Cost (USD)	URL
------	---------------	------------------------------------	------------	-----

Pump 1	12	6.5	\$11.99	https://www.amazon.com/dp/B07F3QF4B4
Pump 2		6.25	\$19.59	https://www.amazon.com/dp/B074NZY3SQ
Pump 3		22.25	\$62.99	https://www.amazon.com/dp/B000OF92KA

Like similar projects, 3-D printing is useful for specialty components during a pandemic [39]. The mouthpiece [40] is printed from PLA using an open source Ender 3 3-D Printer [41]. The part is sliced in Cura [42] with a layer height of 0.2mm and a completely solid infill. The part has a mass of 23g and costs about US\$ 0.21 to print from commercial filament.

Figure 2: a) Rendering of the mouthpiece, b) 3-D printed mouthpiece

Parametric adaptors, which can also be 3-D printed [43], can be used to connect varying sizes of hose to output ports on pumps. The adaptor can be printed using the same settings as were used for the mouthpiece. It has a mass of 12g and costs US\$0.14 to print.

Figure 3: a) Rendering of the hose adaptor, b) 3-D printed hose adaptor.

Electronics

In this implementation an Arduino Nano is used for pump control, as it is the least expensive option and easiest to integrate [34]. Any other devices in the Arduino family (other common and compatible options are listed in Table 2) could be used as a controller, as long as a single PWM output pin is available.

Table 2: Examples of compatible controllers

Name	Type	Cost (USD)	URL
Controller 1	Arduino Nano	\$3.60	https://www.amazon.com/dp/B07RQ8S1LG
Controller 2	Arduino Uno	\$23.00	https://www.amazon.com/dp/B008GRTSV6
Controller 3	Arduino Mega	\$19.99	https://www.amazon.com/dp/B01H4ZLZLQ

Any NPN or N-Type transistor (Table 3) will work as a switching device, and in this implementation a TIP 120 Darlington pair transistor is used. Additionally, switching devices such as solid-state relays (SSR) will also function effectively. Mechanical relays, however, are not capable of PWM control and cannot be used for this application.

Table 3: Switching devices utilized in this experiment

Name	Type	Cost (USD)	URL
Switch 1	TIP 120 Transistor	\$0.44	https://www.amazon.com/dp/B07LG2C3MY
Switch 2	40A DC SSR	\$12.99	https://www.amazon.com/dp/B079BGGVYX

A single momentary switch can be used to signal to the Arduino to switch pressure-states. A resistor divider connected to a digital input can be used to monitor the PWM signal on the output end of the switching device. A complete schematic of the device is shown in Figure 4.

Figure 4: Schematic for a TIP120-based pump controller.

The device can be prototyped on a breadboard, but the connections can be easily broken and in many cases the traces are not rated for the max current of the pump [44]. Though in extreme

cases of scarcity, a breadboard can be implemented for long-term use if the cables for the power supply and pump are well secured. An image of the controller implemented on a breadboard can be seen in Figure 5. The bill of materials (BOM) can be seen in Table 4 (total cost US\$5.93).

Figure 5: Transistor-based controller implemented on breadboard.

Table 4: BOM for transistor-based implementation on breadboard.

Qty	Description	Cost (USD)	URL
1	Breadboard	\$1.50	https://www.amazon.com/dp/B07PCJP9DY
2 ft	Wires	\$0.16	https://www.amazon.com/dp/B07TX6BX47
1	Button	\$0.07	https://www.amazon.com/dp/B01N6GU7TA
4	10k Resistors	\$0.30	https://www.amazon.com/dp/B0185FIOTA
1	10nF Capacitor	\$0.05	https://www.amazon.com/dp/B07KG3F6M3/
1	1N4007 Diode	\$0.25	https://www.amazon.com/dp/B00W17LOBO/
1	Arduino Nano	\$3.60	https://www.amazon.com/dp/B07RQ8S1LG

An improved and more robust, yet still easily improvisable implementation can be done on a perf-board, which will require basic soldering as shown in Figure 6. The BOM can be seen in Table 5 (total cost US\$4.89).

Figure 6: An image of the transistor-based controller implemented on a perf-board. Note that

terminal blocks are not required – the leads of the pump can be cut and soldered directly as a cost saving / availability measure.

Table 4: BOM for transistor-based implementation on perf-board.

Qty	Description	Cost (USD)	URL
1	Perf-board	\$0.29	https://www.amazon.com/dp/B07FFDFLZ3
2 g	Solder	\$0.17	https://www.amazon.com/dp/B075WB98FJ/
2 ft	Wires	\$0.16	https://www.amazon.com/dp/B07TX6BX47
1	Button	\$0.07	https://www.amazon.com/dp/B01N6GU7TA
4	10k Resistors	\$0.30	https://www.amazon.com/dp/B0185FIOTA
1	10nF Capacitor	\$0.05	https://www.amazon.com/dp/B07KG3F6M3/
1	1N4007 Diode	\$0.25	https://www.amazon.com/dp/B00W17LOBO/
1	Arduino Nano	\$3.60	https://www.amazon.com/dp/B07RQ8S1LG

If a circuit milling machine [45] is available, a single-layered board can be milled using the design files available on [43]. Additionally, this design could be mass-produced via third-party board manufacturing services. The implementation requires basic soldering. A rendering of the controller implemented on a printed circuit board (PCB) can be referenced below in Figure 7. The BOM can be seen in Table 5 (with a total cost of US\$5.61).

Figure 7: Rendering of transistor-based controller implemented on a PCB.

Table 5: BOM of transistor-based implementation on a PCB.

Qty	Description	Cost (USD)	URL
1	Copper Clad Board	\$0.69	https://www.amazon.com/dp/B01MCVLDDZ/
2	2-position Terminal Blocks	\$0.32	https://www.amazon.com/dp/B01MT4LC0F
2 g	Solder	\$0.17	https://www.amazon.com/dp/B075WB98FJ/

2 ft	Wires	\$0.16	https://www.amazon.com/dp/B07TX6BX47
1	Button	\$0.07	https://www.amazon.com/dp/B01N6GU7TA
4	10k Resistors	\$0.30	https://www.amazon.com/dp/B0185FIOTA
1	10nF Capacitor	\$0.05	https://www.amazon.com/dp/B07KG3F6M3/
1	1N4007 Diode	\$0.25	https://www.amazon.com/dp/B00W17LOBO/
1	Arduino Nano	\$3.60	https://www.amazon.com/dp/B07RQ8S1LG

Regardless of the implementation, any 12V DC supply can be used (given it has sufficient current to run the selected motor). Four different supplies are tested (Table 6).

Table 6: Key characteristics of power supplies.

Name	Voltage	Max Current	Cost (USD)	URL
Supply 1	12VDC	8 A	\$17.69	https://www.amazon.com/dp/B07G5BQGYD
Supply 2		5 A	\$15.59	https://www.amazon.com/dp/B073QTNF9F
Supply 3		5 A	\$12.99	https://www.amazon.com/dp/B078RY6YY3
Supply 4		6 A	\$89.99	https://www.amazon.com/dp/B07H8F5HYJ

Firmware

The firmware is written in the Arduino IDE, and has all key parameters easily adjustable. There are 3 pressure level targets that can be specified, which are toggled through by pressing the button. Additionally, the turn-on pressure can be set. Normally the turn-on pressure will be “0”, however, in cases where a button is not available, this pressure should be set to the normal operating pressure.

On start-up, the firmware validates if the calibration array has been input. If the array is not detected, the calibration procedure is triggered in which the pump is driven by varying PWM signals and the user must measure and input the resultant pressure via the serial monitor. Once the calibration procedure has completed, the resultant array will be outputted to the serial monitor, and normal operation will begin. The array must be copied and pasted into the firmware and re-uploaded to the device.

Figure 8: Flow chart of firmware operation.

(2) Quality control

Safety

The device has no overcurrent protection. The pump should be specified such that it draws less current than the power supply so that the gentle-vent can rely on the power supply's built-in current protection.

Proper calibration of the device is paramount to the safety of the target patient's lungs, as there is a risk of permanent lung damage if the pump outputs a pressure greater than 12.5 InH₂O [46].

The gentle-vent should only be used under the supervision of a trained medical professional, and the patient should be under intermittent (once an hour) observation [47].

Calibration

One end of the hose is connected to the pump outlet, while the other is suspended in air. ~500ml of water are poured into the hose to create a manometer. The base level (at atmosphere) is marked, and then the firmware will begin sweeping through PWM values. The

height of the water is measured and entered into the firmware at each PWM, and the resultant PWM – pressure curve is generated.

Figure 9: The setup used to find the PWM-pressure calibration curve.

A typical response should look like Figure 10. Additionally, an open source VentMon [48] can be used to validate measurements (Figure 11).

Figure 10: Pressure responses of each pump during calibration.

Figure 11: Typical pressure measurements during calibration on VentMon.

General testing

The calibration procedure effectively validates the general function of the device. The only remaining test is to validate the endurance of the gentle-vent. As the pump heats up, the pressure may vary [44]. In order to measure the pump for consistency over time, the pump is run continuously for 2 hours, with pressure measured in 10-minute increments. All permutations of Tables 1 and 6 tested successfully. An example run can be seen in Figure 12. In some cases of prolonged use, the pump and supply may resonate causing the Arduino to reset. If this occurs, the resonance spikes can be removed by adding a 10uF capacitor (or greater) to the supply input to the control circuit.

Figure 12: An example 2-hour stress test with Pump 1 and Supply 1.

Additionally, the VentMon paired with a resuscitator bag [49] can be used to demonstrate operation on a set of simulated lungs as shown in Figure 13.

Figure 13: Illustrated example of pressure measurements and rate recognition taken with the

VentMon. Blue line – airway pressure; Orange line – extrapolated exhale / inhale; Red dot – temperature; Blue dot – H₂O content.

Risks

There are risks associated with the use of any ventilator including: pneumonia, from excessive use. Blood clot or bed sores can also occur if one does not move enough from lying in bed or sitting in a wheel chair. Fluid buildup in alveolar sacs can be produced when on a ventilator for an extended period of time. The strength of the patient's diaphragm weakens when on the ventilator from the lessened use of manual breathing. The vocal cords can also sustain damage from the tubing of the ventilator, leading to hoarseness and trouble speaking. Damage primarily occurs in developing children [36].

(3) Application

Use cases

This gentle-vent can be used in cases where a medical professional deems that the patient is unable to intake the required amount of oxygen to supply to the body and brain [50]. This may include patients who get sick and smoke, have atelectasis, or any respiratory issue. When a patient shows that they are having difficulty breathing, the medical professional typically recommends introducing a ventilator to aide in the breathing process. A gentle-vent may also be used during surgery when a patient undergoes anesthesia [36].

There is an alternative to the tube insert that allow for a non-invasive option, especially those that are vulnerable to the damage from this. This can also be impactful for those in low- and middle-income countries, where this was done through the use of a nasal mask and the non-invasive mask counterpart [51]. This non-invasive approach is made available through readily-available materials and can be easily accessed by many all over the world.

Reuse potential and adaptability

The gentle-vent is highly reusable. If the device is used on multiple patients, the pump and hose should be sanitized with ethylene oxide if made of silicone materials [52] or steam for fluoroplastics [53]. As 3-D printed components are very porous [54], they can be hard to sanitize fully, and therefore a new mouthpiece should be used for each patient unless printed in high-temperature plastics that can be sterilized with heat [55].

The gentle-vent design does not involve destructive use of the reclaimed components, and therefore many of them can again be repurposed after the constructed device is no longer needed. This is especially important when it comes to sustainability and usability of the make-up of the gentle-vent. As items are repurposed, the waste of the gentle-vent is reduced, which, in turn, reduces its environmental impact.

The design's simplistic implementation allows for a wide range of components to be utilized. The parametric hose converter allows for the adaption of any hose to any nozzle (Figure 3).

The most important constraints to select components around is electrical compatibility. The following terms must be met:

- The pump operation voltage must be less than or equal to the voltage of the power supply.
- The pump must draw less current (at the desired pressure) than the power supply's max capacity.
- The switching device must be able to withstand the supply voltage AND the max current.
- The switching device's gate (or coil) must be within the maximum output capability of the microcontroller.

Since microcontrollers current outputs are typically small, it is best to utilize a solid-state switching device, as they can be triggered with small voltages and currents [44].

(4) Build Details

Mechanical Construction

The mouthpiece can either be 3-D printed (Figure 2), or be improvised by cutting from a soda bottle (Figure 14).

Figure 14: A heavily improvised mouthpiece.

Makers should connect the mouthpiece to the output of the pump via an available tube. If the available tube does not fit on the mouthpiece or pump, the adaptor (Figure 3) can be customized in OpenSCAD and 3-D printed. Alternatively, Teflon tape can be wrapped around the exterior of a surface to mate the components.

Electrical Construction

Users should construct the electronics in the best possible form following the rules above. A maker should cut and strip the connector of the power supply and connect it to the power input of the electronics. Finally, the maker cuts and strips the connector of the pump and connects it to the power output of the electronics.

An example of a completed gentle-vent is shown in Figure 15.

Figure 15: A completed permutation of the gentle-vent system.

Availability of materials and methods

This design is specified to be executed using common readily-available materials. The hardware configurations explored in this paper are not the only components that can be used to implement this design. Components can be salvaged from existing devices, such as a power supply from a television, a pump from an air mattress, a hose from a vacuum cleaner, etc.

When considering global availability, the microcontroller and switching device are the least-available components. Almost any microcontroller development board can be used to control

the switch. Given moderate programming knowledge, the Arduino firmware can be converted over to work with other types of microcontrollers. Switches (specifically transistors) can be salvaged from old electronics [56]. The TIP120 is commonly used in many devices.

Ease of build

Once all components are procured, assembly is approximately 30 minutes. If the electronics are soldered together in a dead-bug style or on perforated board, the design will take an additional 30 minutes to assemble.

Programming the Arduino Nano takes minimal programming knowledge to modify parameters for whatever pump is used. Basic measurement skills are need for calibration.

Operating software and peripherals

The firmware is programmed around the Arduino Nano – which has limited functionality compared to the Arduino family of development boards [34]. Therefore, the firmware should be suitable to run on nearly anything that can be programmed by the Arduino IDE.

Dependencies

Besides for the reliance on the Arduino IDE (which is an open source tool [Arduino]) the project has no dependencies. The project is inspired and compatible with an existing design available on Github [40].

Hardware documentation and files location:

All design files are available on OSF [43] under the GNU GPL License.

(5) Discussion

Conclusions

Each gentle-vent permutation that was tested was able to accurately hold a desired setpoint for at least 2 hours. The most rudimentary implementation using found mechanical components and perf-board can cost as low as US\$17.32, and can potentially be less expensive with resourceful electronics scavenging. The proposed gentle-vent framework can be used in periods of scarcity when no other options are available.

Future Work

Future work includes implementing the design using controllers other than the Arduino, along with different pumps, hoses, switches and power supply combinations. In addition, the effectiveness of the device for aiding COVID-19 and other patients can be tested and documented.

Paper author contributions

Conceptualization, J.M.P.; methodology, S.O. and J.M.P.; validation, S.O.; formal analysis, S.O., N.G. and J.M.P.; investigation, S.O.; resources, J.M.P.; data curation, S.O.; writing—original draft preparation, S.O., N.G., and J.M.P.; writing—review and editing, S.O., N.G. and J.M.P.; visualization, S.O.; supervision, J.M.P.; funding acquisition, J.M.P. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to acknowledge helpful discussions with R. Taylor.

Funding statement

This study was funded by the Witte Endowment.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

References

1. World Health Organization, 2020. *Critical preparedness, readiness and response actions for COVID-19: interim guidance, 7 March 2020* (No. WHO/COVID-19/Community_Actions/2020.1). World Health Organization.
2. Robinson, L., Vaughn, F., Nelson, S., Giordano, S., Kallstrom, T., Buckley, T., Burney, T., Hupert, N., Mutter, R., Handrigan, M. and Yeskey, K., 2010. Mechanical ventilators in US acute care hospitals. *Disaster medicine and public health preparedness*, 4(3), pp.199-206.
3. Miller, J. Germany, Italy rush to buy life-saving ventilators as manufacturers warn of shortages. Reuters. 2020. <https://www.reuters.com/article/us-health-coronavirus-draegerwerk-ventil-idUSKBN210362>
4. Livingston E, Desai A, Berkwits M. Sourcing personal protective equipment during the COVID-19 pandemic. *JAMA*. 2020 Mar 28. doi:10.1001/jama.2020.5317

5. Ranney, M.L., Griffeth, V., Jha, A.K., 2020. Critical Supply Shortages — The Need for Ventilators and Personal Protective Equipment during the Covid-19 Pandemic. *New England Journal of Medicine*. <https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMp2006141>
6. WHO. Shortage of personal protective equipment endangering health workers worldwide [WWW Document], 2020. URL <https://www.who.int/news-room/detail/03-03-2020-shortage-of-personal-protective-equipment-endangering-health-workers-worldwide> (accessed 4.22.20).
7. Srai, J.S., Kumar, M., Graham, G., Phillips, W., Tooze, J., Ford, S., Beecher, P., Raj, B., Gregory, M., Tiwari, M.K. and Ravi, B., 2016. Distributed manufacturing: scope, challenges and opportunities. *International Journal of Production Research*, 54(23), pp.6917-6935.
8. Laplume, A., Anzalone, G.C. and Pearce, J.M., 2016. Open-source, self-replicating 3-D printer factory for small-business manufacturing. *The International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology*, 85(1-4), pp.633-642.
9. Stacey, M., 2014. The FAB LAB network: A global platform for digital invention, education and entrepreneurship. *Innovations: Technology, Governance, Globalization*, 9(1-2), pp.221-238.
10. Byard, D.J., Woern, A.L., Oakley, R.B., Fiedler, M.J., Snabes, S.L. and Pearce, J.M., 2019. Green fab lab applications of large-area waste polymer-based additive manufacturing. *Additive Manufacturing*, 27, pp.515-525.
11. DeVor, R.E., Kapoor, S.G., Cao, J. and Ehmann, K.F., 2012. Transforming the landscape of manufacturing: distributed manufacturing based on desktop manufacturing (DM) 2. *Journal of manufacturing science and engineering*, 134(4).
12. Wittbrodt, B.T., Glover, A.G., Laureto, J., Anzalone, G.C., Oppliger, D., Irwin, J.L. and Pearce, J.M., 2013. Life-cycle economic analysis of distributed manufacturing with open-source 3-D printers. *Mechatronics*, 23(6), pp.713-726.
13. Petersen, E.E. and Pearce, J., 2017. Emergence of home manufacturing in the developed world: Return on investment for open-source 3-D printers. *Technologies*, 5(1), p.7.
14. Gwamuri, J., Wittbrodt, B.T., Anzalone, N.C. and Pearce, J.M., 2014. Reversing the trend of large scale and centralization in manufacturing: The case of distributed manufacturing of customizable 3-D-printable self-adjustable glasses. *Challenges in Sustainability*, 2(1), pp.30-40.
15. Pearce, J. 2020. Distributed Manufacturing of Open-Source Medical Hardware for Pandemics. *Journal of Manufacturing and Materials Processing*, 4(2), p.49.
16. Nguyen, J.; Kesper, K.; Kräling, G.; Birk, C.; Mross, P.; Hofeditz, N.; Höchst, J.; Lampe, P.; Penning, A.; Leutenecker-Twelsiek, B.; Schindler, C.; Buchenauer, H.; Geisel, D.; Sommer, C.; Henning, R.; Wallot, P.; Wiesmann, T.; Beutel, B.; Schneider, G.; Castro-Camus, E.; Koch, M. *Can CPAP Machines Be Repurposed to Solve the Ventilator Shortage?*; preprint. 2020. <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-83143/v1>.
17. General Motors. 2020. First General Motors-Ventec Critical Care V+Pro Ventilators Ready for Delivery <https://media.gm.com/media/us/en/gm/home.detail.html/content/Pages/news/us/en/2020/apr/0414-coronavirus-update-12-kokomo.html>
18. Ford to Produce 50,000 Ventilators in Michigan in Next 100 Days; Partnering with GE Healthcare Will Help Coronavirus Patients. 2020. *Ford Media Center*. <https://media.ford.com/content/fordmedia/fna/us/en/news/2020/03/30/ford-to-produce-50-000-ventilators-in-michigan-in-next-100-days.html>
19. Baldwin, R. 2020. Tesla's Ventilator Design Has a Long Road before Helping Patients. *Hearst Autos, Inc.* <https://www.caranddriver.com/news/a32071189/tesla-ventilator-design-coronavirus-details/>

20. Pearce, J.M., 2020. A review of open source ventilators for COVID-19 and future pandemics. *F1000Res* 9, 218. <https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.22942.2>
21. COVID-19 Ventilator Projects and Resources with FAQs, 2020. Public Invention. <https://github.com/PubInv/covid19-vent-list>
22. Petsiuk, A., Tanikella, N.G., Dertinger, S., Pringle, A., Oberloier, S., Pearce, J., 2020. RepRapable Automated Open Source Bag Valve Mask-Based Ventilator. *HardwareX* 8, e00131 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ohx.2020.e00131>
23. Garmendia, O., Rodríguez-Lazaro, M.A., Otero, J., Phan, P., Stoyanova, A., Dinh-Xuan, A.T., Gozal, D., Navajas, D., Montserrat, J.M. and Farré, R., 2020. Low-cost, easy-to-build noninvasive pressure support ventilator for under-resourced regions: open source hardware description, performance and feasibility testing. *European Respiratory Journal*, 55(6). <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC7173672/>
24. Zuckerberg, J., Shaik, M., Widmeier, K., Kilbaugh, T. and Nelin, T.D., 2020. A lung for all: Novel mechanical ventilator for emergency and low-resource settings. *Life Sciences*, 257, p.118113.
25. Faryami, A. and Harris, C.A., 2020. Open-source 3D printed Ventilation Device. *bioRxiv*.
26. LaChance, J., Zajdel, T.J., Schottdorf, M., Saunders, J., Dvali, S., Seirup, L., Marshall, C., Notterman, D.A. and Cohen, D.J., 2020. PVP1--The People's Ventilator Project: A fully open, low-cost, pressure-controlled ventilator. *medRxiv*.
27. Christou, A., Ntagios, M., Hart, A. and Dahiya, R., 2020. GlasVent—The Rapidly Deployable Emergency Ventilator. *Global Challenges*, p.2000046.
28. Moore, K., 2020. How COVID-19 Supply Chain Issues Are Impacting Retailers With Products Made In The US [WWW Document]. Forbes. URL <https://www.forbes.com/sites/kaleighmoore/2020/04/30/how-covid-19-supply-chain-issues-are-impacting-retailers-with-products-made-in-the-us/> (accessed 7.8.20).
29. Shokrani A, Loukaides EG, Elias E, Lunt AJ. Exploration of alternative supply chains and distributed manufacturing in response to COVID-19; a case study of medical face shields. *Materials & Design*. 2020:108749.
30. Hubbard, B. R., Pearce, J. M. (2020). Conversion of self-contained breathing apparatus mask to open source powered air-purifying particulate respirator for fire fighter COVID-19 response. *HardwareX*, 8, e00129.
31. Gattinoni, L., Coppola, S., Cressoni, M., Busana, M., Rossi, S., Chiumello, D., 2020. COVID-19 Does Not Lead to a “Typical” Acute Respiratory Distress Syndrome. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 201, 1299–1300. <https://doi.org/10.1164/rccm.202003-0817LE>
32. Do COVID-19 Vent Protocols Need a Second Look? [WWW Document], 2020. Medscape. URL <http://www.medscape.com/viewarticle/928156> (accessed 7.8.20).
33. Is Protocol-Driven COVID-19 Ventilation Doing More Harm Than Good? [WWW Document], 2020. Medscape. URL <http://www.medscape.com/viewarticle/928236> (accessed 7.8.20).
34. Arduino - ArduinoNano [WWW Document], 2020. URL <https://www.arduino.cc/en/Guide/ArduinoNano> (accessed 1.23.20).
35. Pérez-Peña, Richard. “Why Some Hospitals Lack the Oxygen to Keep Patients Alive.” *The New York Times*, May 4, 2021, sec. World. <https://www.nytimes.com/2021/05/04/world/oxygen-shortage-covid.html>.
36. NIH. Ventilator/Ventilator Support <https://www.nhlbi.nih.gov/health-topics/ventilatorventilator-support>.

37. Oberloier, S. and Pearce, J.M., 2018. General design procedure for free and open-source hardware for scientific equipment. *Designs*, 2(1), p.2.
38. <https://www.oshwa.org/definition/>
39. Salmi, M., Akmal, J.S., Pei, E., Wolff, J., Jaribion, A. and Khajavi, S.H., 2020. 3D Printing in COVID-19: Productivity Estimation of the Most Promising Open Source Solutions in Emergency Situations. *Applied Sciences*, 10(11), p.4004.
40. Lee, J., 2020. Low-Cost Open-Source Ventilator-ish Device or PAPR. <https://github.com/jcl5m1/ventilator>
41. Creality3DPrinting / Ender-3 <https://github.com/Creality3DPrinting/Ender-3>
42. ultimaker.com. "Ultimaker Cura: Powerful, Easy-to-Use 3D Printing Software." Accessed June 8, 2021. <https://ultimaker.com/software/ultimaker-cura>.
43. Pearce, Joshua M, Shane Oberloier, and Nicki Gallup. 2021. "OS Gentle Ventilation." OSF. June 3. <https://osf.io/ug4z2/>
44. Scherz, P., Monk, S., 2016. Practical electronics for inventors, Fourth Edition. ed. McGraw-Hill Education, New York.
45. Oberloier, Shane, and Joshua M. Pearce. "Belt-Driven Open Source Circuit Mill Using Low-Cost 3-D Printer Components." *Inventions* 3, no. 3 (September 2018): 64. <https://doi.org/10.3390/inventions3030064>.
46. Williams, Elizabeth C., Gabriel C. Motta-Ribeiro, and Marcos F. Vidal Melo. "Driving Pressure and Transpulmonary Pressure: How Do We Guide Safe Mechanical Ventilation?" *Anesthesiology* 131(1) (2019): 155–63. <https://doi.org/10.1097/ALN.0000000000002731>.
47. Williams, Lesley M., and Sandeep Sharma. "Ventilator Safety." In *StatPearls*. Treasure Island (FL): StatPearls Publishing, 2021. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK526044/>.
48. Read, Robert L., Lauria Clarke, and Geoff Mulligan. "VentMon: An Open Source Inline Ventilator Tester and Monitor." *HardwareX* 9 (April 1, 2021): e00195. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ohx.2021.e00195>.
49. "Amazon.Com: PVC Adult Tool Bag Resuscitator Bag for First Aid Training, CPR Manual Tool Kit Artificial Device: Health & Personal Care." Accessed June 8, 2021. <https://www.amazon.com/Resuscitator-Training-Manual-Artificial-Device/dp/B0885ZT812/>
50. Gelles, K.; Petras, G. 2020. How ventilators work and why COVID-19 patients need them to survive coronavirus. *USA Today*. <https://www.usatoday.com/in-depth/news/2020/04/10/coronavirus-ventilator-how-works-why-covid-19-patients-need/2942996001/>
51. Garmendia, O.; Rodríguez-Lazaro, M. A.; Otero, J.; Phan, P.; Stoyanova, A.; Dinh-Xuan, A. T.; Gozal, D.; Navajas, D.; Montserrat, J. M.; Farré, R. 2020. *Eur Respir J*, 55. Low-Cost, Easy-to-Build Noninvasive Pressure Support Ventilator for under-Resourced Regions: Open Source Hardware Description, Performance and Feasibility Testing. (6). <https://doi.org/10.1183/13993003.00846-2020>.
52. Mendes, G. C. C.; Brandão, T. R. S.; Silva, C. L. M. 2009. Ethylene Oxide Sterilization of Medical Devices: A Review. *American Journal of Infection Control* 35 (9), 574–581. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajic.2006.10.014>

53. Knutsson, J.; Priwin, C.; Hessén-Söderman, A.-C.; Rosenblad, A.; von Unge, M. A Randomized Study of Four Different Types of Tympanostomy Ventilation Tubes – Full-Term Follow-Up. *International Journal of Pediatric Otorhinolaryngology* 2018, 107, 140–144. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijporl.2018.02.012>
54. Wang, X.; Zhao, L.; Fuh, J. Y. H.; Lee, H. P. 2019. Effect of Porosity on Mechanical Properties of 3D Printed Polymers: Experiments and Micromechanical Modeling Based on X-Ray Computed Tomography Analysis. *Polymers*, 11 (7). <https://doi.org/10.3390/polym11071154>
55. Skrzypczak, N. G., Tanikella, N. G., Pearce, J. M. (2020). Open source high-temperature RepRap for 3-D printing heat-sterilizable PPE and other applications. *HardwareX*, 8, e00130.
56. How to Salvage Useful Components from Old Electronics - Electronic Products [WWW Document], 2021 URL [https://www.electronicproducts.com/Electromechanical_Components/Motors and Contr_ollers/How to Salvage Useful Components from Old Electronics.aspx#](https://www.electronicproducts.com/Electromechanical_Components/Motors_and_Contr_ollers/How_to_Salvage_Useful_Components_from_Old_Electronics.aspx#) (accessed 7.8.20).