

UiO Department of Political Science University of Oslo

Håvard Strand, Maria Seippel Bineau, Jonas Nordkvelle & Nils Petter Gleditsch

Posting your data: From obscurity to fame?



Arguments for replication policies

- 1. Efficiency
 Sharing is more efficient than recollection
- 2. Incremental research
 Building on others is a form of quality control
- 3. Signal effect
 Replication signals confidence in results

Arguments against replication

- Scooping
 - Young researchers spend much time collecting data
 - Replication pressure -> release of data
 - Other researchers pick up the data and write numerous articles that the Young researcher otherwise could have written.
 - These others release their replication dataset and receive all the citations
- Older, famous researchers release their replication datasets consisting of third party data assembled at little cost
 - Receives boost due to replication policy

Our argument

- We expect an independent effect of replication data
 - If the replication effect is merely a trend, then we should expect a trend variable to outperform the replication dummy
- The signal effect should mainly affect those without a reputation
 - The interaction between reputation and replication should favour the least reputable.

Research design

- 430 items from Journal of Peace Research,
 - Citation counts from 2002, 2013 and 2016
 - Cit2016
 - Diff 2016-2013
 - Number of item-related variables:
 - Field, North American, Gender, coauthored, lenght
 - Type of analysis:
 - Verbal argument, Illustrative figures, game theory, uni- or bivariate analyses, multivariate analyses, Special Data Feature
 - Fame:
 - The number of citations to each author at the time of publication

Model

- Unconditional fixed effects negative binomial regression model
 - Issue fixed effects through dummy variables
 - Seemingly robust to some alternative specifications
 - HC1 standard errors
 - Bootstrapping

UiO Department of Political Science University of Oslo

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
Theoretical figures	Cited 0.746***	Cited 0.720***	Cited 0.766***	diff 0.743**	diff 0.716**	diff 0.755**
Univariate/bivariate analysis	(3.71) 0.393	(3.57) 0.425*	(3.76) 0.400	(2.73) 0.401	(2.64) 0.400	(2.75) 0.441
Game matrices	(1.90) 0.298 (1.30)	(2.09) 0.263 (1.17)	(1.95) 0.273 (1.22)	(1.54) 0.421 (1.38)	(1.57) 0.349 (1.17)	(1.65) 0.422 (1.41)
Multivariate analysis	0.534***	0.524***	0.667***	0.253	0.242	0.490*
Data feature	(3.41) 1.606*** (3.62)	(3.37)	(4.63) 1.848*** (4.62)	(1.18) 0.606 (1.17)	(1.13)	(2.50) 1.014 [*] (2.20)
1-26 prior cit.	0.310* (2.33)	0.349** (2.68)	0.282	0.245 (1.32)	0.300 (1.63)	0.113 (0.55)
More than 27 prior cit.	0.969***	1.098***	0.906***	0.808***	0.963***	0.620*
Replication data	(5.21) 0.805 *	(5.87) 0.863 *	(4.67)	(3.38) 1.222 *	(4.02) 1.273 **	(2.49)
1-26 prior cit. X Rep. Data	(2.26) -0.449	(2.37) -0.491		(2.55) -0.421	(2.61) -0.510	
27+ prior cit. X Rep. Data	(-1.12) -0.595	(-1.19) -0.708		(-0.77) -0.988*	(-0.91) -1.082*	
Replication Policy	(-1.61)	(-1.91)	0.538	(-2.06)	(-2.25)	0.297
1-26 prior cit. X Rep. Pol.			(1.08) -0.0613			(0.54) 0.330
27+ prior cit. X Rep. Pol.			(-0.21) -0.0882			(0.84) 0.0930
N 09.03.2017	430	419	(-0.28) 430	430	419	(0.25) 430