This paper bears a CC-BY-NC-ND license. The final, publisher's version in the Journal of Environmental Management may be found at <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2018.03.034>.

Ecosystem-based management of coastal zones in face of climate change impacts: challenges and inequalities

Gerson Fernandino^{a*}; Carla I. Elliff^a; Iracema R. Silva^b

^a Graduate Program in Geology, Núcleo de Estudos Hidrogeológicos e do Meio Ambiente – NEHMA. Instituto de Geociências. Universidade Federal da Bahia. Rua Barão de Geremoabo, s/n, Campus Federação, CEP 40170-290, Salvador, Bahia, Brazil.

^b Department of Oceanography, Núcleo de Estudos Hidrogeológicos e do Meio Ambiente – NEHMA. Instituto de Geociências. Universidade Federal da Bahia, Rua Barão de Geremoabo, s/n, Campus Federação, CEP 40170-290, Salvador, Bahia, Brazil.

*Corresponding author: gerson.fernandino@yahoo.com.br

Highlights

- Climate change effects have not been equally addressed in the literature.
- Alternative energy sources may be threatened by climate change.
- EBM strategies have mostly concentrated on improving ecosystem services.
- Most decision-makers have difficulties in proposing adaptation strategies.

Abstract

Climate change effects have the potential of affecting both ocean and atmospheric processes. These changes pose serious threats to the millions of people that live by the coast. Thus, the objective of the present review is to discuss how climate change is altering (and will continue to alter) atmospheric and oceanic processes, what are the main implications of these alterations along the coastline, and which are the ecosystem-based management (EBM) strategies

that have been proposed and applied to address these issues. While ocean warming, ocean acidification and increasing sea level have been more extensively studied, investigations on the effects of climate change to wind and wave climates are less frequent. Coastal ecosystems and their respective natural resources will respond differently according to location, environmental drivers and coastal processes. EBM strategies have mostly concentrated on improving ecosystem services, which can be used to assist in mitigating climate change effects. The main challenge for developing nations regards gaps in information and scarcity of resources. Thus, for effective management and adaptive EBM strategies to be developed worldwide, information at a local level is greatly needed.

Keywords: climate change; coastal zone; ecosystem-based management.

1. Introduction

The 21st century has so far demonstrated a clear trend of increase in both atmospheric and ocean temperature (Semedo et al. 2013). Greenhouse gas emissions have reached unprecedented atmospheric concentrations due to human activities, as shown in the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC AR5). Increases in carbon dioxide (CO₂), methane (CH₄) and nitrous oxide (N₂O) combined to other human-originated factors have been labeled as extremely probable causes for the global warming phenomenon observed since the mid-20th century (IPCC 2014).

The upper 75 m of the global ocean has warmed 0.11 °C per decade over the period between 1971 and 2010 (IPCC 2013). IPCC projections also indicate that oceans will continue to warm until the end of the century, affecting the deep ocean and altering ocean circulation. Moreover, human alterations in atmospheric composition can lead to a series of impacts, such as the heat waves and floods recently experienced in Europe (2003), Pakistan and Russia (2010), and Texas (2011) (Mann et al. 2017).

Since the 1990s, studies such as that by Nicholls and Leatherman (1995) have indicated and alerted to possible impacts caused by climate change to the

natural resources of coastal zones. These findings have led to great concern regarding public policies and coping strategies, particularly considering that, as the aforementioned authors also reported, developing countries present incomplete databases on the various aspects of their coastal zones. More than 20 years later, this lack of long-term temporal and spatial data series remains an issue in these countries (i.e. Almeida et al. 2015; Blankespoor et al. 2017), implicating in their ability to understand, predict, evaluate and, therefore, either mitigate or adapt to future conditions. Thus, the decision-makers of the majority of all coastal zones across the globe present inherent difficulties to assess climate change impacts over the coastline and propose adequate management and adaptation strategies. This situation was also observed by Bergillos et al. (2018) regarding the efficiency of nourishment strategies in eroding deltas.

Martínez et al. (2007) identified that 84% of all countries in the world have coastlines, with a large percentage of their population (80-100%) living within 100 km of the shoreline. More recently, Neumann et al. (2015) projected coastal population growth scenarios for 2030 and 2060 and observed a global growth in the population living in low-elevation coastal zones, especially in Asia. Thus, a scenario of rising mean sea level, for example, would imply in a future reallocation of millions of people.

In addition to population growth, population migration is also an important factor contributing towards an increasing coastal population (Martínez et al. 2007). Hugo (2011) shows that human migration may be a reflection of climate change effects. Moreover, during the past few years, civil conflicts and poverty have caused an intense migration of thousands of people from African and Middle Eastern countries towards Mediterranean European countries. Between January 2014 and June 2015 alone, 356,000 refugees and migrants arrived in Europe by sea (Cogolati et al. 2015). This massive short-term population increase may represent an additional pressure to the coastal zones of the countries where immigrants and refugees are settling in. Moreover, refugee settlements have been shown to cause a series of impacts, such as surface and subsurface water depletion and pollution, degradation of agricultural lands, deforestation, etc. (Hoerz 1995). Thus, effective management of natural resources has become an increasingly pressing matter for countries in this situation.

At the beginning of the 21st century, more than 100 million people were reported to be living in places located merely 1 m above current mean sea level (Douglas and Peltier 2002). This position would definitely leave these people vulnerable to coastal flooding and to loss of property in ongoing and future climate change scenarios. Inundations are particularly concerning for low lying island countries, which face the risk of disappearing (Albert et al. 2016). Moreover, 13% of the world's population is estimated to live in coastal areas at risk and 75% of this population is located in Asia, in areas between 0-10 m above sea level (Smith 2011). On the other hand, a greater population density could attract more easily financial resources to be used towards management strategies, particularly within an ecosystem-based framework.

The full range of impacts that climate change can impose to coastlines around the world are not fully understood, let alone addressed in coastal management strategies. Thus, the present review has the objective of discussing how climate change is altering (and will continue to alter) atmospheric and oceanic processes, what are the main implications of these alterations along the coastline, and which are the ecosystem-based management (EBM) strategies that have been proposed and applied to address these issues in different contexts.

2. Global climate change effects

2.1. Wind climate

While some consequences of a changing climate have been more deeply explored, such as global warming, less attention has been given to the impact of climate change on wind patterns, for example, despite the great potential for geophysical and societal negative effects (McInnes et al. 2011).

Wind climate is expected to experience either intensification or weakening over various systems in future scenarios. Sydeman et al. (2014) evaluated patterns observed from reanalysis models and identified wind intensification over most latitudes of the Benguela and California systems, as well as for the southern portion of the Humboldt system. However, these same authors observed a

weakening in wind intensity in most latitudes of the Iberian system and in the southern half of the Canary system. McInnes et al. (2011) and Gallagher et al. (2016) also observed decreases in wind speeds at 10 m of altitude among most of the models investigated for equatorial ocean regions, and for the North Atlantic, respectively. However, Pryor and Barthelmie (2010) observed that mean wind speeds are unlikely to change more than the current inter-annual variability rate over the majority of Europe and North America during the present century. More recently, Davy et al. (2018) observed a decrease in wind intensity over Europe and an increase over North Africa and Barents Sea, and no negative impact of climate change on wind resources in the Black Sea region. On the other hand, regarding South America, Pryor and Barthelmie (2010) identified that variations may be greater than other regions of the world, but these data were considered uncertain.

Significant variations in wind intensity would imply in changes to the wave climate that is generated by these systems. Wind-generated waves are formed from the transference of momentum from the atmosphere to the ocean surface. Once they are generated, these waves are responsible for the distribution of heat and momentum between these two compartments (Semedo et al. 2009; Dobrynin et al. 2015). Thus, these altered wind-generated waves could propagate across ocean basins and lead to changes in the regional wave climate of places far away from the origin of this alteration.

Nevertheless, wind intensity is not the only wind parameter that can change in future climate scenarios. As described by McInnes et al. (2011), in the case of an intensification of a specific wind directional component (i.e. north component in the Northern Hemisphere), the angle of incidence of this wind system will vary (i.e. clockwise rotation, following the Coriolis Effect). Moreover, these authors also state that changes in the direction of winds are particularly concerning in coastal regions where wind influences hydrodynamic agents. Thus, meteorological tides, waves and the occurrence and intensity of storm surges, for example, can be subjected to changes under these conditions.

Regarding the frequency of extreme events, Mann et al. (2017) stated that weather extremes tend to increase due to climate change. On the other hand,

Gallagher et al. (2016), by analyzing wave climate projections for Ireland, found results that indicated that neither intensity nor frequency of wind storms should occur by the end of the current century.

2.2. Wave climate

As exposed in the previous section, changes to wave climate are expected as a consequence to alterations in wind fields. The effects of climate change upon wind climate can lead to alterations in the distribution (direction and intensity) of winds, thus producing new wind-generated wave patterns. Gallagher et al. (2016), for example, modeled wave projections showing overall annual and seasonal decreases. Moreover, as Dobrynin et al. (2015) discuss, there is a cyclic pattern across the globe in which waves are able to modify general wind patterns that, in turn, control the formation and characteristics of waves, in a feedback relationship.

Global oceanographic changes can lead to different responses at regional and local levels. Most projections of wave climate in the literature represent regional scales with several limitations, which make comparisons difficult. However, Hemer et al. (2013) justify that current understanding of the projected changes to wave climate is limited due to the reliance upon other climatological factors such as temperature, rainfall and mean sea level. Moreover, natural variability of the wave climate system should also be taken into consideration. Longshore drift, for example, is a coastal process that would be directly affected in altered wave climate conditions, since it is sensitive to seasonal changes in wave direction due to variations in wind direction (McInnes et al. 2011).

At a regional level, wave climate trends present signals from local patterns, as well as signals from swells that are generated remotely (Dobrynin et al. 2015). These signals are an indication that swell waves strongly influence global wave climate. For example, Hemer et al. (2013) demonstrated that waves generated in the Southern Ocean propagate northwards as a swell, influencing the wave climate of distant locations by increasing mean peak period in over 30%. Increases in wave peak period were also projected for the Southern Ocean,

Eastern Pacific and Indian Ocean, and decreases for the North Atlantic Ocean and part of the Western Pacific Ocean (Camus et al. 2017). These conditions could change under a future scenario.

In fact, not only peak periods could change under the expected future scenarios, but also wave heights and wave directions. Camus et al. (2017) projected increases in significant wave height for the Southern Ocean and Eastern Pacific, and decreases for the North Atlantic Ocean, western North Pacific basin, Indian Ocean and Southern Hemisphere mid-latitudes. In fact, Hemer et al. (2013) projected a decrease in the angle of wave incidence particularly for the northern portions of the extratropical storm belts (western regions) of the Southern and Northern Pacific and Atlantic oceans. The same authors also identified that in the southern-equatorial region there will be an increase in the incidence angle of waves that originate from the south, while in the northern-equatorial region, this increase was associated with waves coming from the east.

However, while an increase in storm surge intensity due to wave climate alterations is a worldwide concern, daily wave climate alterations should also be analyzed. Mori et al. (2010) found that regions located at middle latitudes are expected to present lower mean wave height, while areas located at either high latitudes or near the equator will experience an increase in mean wave height.

Finally, wave climate is not altered exclusively by changes in wind patterns. Ice cover expansion and contraction movements in Polar Regions also influence wave climate. However, although the processes are not yet fully understood and not usually incorporated into numerical models, ice melting is associated with wave generation, while marine ice formation prevents this from occurring (Dobrynin et al. 2015).

2.3. Ocean warming and ocean acidification

The effects of a warmer planet have already been observed to affect marine ecosystems, such as coral reefs. There have been three major events of mass coral bleaching (1998, 2002 and 2016) recorded so far. As shown by

Hughes et al. (2017), the bleaching event of 2016 was more extensive and more severe, with over 60% of corals from the Great Barrier Reef having experienced extreme bleaching.

In addition to increasing global temperature, higher concentrations of atmospheric CO₂ are being absorbed by oceans and causing a decrease in ocean pH due to the production of carbonic acid. This process is known as ocean acidification. Due to its similar triggering parameter as global warming (increased CO₂), ocean acidification has been referred to as the “other CO₂ problem” (Doney et al. 2009). Nevertheless, the impacts of this alteration to the biogeochemistry of the oceans should not be overlooked.

One of the most concerning aspects of a more acidic ocean regards the reduction in the saturation state of aragonite. As discussed by Spalding and Brown (2015), with a decline in this parameter, coral reef skeletons will become more fragile, prone to erosion and will present lower growth rates. Other marine organisms that rely on biomineralization processes are also threatened by this situation, such as commercially important fish species during planktonic larvae phases (Guinotte and Fabry, 2008; Doney et al. 2009).

Despite clear geological records of coral reefs thriving during periods of higher CO₂ concentrations, the increases observed in the past occurred at a much slower pace than the changes that are currently underway (Pandolfi et al. 2011). Thus, the full scope of the effects that current ocean warming and ocean acidification will impose to marine ecosystems is unprecedented.

2.4. Mean sea level

Mean sea level is considered an important indicator for climate change, since it reflects changes in various parts of the climatic system (Slangen et al. 2014). Signs of sea-level rise can already be observed in several regions of the planet (IPCC 2013), leading to a series of losses to coastal biota and human population. The relocation of entire coastal communities who live in low-lying areas has already been reported as a consequence of sea-level rise (Albert et al. 2016). However, it is important to note that sea-level rise rates projected at a

regional level present significant variations from those calculated at a global scale (Rhein et al. 2013; Carson et al. 2016).

The different rates of sea-level variation due to loss of ice mass from icecaps and the Poles are associated with gravitational, rotational and deformational effects (Slangen et al. 2014). This was made clear in the study by Carson et al. (2016), in which the authors demonstrated that negative gravitational variations near places where ice is melting from icecaps and glaciers (i.e. Western Antarctica and Greenland) have led to a fall in sea level, while more distant regions have experienced a rise in sea level, which was mostly attributed to thermal expansion.

Different projections, considering distinct scenarios of carbon emission, present increase rates of different magnitudes. However, as presented by the IPCC (2013), there is a generalized global trend of increase in mean sea level. As shown in the IPCC AR5 report, the level of the global ocean can reach 0.73 m above current sea level by 2100 in the worst-case scenario of carbon emissions (RCP8.5), in which the mean temperature of the planet would rise 3.7 °C. In the best, though unrealistic, scenario (RCP2.6), in which carbon emissions would be virtually absent – and can no longer be achieved – predictions are of a mean sea-level increase of 0.24 m, with an increase of 1 °C in atmospheric temperature.

Under each of these scenarios, coastal zones across the globe will respond differently according to their individual characteristics. Carson et al. (2016) identified that mean sea level variations at a regional scale in coastal zones for scenario RCP4.5 (moderate mitigation of CO₂ emission) are estimated at 42.4 cm (global mean = 53.5 cm), while the mean sea level increase in coastal zones for scenario RCP8.5 (no mitigation for CO₂ emission) would be 57.8 cm (global mean = 71 cm). Therefore, values of mean sea level variations are lower for coastal zones while the highest values of mean sea level variation are found in offshore locations. However, as also stated by Carson et al. (2016), small oceanic islands, such as those in the Center Pacific region, are subjected to higher projections. Albert et al. (2016) reported that during the past 70 years, five Pacific islands have vanished due to sea-level rise and other six islands are

experiencing severe coastal erosion. According to these authors, island disappearance was the result of the combined effect of sea-level rise, tectonic subsidence, and wave incidence, reinforcing the combined and synergic effect of these variables.

Slangen et al. (2014) demonstrated that the human drive is the dominant factor to explain the magnitude of sea level variations, while most of the variability in the models is caused by natural forcings. In addition to altering the concentration of atmospheric CO₂, mankind can also increase vulnerability to sea-level rise locally through human activities, such as causing the subsidence of earth due to the removal of groundwater reserves (Nicholls and Leatherman 1995). Moreover, the inappropriate development of coastal communities and the construction of coastal engineering structures, such as rip-raps and seawalls, associated with the incidence of extreme events increase vulnerability to shoreline changes (Albert et al. 2016).

Sea level can also be influenced locally by waves. As described by Semedo et al. (2013), wave run-up has an important role in coastal zones since it significantly contributes towards increases in sea level during extreme events and subsequent coastal floods. Moreover, in rising sea-level scenarios, wave run-up is expected to increase and bring important implications to coastal protection designs (Arns et al., 2017).

3. Implications to the coastline

3.1. Coastal ecosystems

The response of each type of coastal ecosystem to climate change will vary according to several aspects, such as type of coastline, environmental drivers, and dominant processes (Ashton et al. 2011). For example, if the area is experiencing a mean sea-level rise or fall, if the ecosystem at hand is relatively sensitive to a warming climate or to a more acidic ocean, if there are other human pressures, etc. Figure 1 shows various climate change implications to the coastline and the connections between natural and human compartments.

Figure 1: Climate change implications to the coastline and connections between natural and human compartments.

The evolution of coastlines is intrinsically associated with sea-level variations. Thus, there is an important relationship between sea-level rise and coastal erosion: a rise in mean sea level allows more energetic waves to reach the inner continental portions of the beach and redistribute sediment offshore. Even a small increase in mean sea level is able to cause a significant retreat of a beach, which can occur at different time scales. As explained by Leatherman et al. (2000), in a matter of days or even hours, extreme episodic events can cause a transient impact on the position of the shoreline, which intensifies erosion of sandy beaches.

More than 70% of all sandy beaches are currently under erosional processes (Mori et al. 2010). With the expected intensification of storm surges and wave run-up, projected for some locations, beach erosion is likely to increase. Urban beaches are particularly vulnerable, since human constructions have decreased natural wave buffering zones in much of these areas and interfere in longshore sediment transit.

This process of loss of intertidal habitats that are positioned between increasing human pressure landward and rising water level seaward is known as

coastal squeeze (Pontee 2013). Defeo et al. (2009) indicated that this process is in fact the most relevant threat faced by sandy beaches, with no realistic management scenario being able to improve this condition in the short term. In addition to beaches, several other coastal ecosystems are susceptible to coastal squeeze, such as mangroves and deltas. These humid areas present a history of human pressures, with large areas lost to both human and natural changes.

In turn, Woodroffe et al. (2016) affirm that mangrove forest resilience to sea-level rise depends on sediment supply (accretion) and the rate of sea-level variation, with lower resilience observed where human pressure is higher. According to these authors, although paleoenvironmental records indicate the ability of mangroves to adjust to sea-level changes, the idea that mangrove forests can keep up with sea-level rise is misleading, as they are likely to be replaced by terrestrial ecosystems in some locations. Osland et al. (2016) indicated that the replacement of foundation plant species could affect general ecosystem resilience and consequently ecosystem services. Blankespoor et al. (2017) showed that, in addition to loss of habitat, mangrove losses under sea-level rise and more intense storm surge scenarios would represent considerable economic loss to coastal populations in Asia. However, tidal marshes may expand as sea level rises. Raabe and Stumpf (2016), studying the Gulf Coast of Florida, observed a direct loss in salt marsh area of approximately 40 km², but a gain in over 80 km² as forests were converted into marsh areas over the past 120 years. This result indicates that habitat change due to sea-level rise is not restricted to habitat loss. Some habitats may thrive under new environmental conditions.

In turn, deltas are generally highly vulnerable to sea level rise, but in places where there is a large amount of available sediment, this material can elevate land levels through deposition and counteract the adverse effect of sea level rise (Nicholls et al. 1995). On the other hand, the construction of dams and other human actions can lead to sediment deficit, delta erosion and other important changes to the deltaic environment and morphology (Day et al. 2009; Syvitski et al. 2009; Anthony et al. 2014; Bergillos et al. 2016a). One example of a highly vulnerable delta is the Mekong Delta, in Vietnam, which is threatened by fast sea-level rise associated with local problems such as sediment trapping by river

dams, groundwater extraction and accelerated coastal population growth (Allison et al. 2017). Other deltas across the globe are threatened by similar human factors and experience mean relative sea-level rise rates of 6.8 mm/year (Tessler et al. 2017). In addition to sea-level rise, deltas have also been shown to be vulnerable to changes in wave direction. The study conducted by Bergillos et al. (2016b) showed that changes in wave direction, and consequently in longshore drift, combined with river damming played an important role in increasing the vulnerability of a Spanish delta.

Rocky shores, on the other hand, present greater stability and are less likely to suffer a significant impact from sea level rise, particularly if they are steep. Moreover, Trenhaile (2011) indicated that an increase in the frequency of storm events will have a minor effect on the erosional efficacy of cliffs.

Coastlines with cliffs usually promote natural protection to adjacent coastal environments against floods and erosion. The response of rocky coasts against sea level change varies according to lithology (IPCC 2013). There can be several types of feedback to sea level rise (instant, no feedback, negative, inverse) depending on the characteristics of the cliff and the environment (Ashton et al. 2011). A cliff environment with an instant response system is likely to be covered by or consist of sediment that is easily removable and conformable, assuming Bruun-type rules. In a no feedback relationship, there is a constant erosion zone that moves up the cliff profile as sea level rises. In turn, if the sea level remains constant and the erosion rate slows down over time, this would represent a negative feedback system. Finally, the inverse feedback system comprises cliffs where, despite sea-level rise increase, erosion rates decrease.

Nicholls et al. (1995) describe that, generally, the smaller the height of the cliff, the shorter the response time regarding sea level rise. The authors also state that for cliffs composed of muddy substrates, the main mechanism for loss of land is flooding, as opposed to erosion, which most times can be disregarded. However, recession rates of soft-rock coasts depend on the relative change in sea level rise. Ashton et al. (2011) observed that a cliffed coast would directly respond to both total elevation change and rate of sea-level rise. Trenhaile (2011)

using a mathematical model, found that sea level rise will trigger faster rates of cliff recession.

Coral reefs are particularly sensitive to climate change effects. Spalding and Brown (2015) emphasize the negative impacts of a warmer and more acidic ocean to these ecosystems. Moreover, as defined by Neumann and MacIntyre (1985), in scenarios of sea level rise, a reef has three options of natural evolution: become a keep-up, catch-up or a give-up reef. Although some reefs may naturally not be able to keep up with a rising mean sea level, healthy and resilient reefs are more likely to thrive and even inhabit new substrates that become flooded (Elliff and Silva 2017). Moreover, Hughes et al. (2017) also emphasize the importance of recovering reefs that are subjected to recurrent bleaching events through local management actions in marine parks and guaranteeing water quality.

3.2. Natural resources and ecosystem services

Society's reliance on natural resources and ecosystem services is a central point in the discussion of the effects of climate change on coastal environments. Since the classic study conducted by Costanza et al. (1997), the economic value of natural capital and ecosystem services has gained the spotlight in environmental assessments, raising important questions as to how changes to ecosystems could affect human populations from an economic and social perspective.

The effects of climate change encompass several sectors, such as food security, recreation opportunities, energy and coastal development. Moreover, chronic human pressures such as overfishing and pollution interact synergistically and cumulatively with climate change in ways that are still not fully understood (Hernández-Delgado 2015).

Ruckelshaus et al. (2015) emphasize the challenges imposed by climate change to food security regarding both fisheries and aquaculture. Ocean warming and acidification, for example, threaten current food webs and, consequently, the

liveliness of communities that depend on the ocean for a source of protein (Doney et al. 2009).

Tourism represents one of the most highly valued ecosystem services of coastal regions (Principe et al. 2012). The main climate change threats to the delivery of this service are those that affect primarily sandy beaches and coral reefs, two of the most relevant ecosystems for this service. Beaches are an important component for the tourism industry, with significant contribution to the economy (Alexandrakis et al., 2015). According to Defeo et al. (2009), recreational seashore activities are mostly concentrated on sandy beaches, promoting various human stressors on these already impacted ecosystems. As previously mentioned, coastal erosion is expected to increase in several beaches, as are the intensity and frequency of storm surges. Moreover, coral reefs are already experiencing worldwide degradation (Spalding and Brown 2015), partly due to changes in ocean temperature and pH.

While exploring alternative sources of energy is a way to mitigate climate change, these alternative sources themselves may be susceptible to climate change effects. Pryor and Barthelmie (2010) describe that the main impacts of global changes to the wind energy industry regard alterations in the distribution of winds and their inter- and intra-annual variability. On a positive note, in a more recent study, Pryor and Barthelmie (2013) discuss that the projection for changes in extreme conditions do not pose major risks to structural safety, though research is mostly concentrated in North America and Europe. More recently, Carvalho et al. (2017), using the IPCC CMIP5 scenario, modeled future wind projections for Europe and observed intensification of wind energetic resources for the Baltic Sea region and decreases of this same resource in Southern Europe. However, regarding inter-annual variability, no significant changes are expected for this continent.

Wave, tidal and current offshore renewable energy production may also be affected, but these processes are generally addressed only as an option to mitigate climate change, not as an ecosystem service at risk.

Groundwater, therefore water resources, can be affected by sea-level rise. Nicholls and Leatherman (1995) stated that saltwater intrusions in groundwater

reservoirs represent an important threat to the supply of water in coastal municipalities, as reported for Dakar in Senegal and Shanghai in China. The authors also indicated that the efficiency of water drainage is expected to decrease, while floods due to extreme events and rainfall are expected to increase. More recent studies have pointed to the same direction. Masciopinto and Liso (2016) projected saltwater intrusion of over 400 m into the continent and a decrease of approximately 16% in groundwater discharge for the 22nd century in southern Italy. This problem, associated with increasing water use poses serious threats regarding water security in coastal communities. Anderson and Al-Thani (2016) showed that increased groundwater withdrawal was more relevant to groundwater quality deterioration than sea-level rise itself in the Gulf Coast region.

4. Ecosystem-based management strategies and challenges

Environmental management strategies do not always consider the full range of benefits obtained from natural resources or even the multiple users that rely on these benefits. However, the objective of taking an ecosystem-based approach towards the management of coastal zones would be to address the most efficient solutions to make the most of the natural resources available in a broad and flexible manner (Elliff and Kikuchi 2015).

Long et al. (2015) listed the key principles that guide ecosystem-based management (EBM) strategies as: consideration for ecosystem connections; appropriate spatial and temporal scales; inclusion of adaptive management; use of scientific knowledge; integrated management; stakeholder involvement; taking the dynamic nature of ecosystems into account; ecological integrity and biodiversity; sustainability; recognition of coupled social-ecosystem systems; present decisions that reflect societal choice; distinct boundaries; interdisciplinarity; appropriate monitoring; and acknowledgement of uncertainty.

The fact that adaptive management was included in these principles is a positive sign regarding climate change. As discussed by Ruckelshaus et al. (2015), climate change impacts are often considered as being too distant to be

489 included in coastal management strategies. Thus, by acknowledging the
490 importance of adaptive practices for the management of ecosystem services and
491 human occupation along the coastline, tools and general guidelines can be
492 developed to address site-specific issues.

493 There are numerous successful case studies on the application of an EBM
494 approach to improve ecosystem services, as reported by Long et al. (2015).
495 However, EBM strategies that are developed specifically to address climate
496 change impacts are less common. Ruckelshaus et al. (2015) describe some
497 examples of ecosystem-based adaptation, which generally involve the recovery
498 of an ecosystem to improve local shoreline protection to erosion and coastal
499 floods (i.e. mangroves, oyster reefs, seagrass beds).

500 The concept of investing in conservation strategies and habitat restoration
501 instead of only building coastal defenses to improve shoreline protection is
502 becoming increasingly popular. Moreover, as discussed by Spalding et al. (2014),
503 hybrid solutions that involve both habitat restoration and coastal defense
504 interventions can provide a considerable amount of co-benefits. Perkol-Finkel
505 and Sella (2015) demonstrated a successful application of this strategy using two
506 designs of concrete based coastal and marine infrastructure in Israel. The design
507 with greater structural complexity, considered as the ecological design, provided
508 not only shoreline protection at a similar level to its traditional non-ecological
509 counterpart, but also supported a complex biological community. Moreover, using
510 multiple lines of defense formed by complementary ecosystems (i.e. coral reefs
511 and seagrass beds) have also shown great potential (Arkema et al. 2017).
512 Regarding beach nourishment, Gopalakrishnan et al. (2011) emphasize the
513 importance of sand availability to maintain the values of coastal properties and to
514 guarantee beach nourishment as an appropriate strategy.

515 Considering specifically the impact of sea level rise, the Wellington City
516 Council reported that there are basically five broad paths that coastal
517 management can follow. These paths, which are most likely to be used as
518 combined strategies according to time scale and locations, are: non-intervention,
519 managed retreat, hold the line, accommodate, and expand into the coastal zone
520 (WCC 2013). *Non-intervention* represents a scenario of “doing nothing”, including

the normal maintenance of a business-as-usual approach. *Managed retreat* represents any strategic action to withdraw, relocate or abandon assets that are threatened by coastal hazards. In turn, a *hold the line* strategy would imply in implementing either a hard or soft protection to the area at risk, such as a seawall or performing beach nourishment. The approach to *accommodate* includes options such as building canals to address inundation issues or even opting for ferry services instead of roads that are constantly under threat. Finally, the strategy of *expanding into the coastal zone* includes actions such as reclamation and adapting homes to survive flood events (i.e. floating homes or house-boats). For example, the WCC (2013) indicated the three main intervention options for the Central Business District of Wellington, New Zealand as: managed retreat, the construction of a seawall and raised reclamation. On the other hand, for the Shelley Bay road to the Karaka Bays Road, the intervention options were: managed retreat and road raising combined with a seawall. These examples show that the interventions chosen depend on the characteristics of each site.

Despite an increasing array of successful applications of an EBM approach towards climate change impacts in coastal environments, planning and implementing adaptation strategies is still a worldwide challenge. For example, Thorne et al. (2017) observed varying levels of preparedness among resource managers for planning and implementing strategies to address climate change, with lower training and access to information among those working in rural estuaries in the USA. This is even more so for developing countries, where information is scarcer and resources less abundant.

As discussed by Hernández-Delgado (2015), the adaptive capacity of a given community depends on its level of wealth, the needs of the population, general education level, infrastructure status, and the vulnerability of the area to hazards. The author emphasizes small island nations as being particularly challenged in this situation of increasing need for preparedness and adaptation. Moreover, as stated by Ban et al. (2011), the science and planning strategies to deal with coral reef management, a critical ecosystem in face of climate change, is derived mostly from developed nations, which present different social, economic and cultural characteristics than the developing nations where most coral reefs occur. Thus, similar to what was observed by Ruckelshaus et al. (2015), local leadership

is a key feature for EBM, considering that this group presents greater understanding of both the biophysical and socio-economic conditions in their communities.

Decision makers need information on location and conditions under which EBM strategies such as nature-based coastal defenses will promote adequate protection (Arkema et al. 2017). Management plans can drive specific human actions that will have measurable outcomes for both the environment and people (Ruckelshaus et al. 2015). Nevertheless, adequate planning requires a level of knowledge that is not always available.

The concept of marine spatial planning (MSP) brings a promising framework to address most of the issues discussed in the present study. Ehler and Douvere (2009) provide an important step-by-step guide on how MSP can be applied and how it can indeed promote improvement in our use of natural capital and ecosystem services.

5. Conclusions

Despite climate model limitations and uncertainties regarding the magnitude of consequences, climate change projections should be incorporated in marine spatial planning and should be considered when devising solutions for ongoing issues in coastal areas. Doing so would provide greater longevity to actions, allowing the mitigation of impacts and reducing the vulnerability of coastal populations.

Moreover, efforts should be increased regarding coastal databases. The lack of long-term sea level measurements and topographic and bathymetric records over long stretches of the world's coastline hinders projections of alterations triggered by climate change.

Potential climate change impacts are projected using computer models, which present their own limitations. These limitations consist of, for example, a lack of data in various temporal and spatial resolutions, downscaling methods, and the complex feedback interactions between input variables. Regardless the fact that

models are increasingly more accurate and thorough, feedback between variables tend to not be very well represented, which can lead to differences in the results between models, and between models and the reality. Regarding wind climate projections, for instance, a lack of consensus can be observed between models in the sense of assuring whether there will be significant wind intensity alterations or not.

Finally, while many studies regarding the impacts of climate change focus on global or regional models, decisions need to be made at a local level. Thus, for effective management and adaptive EBM strategies to be developed, information at a local level is greatly needed.

Acknowledgements

G. Fernandino thanks the National Counsel of Technological and Scientific Development (CNPq) for his PhD scholarship. C.I. Elliff thanks the Coordination for the Improvement of Higher Education Personnel (CAPES) for her PhD scholarship. The authors would also like to thank the anonymous reviewers for their time and helpful contributions.

References

- Albert, S., Leon, J.X., Grinham, A.R., Chruch, J.A., Gibbes, B.R., Woodroffe, C.D. (2016). Interactions between sea-level rise and wave exposure on reef island dynamics in the Solomon Islands. *Environmental Research Letters* 11 054011:1-10, doi:10.1088/1748-9326/11/5/054011
- Alexandrakis, G., Manasakis, C., Kampanis, N.A. (2015). Valuating the effects of beach erosion to tourism revenue. A management perspective. *Ocean & Coastal Management*, 111 (2015): 1-11, doi: 10.1016/j.ocecoaman.2015.04.001
- Allison, M.A., Nittrouer, C.A., Ogston, A.S., Mullarney, J.C., Nguyen. T.T. (2017). Sedimentation and survival of the Mekong Delta: a case study of decreased sediment supply and accelerating rates of relative sea level rise. *Oceanography*, 30(3):98–109, doi:10.5670/oceanog.2017.318

- 615 Almeida, L.R., Amaro, V.E., Marcelino, A.M.T., Scudelari, A.C. (2015). Avaliação
616 do clima de ondas da praia de Ponta Negra (RN, Brasil) através do uso do
617 SMC-Brasil e sua contribuição à gestão costeira. *Revista da Gestão*
618 *Costeira Integrada*, 15(2): 135-151, doi: 10.5894/rgci532
- 619 Anderson, F., Al-Thani, N. (2016). Effect of sea level rise and groundwater
620 withdrawal in seawater intrusion in the Gulf Coast Aquifer: implications for
621 agriculture. *Journal of Geoscience and Environment Protection*, 4: 116-124.
622 doi: 10.4236/gep.2016.44015
- 623 Anthony, E. J., Marriner, N., & Morhange, C. (2014). Human influence and the
624 changing geomorphology of Mediterranean deltas and coasts over the last
625 6000 years: from progradation to destruction phase?. *Earth-Science*
626 *Reviews*, 139: 336-361. doi: 10.1016/j.earscirev.2014.10.003
- 627 Arkema, K.K., Griffin, R., Maldonado, S., Silver, J., Suckale, J., Guerry, A.D.
628 (2017). Linking social, ecological, and physical science to advance natural
629 and nature-based protection for coastal communities. *Annals of The New*
630 *York Academy of Sciences*, doi: 10.1111/nyas.13322.
- 631 Arns, A., Dangendorf, S., Jensen, J., Talke, S., Bender, J., Pattiaratchi, C. (2017).
632 Sea-level rise induced amplification of coastal protection design heights.
633 *Scientific Reports*, 7: 40171, doi: 10.1038/srep40171
- 634 Ashton, A.D., Walkden, M.J.A., Dickson, M.E. (2011). Equilibrium responses of
635 cliffed coasts to changes in the rate of sea level rise. *Marine Geology*, 284(1-
636 4): 217 – 229, doi: 10.1016/j.margeo.2011.01.007
- 637 Ban, N.C., Adams, V.M., Almany, G.R., Ban, S., Cinner, J.E., McCook, L.J., Mills,
638 M., Pressey, R.L., White, A. (2011). Designing, implementing and managing
639 marine protected areas: Emerging trends and opportunities for coral reef
640 nations. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology*, 408:21-31,
641 doi: 10.1016/j.jembe.2011.07.023
- 642 Bergillos, R. J., Rodríguez-Delgado, C., Millares, A., Ortega-Sánchez, M.,
643 Losada, M. A. (2016a). Impact of river regulation on a Mediterranean delta:
644 assessment of managed versus unmanaged scenarios. *Water Resources*
645 *Research*, 52: 5132-5148, doi: 10.1002/2015WR018395
- 646 Bergillos, R. J., López-Ruiz, A., Ortega-Sánchez, M., Masselink, G., Losada, M.
647 A. (2016b). Implications of delta retreat on wave propagation and longshore
648 sediment transport-Guadalejo case study (southern Spain). *Marine*
649 *Geology*, 382: 1-16, doi: 10.1016/j.margeo.2016.09.011
- 650 Bergillos, R. J., López-Ruiz, A., Principal-Gómez, D., & Ortega-Sánchez, M.
651 (2018). An integrated methodology to forecast the efficiency of nourishment

652 strategies in eroding deltas. *Science of The Total Environment*, 613: 1175-
653 1184, doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.09.197

654 Blankespoor, B., Dasgupta, S., Lange, G.M. (2017). Mangroves as a protection
655 from surges in a changing climate. *Ambio*, 46(4): 478-491, doi:
656 10.1007/s13280-016-0838-x

657 Camus, P., Losada, I. J., Izaguirre, C., Espejo, A., Menéndez, M. and Pérez, J.
658 (2017). Statistical wave climate projections for coastal impact assessments.
659 *Earth's Future*, 5: 918–933. doi:10.1002/2017EF000609

660 Carson, M., Köhl, A., Stammer, D., Slangen, A.B.A., Katsman, C.A., Van de Wal,
661 R.S.W., Church, J., White, N. (2016). Coastal sea level changes, observed
662 and projected during the 20th and 21st century. *Climatic Change*, 134:269-
663 281, doi:10.1007/s10584-015-1520-1

664 Carvalho, D., Rocha, A., Gómez-Gesteira, M., Silva Santos, C. (2017). Potential
665 impacts of climate change on European wind energy resource under the
666 CMIP5 future climate projections. *Renewable Energy*, 101:29-40,
667 doi:10.1016/j.renene.2016.08.036

668 Cogolati, S., Verlinden, N., Schimitt, P. (2015). Migrants in the Mediterranean:
669 protecting human rights. *Directorate-general for external policies, Policy*
670 *Department, European Parliament*, doi:10.2861/342397

671 Costanza, R., d'Arge, R., de Groot, R., Farber, S., Grasso, M., Hannon, B.,
672 Limburg, K., Naeem, S., O'Neill, R.V., Paruelo, J., Raskin, R.G., Sutton, P.,
673 van den Belt, M. (1997). The value of the world's ecosystem services and
674 natural capital. *Nature*, 387: 253-260, doi:10.1038/387253a0

675 Davy, R., Gnatiuk, N., Pettersson, L., Bobylev, L. (2018). Climate change impacts
676 on wind energy potential in the European domain with a focus on the Black
677 Sea. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 81(2): 1652-1659,
678 doi:10.1016/j.rser.2017.05.253

679 Defeo, O., McLachlan, A., Schoeman, D.S., Schlacher, T.A., Dugan, J., Jones,
680 A., Lastra, M., Scapini, F. (2009). Threats to sandy beach ecosystems: A
681 review. *Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science*, 81(2009): 1-12,
682 doi:10.1016/j.ecss.2008.09.022

683 Dobrynin, M., Murawski, J., Baehr, J., Ilyina, T. (2015). Detection and attribution
684 of climate change signal in ocean Wind waves. *Journal of Climate*,
685 *American Meteorological Society*, 28:1578-1597, doi: 10.1175/JCLI-D-13-
686 00664.1

- 687 Doney, S.C., Fabry, V.J., Feely, R.A., Kleypas, J.A. (2009). Ocean acidification:
688 the other CO₂ problem. *Annual Review of Marine Science*, 1:169-192, doi:
689 10.1146/annurev.marine.010908.163834
- 690 Douglas, B.C., Peltier, W.R. (2002). The puzzle of global sea-level rise. *Physics*
691 *Today*, 55(3):35-40, doi: 10.1063/1.1472392
- 692 Ehler, C., Douvère, F. (2009). Marine Spatial Planning: a step-by-step approach
693 toward ecosystem-based management. Intergovernmental Oceanographic
694 Commission and Man and the Biosphere Programme. IOC Manual and
695 Guides No. 53, ICAM Dossier No. 6. Paris: UNESCO.
- 696 Elliff, C.I., Kikuchi, R.K.P. (2015). The ecosystem service approach and its
697 application as a tool for integrated coastal management. *Natureza &*
698 *Conservação*, 13:105-111, doi: 10.1016/j.ncon.2015.10.001
- 699 Elliff, C.I., Silva, I.R. (2017). Coral reefs as the first line of defense: Shoreline
700 protection in face of climate change. *Marine Environmental Research*,
701 127:148-154, doi: 10.1016/j.marenvres.2017.03.007
- 702 Gallagher, S., Gleeson, E., Tiron, R., McGrath, R., Dias, F. (2016). Wave climate
703 projections for Ireland for the end of the 21st century including analysis of
704 EC-Earth winds over the North Atlantic Ocean. *International Journal of*
705 *Climatology*, 36: 4592–4607. doi:10.1002/joc.4656
- 706 Gopalakrishnan, S., Smith, M.D., Slott, J.M., Murray, A.B. (2011). The value of
707 disappearing beaches: a hedonic pricing model with endogenous beach
708 width. *Journal of Environmental Economics and Management*, 61: 297-310,
709 doi:10.1016/j.jeem.2010.09.003
- 710 Guinotte, J.M., Fabry, V.J. (2008). Ocean acidification and its potential effects on
711 marine ecosystems. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences*, 1134:
712 320–342, doi: 10.1196/annals.1439.013
- 713 Hemer, M.A., Fan, Y., Mori, N., Semedo, A., Wang, X.L. (2013). Projected
714 changes in wave climate from a multi-model ensemble. *Nature Climate*
715 *Change Letters*, 3:471-476, doi: 10.1038/nclimate1791
- 716 Hernández-Delgado, E.A. (2015). The emerging threats of climate change on
717 tropical coastal ecosystem services, public health, local economies and
718 livelihood sustainability of small islands: Cumulative impacts and synergies.
719 *Marine Pollution Bulletin*, 101(1):5-28, doi:
720 10.1016/j.marpolbul.2015.09.018
- 721 Hoerz, T. (1995). Refugees and host environments: a review of current and
722 related literature. Refugee Studies Programme, University of Oxford.

723 Hughes, T.P., Kerry, J.T., Álvarez-Noriega, M., Álvarez-Romero, J.G., Anderson,
724 K.D., Baird, A.H., Babcock, R.C., Beger, M., Bellwood, D.R., Berkelmans,
725 R., Bridge, T.C., Butler, I.R., Byrne, M., Cantin, N.E., Comeau, S., Connolly,
726 S.R., Cumming, G.S., Dalton, S.J., Diaz-Pulido, G., Eakin, C.M., Figueira,
727 W.F., Gilmour, J.P., Harrison, H.B., Heron, S.F., Hoey, A.S., Hobbs, J.P.A.,
728 Hoogenboom, M.O., Kennedy, E.V., Kuo, C.Y., Lough, J.M., Lowe, R.J., Liu,
729 G., McCulloch, M.T., Malcolm, H.A., McWilliam, M.J., Pandolfi, J.M., Pears,
730 R.J., Pratchett, M.S., Schoepf, V., Simpson, T., Skirving, W.J., Sommer, B.,
731 Torda, G., Wachenfeld, D.R., Willis, B.L., Wilson, S.K. (2017). Global
732 warming and recurrent mass bleaching of corals. *Nature*, 543, 373–377, doi:
733 10.1038/nature21707

734 Hugo, G. (2011). Future demographic change and its interactions with migration
735 and climate change. *Global Environmental Change*, 21S:S21-S33, doi:
736 10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2011.09.008

737 IPCC. (2013). Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution
738 of Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental
739 Panel on Climate Change. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

740 IPCC. (2014). Climate Change 2014: Synthesis Report. Contribution of Working
741 Groups I, II and III to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental
742 Panel on Climate Change. Geneva: IPCC.

743 Leatherman S.P., Zhang, K., Douglas, B.C. (2000). Sea level rise shown to drive
744 coastal erosion. *Eos*, 81(6):55-57, doi: 10.1029/00EO00034

745 Long, R., Charles, A., Stephenson, R.L. (2015). Key principles of marine
746 ecosystem-based management. *Marine Policy*, 57:53-60, doi:
747 10.1016/j.marpol.2015.01.013

748 Mann, M.E., Rahmstorf, S., Kornhuber, K., Steinman, B.A., Miller, S.K., Coumou,
749 D. (2017). Influence of anthropogenic climate change on planetary wave
750 resonance and extreme weather events. *Scientific Reports*, 7:45242, 1-10,
751 doi: 10.1038/srep45242

752 Martínez, M.L., Intralawan, A., Vázquez, G., Pérez-Maqueo, O., Sutton, P.,
753 Landgrave, R. (2007). The coasts of our world: Ecological, economic and
754 social importance. *Ecological Economics*, 63(2007):254-272, doi:
755 10.1016/j.ecolecon.2006.10.022

756 Masciopinto, C., Liso, I. S. (2016). Assessment of the impact of sea-level rise
757 due to climate change on groundwater discharge. *Science of the Total
758 Environment*, 569-570: 672-680, doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2016.06.183

759 McInnes, K., Erwin, T.A., Bathols, J.M. (2011). Global climate model projected
760 changes in 10 m wind speed and direction due to anthropogenic climate
761 change. *Atmospheric Science Letters*, 12:325-333, doi: 10.1002/asl.341

762 Mori, N., Yasuda, T., Mase, H., Tom, T., Oku, Y. (2010). Projection of Extreme
763 Wave Climate Change under Global Warming. *Hydrological Research*
764 *Letters*, 4:15–19, doi: 10.3178/hrl.4.15

765 Neumann, A.C., MacIntyre, I. (1985). Reef response to sea level rise: keep-up,
766 catch-up or give-up. In C. Gabrie, J.L. Toffart, B. Salvat (Eds.), *Proceedings*
767 *Of The Fifth International Coral Reef Congress* (pp. 105-110). Tahiti:
768 Symposia and Seminars.

769 Neumann, B., Vafeidis, A.T., Zimmermann, J., Nicholls, R.J. (2015). Future
770 coastal population growth and exposure to sea-level rise and coastal
771 flooding – a global assessment. *Plos One*, 10: e0118571, doi:
772 10.1371/journal.pone.0118571

773 Nicholls, R.J., Leatherman S.P. (1995). The implications of accelerated sea-level
774 rise for developing countries: a discussion. *Journal of Coastal Research*, SI
775 14:303-323, doi: 10.2112/07A-0003.1

776 Nicholls, R.J., Leatherman, S.P., Dennis, K.C., Volonté, C.R. (1995). Impacts and
777 responses to sea-level rise: qualitative and quantitative assessments.
778 *Journal of Coastal Research*, SI 14:26-43.

779 Osland, M. J., Enwright, N. M., Day, R. H., Gabler, C. A., Stagg, C. L. and Grace,
780 J. B. (2016). Beyond just sea-level rise: considering macroclimatic drivers
781 within coastal wetland vulnerability assessments to climate change. *Global*
782 *Change Biology*, 22:1–11, doi:10.1111/gcb.13084

783 Pandolfi, J.M., Connolly, S.R., Marshall, D.J., Cohen, A.L. (2011). Projecting coral
784 reef futures under global warming and ocean acidification. *Science*,
785 333(6041):418-422, doi: 10.1126/science.1204794

786 Perkol-Finkel, S., Sella, I. (2015). Blue is the new green – Ecological
787 enhancement of concrete based coastal and marine infrastructure.
788 *Ecological Engineering*, 84:260–272, doi:10.1016/j.ecoleng.2015.09.016

789 Pontee, N. (2013). Defining coastal squeeze: A discussion. *Ocean & Coastal*
790 *Management*, 84:204-207, doi: 10.1016/j.ocecoaman.2013.07.010

791 Principe, P., Bradley, P., Yee, S., Fisher, W., Johnson, E., Allen, P., Campbell,
792 D. (2012). *Quantifying Coral Reef Ecosystem Services*. Durham: U.S.

793 Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Research and Development,
794 Research Triangle Park, NC.

795 Pryor, S.C., Barthelmie, R.J. (2010). Climate change impacts on wind energy: A
796 review. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 14:430–437, doi:
797 10.1016/j.rser.2009.07.028

798 Pryor, S.C., Barthelmie, R.J. (2013). Assessing the vulnerability of wind energy
799 to climate change and extreme events. *Climatic Change*, 121:79-91, doi:
800 10.1007/s10584-013-0889-y

801 Raabe, E.A., Stumpf, R.P. (2016). Expansion of tidal marsh in response to sea-
802 level rise: Gulf of Florida, USA. *Estuaries and Coasts*, 39 (1):145-157,
803 doi:10.1007/s12237-015-9974-y

804 Rhein, M., Rintoul, S.R., Aoki, S., Campos, E., Chambers, D., Feely, R.A., Gulev,
805 S., Johnson, G.C., Josey, S.A., Kostianoy, A., Mauritzen, C., Roemmich, D.,
806 Talley, L.D., Wang, F. (2013). Observations: Ocean. In T.F. Stocker, D. Qin,
807 G.-K. Plattner, M. Tignor, S.K. Allen, J. Boschung, A. Nauels, Y. Xia, V. Bex,
808 P.M. Midgley (Eds.), *Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis*.
809 Contribution of Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the
810 Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (pp. 255-297). Cambridge and
811 New York: Cambridge University Press.

812 Ruckelshaus, M., McKenzie, E., Tallis, H., Guerry, A., Daily, G., Kareiva, P.,
813 Polasky, S., Ricketts, T., Bhagabati, N., Wood, S.A., Bernhardt, J. (2015).
814 Notes from the field: Lessons learned from using ecosystem service
815 approaches to inform real world decisions. *Ecological Economics*, 115:11-
816 21, doi: 10.1016/j.ecolecon.2013.07.009

817 Semedo, A., Weisse, R., Behrens, A., Sterl, A., Bengtsson, L., Gunther, H.
818 (2013). Projection of Global Wave Climate Change toward the End of the
819 Twenty-First Century. *Journal of Climate, American Meteorological Society*,
820 26:8269 – 8288, doi: 10.1175/JCLI-D-12-00658.1

821 Slangen, A.B.A., Church, J.A., Zhang, J.A., Monselesan, D. (2014). Detection
822 and attribution of global mean thermosteric sea level change. *Geophysical*
823 *Research Letters*, 41: 5951-5959, doi: 10.1002/2014GL061356

824 Smith, K. (2011). We are seven billion. *Nature Climate Change*, 1:331-335,
825 doi:10.1038/nclimate1235

826 Spalding, M.D., Brown, B.E. (2015). Warm-water coral reefs and climate change.
827 *Science*, 350(6262):769-771, doi: 10.1126/science.aad0349

828 Spalding, M.D., McIvor, A.L., Beck, M.W., Koch, E.W., Möller, I., Reed, D.J.,
829 Rubinoff, P., Spencer, T., Tolhurst, T.J., Wamsley, T.V., van Wesenbeeck,

- B.K., Wolanski, E., Woodroffe, C.D. (2014). Coastal Ecosystems: A Critical Element of Risk Reduction. *Conservation Letters*, 7(3):293-301, doi: 10.1111/conl.12074
- Sydeman, W.J., García-Reyes, M., Schoeman, D.S., Rykaczewski, R.R., Thompson, S.A., Black, B.A., Bogard, S.J. (2014). Climate change and wind intensification in coastal upwelling ecosystems. *Climate Change Reports*, 345(6192): 77-80, doi: 10.1126/science.1251635
- Syvitski, J. P., Kettner, A. J., Overeem, I., Hutton, E. W., Hannon, M. T., Brakenridge, G. R., Day, J., Vörösmarty, C., Saito, Y., Giosan, L., & Nicholls, R. J. (2009). Sinking deltas due to human activities. *Nature Geoscience*, 2(10): 681-686. doi: 10.1038/ngeo629
- Tessler, Z.D., Vörösmarty, C.J., Overeem, I., Syvitski, J.P.M. (2017). A model of water and sediment balance as determinants of relative sea level rise in contemporary and future deltas. *Geomorphology*, doi:10.1016/j.geomorph.2017.09.040
- Thorne, K.M., Elliott-Fisk, D.L., Freeman, C.M., Bui, T.V.D., Powelson, K.W., Janousek, C.N., Buffington, K.J., Takekawa, J.Y. (2017). Are coastal managers ready for climate change? A case study from estuaries along the Pacific coast of the United States. *Ocean & Coastal Management*, 143(1):38-50, doi:10.1016/j.ocecoaman.2017.02.010.
- Trenhaile, A.S. (2011). Predicting the response of hard and soft rock coasts to changes in sea level and wave height. *Climatic Change*, 109(3): 599 – 615, doi: 10.1007/s10584-011-0035-7
- WCC – Wellington City Council. (2013). Sea Level Rise Options Analysis. Wellington: Tonkin & Taylor Ltd.
- Woodroffe, C.D., Rogers, K., McKee, K.L., Lovelock, C.E., Mendelssohn, I.A., Saintilan, N. (2016). Mangrove sedimentation and response to relative sea-level rise. *Annual Review of Marine Science*, 8:16.1-16.24, doi: 10.1146/annurev-marine-122414-034025