

# 1           **Assessment of geosites in northern Morocco: diversity and** 2           **richness with potential for socioeconomic development**

3   **Adil Salhi<sup>1\*</sup>, Mohamed Rida Alilou<sup>1</sup>, Sara Benabdelouahab<sup>2</sup>, Josep Vila Subirós<sup>3</sup>, Pere Sala<sup>4</sup>, Tarik**  
4   **Benabdelouahab<sup>5</sup>, Mahjoub Himi<sup>2</sup>, and Albert Casas Ponsati<sup>2</sup>**

5   <sup>1</sup> Geography and Development group, Abdelmalek Essaadi University, Martil, Morocco. [asalhi@uae.ac.ma](mailto:asalhi@uae.ac.ma) /  
6   <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8756-2484> / Phone: +212654601220. \*Corresponding author

7   <sup>2</sup> Economic and Environmental Geology and Hydrology group, University of Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain.  
8   [albert.casas@ub.edu](mailto:albert.casas@ub.edu) / <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4964-1226> / [moibenabdelouahab@gmail.com](mailto:moibenabdelouahab@gmail.com) / [himi@ub.edu](mailto:himi@ub.edu)  
9   <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1419-6676>

10   <sup>3</sup> Environment and Geographic Information Technologies group, University of Girona, Girona, Spain.  
11   [josep.vila@udg.edu](mailto:josep.vila@udg.edu)

12   <sup>4</sup> Landscape Observatory of Catalonia, Girona, Spain. [pere.sala@catpaisatge.net](mailto:pere.sala@catpaisatge.net)

13   <sup>5</sup> National Institute of Agronomic Research, Rabat, Morocco. [tarik.benabdelouahab@gmail.com](mailto:tarik.benabdelouahab@gmail.com) /  
14   <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8386-934X>

## 15           **Abstract**

16           Despite the importance of geoheritage as an interdisciplinary geo-based topic, it is poorly  
17           documented as a tool of harmonious socioeconomic development and territorial strategic  
18           planning. The promotion of this natural resource in rural areas of the southern Mediterranean  
19           may strengthen social resilience and consolidate management strategies if it is conducted  
20           according to a responsible and sustainable approach that takes account of local specificities.  
21           Here, an exhaustive, multi-criteria and qualitative assessment of the geomorphological sites  
22           of the large Alpine Rif belt was established to assess their scientific and additional values  
23           based on a widely adopted score ranking method. Later, it was established a restricted list of  
24           the most outstanding sites based on qualitative conditions. This list contains 39 sites which  
25           may be linked in three thematic geocircuits to serve as gathering points for initiatives and  
26           development projects. We anticipate that this may create an economic diversity to revive a

27 social fabric capable of satisfying its needs and expectations, reducing the gap and  
28 inequalities between disadvantaged rural areas upstream and industrialized urban areas  
29 downstream, and inspiring a benign and balanced development.

30 **Keywords:** Geoheritage, geomorphosites, assessment, socioeconomic development,  
31 Morocco.

## 32 **1. Introduction**

33 The patrimonialisation of geoheritage (landscape and cultural values) is the result of a perception process that  
34 makes a territorial element (cultural asset, natural area, tradition and all parts of ‘immaterial heritage’) be  
35 recognized by society as being important to conserve and to be transmitted to future generations (Reynard and  
36 Giusti 2018). In this sense, geoheritage acts as a centre of meaning and symbolism, and builds a sense of belonging  
37 and a territorial identity that is fundamental in the creation process of a national identity (Nogué and Vicente 2004).  
38 Despite this importance, the conservation of geoheritage (or geoconservation) has generally not been well  
39 integrated within nature conservation initiatives and policies nor in environmental management and sustaining  
40 resources (Gordon et al. 2018). Actually, the conservation of geoheritage is discussed and enhanced only in some  
41 parts of the World (e.g. Europe) but not in others like Africa, where geoheritage is mainly appreciated by a  
42 scientific minority while it should be promoted and perceived by a much wider audience (Errami et al. 2015).  
43 Achieving this may lead to its promotion for their scientific and societal values to ensure their conservation for  
44 future use by academics, tourists and casual recreationalists (Melelli et al. 2017; Reynard and Giusti 2018).

45 The conservation of geoheritage is the practice of conserving, enhancing and promoting awareness of those  
46 features and underlying processes of geodiversity that have significant scientific, educational, cultural, aesthetic  
47 or ecological value. Its basic aim is to conserve well-developed and well-expressed representative examples of  
48 important elements of the geodiversity found in a region (Badang et al. 2017; Ibáñez et al. 2019; Sharples et al.  
49 2018) through a process of recognizing and giving broader meaning to geosites as key elements of a properly  
50 managed and sustainable geotourism which may affect local development (Hose 2006; Kubalíková 2013).  
51 Geosites, as landforms, represent the particular aspects of relief being determined by the morphogenetic processes  
52 and the geographic sublayer. Alone, it can constitute components of the cultural or scientific heritage of a territory,  
53 having the same significance as the historical monuments or works of arts, sometimes being the concrete support  
54 of an architectural, spiritual or cultural expression (Ilieş and Josan 2009).

55 Geomorphosites are one of the multiple types of the geosites, and they are defined as landforms that have acquired  
56 a value thanks to human perception (Kubalíková 2013). They are important elements of Natural Protected Areas  
57 which may be assessed both as structural and functional elements of the natural system and for their social values  
58 in relation to their location (Serrano and González-Trueba 2005). Thus, their inventory and assessment as valuable  
59 occurrences of geoheritage are essential steps in any geoconservation strategy and in the establishment of priorities  
60 in site management (Brilha 2016).

61 Despite the richness and variety of the Moroccan geodiversity, the concern for geoheritage have only recently  
62 begun to come to the front as confirmed by the limited related studies performed in this country (Arrad et al. 2020;  
63 Beraaouz et al. 2019; Berred et al. 2019). During the last ten years, Morocco has used actively this richness to  
64 promote sustainable development with the aim of reaching 20 million tourists by 2025, based on a strategy which  
65 depended on the growing scientific studies output, to valorise the national heritage and to make it well-known  
66 overseas (Bouzekraoui et al. 2018a).

67 The Alpine Rif belt of Morocco (North) is a land of exceptional sites of geological and geomorphological  
68 importance. Despite this, geoheritage has never been inventoried and do not yet benefit from any conservation  
69 status worthy of its national and international relevance. In this sense, the aim of the paper is to evaluate and  
70 catalogue the geoheritage and geodiversity of the Alpine Rif belt combining geomorphosites assessment and field  
71 work. All sites with potential for geotourism that can generate social and economic impact are highlighted to  
72 encourage the territorial promotion of this mountainous area. This is even crucial in the Mediterranean southern  
73 areas (like our case) where the well-being of rural communities is connected to their level of resilience and to their  
74 ability to develop and use their own resources to adapt and sustain the productivity and territorial functions despite  
75 of their vulnerability and / or the fragility of their environment (Holladay and Powell 2013; Powell et al. 2018;  
76 Scarlett and Riede 2019). Thus, investing the geoheritage may enhance social resilience and contain environmental  
77 fragility through the development of a cultural and economic motivation that can strengthen human-territorial links  
78 to connect the members of rural communities to their counties, which will have beneficial repercussions on vast  
79 socioeconomic and ecologic systems at national and cross-border scales.

80 In fact, the strategic location of the study area makes of it the focal point of the global transition between Africa,  
81 Europe, the Mediterranean and the Atlantic Ocean. This crucial role has been reinforced throughout history thanks  
82 to its natural richness (water, soil and vegetation) giving it an exceptional character in terms of permeability,  
83 stabilization and transmission of human, economic and cultural flows. However, this will be seriously threatened

84 because of the alarming degradation of its natural resources, which will certainly jeopardize the sustainability of  
85 its socio-economic development and will have a negative impact on the future of the region; Indeed, soil  
86 degradation poses a serious threat to the plant and water heritage already weakened by the lithological fragility,  
87 the escarpment of the reliefs, the droughts and the spatiotemporal concentration of the rains (Benabdelouahab and  
88 Salhi 2018; Benabdelouahab et al. 2020).

89 The maintain of social, economic and environmental equilibrium is crucial in this Mediterranean southern frontier  
90 where immigration and environmental fragilities should not be underestimated; coupled with socioeconomic  
91 congestion (in relation with an illiteracy rate of 31%, an unemployment rate above 8% and an excessive poverty  
92 rate (HCP 2018)) it would lead to an irremediable crash (Salhi et al. 2020).

93 To counter this situation, environmentally responsible tourism may be a factor of openness, enhancement of the  
94 local economy and improvement of the living conditions of society (e.g. creation of transport infrastructure,  
95 improvement of collective services and living environment, opening up of rural areas). It often leads to changes in  
96 lifestyles, which should be implemented gradually so that new practices do not conflict with traditional values but  
97 penetrate in harmony according to a strategy of enrichment. If not, this development can lead to withdrawal  
98 behaviour which will be harmful to this progressive vision.

99 Tourism also strengthens the social and geographic mobility of individuals and it can help to fix populations in  
100 their homelands, which is vital for conserving water-soil-plant resources. The development of tourist activity and  
101 the creation of employment can both constitute a secondary source of income for the locals as it can attract people  
102 who are looking for opportunities whether they are qualified and or willing to learn a new trade.

103 Thus, the creation and strengthening of destinations with confirmed geotourism potential is likely to slow the flow  
104 of permanent rural migration, motivated by the quest for better opportunities and well-being. At the same time,  
105 this will lead to a decrease pressure on fragile territorial resources and an improvement in awareness of  
106 environmental conservation.

107 Based on this vision, promoting the geoheritage would be the best way to improve social resilience and mitigate  
108 environmental fragility. This would only be possible through the enhancement of geomorphosites which must be  
109 linked in the form of geocircuits to improve their marketing and attractiveness.

## 110 **2. Material and methods**

## 111 2.1. Study area

112 The Rif belt (northern Morocco) is an Alpine mountain range marked by an exceptional natural wealth that makes  
113 its fabulous ecosystem part of the ‘Intercontinental Biosphere Reserve of the Mediterranean’. It has a unique  
114 landscape, particularly in the extended carbonate ridge (‘Dorsale’) (**Fig. 1**), which is the main hydrogeological  
115 system that feeds the indigenous rural population as well as large cities downstream of the watersheds. Other than  
116 its hydrological interest, this ridge has great scientific, ecological and geological values that deserves special and  
117 immediate attention. It forms with the Betic Cordilleras an asymmetric arcuate mountain belt (Gibraltar Arc)  
118 around the Alboran Sea, at the western tip of the Alpine orogen (Chalouan and Michard 2004). It is known by the  
119 abundance of friable lithological formations (i.e. Mudstones, Flysch and Schists) and by the steep and rugged  
120 geomorphological aspect. It extends from the Atlantic Ocean (west) to the Nekor river (east) and from the Strait  
121 of Gibraltar (north) to the Gharb Plain (south). It corresponds to most of the mountainous Mediterranean area of  
122 Morocco. The extensive coastal plains on the Atlantic side (between Tangier and Larache) contrast, on the  
123 Mediterranean side, with small low valleys and narrow intramountain plains, the most important of which are  
124 Ghis-Nekor, Martil-Alila and Oued Laou. This arcuate mountain belt (convex towards the Mediterranean) reaches  
125 up to 2,450 m at Mount Tidirrhine (east), reflects steeper slopes on the Mediterranean side, and is surrounded by  
126 a set of low hills with soft contours.

127 Geologically, it shows three major domains: the ‘External Zones’ which consist of a fold-thrust belt detached from  
128 the attenuated African crust along Upper Triassic evaporitic redbeds, the ‘Maghrebian Flysch Nappes’ which  
129 originate from an oceanic/transitional crust-floored during the Jurassic-Early Miocene times, and The ‘Internal  
130 Zones’ which consist of three nappe complexes of continental origin (Dorsale Calcaire, Ghomaride and Sebtime)  
131 (Chalouan et al. 2008). Each of these three domains consists of tectonic complexes of stacked units or nappes with  
132 similar lithologies within a given complex but contrasting from one complex to the other (Chalouan et al. 2008;  
133 Michard et al. 2008).

134 The Internal domain extends from Sebta (North) to Jebha (East) and consists of continental units displaced  
135 westward over several hundreds of kilometres, thus representing a genuine exotic terrane (Chalouan et al. 2008).  
136 It does not exceed 10 km wide northern Tetouan and widens gradually to reach 30 km near the small town of  
137 Jebha. It is a thinned block of crystalline continental crust with Palaeozoic to Tertiary sedimentary cover (which  
138 are, in places, sheared off to form stack nappes) (Wildi 1983). It constitutes, from bottom to top, three  
139 superimposed structural complexes named the ‘Sebtime’, ‘Dorsale Calcaire’ and ‘Ghomaride’ Complexes,

140 respectively (Didon et al. 1973; Suter 1980). The Sebide Complex is affected by a strong polyphasic Alpine  
141 metamorphism which is absent or poorly developed in the Dorsale Calcaire and Ghomaride Nappes (Zaghloul et  
142 al. 2010). The lower plate corresponds to the Sebide dominantly consisting of relatively deep crustal rocks such  
143 as mica-schists, migmatites and granulites associated with mantle peridotites (“Beni Bousera” village near Jebha)  
144 (Chalouan et al. 2008). The upper plate consists of the Ghomaride, which overlie the Sebide through a regional  
145 detachment. They include Palaeozoic rocks affected by a Variscan metamorphism partly superimposed by weak  
146 Alpine recrystallization, and relicts of their Mesozoic-Cenozoic cover (Azzouz 1992; Chalouan 1986). The  
147 Dorsale Calcaire is a complex of Mesozoic-Cenozoic thrust sheets dominated by Triassic-Liassic carbonates which  
148 appears as discontinuous ranges at the front, and generally below the more internal crustal units (Nold et al. 1981;  
149 Wildi 1983).

150 The Flysch domain is of relatively thin, but extensive thrust-nappes consisting of turbiditic sediments that root  
151 beneath the Internal domain and overlie the External one (Durand-Delga 1980; Wildi 1983). This turbidite  
152 sequences (‘Flysch’) are dominant, but clay-dominated sequences occur at the bottom of each nappe (“pre-flysch”  
153 sequences). Part of the Flysch nappes has been back-thrust over the northern Ghomaride complex (e.g. Jbel  
154 Zenzem southern Sebta) (Chalouan et al. 2008). The External domain is divided into three zones, according to  
155 structural and stratigraphic criteria, i.e. from NE to SW the Intrarif, Mesorif and Prerif (Suter 1980).

156 From the administrative point of view, the study area covers more than 12,000 km<sup>2</sup> mainly distributed between the  
157 provinces of Tetouan, Chefchaouen, Al Hoceima, M'diq-Fnideq, Fahs-Anjra and Larache. It has a rich and diverse  
158 heritage, including 21 well-distributed Sites of Biological and Ecological Interest which include maritime, coastal,  
159 continental and wetland areas such as the ‘Talassemtane’ and ‘Al Hoceima’ National Parks, the ‘Bouhachem’  
160 Regional Park and six sites of geomorphological, biological and ecological value (Moussa mountain, Smir lagoon,  
161 Taifour hill, Ghomara coast, Jebha Circus and Tizirene mountain). It covers almost two-thirds of the Tangier-  
162 Tetouan-Al Hoceima administrative region marked by an unbalanced demographic growth and profound changes  
163 in the spatial and socioeconomic structure (especially in rural and inland areas); There are nearly 4 million  
164 inhabitants (with a growth rate of 1.5%) of which 60% are living in urban areas following the emergence of several  
165 small coastal centres (where spreads gradually tourist infrastructure) and the concentration of industrial activities  
166 in the major coastal cities (especially in Tangier) (HCP 2018).

167 Most of the industrial projects are concentrated on the metropolitan area of Tangier (which benefits from a unique  
168 location and provides a competitive infrastructure in continuous development) while tourist activities extend

169 mainly along the coastline. In the other provinces (Al Hoceima, Chefchaouen, Fahs-Anjra and Ouezzane), the  
170 difficulties of access, the hard mountain conditions and the large migratory flows led to a drop in agricultural  
171 profitability (main activity sector) which intensify the disruption between the economy and demography (Salhi  
172 and Chikhi 2018). Indeed, the agricultural sector is the locomotive of the regional economy with up to 11% of the  
173 country's useful agricultural land and 57% of the total regional area (Salhi et al. 2020).

174 The rural population is characterized by high rates of illiteracy and poverty and low indices of social development  
175 (HCP 2014). Rural economic activities are essentially traditional farming, extensive livestock, and an increase of  
176 cannabis crops. Still, there is a seasonal, irregular and unorganized economic income for local population in  
177 relation with seaside, geo and spiritual tourisms. These are linked to an extended 235 km of coastline, several  
178 attractive mountain parks (e.g. Talasemtane, Bouhachem and Ben Karrich) and the shrine of saints (e.g. 'Moulay  
179 Abdessalam Ben Machich').

180 The study area is of the biodiversity hotspots of the Mediterranean which, however, suffers an incessant process  
181 of degradation due to overexploitation and non-alignment of management and protection actions threatening  
182 several ecosystems and landscapes (Salah et al. 2018; Salhi et al. 2020). Over the past twenty years, it has witnessed  
183 an accelerating economic dynamism that has led to the growth of all productive sectors and a rapid increase in the  
184 regional gross domestic product (Salhi et al. 2019). This dynamism was accompanied by major structural projects  
185 and high demand for investment projects, especially in industry, tourism and construction. However, it involved  
186 high pressure on the quality and availability of water and soil, and led to waste production and environmental  
187 degradation (Salhi et al. 2020).

188 The climate of the study area is Mediterranean marked by long dry summers giving rise to several arid months (3  
189 to 5 in average), with high water stress constraints, but potentially favourable to an extended touristic season (Salhi  
190 et al. 2019). This potential should be carefully studied and managed within the values of ecological tourism not to  
191 break the fragile water balance. Winter and autumn are rainy (45% and 30% per annual rainfall average,  
192 respectively), although there is an irregular spatiotemporal variability in the annual rainfall (from 300 mm in  
193 average in the East up to 1,100 mm in the central mountain belt), which can locally reach high intensities causing  
194 abundant runoff and loss of soil (Salhi et al. 2020). Besides, there is a clear temperature increase in the last decades  
195 because of global warming, as well as longer droughts (Benabdelouahab and Salhi 2018; Hadria et al. 2019). The  
196 area is known for a very active erosive processes with an average of potential annual soil loss rate of 27.7 t/ha/year  
197 which is equivalent to a massive potential gross amount of soil loss of 2.7 kg/m<sup>2</sup>/year. This means an average of

198 the potential gross amount of soil loss of 44.3 Mt/year which leads to a rapid decrease of dams' storage capacities  
199 and the deterioration of land and vegetation (Salhi et al. 2020).

## 200 **2.2. Methodological framework**

201 Geomorphosites are geomorphological landforms that have acquired a scientific, cultural/historical, aesthetic  
202 and/or social/economic value due to human perception or exploitation (Reynard and Panizza 2005). They can be  
203 single geomorphological objects or wider landscapes which may be modified, damaged, and even destroyed under  
204 the anthropogenic pressure. Hence, the urgent need to promote their values and to protect them under a legal  
205 framework.

206 During the last decades, several attempts have been made to evaluate the quality of geomorphological heritage in  
207 various contexts. Even if many methods of quantitative assessment of geosites are available in the literature, but  
208 the criteria and parameters they use are often unclear and ambiguous (Mucivuna et al. 2019). Yet, there are three  
209 recurrent assessment criteria that are rarity, representativeness and integrity (Reynard et al. 2007; Reynard and  
210 Giusti 2018). In general, the aim of a quantitative assessment is to decrease the subjectivity associated with any  
211 evaluation procedure; the result of this numerical assessment is a sorted list of sites (where those with higher value  
212 should be given top priority), which is a powerful tool for the establishment of management priorities (Brilha  
213 2016).

214 Here, the methodology consists of an exhaustive assessment based on the method developed by the University of  
215 Lausanne to assess the scientific and additional values of geomorphosites at the regional scale (Reynard et al.  
216 2007; Reynard et al. 2016), which have been widely applied with good results (Bouzekraoui et al. 2018b; Clivaz  
217 and Reynard 2018; Comănescu et al. 2009; Kubalíková and Kirchner 2016; Mauerhofer et al. 2018; Safarabadi  
218 and Shahzeidi 2018). It followed simple systematic steps that takes into consideration a set of ecological, cultural,  
219 aesthetic and economic criteria qualified by numerical scores that indicate the proportional value attributed to the  
220 site (**Table 1**). The assessment included two main stages (inventory and quantification) where the geomorphosites  
221 are identified, selected and characterized (inventory) then the importance of sites is determined by numerical  
222 assessment of criteria, allowing the comparison of sites (quantification) (Panizza 2001; Pereira and Pereira 2010).

223 The inventory stage starts with quest for all the possible geomorphosites in which the necessary data is collected  
224 in situ after long but fascinating fieldwork. Later, a comprehensive list of existing geomorphosites is established,  
225 together with a copious data compilation from specialized literature. The inventory process was completed when

226 the detailed description of each of the selected geomorphosites was finished. The quantification stage succeeds the  
227 inventory process and builds on the data which are previously compiled. It makes use of a set of two values (i.e.  
228 scientific and additional values) divided into four criteria then to twelve sub-criteria (**Table 1**). The description of  
229 each geomorphosite deals mainly with its geomorphological characteristics but also with other features such as  
230 archaeological findings, human infrastructures, biotopes, etc.

231 The assessment of the scientific value of each site was based on the restrictive definition of geomorphosites which  
232 include sub-criteria that encourage greater context-sensitivity analysis (i.e. integrity, representativeness, rareness  
233 and paleogeographic value). The assessment of the additional value focuses on the ecological, aesthetic, cultural  
234 and economic criteria. It is based on simple sub-criteria which aim not to give an exhaustive analysis of the site in  
235 each specific discipline (i.e. history, biology, economy, religion) but to highlight potential relationships that may  
236 exist between geomorphology and other aspects of culture and nature.

237 For each site, scores ranging from 0 to 1 (in increments of 0.25) define the importance of the object, according to  
238 each sub-criterion. In each value (i.e. scientific and additional), the sub-criteria have the same weight. A score is  
239 then calculated for each of the two values which is the average of the scores of the corresponding sub-criteria.  
240 Later, the final score is calculated for each site to be equal to the average of the scores for the two values.  
241 Consequently, the sites with the highest final scores may be considered to be the most valuable geomorphosites in  
242 the study area (Reynard et al. 2007; Reynard et al. 2016). After that, among all the sites, only the most interesting  
243 ones were selected taking into account a qualitative assessment based on the geomorphological intrinsic value, the  
244 potential use and the need for protection of the site (Pereira and Pereira 2010; Reynard et al. 2007). Finally, it was  
245 established a restricted list of the most outstanding sites which have a final score higher than the sum of the average  
246 and the standard deviation of the scores. The aim of emphasising rank averages in geomorphosite assessment is to  
247 produce a homogeneity criterion of results (Reynard et al. 2016). Thus, geomorphosites that score well over the  
248 full spectrum of indicators will also be amongst the best placed in the final ranking (Reynard and Giusti 2018).

249 The data collected in situ on the qualitative and quantitative characterization of the geomorphosites were compiled  
250 in inventory sheets in a simple and easy form to be, later, assimilated by the general public. Each inventory sheet  
251 includes the name of the site, its type, shape, photo, specific alphanumeric code, coordinates and administrative  
252 situation, dimensions, hydrometry, geomorphological processes and a brief description of the general observations.  
253 These data were then integrated into a geographic database under ArcGIS 10.2 which contains the cartographic  
254 limits of the selected geomorphosites. The statistical methods adopted to interpret the data included the Pearson

255 correlation coefficient and descriptive statistics analysed based on the Analysis ToolPak Add-in of Microsoft  
256 Excel.

### 257 **3. Results and discussion**

258 The geomorphological value may be assessed according to different material and scientific properties (Coratza  
259 and Hobléa 2018; Reynard et al. 2016) and to intangible heritage (Nogué and Wilbrand 2018). In our study, this  
260 value (which can be scientific, cultural/historical, aesthetic and / or socioeconomic) is assessed based on ranking  
261 scores of the geomorphosites and their statistical analysis. Taking into account the presence of the outstanding  
262 attractive and significant landscapes, the Rif belt have a geoheritage wealth that deserves to be emphasized,  
263 conserved and interpreted to a wide audience (geotourism).

264 In the study area, a significant typological diversity is observed regardless the morphogenic form, especially in  
265 karstic, coastal, lacustrine and fluvial forms. A total of 185 geomorphosites were identified from which it was  
266 selected a final list of 169 most interesting sites, based on a qualitative assessment which takes account of the  
267 geomorphological intrinsic value, the potential use and the need for protection of the site (**Table 2**). It is observed  
268 a predominance of karstic and coastal forms (36.5% and 24.7%, respectively) in relation with the abundance of  
269 limestone in this coastal belt. The aeolian and frost-riven sites are the least numerous in the study area (0.6% and  
270 1.2%, respectively) (**Table 3**).

271 Out of the 169 interesting sites, it was established a restricted list of the most outstanding ones which have a final  
272 score higher than the sum of the average (0.59) and the standard deviation (0.10) of the scores (i.e. the outstanding  
273 inferior-limit is 0.69). This list includes 39 outstanding sites again predominated by coastal and karstic landforms  
274 (35.9% and 25.6%) while gravity, aeolian and frost-riven sites are absent (**Table 3**).

275 For the 169 interesting sites, the average final score is 0.59 while the average scientific and additional values are  
276 0.68 and 0.50, respectively. The reason is that most of the sites have more scientific value than cultural, ecological,  
277 aesthetic or economic one in relation with the local or regional interests (low scores) of most of the sites; for  
278 instance, it is the additional value that really influences the list of the outstanding sites. In fact, it is observed that  
279 most of the sites have relatively high scientific values in relation with their good preservation (integrity criteria),  
280 the quality of their geomorphological features at the regional level (representativeness criteria) and the unique  
281 characteristics they contain (rareness criteria). Contrariwise, the paleogeographic criteria have less influence on  
282 scientific value in most cases.

283 Overall, the highest final scores are calculated for the coastal ‘bay of Al Hoceima’ (Code C43), the coastal ‘beach  
284 of Lhwad’ (C28) and the ‘Cirque of Jebha’ structural landform (S13). Beside the above-mentioned, the highest  
285 scientific values are observed for the fluvio-karstic ‘natural bridge and travertine of Assifane’ (Fk5) and the karstic  
286 ‘old cave of Ifahssa’ (K28). Likewise, the highest additional values are observed for the karstic ‘Spring of Ketama’  
287 and the coastal beaches of ‘Sebta peninsula’, ‘Oued Laou’, ‘Monica’, ‘Lhwad’ and ‘Cala Iris’.

288 In term of coastal landforms, 14 outstanding sites are highlighted out of 42 which are located southern the Strait  
289 of Gibraltar then between Oued Laou and Al Hoceima cities (**Fig. 2**). The beaches located between Fnideq and  
290 Oued Laou cities have scores that are close to the average but not above the outstanding inferior-limit (0.69), even  
291 if they generate high economic products and revenues due to their high national and international seaside  
292 attractiveness. The outstanding karstic landforms are 10 out of 62 total karstic sites which are located in the  
293 mountains of Al Haouz northern the city of Tetouan and in the extended carbonate ridge (‘Dorsale Calcaire’)  
294 between Tetouan and Bab Berred village (**Fig. 3**). The structural and lacustrine landforms count of 8 outstanding  
295 sites out of 33 which are located near Tetouan, between Oued Laou and Chefchaouen cities and in Jebha (**Fig. 4**).  
296 Likewise, the fluvial and fluvio-karstic landforms count of 7 outstanding sites out of 21 which are located between  
297 Chefchaouen and Oued Laou then in the mountains of Al Haouz (**Fig. 5**).

298 The outstanding sites could be linked in thematic ‘Geocircuits’ which may be gathering points for initiatives and  
299 projects within four interconnected themes: the exceptional geomorphological sites and landscape, the rich cultural  
300 history, the amazing artistic diversity and the local produce and products (Bouzekraoui et al. 2018a; Han et al.  
301 2018). These themes should become the common denominator for the Rif area’s collective development which,  
302 like in many cases, may stimulate job creation, education, dissemination, environmental protection, sustainability  
303 and, above all, identity consolidation which, together, will improve the quality of well-being and lead to the decline  
304 of land abandonment and massive immigration (Berred et al. 2019; Bruschi and Coratza 2018; Gordon 2018).

305 A regional strategical change should be done to enable an inclusive involvement of local communities through the  
306 Geocircuits. Still, this change must take into consideration that the rural society of the region includes traditional  
307 and devout people which have their own habits and conducts that any new coming should tolerate and adapt. For  
308 instance, women are very active within the rural society but it is unusual for them to communicate with strangers.  
309 Hence, involving women in the geotouristic activities is a challenge that should be addressed carefully through the  
310 preparation of facilities to exhibit their local handmade items (carpets, clothing, food). Local people may also learn

311 simplified and general stories and explanations about the local landscape (in all its natures) to transfer to visitors  
312 of the local shops and accommodations.

313 The competent administrations should firstly recognize, provide necessary equipment and advertise the  
314 Geocircuits. After that, once the local communities discover them as a good source for their income, they will start  
315 getting involved, learning how to host tourists and give them proper services. Definitively, they will realise their  
316 landscape (natural and cultural) as the most valuable wealth they must keep and protect.

317 Three Geocircuits are proposed for the diversity of their characteristics and richness of their landscape (**Fig. 6**).  
318 The first (**Fig. 7**) starts from the Bouanan mountain where it can be contemplated an exceptional landscape  
319 comprising the cluse of Tetouan, the mountains of Al Haouz and the coastal area between Sidi Abdessalam (South),  
320 Martil and the hill of Taifour (North). The geocircuit continues through the coastal town of Martil to the Taifour  
321 hill (located north of it) where it can be contemplated the exceptional panorama of the coastal watersheds and the  
322 geomorphological and urbanistic range of the region. The route continues on a coastal road of twenty kilometres  
323 crossing several beaches, tourist complexes and cities until arriving at the mountainous ‘Moussa’ complex located  
324 west of the city of Sebta. Since there, it can be admired (in profile from west to east) the contrast of the mountainous  
325 geological and biological heritage of the national park of the Strait of Gibraltar, the green hills then the narrow  
326 coastal plains linearly urbanized. The following two stops are geographically the last two villages of Africa; the  
327 small villages of Belyounesh and Ksar Seghir where the carbonated mountain complex plunges under the Strait of  
328 Gibraltar to reappear on the European side offering an extraordinary panorama on the world most famous natural  
329 water channel.

330 The second geocircuit (**Fig. 8**) contrasts with the previous in length and in landscape. More extensive, its path  
331 connects Tetouan to the mountainous town of Chefchaouen, in the South, through a first stretch of rocky coasts  
332 (except for some small narrow beaches) until reaching the small town of Oued Laou. From this valley, it is  
333 gradually immersed in a mountainous fluviokarstic panorama through the canyon of the Laou river. Once in the  
334 small village of Akchour, the path can continue by car, mountain bike or on foot through the Talasemtane National  
335 Park.

336 The third geocircuit (**Fig. 9**) is much longer since it connects Chefchaouen and the coastal town of Al Hoceima.  
337 The first mountain section contrasts with the second which is mainly coastal. This geocircuit starts from a  
338 fluviokarstic landscape rich in springs, waterfalls, cavities and canyons towards a panorama of breathtaking

339 beaches and capes marked by a splendid contrast of colours and rocky, faunistic and floristic diversities which  
340 overlaps the crystal-clear waters of the Mediterranean Sea.

341 These three geocircuits offer a look at the richness of the natural and cultural heritage of the Mediterranean region  
342 of Morocco. They exhibit several places where scientific, cultural and educational values can be explored to  
343 contribute to knowledge and respect for natural and social heritage. They engender a strong tourist potential but  
344 can also attract different levels of educational activities.

#### 345 **4. Conclusions**

346 Natural heritage, and geoheritage as a part of it, plays a positive role for improving resilience thanks to its historic,  
347 aesthetic, social, scientific and spiritual values for past, present and future generations. It often represents the only  
348 memory of landscape evolution and enrich people's lives providing a deep and inspirational sense of connection  
349 between community and landscape (Icomos 1999). Consequently, its promotion and preservation could result in  
350 the positive effect of contributing to preserve natural diversity in all its aspects (Petrosino et al. 2019). Actually,  
351 geoheritage is officially recognized as an integral part of UNESCO World heritage which is promoted by many  
352 international organizations (e.g. the International Union for Conservation of Nature and the European Geoparks  
353 Network). It represents a major resource for sustainable development projects and contributes to social welfare by  
354 providing complementarity with biological and cultural heritage (Choi et al. 2010; Suzuki and Takagi 2018).

355 So far, geoheritage is considered as an interdisciplinary geo-based topic but it should be, henceforward, a source  
356 of a harmonious development once integrated into territorial and strategy planning (Poiraud et al. 2016). The  
357 promotion and fructification of this little-known natural resource in rural areas of southern Mediterranean may  
358 enhance social resilience and consolidate the management strategies especially if it is managed according to a  
359 responsible and sustainable approach taking account of the local specificities. Therefore, as suggested in many  
360 previous studies, transforming the current simple inventory vision of geoheritage into a territorial planning tool  
361 may contribute to maintaining environmental balance and combating depopulation and land abandonment (Poiraud  
362 et al. 2016; Stefano and Paolo 2017; Zangmo et al. 2017). This will create economic diversity to revive a social  
363 fabric capable of satisfying its needs and expectations, reducing the gap and inequalities between deprived rural  
364 upstream and industrialized urban downstream, and inspiring benign and balanced development.

365 Obviously, this must be brought about by a courageous and bold political decision, which takes account of the  
366 fairly tense socioeconomic context, through a gradual change of perception and involvement based on a time-

367 consuming culture of assimilation and acceptance. Citizen science is called upon to play a primordial role through  
368 education, awareness, integration, preparation and innovation to highlight the existing natural and cultural wealth  
369 and to voluntarily and gently involve individuals, groups and institutions.

370 In a Mediterranean (and even global) context marked by a great geopolitical scramble but also by great pandemic,  
371 climatic and environmental vulnerabilities, it is time to rethink the style of collaborations between neighboring  
372 countries which, while keeping the plural regional character, can become allies and united in the face of climatic  
373 extremes, hydro-farming demands, and environmental and biological changes (Benabdelouahab et al. 2020; Salhi  
374 et al. 2020). The countries of the North already know that Africa no longer needs aid but rather understanding and  
375 strategic support (Glennie 2010; Mangala 2012). Closer multilateral economic relations are the solution to endorse  
376 a socio-political context capable of stimulating a level of industrialization and development capable of bringing  
377 about a middle class. The latter is vital for ensuring social sustainability and promoting shared cultural and natural  
378 heritage.

## 379 **Declarations**

## 380 **Funding**

381 This research was funded by the National Centre for Scientific and Technical Research (CNRST, Morocco)  
382 [contracts number PPR/11/2015 and IK/2018/48].

## 383 **Author contributions**

384 A.S. and M.R.A. conceived and designed the research. A.S. supervised the field work carried out by M.R.A. Both  
385 led the analysis, mapping and wrote the paper with contributions from all the authors. S.B., J.V.S., T.B., P.S., M.H.  
386 and A.C.P. provided guidance on mapping, writing the manuscript and interpreting the results.

## 387 **Competing Interest**

388 The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could  
389 have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

## 390 **References**

391 Arrad TY, Errami E, Ennih N, Ouajhain B, Bouaouda MS (2020) From geoheritage inventory to geoeducation and  
392 geotourism implications: Insight from Jbel Amsittene (Essaouira province, Morocco) *Journal of African*  
393 *Earth Sciences* 161:103656

394 Azzouz O (1992) Lithostratigraphie et tectonique hercynienne des terrains paléozoïques Ghomarides du massif de  
395 Bokkoya (Rif interne, Maroc). Thèse de 3<sup>o</sup> cycle, Fac. Sc. Rabat

396 Badang D, Ali CA, Komoo I, Leman MS (2017) Sustainable Geological Heritage Development Approach in  
397 Sarawak Delta, Sarawak, Malaysia *Geoheritage* 9:443-462

398 Benabdelouahab S, Salhi A (2018) Protection et durabilité de l'aquifère côtier de Martil-Alila: Vers une logique  
399 de durabilité et protection de l'environnement au Nord du Maroc. Noor Publishing,

400 Benabdelouahab T, Gadouali F, Boudhar A, Lebrini Y, Hadria R, Salhi A (2020) Analysis and trends of rainfall  
401 amounts and extreme events in the Western Mediterranean region *Theor Appl Climatol* 140

402 Beraaouz M, Macadam J, Bouchaou L, Ikenne M, Ernst R, Tagma T, Masrour M (2019) An Inventory of  
403 Geoheritage Sites in the Draa Valley (Morocco): a Contribution to Promotion of Geotourism and  
404 Sustainable Development *Geoheritage* 11:241-255

405 Berred S, Fadli D, El Wartiti M, Zahraoui M, Berred K, Sadki R (2019) Geomorphosites of the Semi-arid Tata  
406 Region: Valorization of an Unknown Geoheritage for Geotourism Sustainable Development (Anti-Atlas,  
407 South Morocco) *Geoheritage* 11:1989-2004

408 Bouzekraoui H, Barakat A, Mouaddine A, El Youssi M, Touhami F, Hafid A (2018a) Mapping geoheritage for  
409 geotourism management, a case study of Aït Bou Oulli Valley in Central High-Atlas (Morocco)  
410 *Environmental earth sciences* 77:413

411 Bouzekraoui H, Barakat A, Touhami F, Mouaddine A, El Youssi M (2018b) Inventory and assessment of  
412 geomorphosites for geotourism development: A case study of Aït Bou Oulli valley (Central High - Atlas,  
413 Morocco) *Area* 50:331-343

414 Brilha J (2016) Inventory and quantitative assessment of geosites and geodiversity sites: a review *Geoheritage*  
415 8:119-134

416 Bruschi VM, Coratza P (2018) Geoheritage and environmental impact assessment (EIA). In: *Geoheritage*.  
417 Elsevier, pp 251-264

418 Chalouan A (1986) Les nappes Ghomarides (Rif septentrional, Maroc), un terrain varisque dans la chaîne alpine.

419 Chalouan A, Michard A (2004) The Alpine Rif Belt (Morocco): a case of mountain building in a subduction-  
420 subduction-transform fault triple junction *Pure and applied Geophysics* 161:489-519

421 Chalouan A, Michard A, El Kadiri K, Negro F, de Lamotte DF, Soto J, Saddiqi O (2008) The Rif Belt. In:  
422 Continental evolution: the geology of Morocco. Springer, pp 203-302

423 Choi AS, Ritchie BW, Papandrea F, Bennett J (2010) Economic valuation of cultural heritage sites: A choice  
424 modeling approach *Tourism management* 31:213-220

425 Clivaz M, Reynard E (2018) How to integrate invisible geomorphosites in an inventory: A case study in the Rhone  
426 River valley (Switzerland) *Geoheritage* 10:527-541

427 Comănescu L, Nedelea A, Dobre R INVENTORING AND EVALUATION OF GEOMORPHOSITES IN THE  
428 BUCEGI MOUNTAINS. In: *Forum geografic*, 2009.

429 Coratza P, Hobléa F (2018) The specificities of geomorphological heritage. In: *Geoheritage*. Elsevier, pp 87-106

430 Didon J, Durand-Delga M, Kornprobst J (1973) Homologies géologiques entre les deux rives du détroit de  
431 Gibraltar *Bulletin de la Societé géologique de France* 7:77-105

432 Durand-Delga M (1980) Considerations sur les flyschs du Cretace inferieur dans les chaines alpines d'Europe  
433 *Bulletin de la Société Géologique de France* 7:15-30

434 Errami E et al. (2015) Geoheritage and geoparks in Africa and the Middle-East: challenges and perspectives. In:  
435 From Geoheritage to Geoparks. Springer, pp 3-23

436 Glennie J (2010) *The trouble with aid: Why less could mean more for Africa*. Zed Books Ltd.,

437 Gordon JE (2018) Geoheritage, geotourism and the cultural landscape: Enhancing the visitor experience and  
438 promoting geoconservation *Geosciences* 8:136

439 Gordon JE, Crofts R, Díaz-Martínez E (2018) Geoheritage conservation and environmental policies: retrospect  
440 and prospect. In: *Geoheritage*. Elsevier, pp 213-235

441 Hadria R et al. (2019) Derivation of air temperature of agricultural areas of Morocco from remotely land surface  
442 temperature based on the updated Köppen-Geiger climate classification *Modeling Earth Systems and*  
443 *Environment* 5:1883-1892 doi:10.1007/s40808-019-00645-4

444 Han J, Wu F, Tian M, Li W (2018) From geopark to sustainable development: Heritage conservation and  
445 geotourism promotion in the Huangshan UNESCO Global Geopark (China) *Geoheritage* 10:79-91

446 HCP (2014) *Caractéristiques démographiques et socioéconomiques de la région de Tanger-Tétouan-Al Hoceima*,  
447 Haut-Commissariat au Plan edn. Haut-Commissariat au Plan. doi:[https://www.hcp.ma/region-](https://www.hcp.ma/region-tanger/attachment/1605576/)  
448 [tanger/attachment/1605576/](https://www.hcp.ma/region-tanger/attachment/1605576/)

449 HCP (2018) *Monographie de la région Tanger-Tétouan-Al Hoceima*. Haut-Commissariat au Plan.  
450 doi:<https://www.hcp.ma/region-tanger/attachment/1322593/>

451 Holladay PJ, Powell RB (2013) Resident perceptions of social–ecological resilience and the sustainability of  
452 community-based tourism development in the Commonwealth of Dominica *Journal of Sustainable*  
453 *Tourism* 21:1188-1211

454 Hose TA (2006) Geotourism and interpretation *Geotourism* 2006:221-241

455 Ibáñez J-J, Brevik EC, Cerdà A (2019) Geodiversity and geoheritage: Detecting scientific and geographic biases  
456 and gaps through a bibliometric study *Sci Total Environ* 659:1032-1044  
457 doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.12.443>

458 Icomos A (1999) The Burra Charter: The Australia ICOMOS charter for places of cultural significance Burwood:  
459 Australia ICOMOS

460 Ilieș D-C, Josan N (2009) Geosites-geomorphosites and relief *GeoJournal of Tourism and Geosites* 2

461 Kubalíková L (2013) Geomorphosite assessment for geotourism purposes *Czech Journal of Tourism* 2:80-104

462 Kubalíková L, Kirchner K (2016) Geosite and geomorphosite assessment as a tool for geoconservation and  
463 geotourism purposes: a case study from Vizovická vrchovina Highland (eastern part of the Czech  
464 Republic) *Geoheritage* 8:5-14

465 Mangala J (2012) Africa and the European Union: a strategic partnership. Springer,

466 Mauerhofer L, Reynard E, Asrat A, Hurni H (2018) Contribution of a geomorphosite inventory to the geoheritage  
467 knowledge in developing countries: The case of the Simien Mountains National Park, Ethiopia  
468 *Geoheritage* 10:559-574

469 Melelli L, Vergari F, Liucci L, Del Monte M (2017) Geomorphodiversity index: Quantifying the diversity of  
470 landforms and physical landscape *Sci Total Environ* 584-585:701-714  
471 doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.01.101>

472 Michard A, Hoëpffner C, Soulaïmani A, Baidder L (2008) The variscan belt. In: Continental evolution: The  
473 geology of Morocco. Springer, pp 65-132

474 Mucivuna VC, Reynard E, Garcia MdGM (2019) Geomorphosites assessment methods: comparative analysis and  
475 typology *Geoheritage* 11:1799-1815

476 Nogué J, Vicente J (2004) Landscape and national identity in Catalonia *Political Geography* 23:113-132  
477 doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.polgeo.2003.09.005>

478 Nogué J, Wilbrand SM (2018) Landscape identities in Catalonia *Landscape research* 43:443-454

479 Nold M, Uttinger J, Wildi W (1981) Géologie de la Dorsale calcaire entre Tétouan et Assifane (Rif interne, Maroc)  
480 *Notes et Mémoires du Service géologique du Maroc* 233:1-233

481 Panizza M (2001) Geomorphosites: concepts, methods and examples of geomorphological survey Chinese science  
482 bulletin 46:4-5

483 Pereira P, Pereira D (2010) Methodological guidelines for geomorphosite assessment *Géomorphologie: relief,*  
484 *processus, environnement* 16:215-222

485 Petrosino P, Iavarone R, Alberico I (2019) Enhancing Social Resilience Through Fruition of Geological Heritage  
486 in the Vesuvio National Park Geoheritage 11:2005-2024 doi:10.1007/s12371-019-00404-y

487 Poiraud A, Chevalier M, Claeysen B, Biron P-E, Joly B (2016) From geoheritage inventory to territorial planning  
488 tool in the Vercors massif (French Alps): Contribution of statistical and expert cross approaches *Appl*  
489 *Geogr* 71:69-82

490 Powell R et al. (2018) Examining community resilience to assist in sustainable tourism development planning in  
491 Dong Van Karst Plateau Geopark, Vietnam *Tourism Planning & Development* 15:436-457

492 Reynard E, Fontana G, Kozlik L, Scapoza C (2007) A method for assessing the scientific and additional values  
493 of geomorphosites *Geographica Helvetica* 62:148-158

494 Reynard E, Giusti C (2018) The landscape and the cultural value of geoheritage. In: *Geoheritage*. Elsevier, pp  
495 147-166

496 Reynard E, Panizza M (2005) Geomorphosites: definition, assessment and mapping. An introduction  
497 *Géomorphologie: relief, processus, environnement* 11:177-180

498 Reynard E, Perret A, Bussard J, Grangier L, Martin S (2016) Integrated approach for the inventory and  
499 management of geomorphological heritage at the regional scale *Geoheritage* 8:43-60

500 Safarabadi A, Shahzeidi SS (2018) Tourism silence in geomorphosites: a case study of Ali-Sadr Cave (Hamadan,  
501 Iran) *GeoJ Tour Geosites* 21:49-60

502 Salah HB, Bombín RE, Taiqui L (2018) Natural sacred sites as indicators of social-ecological system change in  
503 traditional landscapes of Northern Morocco *Landscape and Ecological Engineering* 14:121-133  
504 doi:10.1007/s11355-017-0338-6

505 Salhi A et al. (2020) Bridging the gap of perception is the only way to align soil protection actions *Sci Total*  
506 *Environ* 718:137421 doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.137421>

507 Salhi A, Chikhi N (2018) *Recherche géographique et questions du développement durable au Maroc*. Al Hamama  
508 Imprenta, Morocco,

509 Salhi A, Martin-Vide J, Benhamrouche A, Benabdelouahab S, Himi M, Benabdelouahab T, Casas Ponsati A (2019)  
510 Rainfall distribution and trends of the daily precipitation concentration index in northern Morocco: a need  
511 for an adaptive environmental policy SN Applied Sciences 1:277 doi:10.1007/s42452-019-0290-1  
512 Scarlett JP, Riede F (2019) The Dark Geocultural Heritage of Volcanoes: Combining Cultural and Geoheritage  
513 Perspectives for Mutual Benefit Geoheritage 11:1705-1721 doi:10.1007/s12371-019-00381-2  
514 Serrano E, González-Trueba JJ (2005) Assessment of geomorphosites in natural protected areas: the Picos de  
515 Europa National Park (Spain) Géomorphologie: relief, processus, environnement 11:197-208  
516 Sharples C, McIntosh P, Comfort M (2018) Geodiversity and Geoconservation in Land Management in Tasmania–  
517 A Top-Down Approach. In: Geoheritage. Elsevier, pp 355-371  
518 Stefano M, Paolo S (2017) Abandoned quarries and geotourism: An opportunity for the Salento quarry district  
519 (Apulia, Southern Italy) Geoheritage 9:463-477  
520 Suter G (1980) Carte structurale de la chaîne rifaine au 1/500 000 Notes et Mémoires du Service géologique du  
521 Maroc 245  
522 Suzuki DA, Takagi H (2018) Evaluation of geosite for sustainable planning and management in geotourism  
523 Geoheritage 10:123-135  
524 Wildi W (1983) La chaîne tello-rifaine (Algérie, Maroc, Tunisie): structure, stratigraphie et évolution du Trias au  
525 Miocène Revue de géographie physique et de géologie dynamique 24:201-297  
526 Zaghoul MN et al. (2010) Depositional systems, composition and geochemistry of Triassic rifted - continental  
527 margin redbeds of the Internal Rif Chain, Morocco Sedimentology 57:312-350  
528 Zangmo GT, Kagou AD, Nkouathio DG, Gountié MD, Kamgang P (2017) The volcanic geoheritage of the Mount  
529 Bamenda calderas (Cameroon line): assessment for geotouristic and geoeducational purposes  
530 Geoheritage 9:255-278  
531