Experiencing fear during the pandemic. The Polish version of the Fear of Covid-19 Scale

Manuscript under review

Irena Pilch¹, Zofia Kurasz¹, Agnieszka Turska-Kawa²

¹Institute of Psychology, University of Silesia in Katowice, Poland

²Institute of Political Science, University of Silesia in Katowice, Poland

Corresponding Author:

Irena Pilch¹

Email address: irena.pilch@us.edu.pl

Abstract

Background. The Fear of COVID-19 Scale (FCV-19S) is a brief self-report measure developed at the beginning of the coronavirus pandemic (Ahorsu et al., 2020). The scale evaluates the emotional responses to COVID-19. To date, the FCV-19S has been translated and validated in about 20 languages and has been used in many published research. The current study aimed to validate the Polish version of the FCV-19S.

Method. The FCV-19S was translated into Polish using forward- and back-translation. A total of 708 community members (Sample 1: 383 persons, 209 females, Sample 2: 325 persons, 198 females) participated in the study. A confirmatory factor analysis was performed to test the one-factor structure of the FCV-19S. Then, measurement invariance was analyzed across samples, gender, and age groups. To assess the validity of the scale, correlations between the FCV-19S and the remaining scales were computed.

Results. Internal consistency of the FCV-19S was good in both samples (Cronbach's alpha .89 and .85). The CFA showed that the one-factor model fits the data well (RMSEA=0.067, 90% CI [0.059, 0.094], CFI= 0.977, TLI=0.965, GFI=0.986). The criteria for configural, metric, scalar, and strict invariance were met for all models tested. The FCV-19S scores correlated significantly with age, subjective vulnerability to the disease, neuroticism, self-reported compliance with the pandemic measures, and three kinds of preventive behavior (i.e., social distancing, hand hygiene, and disinfecting things).

Conclusion. The current study contributes to the validation of the FCV-19S in the Polish language. The Polish version of the FCV-19S had a unidimensional structure, good reliability, and correlated as predicted with other variables. The scale can be useful not only for scientists but

also for practitioners to identify individuals at higher risk of mental health problems related to the Covid-19 pandemic.

Introduction

At the end of 2019, the attention of most countries in the world turned to Wuhan in China, due to the increasing number of people infected by and dying from the novel coronavirus (Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2; SARS-CoV-2). The virus has spread at a tremendous pace, causing a global health crisis. Infections have been confirmed in most countries in the world. The World Health Organization (WHO) declared it to be a global pandemic several months later (in March 2020). The pandemic situation is a surprise and a challenge for everyone. In many countries, the pandemic takes a heavy toll and affects all aspects of people's lives, from private to work. Many occupational groups, such as healthcare professionals, were overwhelmed and exhausted from working for many hours, not to mention the fact that their work carried an enormous risk of contracting the virus. Additionally, limitation of work opportunities and a high rate of unemployment in other professional groups, financial consequences and the economic crisis had undoubtedly a negative impact on the psychophysical condition of citizens.

During the pandemic, most attention of medical care has been paid to infection control and methods of treating the physiological symptoms of the disease (Dong et al., 2020; Wang et al., 2020). Mental health and well-being were relegated to the background, especially in the early stages of the pandemic (Xiang et al., 2020), also due to the lack of appropriate tools to assess the growing anxiety related to COVID-19. Currently, many people require professional psychological intervention. Prolonged focus on increased infection and mortality rates, grief over the loss of loved ones, and uncertainty about the future resulted in clinically significant psychological symptoms in many subjects, i.e., increased levels of fear (Ornell, 2020; Ahorsu et al., 2020; Lin, 2020), anxiety (Peteet, 2020), hopelessness (Shaw, 2020), irrational and unclear thoughts (Ahorsu et al., 2020), or adjustment disorders (Zhang et al., 2020). Tian et al. (2020) in their study on the group of 1,060 Chinese people found that more than 70% of the subjects had moderate and higher levels of psychological symptoms, including obsessive-compulsive disorder, interpersonal sensitivity, phobic anxiety, and psychoticism.

As noted by Colizzi et al. (2020), the fear of COVID-19 is one of the core factors increasing the level of stress, exacerbating pre-existing mental health problems, and eliciting extreme anxiety reactions in time of the pandemic. This kind of fear can have many causes such as fear of getting infected, or of infecting loved ones, of being isolated from people and of contacting accidentally somebody who is infected (Lin, 2020). Thus, the proper evaluation of fear of COVID-19 is crucial. Emotions such as fear and anxiety can influence immunity, thus leading to and exacerbating disease state. What is also important, emotional responses to threats can influence individuals' behaviors during the pandemic. It has been proven that the level of fear has a significant impact on protective behavior during the pandemic. Additionally, elevated anxiety may lead to panic and misinterpretation of insignificant complaints as symptoms of the disease (Asmundson & Taylor, 2020a; 2020b). In turn, too little anxiety of viral infection is associated with a weaker tendency to follow the rules of hygiene and maintain social distance (Taylor, 2019). Thus, there is an urgent need for conducting research to understand the complexities of human behavior during the epidemic.

In that context, it is of crucial importance to have a reliable tool available in every language to examine the levels of fear related to COVID-19 in the society. There are a number of self-report scales that measure anxiety as a state or trait. However, these scales are not suitable to assess a person's response to specific stimuli. To measure emotional responses in the pandemic situation, some new instruments have been recently developed. One of them is the Fear of COVID-19 Scale (FCV-19S; Ahorsu et al., 2020) which has gained a lot of attention as a tool with robust psychometric properties.

Fear of COVID-19 Scale

The Fear of COVID-19 Scale (FCV-19S) was originally developed for the general population in Iran to evaluate the emotional response to COVID-19 and it was published in the English version (Ahorsu et al., 2020). Subsequently, this scale has been translated from English and validated in many languages, i.e., Arabic (Alyami et al., 2020), Bangla (Sakib et al., 2020), Brazilian Portuguese (Faro et al., 2020), English (Perz et al., 2020; Winter et al., 2020), French (Mailliez et al., 2020), Italian (Soraci et al., 2020), Malay (Pang et al., 2020), Persian (Ahorsu et al., 2020), Spanish (Barrios et al., 2020; Huarcaya-Victoria et al., 2020; Martínez-Lorca et al., 2020), Tamil (Bharatharaj et al., 2020), Turkish (Haktanir et al., 2020; Satıcı et al., 2020), Urdu (Mahmood et al., 2020), Chinese (Chi et al., 2020), Hebrew (Bitan et al., 2020), Japanese

(Masuyama et al., 2020), Russian-Belarusian (Reznik et al., 2020). Previous scale validations covered many internally varied and different in size groups, e.g., 629 adolescents from two junior high schools in Japan (Matsuyama et al., 2020), 1,700 participants aged 10-57 in China (Chi et al., 2020), or 693 members of the general Saudi population who were at least 18 years of age (Alyami et al., 2020).

The FCV-19S has been used in a number of published research on the relationships in terms of such important psychological issues as depression, anxiety and stress (Ahorsu et al., 2020; Perz et al., 2020; Bitan et al., 2020; Alyami et al., 2020), mental wellbeing (Ahmed et al., 2020; Winter et al., 2020), specific phobia(s) (Soraci et al., 2020), satisfaction with life (Satici et al., 2020), psychological distress (Alyami et al., 2020), post-traumatic stress disorder (Huarcaya-Victoria et al., 2020), generalized anxiety disorder (Tsipropoulou et al., 2020), resilience, self-compassion (Chi et al., 2020), perceived vulnerability to disease (Masuyama et al., 2020, Winter), germ aversion, or even political beliefs (Winter et al., 2020).

Considering that COVID-19 is still in a development phase, it seems to be of crucial importance to identify individuals showing an excessive level of fear with the use of a reliable tool. For this purpose, the presented study aims at developing the Polish translation and validation of that instrument to assess the fear of COVID-19. The paper also analyzes the relationships between the fear of Covid-19 and preventive behaviors during the pandemic. With the FCV-19S and the obtained results, healthcare professionals, researchers, and the government can gain more valuable information about people who may be at risk for negative psychological outcomes from the pandemic or who are not implementing protective behavior. The tool can be used in hospitals to quickly screen the level of fear in patients and minimize its severe adverse consequences.

Current study

In the current study, psychometric properties of the Polish version of the FCV-19 scale were investigated. In the beginning, after the procedure of back-translation, we examined the structure of the scale and its psychometric properties. The original one-factor structure of the scale and measurement invariance across samples, gender and age groups were tested.

Then, the relationships between the fear of Covid-19 and a set of its potential sociodemographic and psychological covariates (i.e., age and gender, perceived vulnerability to the coronavirus infection, and personality traits) were established to assess validity of the

measure. It was predicted that the fear of Covid-19 would be positively related to age, because age is one of the important predictors of a severe course of the disease and in past studies it was positively related to the FCV-19S scores (de Leo & Trabucchi, 2020; Meng et al., 2020). We also predicted the positive relationship between subjective vulnerability to Covid-19 and the FCV-19S scores, because the person's conviction of being or not being exposed to a severe course of the Covid-19 disease could induce more (or less) fear (Yıldırım & Güler, 2021). As sex differences were obtained in other studies (the FCV-19S scores were higher in women than in men (Broche-Pérez et al., 2020)), we expected to replicate this result. Among personality traits, neuroticism was found to be associated with the FCV-19S scores (Kroencke et al., 2020). Neuroticism (i.e., emotional lability and stress reactivity) is a trait which predisposes people to experience negative affect, and, more specifically, fear and anxiety. It has been postulated that the more neuroticism predominates as a central aspect of personality, the more threat will be perceived in a variety of situations (Reynauld et al., 2012). Negative emotional reactions in individuals high in neuroticism are typically triggered by stressors such as threat and uncertainty (Lahey, 2009). Such emotional feelings are the bread and butter of pandemic times. Studies carried out during the time of Covid-19 found that people high in neuroticism worried more about disease-related information to which they reacted with more negative affect (Kroencke et al., 2020). Thus, a positive relationship between levels of neuroticism and the FCV-19S was anticipated.

Lastly, the criterion validity of the FCV-19S was checked. It was expected that the fear of Covid-19 would be associated with preventive behavior during the pandemic. Fear and anxiety are unpleasant emotions which have a negative impact on well-being and are strongly associated with psychopathology (e.g., Alyami et al., 2020). Prolonged experiencing of strong negative emotions, especially in individuals with risk factors, can easily lead to many maladaptive results, which are broadly described in the literature, also in the context of the pandemic (e.g., Li et al., 2020). However, from the evolutionary point of view, fear is adaptive as it mobilizes individuals to cope with threats (Nesse, 1990). Thus, during the pandemic, fear can be a factor which can reduce the propensity for risky behavior and boost people's motivation to protect themselves. Indeed, several studies gave evidence of the positive role of the fear of Covid-19 in taking preventive behavior (e.g., Wise et al., 2020). For example, a study by Harper et al. (2020) showed that the fear of Covid-19 was the only predictor of behavior change related to preventive behavior during the pandemic. Thus, taking into account the results of the past studies (Ahorsu et al., 2020;

Blagov, 2020; Bogg & Milad, 2020; Zettler et al., 2020), we hypothesized that the FCV-19S scores would be positively related to preventive behavior during the Covid-19 pandemic.

Materials & Methods

Participants (N=708)

Sample 1 consisted of 383 participants (209 females - 54.6%; age $M=31.4$, $SD=11.6$) from the general Polish population. 78 persons (20.4%) declared adherence to the group of people at high risk for complications while developing Covid-19. The participants differed in level of education (secondary - 162 persons, Bachelor's degree - 63 persons, Master's degree - 158 persons), marital status (single - 153 participants, in relationship - 230 participants) and employment (employed - 242 participants, not employed - 141 participants).

Sample 2 consisted of 325 persons (198 females - 60.9%; age $M=35.4$, $SD=12.8$) from the general Polish population. 79 participants (24.3%) declared adherence to the group of people at high risk for complications while developing Covid-19. The participants differed in level of education (secondary - 94 participants, Bachelor's degree - 47 participants, Master's degree - 184 participants), marital status (single - 104 participants, in relationship - 195 participants, other - 26 participants) and employment (employed - 208 participants, not employed - 117 participants).

Instruments

Samples 1 and 2

The Fear of Covid-19 was measured with the Polish version of the Fear of COVID-19 Scale (FCV-19S; Ahorsu et al., 2020), a seven-item measure with answers on a 5-point scale (1-strongly disagree, 5-strongly agree). The questionnaire was translated from English into Polish independently by two bilingual (Polish and English) researchers, whose native language was Polish. The blind back-translation into English was done by another bilingual (Polish and English) scholar who was not involved in the initial translation. Then, the back translation was compared with the English version of the FCV-19S by a professional translator, and it was confirmed that the meaning of the translated Polish version of the FCV-19S was congruent with the meaning of the English version.

Subjective vulnerability to the Covid-19 infection was measured with one item prepared for this study ("Assess your susceptibility to coronavirus infection [related to age, health status, etc.]").

The participants answered using a 101-point scale (0-very low susceptibility, 100-very high susceptibility).

Subjective health situation in the context of the coronavirus pandemic was measured with the question “Do you think you are at high risk for complications if you develop Covid-19”? with the answers yes/not.

Sample 1

Engagement in preventive behavior during the pandemic was measured with four items prepared for this study by the authors: “I am trying to reduce the chance of being contracted with the coronavirus”, “I put a lot of effort into ensuring the safety of myself and my loved ones during the pandemic”, “I try to respect the recommendations of medical authorities regarding behavior during the pandemic”, “I accept most of the bans and orders introduced by the authorities to stop the pandemic”. The participants responded using a 5-point scale (1-strongly disagree, 5-strongly agree). The scores were averaged across the items (in the current study: $\alpha=.86$).

Sample 2

Personality traits. Personality traits were assessed using the short version of the Polish adaptation of the International Personality Item Pool-Big Five-20 questionnaire (IPIP-BFM-20; Topolewska et al., 2014). This twenty-item instrument assesses the Big Five personality traits (in the current study: extraversion, $\alpha=.87$, agreeableness, $\alpha=.70$, conscientiousness, $\alpha=.76$, neuroticism, $\alpha=.75$, and intellect, $\alpha=.66$) with answers on a 5-point scale (1-very inaccurate, 5-very accurate).

Preventive behaviors during the pandemic were measured with three questions prepared for this study by the authors (“Do you maintain a distance/isolate yourself from others?”, “Do you try to wash your hands more often?”, “Do you disinfect objects, e.g., door handles, a smartphone?”). These questions are linked to three important recommendations during the pandemic: social distancing/isolation, hand hygiene, and environmental cleaning/disinfection. The participants answered the questions using a 101-point scale (0-definitely not, 100-definitely yes). The scores on these three dimensions were used as indicators of engagement in preventive behavior.

Procedure

The participants were recruited using online advertisements in social media (Facebook, institutional websites and Internet forums). The two separate surveys were completed online from May 15th to June 15th 2020 (Survey 1) and from May 15th to June 1st 2020 (Survey 2).

Participation was anonymous, voluntary, and without compensation. The aims of each study were

presented to participants at the beginning of both surveys. They were also ensured that the survey was anonymous and no personal data were collected. Written informed consent was obtained from all participants electronically before data collection. They were asked to confirm acceptance for participation in the survey by ticking the boxes provided. After that, participants provided sociodemographic information and completed the questionnaires. Some other data not related to this study and yet unpublished were included in the surveys (Survey 1 - behavioral compliance with the pandemic measures and the constructs of Protection Motivation theory; Survey 2 - the measures of religiosity, trust, political beliefs, and the acceptance of the pandemic restrictions). The data for the present study are available as supplementary material. Both surveys were approved by the Ethics Committee of the University of Silesia in Katowice (KEUS.34/04.2020, KEUS.35/04.2020).

Statistical analyses

Before the analyses, the data were examined to check for missing values and normality. No missing data were found. The structure of the Polish version of the FCV-19 scale was investigated using confirmatory factor analysis (CFA). The CFA and invariance analyses were performed in the conjoint sample, using the JASP (version 0.14) software. The mean- and variance-adjusted weighted least squares (WLSMV) procedure that does not assume the data are normally distributed was used (see Brown, 2006). The method uses diagonally weighted least squares (DWLS) to estimate the model parameters, the full weight matrix to compute robust standard errors, and a mean- and variance-adjusted test statistics. This estimation method was used because the FCV-19S utilizes a 5-point Likert-type scale, thus the scores can be treated as ordinal ones. To evaluate the fit of the model, the selected fit indices (which are not related to the sample size; West, Taylor & Wu, 2012) were used. The value of the root mean square error of approximation (RMSEA) below 0.08 was treated as representing a sufficient fit and below 0.05 as representing a good fit (MacCallum, Browne & Sugawara, 1996). The comparative fit index (CFI) value and the Tucker-Lewis index (TLI) value above 0.95 were interpreted as showing a good fit and above 0.90 as showing an acceptable fit (Hu & Bentler, 1999; Schumacker & Lomax, 2010).

To test for measurement invariance across samples, gender, and age groups, a series of multiple group CFA analyses (MGCFA) was performed, in the sequence with increasingly more restrictions on the parameters. Configural invariance means that the groups have the same CFA

structure. When metric invariance was checked, the factor loadings were constrained to be equal across the groups. For scalar invariance, the factor loadings and means of the items were constrained to be equal. For strict (i.e., construct-level) invariance, residual variances and residual covariances were additionally constrained to be equal across the groups. Following the recommendation of Cheung and Rensvold (2002) that a single standard should be used to test model fit and measurement invariance, the differences in the fit indices (i.e., RMSEA, CFI and McDonald Fit Index) for constrained and unconstrained models were examined. Each model was compared to the baseline (or previous) model. The differences in the fit measures were analyzed. The criteria recommended by Cheung and Rensvold (2002, p. 251) and Chen (2007, p. 501) were applied: the hypothesis of invariance was supported when $\Delta CFI \leq -0.01$, Δ McDonald Fit Index $\leq -.02$, and $\Delta RMSEA < .015$.

The remaining analyses, i.e., reliability analysis, correlations between the FCV-19S and other variables (the IPIP-BFM-20 subscales, susceptibility to Covid-19, age and engagement in preventive behavior), as well as testing for differences between independent groups (the Student t-test and the Mann-Whitney U test) were performed in both samples separately, with the use of the SPSS (version 26) software.

Results

Internal consistency and descriptive statistics

The Polish translation of the items of the FCV-19S with their item-total correlations in both samples is shown in Table 1. Internal consistency of the FCV-19S was found to be good in both samples (Cronbach's alpha .89 and .85). The descriptive statistics in Sample 1 and Sample 2 were as follows: $M=1.99$, $SD=.73$, skewness .78, kurtosis .48, and $M=1.99$, $SD=.71$, skewness .77, kurtosis .56, respectively.

Dimensionality and measurement invariance

The CFA showed that a one-dimensional model has adequate fit ($\chi^2=70.75$, $df=14$, $p<.001$ RMSEA=0.067, 90% CI [0.059, 0.094], CFI= 0.977, TLI=0.965, GFI=0.986). The chi-square test was significant, which is not desirable. However, this test is sensitive to sample size, meaning that significant results are usually obtained for larger samples (Kline, 1998). As our sample was large ($N=708$), it could be the reason of this result.

Measurement invariance (configural, metric, scalar and strict) was tested across the study samples, gender (male, female) and age groups. The results are shown in Table 2. The MGCFA showed adequate fit for the one-factor model in both Sample 1 ($\chi^2= 26.18$, $df=14$, $p=0.02$, $RMSEA=0.05$, $CFI=0.99$, $GFI=0.99$) and Sample 2 ($\chi^2= 15.72$, $df=14$, $p=0.33$, $RMSEA=0.02$, $CFI=1.00$, $GFI=0.99$). The results for gender showed a good fit for the model in both male ($N=301$, $\chi^2=24.04$, $df=14$, $p=0.05$, $RMSEA=0.05$, $CFI=0.98$, $GFI=0.98$) and female ($N=407$, $\chi^2=53.6$, $df=14$, $p<0.001$, $RMSEA=0.08$, $CFI=0.97$, $GFI=0.98$) groups. The analyses performed in the two age groups separately also revealed the appropriate fit in younger ($N=358$, $\chi^2= 37.64$, $df=14$, $p<0.001$, $RMSEA=0.07$, $CFI=0.98$, $GFI=0.98$) and older ($N=350$, $\chi^2= 34.53$, $df=14$, $p<0.001$, $RMSEA=0.06$, $CFI=0.99$, $GFI=0.99$) groups. Thus, the criteria for configural invariance (i.e., one-factor structure) were met. The comparison of the relative fit of the nested models showed that also the criteria for metric invariance (i.e., invariant factor loadings), scalar invariance (i.e., invariant intercepts) and strict (construct-level) invariance were met for all models tested (see Table 2).

Validity of the scale

As predicted, gender was associated with the FCV-19S scores in both samples. The fear of Covid-19 was significantly higher in women than in men (Sample 1, women $M=2.16$ men $M=1.78$, $t=5.39$, $df=381$, $p<.001$, Cohen's $d=.55$, 95%CI [.35, .76] ; Sample 2, women $M=2.14$, men $M=1.76$, $t=4.79$, $df=323$, $p<.001$, Cohen's $d=.54$, 95%CI [.32, .77]). There were also significant differences between sub-groups of people who declared belonging to the group of people at high risk for complications while developing Covid-19 (Sample 1, belonging: Mean rank=236.0, not belonging Mean rank=180.7, $U=8462$, $p<.001$, $\eta^2=.04$; Sample 2, belonging: Mean rank=200.8, not belonging Mean rank=150.8, $U=6729$, $p<.001$, $\eta^2=.05$). As predicted, the participants at high risk for complications had higher scores on the FCV-19S in both samples.

Zero-order correlations between the FCV-19S scores and age, subjective vulnerability to the disease, personality traits and protective behaviors during the pandemic are shown in Table 3 and Table 4. As was hypothesized, the FCV-19S scores correlated positively with age and subjective vulnerability (i.e., susceptibility) to Covid-19 in both samples. The correlations between personality traits and the fear of Covid-19 were also in line with the expectations. The FCV-19S scores correlated positively with Neuroticism and there were no associations between the FCV-19S scores and Conscientiousness, Agreeableness or Extraversion. The fear of Covid-19

was also negatively related to Intellect, which was not anticipated. However, this relationship was weaker than the predicted one.

The expected positive relationships between the FCV-19S scores and the declared preventive behaviors during the pandemic were obtained in both samples. In Sample 1, the FCV-19S scores correlated positively with the indicator of behavioral compliance with pandemic measures. In Sample 2, positive correlations between the FCV-19S and three kinds of behavior (i.e., social distancing, hand hygiene and disinfecting things) were observed.

Discussion

In this study, the structure and psychometric properties of the Polish version of the FCV-19S were examined. Moreover, relationships between the fear of Covid-19 and a set of socio-demographic and individual variables were established. The issue how to measure such emotions as fear during the pandemic seems very important as the Covid-19 pandemic is far from over. The FCV-19S is one of the questionnaires developed at the beginning of the pandemic crisis to measure negative emotions which can occur in this new and threatening situation. The measure is short and simple to use and has been translated into about twenty languages so far. The Polish version of this questionnaire showed a clear factorial structure and good psychometric properties. The one-factor model fits the data well.

The results of the analyses showed measurement invariance across samples, gender and age groups. The configural invariance means that the participants (from Sample 1 and Sample 2, men and women, older and younger) conceptualize the construct measured by the FCV-19S in the same way. Metric invariance signifies that the factor loadings are equal across these groups. Thus, cross-group comparisons can be performed. Scalar invariance means that the vectors of item intercepts are also invariant across the groups, which is important when the latent means are compared. Scalar invariance across the samples was only partially confirmed (Δ RMSEA was under the cut-off level), but it received full support for gender and age groups. Thus, it can be assumed that the criteria for strong factorial invariance are met, which enables the comparison of latent means across gender and age groups (Cheung & Rensvold, 2002, p. 238). The hypothesis of construct-level (strict) invariance was also supported so that comparisons of correlations between the fear of Covid-19 and other variables are possible across the groups (see Cheung & Rensvold, 2002).

The results of the current study revealed positive relationships between the levels of the fear of Covid-19 and age, gender and subjective vulnerability to the disease. Among personality traits, the FCV-19S scores correlated with neuroticism. These relationships are congruent with our predictions. Therefore, the results of the current study provide evidence about the validity of the Polish version of the FCV-19S. It seems important for scientists and practitioners to predict which individuals can be prone to experience higher levels of fear of the coronavirus in the pandemic situation, as this kind of fear can have strong consequences, which are important from both individual and social points of view. Our results have shown that older individuals, women, people who feel vulnerable, and those higher in neuroticism can develop higher levels of the fear of Covid-19, with all the consequences it implies. These findings are in line with other studies (e.g., Carvalho et al., 2020).

Taking preventive actions which have the potential to slow the spread of an infectious disease seems particularly important during an epidemic. In the case of the Covid-19 pandemic, the lack of effective therapies, relatively high infectiousness, the presence of asymptomatic cases and the anticipated long duration of the pandemic make it even more important to make people adhere strictly to many pandemic rules. Negative emotions triggered by the pandemic situation can impact obedience. Correlation analysis revealed positive relationships between the FCV-19S scores and preventive behavior in both samples. The associations of the FCV-19S scores with the scores on the scale measuring engagement in preventive behavior during the pandemic (i.e., accepting preventive measures, respecting recommendations and trying to reduce the probability of infection) were found in Sample 1. The relationships between the fear of Covid-19 and taking preventive actions during the pandemic (i.e., social distancing/isolation, hand hygiene and cleaning/disinfecting) were obtained in Sample 2. Generally, people who felt more fearful about the coronavirus declared stronger engagement in preventive behavior. This result provides the initial evidence of the predictive value of the Polish version of the FCV-19S.

Our study has some limitations. First, our participants were relatively young. Therefore, the majority of them scored rather low on the FCV-19S, which could impact the results. Second, only self-report measures were used. Self-reports may not be the optimal source of data, particularly in the case of preventive behavior. Third, the cross-sectional study design makes drawing causal inferences difficult. Fourth, by using an online survey, our sample was restricted to people with access to the Internet. Nevertheless, the current data enable the conclusion that the

Polish version of the fear of Covid-19 scale is a reliable tool for the measurement of fear of being contracted during the coronavirus pandemic.

Conclusions

The FCV-19S is one of the questionnaires developed to measure negative emotions which can arise in this crisis pandemic situation. So far, this short and simple measure has been used to test level of fear of the coronavirus in more than twenty countries. The main aim of the study was to examine the structure and psychometric properties of the Polish version of the FCV-19 scale. We tested the original structure and measurement invariance across samples, gender and age groups. The scale showed a clear one-factor structure and good psychometric properties.

The fear of Covid-19 can have strong clinical consequences, so it is particularly important for scientists and practitioners to predict which individuals can be prone to experience it. In line with other studies (e.g., Carvalho et al., 2020) our results have shown that older individuals, women, people who feel vulnerable, and those higher in neuroticism can develop higher levels of the fear of Covid-19. Additionally, correlation analysis revealed positive relationships between the FCV-19S scores and preventive behavior in both samples. In our study people who felt more fearful about the coronavirus declared stronger engagement in preventive behavior. This result provides the initial evidence of the predictive value of the Polish version of the FCV-19S.

Considering that participants in the study were relatively young, and the majority of them scored rather low on the FCV-19S, the experiences of fear of Covid-19 in the elderly requires further investigation. Nevertheless, the obtained data enable the conclusion that the Polish version of the fear of Covid-19 scale is a reliable tool for the measurement of fear of being contracted during the coronavirus pandemic.

References

- Abdelrahman M. 2020. Personality Traits, Risk Perception, and Protective Behaviors of Arab Residents of Qatar During the COVID-19 Pandemic. *International Journal of Mental Health and Addiction*, 1–12. DOI: 10.1007/s11469-020-00352-7
- Haktanir A, Seki T, Dilmaç B. 2020. Adaptation and evaluation of Turkish version of the fear of COVID-19 Scale. *Death Studies*. DOI: 10.1080/07481187.2020.1773026

- Ahmed MZ, Ahmed O, Aibao Z, Hanbin S, Siyu L, Ahmad A. 2020. Epidemic of COVID-19 in China and associated Psychological Problems. *Asian Journal of Psychiatry*, 51, 102092. DOI: 10.1016/j.ajp.2020.102092
- Ahorsu DK, Lin CY, Imani V, Saffari M, Griffiths MD, Pakpour AH. 2020. The Fear of COVID-19 Scale: Development and Initial Validation. *International Journal of Mental Health and Addiction*, 1–9. DOI: 10.1007/s11469-020-00270-8
- Alyami M, Albuquerque J, Krägeloh C, Alyami H, Henning, M. 2020. Effects of Fear of COVID-19 on Mental Well-Being and Quality of Life: A path analysis. *International Journal of Mental Health and Addiction*. Research Square Preprint. Available at <https://www.researchsquare.com/article/rs-59161/v1> (accessed: 20.11.2020). DOI: 10.1007/s11469-020-00316-x
- Aschwanden D, Strickhouser JE, Sesker AA, Lee JH, Luchetti M, Stephan Y, Sutin AR, Terracciano A. 2020. Psychological and Behavioural Responses to Coronavirus Disease 2019: The Role of Personality. *European Journal of Personality*. DOI: 10.1002/per.2281
- Asmundson GJG, Taylor S. 2020. Coronaphobia: Fear and the 2019-nCoV outbreak. *Journal of Anxiety Disorders*, 70, 102196. DOI: 10.1016/j.janxdis.2020.102196
- Barrios I, Rios-Gonzalez I, O'Higgins M, Gonzalez I, Garcia O, Diaz NR, Castaldelli-Maia JM, Ventriglio A, Torales J. 2020. Psychometric properties of the Spanish version of the Fear of COVID-19 Scale (FCV-19S). Research Square Preprint. Available at <https://www.researchsquare.com/article/rs-33345/v1> (accessed: 25.11.2020). DOI: 10.21203/rs.3.rs-33345/v1
- Bharatharaj J, Alyami M, Henning MA, Alyami H, Krägeloh CU. 2020. Tamil version of the Fear of COVID-19 Scale. Research Square Preprint. Available at <https://www.researchsquare.com/article/rs-40914/v1> (accessed: 25.11.2020). DOI: 10.21203/rs.3.rs-40914/v1
- Bitan DT, Grossman-Giron A, Bloch Y, Mayer Y, Shiffman N, Mendlovic S. 2020. Fear of COVID-19 scale: psychometric characteristics, reliability and validity in the Israeli population. *Psychiatry Research*, 289, 113100. DOI: 10.1016/j.psychres.2020.113100
- Blagov PS. 2020. Adaptive and Dark Personality Traits in the Covid-19 Pandemic: Predicting Health-behavior Endorsement and the Appeal of Public-health Messages. *Social*

Psychological and Personality Science, 1948550620936439. DOI:
10.1177/1948550620936439

- Bogg T, Milad, E. 2020. Demographic, Personality, and Social Cognition Correlates of Coronavirus Guideline Adherence in a U.S. Sample. PsyArXiv Preprints. Available at <https://psyarxiv.com/yc2gq/> (accessed: 25.11.2020). DOI: 10.31234/osf.io/yc2gq
- Broche-Pérez Y, Fernández-Fleites Z, Jiménez-Puig E, Fernández-Castillo E, Rodríguez-Martin BC. 2020. Gender and Fear of COVID-19 in a Cuban Population Sample. *International Journal of Mental Health and Addiction*, 1–9. Advance online publication. Available at <https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007%2Fs11469-020-00343-8> (accessed: 25.11.2020). DOI: 10.1007/s11469-020-00343-8
- Brown TA. 2006. *Confirmatory Factor Analysis for Applied Research*. The Guildford Press, New York.
- Browne M. 2000. Cross-validation methods. *Journal of Mathematical Psychology*, 44(1), 108-132. DOI: 10.1006/jmps.1999.1279
- Carpenter CJ. 2010. A meta-analysis of the effectiveness of health belief model variables in predicting behavior. *Health Communication*, 25(8), 661-669. DOI: 10.1080/10410236.2010.521906
- Carvalho LF, Pianowski G, Gonçalves AP. 2020. Personality differences and COVID-19: are extroversion and conscientiousness personality traits associated with engagement with containment measures? *Trends in Psychiatry and Psychotherapy*, 42(2), 179–184. DOI: 10.1590/2237-6089-2020-0029
- Chen FF. 2007. Sensitivity of goodness of fit indexes to lack of measurement invariance. *Structural Equation Modeling*, 14(3), 464–504. DOI: 10.1080/10705510701301834
- Cheung GW, Rensvold RB. (2002). Evaluating goodness-of-fit indexes for testing measurement invariance. *Structural Equation Modeling*, 9(2), 233-255. DOI: 10.1207/S15328007SEM0902_5
- Chi X, Chen S, Chen Y, Chen D, Yu Q, Guo T, Cao Q, Zheng X, Huang S, Hossain M, Stubbs B, Yeung A, Zou L. 2020. Psychometric Evaluation of The Fear of COVID-19 Scale Among Chinese Population. PsyArXiv Preprints. Available at <https://psyarxiv.com/t5jne/> (accessed: 25.11.2020). DOI: 10.31234/osf.io/t5jne

- Colizzi M, Bortoletto R, Silvestri M, Zoccante, Mondini F, Puttini E, Cainelli C, Gaudino R, Ruggeri M, Zoccante L. 2020. Medically unexplained symptoms in the times of Covid-19 pandemic: a case-report. *Brain, Behavior, & Immunity - Health*. 5, 100073. DOI: 10.1016/j.bbih.2020.100073
- de Leo D, Trabucchi M. 2020. COVID-19 and the fears of Italian senior citizens. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 17 (10), 3572. DOI: 10.3390/ijerph17103572
- Dong L, Bouey J. 2020. Public Mental Health Crisis during COVID-19 Pandemic, China. *Emerging Infectious Diseases*, 26(7), 1616–1618. DOI: 10.3201/eid2607.200407
- Faro A, dos Santos Silva L, dos Santos DN, Feitosa ALB. 2020. Adaptação e validação da Escala de Medo da COVID-19 [Adaptation and validation of the Fear of COVID-19 Scale]. SciELO Preprints. Available at <https://preprints.scielo.org/index.php/scielo/preprint/view/898> (accessed: 25.11.2020). DOI: 10.1590/SciELOPreprints.898
- Friedman HS. 2000. Long-term relations of personality and health: Dynamisms, mechanisms, tropisms. *Journal of Personality*, 68(6), 1089-1107. DOI: 10.1111/1467-6494.00127
- Harper CA, Satchell LP, Fido D, Latzman RD. 2020. Functional Fear Predicts Public Health Compliance in the COVID-19 Pandemic. *International Journal of Mental Health and Addiction*, 1–14. DOI: 10.1007/s11469-020-00281-5
- Huarcaya-Victoria J, Villarreal-Zegarra D, Podestà A, Luna-Cuadros MA. 2020. Psychometric properties of a Spanish version of the Fear of COVID-19 Scale in general population of Lima, Peru. *International Journal of Mental Health and Addiction*, 1-14. DOI: 10.1007/s11469-020-00354-5
- Hu LT, Bentler PM. 1999. Cutoff criteria for fit indexes in covariance structure analysis: conventional criteria versus new alternatives. *Structural Equation Modeling: A Multidisciplinary Journal*, 6(1), 1-55. DOI: 10.1080/10705519909540118
- Jensen-Campbell LA, Graziano WG. 2001. Agreeableness as a moderator of interpersonal conflict. *Journal of Personality*, 69(2), 323-362. DOI: 10.1111/1467-6494.00148
- Kline RB. 1998. *Principles and Practice of Structural Equation Modeling*. The Guilford Press, London.

- Kuper-Smith B, Doppelhofer L, Oganian Y, Rosenblau G, Korn C. 2020. Optimistic beliefs about the personal impact of COVID-19. PsyArXiv Preprints. Available at <https://psyarxiv.com/epcyb/> (accessed: 25.11.2020). DOI: 10.31234/osf.io/epcyb
- Kroencke L, Geukes K, Utesch T, Kuper N, Back M. 2020. Neuroticism and Emotional Risk During the Covid-19 Pandemic. *Journal of Research in Personality*, 89:104038. DOI: 10.1016/j.jrp.2020.104038.
- Lahey BB. 2009. Public health significance of neuroticism. *American Psychologist*, 64, 241-256. DOI: 10.1037/a0015309
- Li JB, Yang A, Dou K, Cheung RYM. 2020. Self-Control Moderates the Association Between Perceived Severity of Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) and Mental Health Problems Among the Chinese Public. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 17(13), 4820. DOI: 10.3390/ijerph17134820
- Lin CY. 2020. Social reaction toward the 2019 novel coronavirus (COVID-19). *Social Health and Behavior*. 3(1), 1–2. DOI: 10.4103/SHB.SHB_11_20
- MacCallum RC, Browne MW, Sugawara HM. 1996. Power analysis and determination of sample size for covariance structure modeling. *Psychological Methods*, 1(2), 130-149. DOI: 10.1037/1082-989X.1.2.130
- Mahmood QK, Jafree SR, Qureshi WA. 2020. The psychometric validation of FCV19S in Urdu and socio-demographic association with fear in the people of the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa (KPK) province in Pakistan. *International Journal of Mental Health and Addiction*, 1-11. DOI: 10.1007/s11469-020-00371-4
- Mailliez M, Griffiths MD, Carre A. 2020. Validation of the French version of the Fear of COVID-19 Scale and its associations with depression, anxiety and differential emotions. Research Square Preprint. Available at <https://www.researchsquare.com/article/rs-46616/v1> (accessed: 25.11.2020). DOI: 10.21203/rs.3.rs-46616/v1
- Martínez-Lorca M, Martínez-Lorca A, Criado-Álvarez JJ, Armesilla MDC. 2020. The Fear of COVID-19 Scale: Validation in Spanish university students. *Psychiatry Research*, 293, 113350. DOI: 10.1016/j.psychres.2020.113350
- Masuyama A, Shinkawa H, Kubo T. 2020. Validation and Psychometric Properties of the Japanese Version of the Fear of COVID-19 Scale Among Adolescents. *International Journal of Mental Health and Addiction*, 1–11. DOI: 10.1007/s11469-020-00368-z

- Meng H, Xu Y, Dai J, Zhang Y, Liu B, Yang H. 2020. Analyze the psychological impact of COVID-19 among the elderly population in China and make corresponding suggestions. *Psychiatry Research*, 289, 112983. DOI: 10.1016/j.psychres.2020.112983
- Milne S, Sheeran P, Orbell S. 2000. Prediction and intervention in health-related behavior: A meta-analytic review of protection motivation theory. *Journal of Applied Social Psychology*, 30(1), 106-143. DOI: 10.1111/j.1559-1816.2000.tb02308.x
- Nesse RM. 1990. Evolutionary explanations of emotions. *Human Nature*, 1(3), 261–289. DOI: 10.1007/BF02733986
- Ornell F, Schuch JB, Sordi AO, Kessler FHP. 2020. “Pandemic fear” and COVID-19: mental health burden and strategies. *Brazilian Journal of Psychiatry*, 42(3), 232–235. DOI: 10.1590/1516-4446- 2020-0008
- Pang NTP, Kamu A, Hambali NLB, Mun HC, Kassim MA, Mohamed NH, Ayu F, Rahim SSSA, Omar A, Jeffree MS. 2020. Malay Version of the Fear of COVID-19 Scale: Validity and Reliability. *International Journal of Mental Health and Addiction*. DOI: 10.1007/s11469-020-00355-4
- Perz CA, Lang BA, Harrington R. 2020. Validation of the Fear of COVID-19 Scale in a US college sample. *International Journal of Mental Health and Addiction*. Advance online publication. Available at <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC7315905> (accessed: 25.11.2020). DOI: 10.1007/s11469-020-00356-3
- Peteet JR. 2020. COVID-19 Anxiety. *Journal of Religion and Health*, 59(5), 2203–2204. DOI: 10.1007/s10943-020-01041-4
- Reynaud E, El Khoury-Malhame M, Rossier J, Blin O, Khalfa S. 2012. Neuroticism modifies psychophysiological responses to fearful films. *PLoS ONE*, 7(3), e32413. DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0032413
- Reznik A, Gritsenko V, Konstantinov V, Khamenka N, Isralowitz R. 2020. COVID-19 fear in Eastern Europe: Validation of the Fear of COVID-19 Scale. *International Journal of Mental Health and Addiction*, 1–6. Advance online publication. Available at <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC7217343/> (accessed: 25.11.2020). DOI: 10.1007/s11469-020-00283-3
- Sakib N, Mamun MA, Bhuiyan AKMI, Hossain S, Mamun FA, Hosen I, Abdullah AH, Sarker MA, Mohiuddin MS, Rayhan I, Hossain M, Sikder MT, Gozal D, Muhit MA, Islam SMS,

- Griffiths MD, Pakpour AH. 2020. Psychometric validation of the Bangla Fear of COVID-19 Scale: Confirmatory factor analysis and Rasch analysis. *International Journal of Mental Health and Addiction*. Advance online publication. Available at <https://psycnet.apa.org/record/2020-32796-00> (accessed: 25.11.2020). DOI: 10.1007/s11469-020-00289-x
- Satici B, Gocet-Tekin E, Deniz ME, Satici SA. 2020. Adaptation of the Fear of COVID-19 Scale: Its Association with Psychological Distress and Life Satisfaction in Turkey. *International Journal of Mental Health and Addiction*, 1–9. DOI: 10.1007/s11469-020-00294-0
- Schumacker RE, Lomax RG. 2010. *A beginner's guide to structural equation modeling* (3rd ed.). New York, NY: Routledge Academic.
- Shaw S. 2020. Hopelessness, helplessness and resilience: The importance of safeguarding our trainees' mental wellbeing during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Nurse Education in Practice*, 44, 102780. DOI: 10.1016/j.nepr.2020.102780
- Shook N, Sevi B, Lee J, Fitzgerald HN, Oosterhoff B. 2020. Who's listening? Predictors of concern about COVID-19 and preventative health behaviors. PsyArXiv Preprints. Available at <https://psyarxiv.com/c9rfg/> (accessed: 25.11.2020). DOI: 10.31234/osf.io/c9rfg
- Soraci P, Ferrari A, Abbiati FA, Del Fante E, De Pace R, Griffiths Urso A. 2020. Validation and psychometric evaluation of the Italian version of the Fear of COVID-19 Scale. *International Journal of Mental Health and Addiction*, 1-10. DOI: 10.1007/s11469-020-00277-1
- Soto CJ. 2019. How replicable are links between personality traits and consequential life outcomes? The Life Outcomes of Personality Replication Project. *Psychological Science*, 30, 711-727. DOI: 10.1177/0956797619831612
- Taylor S. 2019. *The Psychology of Pandemics: Preparing for the Next Global Outbreak of Infectious Disease*. Newcastle upon Tyne: Cambridge Scholars Publishing.
- Tett RP, Guterman HA. 2000. Situation trait relevance, trait expression, and cross-situational consistency: Testing a principle of trait activation. *Journal of Research in Personality*, 34(4), 397-423. DOI: 10.1006/jrpe.2000.2292

- Tian F, Li H, Tian S, Yang J, Shao J, Tian C. 2020. Psychological symptoms of ordinary Chinese citizens based on SCL-90 during the level I emergency response to COVID-19. *Psychiatry Research*, 288, 112992. DOI: 10.1016/j.psychres.2020.112992
- Topolewska E, Skimina E, Strus W, Ciecuch J, Rowiński T. 2014. The short IPIP-BFM-20 questionnaire for measuring the Big Five. *Roczniki Psychologiczne*, 17(2), 385-402.
- Tsipropoulou V, Nikopoulou VA, Holeva V, Nasika Z, Diakogiannis I, Sakka S, Kostikidou S, Varvara C, Spyridopoulou E, Parlapani E. 2020. Psychometric properties of the Greek version of FCV-19S. *International Journal of Mental Health and Addiction*. Advance online publication. Available at <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC7250285/> (accessed: 25.11.2020). DOI: 10.1007/s11469-020-00319-8
- Wang D, Hu B, Hu C, Zhu F, Liu X, Zhang J, Wang B, Xiang H, Cheng Z, Xiong Y, Zhao Y, Li Y, Wang X, Peng Z. 2020. Clinical characteristics of 138 hospitalized patients with 2019 novel coronavirus–infected pneumonia in Wuhan, China. *JAMA*, 323(11), 1061–1069. DOI: 10.1001/jama.2020.1585
- West SG, Taylor AB, Wu W. 2012. Model fit and model selection in structural equation modeling. In RH Hoyle (Ed.), *Handbook of Structural Equation Modeling* (pp. 209-231). New York, NY: Guilford.
- Winter T, Riordan B, Pakpour A, Griffiths M, Mason A, Poulgrain J, Damian S. 2020. Evaluation of the English version of the Fear of COVID-19 Scale and its relationship with behavior change and political beliefs. *International Journal of Mental Health and Addiction*. DOI: 10.1007/s11469-020-00342-9
- Wise T, Zbozinek TD, Michelini G, Hagan CC, Mobbs D. 2020. Changes in risk perception and protective behavior during the first week of the COVID-19 pandemic in the United States. *Royal Society Open Science*, 7(9). DOI: 10.1098/rsos.200742
- World Health Organization. 2020. *Coronavirus disease (COVID-19) advice for the public 2020*. Available at <https://www.who.int/emergencies/diseases/novel-coronavirus-2019/advice-for-public> (accessed: 20.08.2020).
- Xiang YT, Yang Y, Li W, Zhang L, Zhang Q, Cheung T, Ng CH. 2020. Timely mental health care for the 2019 novel coronavirus outbreak is urgently needed. *The Lancet Psychiatry*, 7(3), 228–229. DOI: 10.1016/S2215-0366(20)30046-8

- Yıldırım M, Güler A. 2021. Positivity explains how COVID-19 perceived risk increases death distress and reduces happiness. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 168, 110347. DOI: 10.1016/j.paid.2020.110347
- Zettler I, Schild C, Lilleholt L, Kroencke L, Utesch T, Moshagen M, Böhm R, Back M, Geukes, K. 2020. The role of personality in COVID-19 related perceptions, evaluations, and behaviors: Findings across five samples, nine traits, and 17 criteria. PsyArXiv Preprints. Available at <https://psyarxiv.com/pkm2a/> (accessed: 25.11.2020). DOI: 10.31234/osf.io/pkm2a
- Zhang WR, Wang K, Yin L, Zhao WF, Xue Q, Peng M, Min BQ, Tian Q, Leng HX, Du JL, Chang H, Yang Y, Li W, Shanguan FF, Yan TY, Dong HQ, Han Y, Wang YP, Cosci F, Wang HX. 2020. Mental health and psychosocial problems of medical health workers during the COVID-19 epidemic in china. *Psychotherapy and Psychosomatics*, 1, 1–9. DOI: 10.1159/000507639

Table 1. Item translation and item-total correlation for the FCV-19S.

Items	Item-total correlation (corrected)	
	Sample 1 (N=383)	Sample 2 (N=325)
1 Bardzo boję się koronawirusa [I am most afraid of coronavirus-19]	.70	.63
2 Czuję się nieswojo gdy myślę o koronawirusie [It makes me uncomfortable to think about coronavirus-19]	.72	.67
3 Moje dłonie stają się wilgotne gdy myślę o koronawirusie [My hands become clammy when I think about coronavirus-19]	.66	.53
4 Boję się, że stracę życie z powodu koronawirusa [I am afraid of losing my life because of coronavirus-19]	.72	.64
5 Gdy oglądam wiadomości lub historie o koronawirusie w mediach społecznościowych, denerwuję się lub niepokoję [When watching news and stories about coronavirus-19 on social media, I become nervous or anxious]	.68	.63
6 Nie mogę spać, gdyż martwię się że mogę złapać koronawirusa [I cannot sleep because I'm worrying about getting coronavirus-19]	.70	.66
7 Serce wali mi jak młotem gdy myślę o zarażeniu się koronawirusem [My heart races or palpitates when I think about getting coronavirus-19]	.68	.64

Table 2. Testing for measurement invariance across samples, gender, and age groups.

Model	RMSEA	ΔRMSEA	CFI	ΔCFI	Invariance
<i>Sample invariance (Sample 1 vs. Sample 2)</i>					
Configural invariance	0.061		0.985		YES
Metric invariance	0.059	-0.002	0.983	-0.002	YES
Scalar invariance	0.073	0.014	0.970	-0.013	YES/NO
Strict invariance	0.077	0.004	0.960	-0.010	YES
<i>Gender invariance (males vs. females)</i>					
Configural invariance	0.071		0.978		YES
Metric invariance	0.065	-0.006	0.977	-0.001	YES
Scalar invariance	0.060	-0.005	0.977	0.000	YES
Strict invariance	0.058	--0.002	0.974	-0.003	YES
<i>Age invariance (≤ 40 vs. >40)</i>					
Configural invariance	0.072		0.979		YES
Metric invariance	0.077	0.005	0.970	-0.009	YES
Scalar invariance	0.068	-0.009	0.971	0.001	YES
Strict invariance	0.073	0.005	0.961	-0.01	YES

Table 3. Means, standard deviations and intercorrelations between study variables (Sample 1).

	M	SD	(1)	(2)	(3)
(1) Fear of Covid-19	1.99	.71	-		
(2) Age	31.37	11.60	.220 (.000)	-	
(3) Vulnerability	35.89	25.3	.357 (.000)	.267 (.000)	-
(4) Engagement in preventive behavior	3.94	.85	.335 (.000)	-.028 (.58)	.187 (.000)

Note. Significance level in parentheses. Significant correlation coefficients ($p < .05$) in bold. $N=383$.

1

2 Table 4. Means, standard deviations and intercorrelations between study variables (Sample 2).

	<i>M</i>	<i>SD</i>	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)
(1) Fear of Covid-19	1.99	.73	-									
(2) Age	35.4	12.8	.189 (.001)	-								
(3) Vulnerability	30.5	24.9	.389 (.000)	.295 (.000)	-							
(4) Agreeableness	3.79	.66	.055 (.363)	.071 (.209)	.023 (.681)	-						
(5) Conscientiousness	3.40	.91	.052 (.363)	.196 (.001)	.104 (.068)	.070 (.220)	-					
(6) Extraversion	3.27	.93	-.050 (.379)	.037 (.518)	-.018 (.757)	.250 (.000)	.143 (.011)	-				
(7) Neuroticism	2.82	.84	.282 (.000)	-.182 (.001)	.089 (.116)	-.015 (.790)	-.021 (.706)	-.170 (.003)	-			
(8) Intellect	4.01	.63	-.157 (.006)	-.058 (.306)	-.060 (.292)	.149 (.009)	.098 (.084)	.250 (.000)	-.110 (.053)	-		
(9) Social distancing/isolation	66.6	30.2	.337 (.000)	-.024 (.671)	.311 (.000)	-.038 (.510)	-.059 (.301)	-.149 (.009)	.138 (.015)	.019 (.737)	-	
(10) Hand hygiene	82.7	25.3	.288 (.000)	.070 (.209)	.198 (.000)	.097 (.089)	.026 (.651)	.011 (.853)	.137 (.016)	-.053 (.354)	.422 (.000)	-
(11) Disinfecting things	55.1	.36.7	.360 (.000)	.029 (.605)	.196 (.000)	.142 (.012)	.127 (.025)	-.027 (.635)	.064 (.259)	.015 (.791)	.365 (.000)	.383 (.000)

Note. Significance level in parentheses. Significant correlation coefficients ($p < .05$) in bold. $N=325$.