No evidence of association between HRV and training volume in a pool of professional athletes before, during, and after the first COVID-19 lockdown.

Aucune preuve d'association entre la VRC et le volume d'entraînement dans un groupe d'athlètes professionnels avant, pendant et après le premier confinement lié à la COVID-19

No association between HRV and training volume, related with first COVID-19 lockdown.

Javaloyes, A.^{1*}, Marinazzo, D.^{2,3}, Sanabria, D.⁴, Moya-Ramon, M.¹, Lillo-Bevia JR.⁵ and Mateo-March, M.¹

¹ *Sport Science Department. Universidad Miguel Hernández de Elche, Spain.*

² *Department of Data Analysis, Ghent University, Belgium*

³ *Brain Imaging and Neural Dynamics Research Group, IRCCS San Camillo Hospital, 30126 Venice, Italy*

⁴ *Mind, Brain and Behavior Research Center, CIMCYC, University of Granada, Spain.*

⁵ *University of Alicante. Polytechnic School. Alicante. Spain.*

Correspondence Author and permanent address:

* Jose Ramon Lillo-Bevia

University of Alicante – Polytechnic School – Alicante. Spain (03080)

Tel./Fax: +34 669721342

E-mail: jr.lillo@ua.es

***This paper has been accepted for publication in the journal Science and Sports**

24 **Summary:**

25 **Objectives:** The COVID-19 crisis also affected elite sport severely, as elite athletes either
26 stopped or drastically reduced their training regimen due to the lack of competitions and the mandatory
27 lockdown. The aim of this study was to test whether heart rate variability was a reliable index of training
28 load, which was dramatically altered due to the mandatory lockdown that occurred as a consequence of
29 the COVID-19. **Equipment and methods:** Training (volume and intensity) and heart rate variability of
30 sixteen professional male ($n=8$; body mass index = 22.2 ± 2.0) and female cyclists ($n=8$; body mass index
31 = 20.3 ± 1.1) before (4 weeks), during (7 weeks), and after (4 weeks) the mandatory lockdown in Spain
32 were monitored. **Results:** Individual analyses showed that the mandatory lockdown caused reliable
33 reductions in training volume in 13 subjects (-96 to -7 % reductions in minutes), that were followed by
34 an increase after the lockdown in all subjects (5 to 270%). In contrast, changes in training load were not
35 homogenous across individuals. Moreover, such changes were not matched by comparable variations in
36 heart rate variability. A mixed model of the heart rate variability as a function of training volume and
37 intensity revealed no significant modulation by these two variables, and subject specific effects on the
38 slope. In this study, we did not find evidence of association between heart rate variability and training
39 load and/or intensity as many previous reports have suggested, even if training conditions changed
40 dramatically overnight.

42 **Keywords:** Heart Rate Variability; Cycling; Covid-19; professional cyclists

44 **Résumé :**

45 **Objectifs:** La crise du COVID-19 a également gravement affecté le sport d'élite, car les athlètes d'élite
46 ont arrêté ou réduit considérablement leur programme d'entraînement en raison du manque de
47 compétitions et du confinement obligatoire. Le but de cette étude était de tester si la variabilité de la
48 fréquence cardiaque était un indice fiable de la charge d'entraînement, qui a été considérablement
49 modifiée en raison du confinement obligatoire qui s'est produit à la suite du COVID-19. **Matériel et**
50 **méthodes:** Entraînement (volume et intensité) et variabilité de la fréquence cardiaque de seize cyclistes
51 professionnels hommes ($n=8$; indice de masse corporelle = $22,2\pm2,0$) et femmes cyclistes ($n=8$; indice
52 de masse corporelle = $20,3\pm1,1$) avant (4 semaines), pendant (7 semaines) et après (4 semaines) le
53 confinement obligatoire en Espagne ont été surveillés. **Résultats:** Les analyses individuelles ont montré
54 que le confinement obligatoire a entraîné des réductions fiables du volume d'entraînement chez 13 sujets
55 (-96 à -7 % de réduction des minutes), qui ont été suivies d'une augmentation après le confinement chez
56 tous les sujets (5 à 270 %). En revanche, les changements dans la charge d'entraînement n'étaient pas
57 homogènes d'un individu à l'autre. De plus, ces changements n'étaient pas accompagnés de variations
58 comparables de la variabilité de la fréquence cardiaque. Un modèle mixte de la variabilité de la
59 fréquence cardiaque en fonction du volume et de l'intensité de l'entraînement n'a révélé aucune
60 modulation significative par ces deux variables et des effets spécifiques au sujet sur la pente. Dans cette
61 étude, nous n'avons trouvé aucune preuve d'association entre la variabilité de la fréquence cardiaque et
62 la charge et/ou l'intensité d'entraînement, comme l'ont suggéré de nombreux rapports précédents, même
63 si les conditions d'entraînement ont radicalement changé du jour au lendemain.

65 **Mots clés:** variabilité de la fréquence cardiaque ; Vélo; Covid19; cyclistes professionnels

No evidence of association between HRV and training volume in a pool of professional athletes before, during, and after the first COVID-19 lockdown.

Aucune preuve d'association entre la VRC et le volume d'entraînement dans un groupe d'athlètes professionnels avant, pendant et après le premier confinement lié à la COVID-19

Summary:

Objectives: The COVID-19 crisis also affected elite sport severely, as elite athletes either stopped or drastically reduced their training regimen due to the lack of competitions and the mandatory lockdown. The aim of this study was to test whether heart rate variability was a reliable index of training load, which was dramatically altered due to the mandatory lockdown that occurred as a consequence of the COVID-19. **Equipment and methods:** Training (volume and intensity) and heart rate variability of sixteen professional male ($n=8$; body mass index = 22.2 ± 2.0) and female cyclists ($n=8$; body mass index = 20.3 ± 1.1) before (4 weeks), during (7 weeks), and after (4 weeks) the mandatory lockdown in Spain were monitored. **Results:** Individual analyses showed that the mandatory lockdown caused reliable reductions in training volume in 13 subjects (-96 to -7 % reductions in minutes), that were followed by an increase after the lockdown in all subjects (5 to 270%). In contrast, changes in training load were not homogenous across individuals. Moreover, such changes were not matched by comparable variations in heart rate variability. A mixed model of the heart rate variability as a function of training volume and intensity revealed no significant modulation by these two variables, and subject specific effects on the slope. In this study, we did not find evidence of association between heart rate variability and training load and/or intensity as many previous reports have suggested, even if training conditions changed dramatically overnight.

Keywords: Heart Rate Variability; Cycling; Covid-19; professional cyclists

Résumé :

Objectifs: La crise du COVID-19 a également gravement affecté le sport d'élite, car les athlètes d'élite ont arrêté ou réduit considérablement leur programme d'entraînement en raison du manque de compétitions et du confinement obligatoire. Le but de cette étude était de tester si la variabilité de la fréquence cardiaque était un indice fiable de la charge d'entraînement, qui a été considérablement modifiée en raison du confinement obligatoire qui s'est produit à la suite du COVID-19. **Matériel et méthodes:** Entraînement (volume et intensité) et variabilité de la fréquence cardiaque de seize cyclistes professionnels hommes ($n=8$; indice de masse corporelle = $22,2 \pm 2,0$) et femmes cyclistes ($n=8$; indice de masse corporelle = $20,3 \pm 1,1$) avant (4 semaines), pendant (7 semaines) et après (4 semaines) le confinement obligatoire en Espagne ont été surveillés. **Résultats:** Les analyses individuelles ont montré que le confinement obligatoire a entraîné des réductions fiables du volume d'entraînement chez 13 sujets (-96 à -7 % de réduction des minutes), qui ont été suivies d'une augmentation après le confinement chez tous les sujets (5 à 270 %). En revanche, les changements dans la charge d'entraînement n'étaient pas homogènes d'un individu à l'autre. De plus, ces changements n'étaient pas accompagnés de variations comparables de la variabilité de la fréquence cardiaque. Un modèle mixte de la variabilité de la fréquence cardiaque en fonction du volume et de l'intensité de l'entraînement n'a révélé aucune modulation significative par ces deux variables et des effets spécifiques au sujet sur la pente. Dans cette étude, nous n'avons trouvé aucune preuve d'association entre la variabilité de la fréquence cardiaque et la charge et/ou l'intensité d'entraînement, comme l'ont suggéré de nombreux rapports précédents, même si les conditions d'entraînement ont radicalement changé du jour au lendemain.

Mots clés: variabilité de la fréquence cardiaque ; Vélo; Covid19; cyclistes professionnels

INTRODUCTION

At the beginning of 2020, a coronavirus named SARS-CoV-2 spread worldwide and, as a consequence, the majority of the world population were totally or partially confined in an attempt to reduce the expansion of the virus (1,2). The COVID-19 crisis also affected elite sport severely, as major international events were postponed (e.g., the 2020 Tokyo Olympics will take place in 2021) or cancelled (3). Moreover, elite athletes either stopped or drastically reduced their training regimen due to the lack of competitions and the mandatory lockdown (4). This sudden change in the training conditions had an impact on the group of professional cyclists that our research group was monitoring since January 2020, allowing us to study the consequences of the lockdown in terms of training load and heart rate variability (HRV). This paper contains a full description of the individual and group variations in these training parameters.

The careful monitoring of training and athletes' status is one of the most important tasks to favour a successful adaptation process. In this regard, HRV, which focuses on the variability of successive R-R intervals (5), has been proposed as a promising variable to evaluate positive or negative adaptation to training (6), fatigue status (7) and the acute response to training (8). HRV evaluates non-invasive cardiac autonomic regulation and its measurement has been optimized (e.g. reducing the recording duration(9)) carrying out recordings through smartphone applications (10,11) allowing the implementation of daily HRV recording. Decrements in HRV have been related to an increase of training load (12) and high-fatigue status (13), while increases in HRV have been related to positive adaptations to training (6). As HRV measurement was part of the monitoring process of a group of professional cyclists during their regular season, the mandatory confinement provided the opportunity to describe the HRV variations that may have taken place as a consequence of the large change in training conditions.

Here, as noted above, and in agreement with other research (14), we expected an abrupt reduction in training volume during the lockdown. In contrast, the indoor smart trainers would allow cyclists to follow the training regime in terms of intensity. Finally, if HRV is a robust index of training load and adaptation monitoring, as suggested by previous work (15), one should expect a reliable increase in HRV during the confinement as a consequence of the reduction in physical stress, and a concomitant decrease of HRV with the return to regular training after the lockdown.

METHODOLOGY

Subjects

A group of sixteen ($n = 16$) male ($n = 8$; $BMI = 22.2 \pm 2.0$) and female ($n = 8$; $BMI = 20.3 \pm 1.1$) professional cyclists were included in this report. They were all previously involved in a follow-up process of their training by their professional cycling team. All cyclists were fully informed about the purpose of this research and had to sign a written informed consent. The cyclists were healthy and remained free of injury during the duration of the study. The research followed the principles of the declaration of Helsinki and the study was approved by the ethical committee of Miguel Hernandez University of Elche, Spain.

Design

The data were divided and analysed by weeks: week 1 to 4 (Pre-lockdown), 5 to 11 (Lockdown) and 12 to 15 (Post-lockdown). During Pre and Post, the cyclists maintained their individualized training program while during the lockdown, coaches adapted the cyclists' training to an indoor training cycling regime. To avoid the negative effects of detraining (16–18), the cyclists were encouraged by their technical staff to maintain a certain level of physical activity during the lockdown period. This was achieved mainly through the use of indoor trainers that simulate the specific training conditions. To adapt to the unexpected and sudden lockdown, albeit maintaining the individualization, the coaches first reduced training volume. This happened since the usual training was modified by indoor training. Indoor training does not allow training volume as high as outdoor training due to several factors such as less ventilation or the absence of non-peddalling phases. Training intensity was prescribed individually by the

coaches and measured through power output. In addition, as a part of their monitoring regime, the cyclists recorded their HRV daily.

Methods

As a part of their usual schedule, all the cyclists conducted a graded exercise test (GXT) during the pre-season to determine maximal oxygen uptake ($\text{VO}_{2\text{max}}$), the first and second ventilatory thresholds (VT1 and VT2, respectively) and the power output associated to these points (PPO, PO_{VT1} and PO_{VT2} , respectively)(19).

The training sessions were continuously monitored with a Garmin 820 (Garmin Ltd, Southampton, United Kingdom) that recorded training volume and intensity. Training intensity was monitored and divided into three intensity training zones (20): zone 1 (low intensity training: time expended below VT1), zone 2 (moderate intensity: time expended between VT1 and VT2) and zone 3 (high intensity: time expended above VT2). Training data was analysed daily using specific training software that includes a cloud service (TrainingPeaks, Boulder, USA). Subjects used their own bikes, and output and cadence were monitored continuously throughout all workouts using a mobile powermeter (Quarq, Spearfish, SD, USA)(21). In addition, power output recordings were divided into ranges of $0.5 \text{ W}\cdot\text{Kg}^{-1}$, from $0 \text{ W}\cdot\text{Kg}^{-1}$ to $10 \text{ W}\cdot\text{Kg}^{-1}$ to assess the power distribution during the different periods (Pre, lockdown and Post).

Training load was calculated using the Training Stress Score (TSS; (22)), which was computed using the following equation:

$$\text{TSS} = ((t \cdot \text{NP} \cdot \text{IF}) / (\text{FTP} \cdot 3600)) \cdot 100$$

Where t is the duration of the training in seconds, NP is the normalized power attained during the training, and IF (intensity factor) is the ratio between the NP and the functional threshold power (FTP, i.e., 95% of the highest 20-minute mean PO obtained during the training sessions, tests and competitions of the preceding four weeks (23). The TSS metric were expressed in arbitrary units.

For HRV measurements, all participants were instructed to record their R-R interval data upon waking up and emptying their urinary bladder. The HRV measurements were captured with the HRV4training smartphone application through plethysmography (11). HRV was recorded in a seated position and over a 90 s period of time (24). Cyclists were instructed to remain seated and to avoid any activity during the recordings. The first 30 s were discarded for signal stabilization and the last 60 s of the HRV measurement were captured for the analysis (24). Erroneous data were discarded from any recording. The application was designed to inform the user whether the measurement was valid or not. Periods of high noise, artifact and ectopic beats were identified and discarded. In cases where the HRV data attained were inappropriate due to user error (e.g. movement of the finger over the camera) or insufficient data, the subject would be informed and the measurement would be discarded. The subject would then be asked to make another recording until it was deemed successful by the application. The root mean squared differences of successive RR intervals (RMSSD) was chosen as the vagal index, based on its greater suitability and reliability than other indexes. The HRV data was transformed by taking the natural logarithm (LnRMSSD) to allow parametric statistical comparisons that assume a normal distribution. A 7-day rolling average of LnRMSSD was calculated (LnRMSSD_roll7d) to analyse cardiac autonomic regulation adaptation (25).

Statistical Analysis

The variations in training volume (in seconds), TSS and HRV (lnRMSSD) averaged over each period for all the subjects were assessed by a pairwise post-hoc test for multiple comparisons of rank sums (Durbin-Conover) performed in ggstatplot (26).

To test the association between HR, training volume (*Training*) and TSS we used a mixed model with training volume, TSS, and consecutive days as predictors, and interactions between Day and Training and Day and TSS:

- INSERT LATEX ALGORITHM NEAR HERE-

$$\begin{aligned} \text{HRV}_i &\sim N(\mu, \sigma^2) \\ \mu &= \alpha_{j[i],k[i]} + \beta_{1j[i],k[i]}(\text{Training}) + \beta_{2j[i],k[i]}(\text{Day}) + \beta_{3j[i],k[i]}(\text{TSS}) + \beta_4(\text{Day} \times \text{Training}) + \beta_5(\text{Day} \times \text{TSS}) \\ \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_j \\ \beta_{1j} \\ \beta_{2j} \\ \beta_{3j} \end{pmatrix} &\sim N \left(\begin{pmatrix} \mu_{\alpha_j} \\ \mu_{\beta_{1j}} \\ \mu_{\beta_{2j}} \\ \mu_{\beta_{3j}} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_{\alpha_j}^2 & \rho_{\alpha_j\beta_{1j}} & \rho_{\alpha_j\beta_{2j}} & \rho_{\alpha_j\beta_{3j}} \\ \rho_{\beta_{1j}\alpha_j} & \sigma_{\beta_{1j}}^2 & \rho_{\beta_{1j}\beta_{2j}} & \rho_{\beta_{1j}\beta_{3j}} \\ \rho_{\beta_{2j}\alpha_j} & \rho_{\beta_{2j}\beta_{1j}} & \sigma_{\beta_{2j}}^2 & \rho_{\beta_{2j}\beta_{3j}} \\ \rho_{\beta_{3j}\alpha_j} & \rho_{\beta_{3j}\beta_{1j}} & \rho_{\beta_{3j}\beta_{2j}} & \sigma_{\beta_{3j}}^2 \end{pmatrix} \right), \text{ for Period:Subject } j = 1, \dots, 3 \\ \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_k \\ \beta_{1k} \\ \beta_{2k} \\ \beta_{3k} \end{pmatrix} &\sim N \left(\begin{pmatrix} \mu_{\alpha_k} \\ \mu_{\beta_{1k}} \\ \mu_{\beta_{2k}} \\ \mu_{\beta_{3k}} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_{\alpha_k}^2 & \rho_{\alpha_k\beta_{1k}} & \rho_{\alpha_k\beta_{2k}} & \rho_{\alpha_k\beta_{3k}} \\ \rho_{\beta_{1k}\alpha_k} & \sigma_{\beta_{1k}}^2 & \rho_{\beta_{1k}\beta_{2k}} & \rho_{\beta_{1k}\beta_{3k}} \\ \rho_{\beta_{2k}\alpha_k} & \rho_{\beta_{2k}\beta_{1k}} & \sigma_{\beta_{2k}}^2 & \rho_{\beta_{2k}\beta_{3k}} \\ \rho_{\beta_{3k}\alpha_k} & \rho_{\beta_{3k}\beta_{1k}} & \rho_{\beta_{3k}\beta_{2k}} & \sigma_{\beta_{3k}}^2 \end{pmatrix} \right), \text{ for Subject } k = 1, \dots, 15 \end{aligned}$$

The HRV measure refers to the one taken the day after the training. The models were estimated with the package's stat (R version 4.0.3) and lme4 (version 1.1.26).

RESULTS

Within group changes

Figure 1 reports the average TSS, the average training volume (in seconds), and the average HRV (lnRMSSD) for all the subjects, across the three periods, as well as the results of the Durbin-Conover test. Table 1 shows the week-by-week individual changes (%) of the mentioned variables. In addition, figure 2 shows the heterogeneous changes among cyclists at the Pre, during and Post-lockdown periods in both male (figure 2a) and female (figure 2b).

- INSERT FIGURE 1 NEAR HERE-

- INSERT TABLE 1 NEAR HERE-

- INSERT FIGURE 2A & 2B NEAR HERE-

Figure 3 reports the slopes per each period for each subject, and Figure 4 reports the random effects.

- INSERT FIGURE 3 NEAR HERE-

- INSERT FIGURE 4 NEAR HERE-

Model results

The model results are displayed in Table 2.

- INSERT TABLE 2 NEAR HERE-

DISCUSSION

This study aimed to test the association between HRV and training load when the latter was strongly modulated in a group of professional male and female cyclists who had a dramatic change in their training regime due to the mandatory lockdown caused by the COVID-19 global pandemic during 2020.

The overall decrease in training volume is particularly relevant because professional cyclists typically cycle between 2 and 6 hours per day in outdoor conditions, while indoor training is carried out in specific situations (e.g. bad weather) and the training duration is often shorter. Nevertheless, indoor training was the only option to maintain a certain level of physical activity during the mandatory lockdown. In contrast to volume, the week-by-week individual training intensity distribution (table 1) showed a high inter-subject heterogeneity. Furthermore, there was not a consistent increase in high intensity training during the weeks of lockdown in any cyclist. Thus, it seems that the lockdown did not produce a homogeneous change in the training intensity (which did happen with the training volume), suggesting that cyclists were able to maintain their individualised training program.

The crucial result of our study was that HRV individual response did not seem to be related to changes in training volume and/or intensity. This heterogeneous response in our group of elite cyclists could be the consequence of the numerous variables that may influence HRV. Indeed, the lockdown likely affected mood, level of stress, sleep patterns, food habits, all factors that have been related to HRV (27). Subjects were indeed the greatest source of variability of the association between HRV and training (both as volume in seconds and TSS); see figure 4. The period (Pre-Lockdown, Lockdown, and Post-Lockdown) had a limited to negligible effect in interaction with the subjects. It is worth to stress that we did not measure all possible factors which could modulate the HRV.

Our result contrasts with earlier findings in well-trained cyclists that showed a relationship between changes in training load and HRV (12). ~~However, this study only reports the group analysis with a small sample size ($n = 10$) which likely compromised statistical power and increased the likelihood of a false positive.~~ Our results are nonetheless consistent with other researchers that found no group changes in LnRMSSD in elite athletes after increases and decreases in training load (28) ($N=12$). This is also further supported by previous research that did not found relationship between HRV and training load but a positive relationship with changes in fitness and performance (29,30). Unfortunately, due to the emergency situation lived and the nature of this study, pre- and post-measures of fitness could not be recorded.

The lack of performance measures is a limitation of our study, as well as the low sample size. However, note that if HRV was robustly associated with training volume and intensity one would have expected a clear pattern at the individual level and as a function of the period (pre-lockdown, lockdown, and post-lockdown). This was not the case, casting doubt on the previous studies with small sample size that reported positive findings at the group level. In any case, in the era of personalized training, we consider that finding a statistically significant small group effect in a large sample might be meaningless in apply terms.

PRACTICAL APPLICATIONS

The mandatory lockdown (7 weeks) caused by the COVID-19 global pandemic produced an overall significant decrease in training volume in our group of professional road cyclists. However, the individualised programs carried out by these elite athletes elicited high inter-individual variability in the training intensity. HRV did not show a clear pattern of response linked to training load and/or intensity, suggesting that it might not be a sensitive and reliable tool to monitor training changes in elite cyclists.

Acknowledgment

The authors would acknowledge the participants for their effort and dedication during the challenging situation lived during mandatory lockdown in Spain.

Conflict of interest.

The authors have no potential conflict of interest to declare and no external financial support for the elaboration of this article.

Availability of data and material

All data is available to readers through their request to the corresponding author.

Author contribution statement

A.J and M.M.M conceived of the presented idea. A.J, J.L. and M.M.R developed the theory and performed the data acquisition. D.M. performed the statistical analyses. D.S, D.M. and M.M.R verified the analytical methods and supervised the findings of this work. All authors discussed the results and contributed to the final manuscript.

References

1. Borges do Nascimento IJ, Cacic N, Abdulazeem HM, von Groote TC, Jayarajah U, Weerasekara I, et al. Novel Coronavirus Infection (COVID-19) in Humans: A Scoping Review and Meta-Analysis. *Journal of Clinical Medicine*. 2020 Mar;9(4):941.
2. Di Gennaro F, Pizzol D, Marotta C, Antunes M, Racalbuto V, Veronese N, et al. Coronavirus diseases (COVID-19) current status and future perspectives: A narrative review. Vol. 17, *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*. MDPI AG; 2020.
3. Gilat R, Cole BJ. COVID-19, Medicine, and Sports. *Arthroscopy, Sports Medicine, and Rehabilitation*. 2020 Jun;2(3):e175–6.
4. Sarto F, Impellizzeri FM, Spörri J, Porcelli S, Olmo J, Requena B, et al. Impact of Potential Physiological Changes due to COVID-19 Home Confinement on Athlete Health Protection in Elite Sports: a Call for Awareness in Sports Programming. Vol. 50, *Sports Medicine*. Springer; 2020. p. 1417–9.
5. Heart rate variability. Standards of measurement, physiological interpretation, and clinical use. Task Force of the European Society of Cardiology and the North American Society of Pacing and Electrophysiology. *European heart journal*. 1996 Mar;17(3):354–81.
6. Vesterinen V, Hakkinen K, Hynynen E, Mikkola J, Hokka L, Nummela A. Heart rate variability in prediction of individual adaptation to endurance training in recreational endurance runners. *Scand J Med Sci Sports*. 2011/08/05. 2013;23(2):171–80.
7. Schmitt L, Regnard J, Parmentier AL, Mauny F, Mourot L, Coulmy N, et al. Typology of “Fatigue” by Heart Rate Variability Analysis in Elite Nordic-skiers. *International journal of sports medicine*. 2015 Nov;36(12):999–1007.
8. Kaikkonen P, Nummela A, Rusko H. Heart rate variability dynamics during early recovery after different endurance exercises. *Eur J Appl Physiol*. 2007/09/28. 2007;102(1):79–86.
9. Nakamura FY, Pereira LA, Esco MR, Flatt AA, Moraes JE, Cal Abad CC, et al. Intra- and inter-day reliability of ultra-short-term heart rate variability in rugby union players. *Journal of Strength and Conditioning Research*. 2016 May;1.
10. Flatt AA, Esco MR. Validity of the ithlete™ smart phone application for determining ultra-short-term heart rate variability. *Journal of human kinetics*. 2013;39(1):85–92.
11. Plews DJ, Scott B, Altini M, Wood M, Kilding AE, Laursen PB. Comparison of heart-rate-variability recording with smartphone photoplethysmography, Polar H7 chest strap, and electrocardiography. *International journal of sports physiology and performance*. 2017;12(10):1324–8.
12. Barrero A, Schnell F, Carrault G, Kervio G, Matelot D, Carré F, et al. Daily fatigue-recovery balance monitoring with heart rate variability in well-trained female cyclists on the Tour de France circuit. Di Giminiani R, editor. *PLOS ONE*. 2019 Mar;14(3):e0213472.
13. Hedelin R, Kentta G, Wiklund U, Bjerle P, Henriksson-Larsen K. Short-term overtraining: effects on performance, circulatory responses, and heart rate variability. *Medicine and science in sports and exercise*. 2000 Aug;32(8):1480–4.
14. Muriel X, Courel-Ibáñez J, Cerezuela-Espejo V, Pallarés JG. Training Load and Performance Impairments in Professional Cyclists During COVID-19 Lockdown. *International Journal of Sports Physiology and Performance* [Internet]. 2020 Aug 20 [cited 2021 Apr 20];1–4. Available from: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/32820136/>
15. Dong JG. The role of heart rate variability in sports physiology (Review). Vol. 11, *Experimental and Therapeutic Medicine*. 2016.
16. Mujika I, Padilla S. Detraining: Loss of training induced physiological and performance adaptation. Part I. Short term insufficient training stimulus. *Sports Medicine*. 2000;30(2):79–

17. Mujika I, Padilla S. Cardiorespiratory and metabolic characteristics of detraining in humans. In: *Medicine and Science in Sports and Exercise*. American College of Sports Medicine; 2001. p. 413–21.
18. Neufer PD. The Effect of Detraining and Reduced Training on the Physiological Adaptations to Aerobic Exercise Training. Vol. 8, *Sports Medicine*. 1989. p. 302–20.
19. Pettitt RW, Clark IE, Ebner SM, Sedgeman DT, Murray SR. Gas Exchange Threshold and V[Combining Dot Above]O₂max Testing for Athletes. *Journal of Strength and Conditioning Research*. 2013 Feb;27(2):549–55.
20. Skinner JS, McLellan TH. The Transition from Aerobic to Anaerobic Metabolism. *Research Quarterly for Exercise and Sport*. 1980;51(1):234–48.
21. Miller Matthew C. MPWFPW& SSR. Agreement between Powertap, Quarq and Stages power meters for cross-country mountain biking. *Sports Technology*. 2015;8(1–2):44–50.
22. Allen H, Coggan A. Training and racing with a power meter. VeloPress; 2010. 326 p.
23. van Erp T, Sanders D. Demands of professional cycling races: Influence of race category and result. *European Journal of Sport Science*. 2020;1–12.
24. Esco MR, Flatt AA. Ultra-short-term heart rate variability indexes at rest and post-exercise in athletes: evaluating the agreement with accepted recommendations. *Journal of sports science & medicine*. 2014 Sep;13(3):535–41.
25. Plews DJ, Laursen PB, Kilding AE, Buchheit M. Heart-rate variability and training-intensity distribution in elite rowers. *Int J Sports Physiol Perform*. 2014/04/05. 2014;9(6):1026–32.
26. Patil I. Visualizations with statistical details: The “ggstatsplot” approach. *Journal of Open Source Software*. 2021 May;6(61):3167.
27. Singh N, Moneghetti KJ, Christle JW, Hadley D, Plews D, Froelicher V. Heart rate variability: An old metric with new meaning in the era of using mhealth technologies for health and exercise training guidance. Part one: Physiology and methods. Vol. 7, *Arrhythmia and Electrophysiology Review*. Radcliffe Cardiology; 2018. p. 193–8.
28. Flatt AA, Esco MR, Nakamura FY, Plews DJ. Interpreting daily heart rate variability changes in collegiate female soccer players. *The Journal of sports medicine and physical fitness*. 2017;
29. Flatt AA, Howells D. Effects of varying training load on heart rate variability and running performance among an Olympic rugby sevens team. *Journal of Science and Medicine in Sport*. 2019 Feb;22(2):222–6.
30. Flatt AA, Howells D, Williams S. Effects of consecutive domestic and international tournaments on heart rate variability in an elite rugby sevens team. *Journal of Science and Medicine in Sport*. 2019 May;22(5):616–21.

392 **Tables**

393 Table 1. Individual changes (%) from previous week. No data are reported when no time was expended at a given intensity during the week. Missing data are
394 also reported: *No HRV recording.

395

Subject	Gender	HRV	Pre				Lock							Post			
			1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
1	M		0%	3%	1%	0%	-2%	-5%	4%	3%	-3%	3%	-4%	1%	7%	-2%	0%
2	M		0%	-2%	-4%	8%	-1%	0%	1%	1%	-3%	1%	2%	-1%	-4%	4%	1%
3	M		0%	1%	-5%	2%	3%	3%	-5%	6%	-11%	7%	-1%	11%	-12%	-4%	10%
4	M		0%	-4%	9%	-7%	-2%	2%	4%	-2%	-6%	2%	3%	4%	-1%	-4%	3%
5	M		0%	4%	-2%	-7%	3%	2%	6%	-5%	1%	0%	-3%	6%	-5%	2%	3%
6	M		0%	-20%	7%	2%	-1%	-1%	11%	-7%	-10%	6%	6%	0%	-10%	4%	-1%
7	M		0%	2%	-7%	5%	2%	-2%	0%	4%	0%	-3%	1%	0%	-5%	4%	-3%
8	M		0%	1%	-9%	-3%	9%	-4%	-4%	3%	2%	-12%	4%	7%	0%	-2%	0%
9	F		0%	-7%	2%	-2%	10%	2%	0%	2%	-2%	-2%	1%	-12%	11%	0%	-7%
10	F		0%	3%	-5%	2%	-5%	1%	-4%	0%	-12%	*	*	*	*	-2%	-2%
11	F		0%	-4%	-1%	-5%	3%	4%	-2%	-1%	-5%	8%	0%	-3%	-3%	4%	1%
12	F		0%	-5%	-2%	2%	-1%	5%	-4%	-2%	1%	-3%	4%	3%	0%	-1%	-1%
13	F		0%	4%	-1%	0%	0%	2%	1%	0%	-2%	-1%	1%	0%	-5%	4%	-3%
14	F		0%	3%	-4%	1%	-1%	6%	1%	-1%	-8%	0%	4%	-7%	8%	-3%	-5%
15	F		0%	0%	-10%	6%	-20%	8%	2%	8%	5%	2%	1%	0%	-1%	4%	-1%
VOL																	
1	M		0%	72%	-12%	-23%	-7%	13%	11%	-30%	19%	-82%	-100%	100%	23%	20%	11%
2	M		0%	-27%	-20%	27%	-39%	35%	4%	-3%	-29%	-4%	-4%	80%	16%	9%	-13%

3	M	0%	60%	-7%	7%	-6%	-9%	7%	-1%	-13%	-4%	26%	12%	-1%	7%	-13%
4	M	0%	71%	-28%	-19%	49%	-58%	22%	-46%	81%	8%	21%	138%	-60%	177%	5%
5	M	0%	18%	-1%	-28%	-8%	13%	22%	-9%	-47%	78%	-6%	64%	16%	-1%	10%
6	M	0%	-27%	58%	12%	-59%	7%	-23%	-52%	75%	-71%	236%	270%	-21%	35%	3%
7	M	0%	30%	-41%	39%	-60%	32%	47%	5%	-8%	26%	-9%	19%	-10%	35%	27%
8	M	0%	-66%	-51%	342%	-58%	-100%	100%	11%	28%	-9%	26%	68%	5%	-12%	-20%
9	F	0%	-6%	-28%	55%	-96%	-100%	100%	24%	-7%	-19%	9%	51%	36%	-6%	-12%
10	F	0%	28%	-22%	16%	-70%	102%	-36%	24%	-8%	-4%	32%	5%	50%	16%	-1%
11	F	0%	-14%	19%	-12%	-55%	52%	-2%	-54%	46%	81%	-36%	54%	-2%	20%	-18%
12	F	0%	-13%	-44%	14%	8%	35%	7%	-8%	-41%	62%	-19%	19%	50%	7%	-47%
13	F	0%	41%	-13%	11%	-38%	23%	-22%	20%	3%	-8%	37%	34%	3%	-28%	39%
14	F	0%	-26%	-13%	30%	-39%	6%	25%	-9%	-23%	18%	7%	71%	8%	-3%	-4%
15	F	0%	-28%	-8%	26%	-8%	-46%	7%	8%	-36%	42%	15%	86%	-26%	25%	-14%
TSS																
1	M	0%	121%	-18%	-31%	19%	18%	25%	-35%	-1%	-89%	-100%	100%	28%	20%	18%
2	M	0%	150%	-37%	52%	-16%	28%	23%	3%	-33%	-11%	12%	37%	6%	-15%	20%
3	M	0%	65%	36%	3%	-7%	-27%	34%	3%	-1%	-17%	17%	-6%	10%	0%	-5%
4	M	0%	54%	-43%	10%	65%	-58%	79%	-52%	73%	-7%	15%	85%	-71%	372%	26%
5	M	0%	192%	-62%	-6%	16%	-20%	132%	-23%	-52%	72%	-27%	18%	47%	14%	-23%
6	M	0%	30%	36%	3%	17%	-15%	18%	-28%	7%	-9%	16%	-12%	35%	-19%	0%
7	M	0%	55%	-53%	36%	-46%	61%	32%	14%	-26%	30%	-55%	87%	10%	41%	22%
8	M	0%	-88%	-43%	736%	-40%	-100%	100%	1%	18%	-18%	-32%	176%	6%	-18%	-11%
9	F	0%	-19%	37%	-15%	-72%	60%	132%	-29%	-23%	-7%	46%	19%	50%	-3%	6%
10	F	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	91%	-67%	65%	15%	-100%	0%	100%	948%	98%	39%

11 F	0%	-11%	-45%	8%	45%	40%	2%	-7%	-49%	64%	-21%	32%	40%	-1%	-43%
12 F	0%	93%	-38%	61%	-37%	13%	11%	20%	-13%	-21%	52%	14%	22%	-30%	61%
13 F	0%	11%	-42%	70%	-96%	-100%	100%	40%	-8%	-24%	-6%	24%	8%	36%	-10%
14 F	0%	-22%	-38%	-32%	-62%	-3%	25%	-32%	-100%	100%	15%	80%	207%	-5%	1%
15 F	0%	-38%	-24%	16%	-4%	-39%	32%	5%	-31%	34%	19%	52%	-26%	35%	20%

396

397

Observations	931				
Dependent variable	HRV				
Type	Mixed effects linear regression				
AIC	1785.45				
BIC	1916.03				
Pseudo-R ² (fixed effects)	0.00				
Pseudo-R ² (total)	0.68				
Fixed Effects					
	Est.	S.E.	t val.	d.f.	p
(Intercept)	-0.04	0.25	-0.17	14.66	0.87
Training	-0.10	0.07	-1.40	123.33	0.17
Day	-0.00	0.00	-0.51	25.83	0.61
TSS	0.10	0.07	1.49	189.08	0.14
Training: Day	0.00	0.00	0.99	256.90	0.32
Day:TSS	-0.00	0.00	-0.62	257.15	0.54

p values calculated using Satterthwaite d.f.

Random Effects		
Group	Parameter	Std. Dev.
Period:Subject	(Intercept)	0.71
Period:Subject	TSS	0.05
Period:Subject	Training	0.04
Period:Subject	Day	0.01
Subject	(Intercept)	0.80
Subject	TSS	0.00
Subject	Training	0.04
Subject	Day	0.00
Residual		0.57

Grouping Variables

Group	# groups	ICC
Period:Subject	41	0.35
Subject	14	0.43

403 *Est. Estimate*

404 *S.E. standard error*

405 *T val. T value*

406 *d.f. degrees of freedom*

407 *p p-value*

408 *AIC: Akaike Information Criterion*

409 *BIC: Bayesian Information Criterion*

410 *ICC: Intraclass correlation coefficient*

411

412

Figures

Figure 1. Average TSS (left), Training volume (center) and HRV (right) for all the subjects across the three periods. Pairwise differences between periods are assessed by means of the Durbin Conover test.

Figure 2. Male (A) and female (B) individual training volume, intensity and HRV. Grey coloured box represents the lockdown period. Bottom x-axis shows the dates of the measurement. Left y-axis shows the training volume expressed in minutes (grouped columns in bar chart), in which light grey represents zone 1, medium grey represents zone 2 and black represents zone 3. First right y-axis (grey line) shows the natural logarithm of the root mean squared differences of successive RR intervals averaged weekly (LnRMSSD_roll7d). Top x-axis show the power output recordings distributed into ranges of 0.5 W.Kg⁻¹, represented in the second right y-axis as a percentage of time expended in the three different periods: Pre-lockdown (dotted black), lockdown (black) and Post-lockdown (dash black).

Figure 3: Model prediction per each subject and each period.

Figure 4: Random effects of the mixed model.