The Expression of Indefiniteness in English and Arabic: A Contrastive Study

Inam Ismael Taher

Department of English, College of Basic Education
University of Diyala, Iraq

Author: Inam Ismael Taher

Thesis Title: The Expression of Indefiniteness in English and Arabic: A Contrastive Study

Subject/major: Linguistics / Grammar

Institution: Department of English, University of Baghdad

Degree: MA in Linguistics

Year of award: 2005

Supervisor: Prof. Kadhim Haidar Al - Jawadi, Ph.D.

KeyWords: articles, definiteness, contrastive study, indefiniteness, nouns, pragmatics, semantics, syntax

Abstract

Indefiniteness is a semantic feature expressed by grammatical devices to be used linguistically depending on pragmatic factors. So, the present study deals with indefiniteness syntactically, semantically and pragmatically. These levels are related to each other and it is not easy to draw lines between them. The study aims at (1)Pointing out how the concept of indefiniteness is expressed grammatically in English and Arabic, and show the role of articles in expressing the concept.(2)Showing the syntactic, semantic and pragmatic uses of the indefinite expression in English and Arabic.(3)Identifying the similarity and difference between the two languages at the syntactic, semantic, and pragmatic levels which may help to get a common core that contributes to the belief in the existence of language universals. The procedures followed in this study include an introduction about the concept of indefiniteness, and a brief survey of indefiniteness in thirty-one languages to show how this concept is expressed in them. Then, indefiniteness in English and Arabic is dealt with at the syntactic, semantic, and pragmatic levels. This is followed by a contrastive analysis to point out the similarities and differences between the two languages.

The study has come to the conclusion that, in English, the indefinite feature exists in the noun, not in the article, and the article has a syntactic function rather than a semantic one. The study shows also that, semantically, indefiniteness in English and Arabic is almost the same, and its function is internal to the language system. Syntactically and pragmatically, indefiniteness in Arabic is more powerful and active than it is in English. Syntactically, the indefinite item is obligatory in certain positions to perform different functions. Pragmatically, the indefinite item acquires additional meaning from the context in which it occurs.

Cite as: Taher, I. I. (2005). The Expression of Indefiniteness in English and Arabic: A Contrastive Study. Department of English, University of Baghdad (Master Thesis). Retrieved from *Arab World English Journal* (ID Number: 244. September 2019,1-155 . DOI: <https://dx.doi.org/10.24093/awej/th.244>

UNIVERSITY OF BAGHDAD

**THE EXPRESSION OF INDEFINITENESS
IN
ENGLISH AND ARABIC:
A CONTRASTIVE STUDY**

A THESIS
SUBMITTED TO THE COUNCIL OF THE
COLLEGE OF ARTS
UNIVERSITY OF BAGHDAD
IN PARTIAL FULFILMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS
FOR THE DEGREE OF
MASTER OF ARTS
IN ENGLISH LANGUAGE AND LINGUISTICS

BY
INAM ISMAEL TAHER

SUPERVISED BY
PROF. KADHIM HAIDAR AL - JAWADI, Ph.D.

JULY 2005 A.D.

JUMAADA AL-AAKHIRA 1426 A.H.

أَفَلَمْ يَدَّبَّرُوا الْقَوْلَ أَمْ جَاءَهُمْ مَا لَمْ يَأْتِ آبَاءَهُمُ الْأَوَّلِينَ
أَمْ لَمْ يَعْرِفُوا رَسُولَهُمْ فَهُمْ لَهُ مُنْكَرُونَ
أَمْ يَقُولُونَ بِهِ جِنَّةٌ بَلْ جَاءَهُمُ بِالْحَقِّ وَكَثُرُ هُمُ لِلْحَقِّ كَافِرُونَ
وَلَوْ اتَّبَعَ الْحَقُّ أَهْوَاءَهُمْ لَفَسَدَتِ السَّمَاوَاتُ وَالْأَرْضُ وَمَنْ فِيهِنَّ
بَلْ أَتَيْنَاهُمْ بِذِكْرِهِمْ فَهُمْ عَنْ ذِكْرِهِمْ مُعْرِضُونَ

صَلَّى
الْعَظِيمِ

قرآن مجید
سورة المؤمنون (٦٨ - ٧١)

I certify that this thesis *THE EXPRESSION OF INDEFINITENESS IN ENGLISH AND ARABIC: A CONTRASTIVE STUDY* has been prepared under my supervision at the University of Baghdad as a partial requirement for the degree of Master of Arts in English Language and Linguistics.

Signature:

Supervisor: Prof. Kadhim Haidar al- Jawadi, Ph.D.

Date: 10.7.2005

In view of the available recommendations, I forward this thesis for debate by the Examining Committee.

Signature:

Name: Prof. Abdullatif Al-Jumaily, Ph.D.

Chairman of the Department Committee
on Graduate Studies in English Language
and Linguistics

Date: 10.7.2005

We certify that we have read this thesis *THE EXPRESSION OF INDEFINITENESS IN ENGLISH AND ARABIC: A CONTRASTIVE STUDY* as Examining Committee examined the student in its contents and that in our opinion it is adequate as a thesis for the degree of Master of Arts in English Language and Linguistics.

Signature:

Name: Dr. Ryadh Khalil Ibrahim

Member

Date: 10.11.2005

Signature:

Name: Dr. Sobah S. Al-Red

Member

Date: 10.11.2005

Signature:
Name: Prof. Abdullatif Al-Jumaily, Ph.D.

Chairman

Date: 10.11.2005

Approved by the Council of the College of Arts

Signature:

Name: Dr. Flayyik Kareem ar-Rikabi

Dean of the College of Arts

Date: 16.11.2005

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

First of all I offer my praise and thanks to Almighty Allah, Who has granted me success throughout my M.A. study and enabled me to complete my present thesis.

Then I would like to express my deep gratitude and appreciation, which go beyond words, to my supervisor Prof. Dr. Kadhim Haidar al- Jawadi for suggesting the topic of this thesis, and for his fatherly attention, sincere help and encouragement throughout the preparation and writing of this thesis.

I am also greatly indebted to Prof. Dr. Abdullatif Al- Jumaily, Head of the Department of English ,College of Arts , University of Baghdad , for his scholarly guidance and sincere help , which he has always been ready to offer when needed .

Words of thanks are also due to my dear brothers Aziz and Tahir, Mr. Abdur-Razzaq Hasan, Mr. Ahmad Khalaf, and Mr. Kareem Ja'far for providing me with valuable books on which this thesis depended heavily.

I am also grateful to the staff Members of the Library of the Department of English, Mrs. Widad Salman (Um Ali) and Miss Ma'aani, for all their real efforts and friendly help. The staff members of the Central Library of the University of Baghdad, the Library of the College of Arts, the Central Library of al-Mustansiriyya University, and the Central Library in Ba'quba have also offered me real help and facilitated things for me; to them I offer my sincere thanks.

Finally my love and gratitude are due to my family for their patience, help, and encouragement.

CONTENTS

ACKNOWLEDGMENT	ii
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS.....	vii
ABSTRACT.....	viii
CHAPTER	
ONE: INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 The Problem	1
1.2 The Aims of the Study	2
1.3 The Hypothesis	3
1.4 The Procedures	3
1.5 The Value of the Study	4
1.6 The Limits of the Study.....	4
 TWO: THE CONCEPT OF INDEFINITENESS.....	5
2.1 Introduction	5
2.2 Definitions of Indefiniteness	8
2.3 Indefiniteness v. Definiteness.....	10
2.4 The Need for Articles.....	14
2.5 Indefiniteness as the Unmarked Feature.....	18
2.6 Sentence Structure.....	20
 THREE: INDEFINITENESS IN ENGLISH.....	22
3.1 Indefiniteness at the Syntactic Level	22
3.1.1 The Classification of Nouns	23
3.1.2 Articles	30

3.1.3	The Syntactic Positions of the Indefinite Noun phrase.....	32
3.2	Indefiniteness at the Semantic Level.....	35
3.2.1	The Uses of the Indefinite Noun.....	35
3.2.2	The Indefinite Article <i>a/an</i> and the Numeral <i>One</i>	44
3.2.3	Indefiniteness and Ambiguity.....	46
3.2.4	The Semantic Contrast between Indefiniteness and Definiteness.....	48
3.2.5	The Indefinite Noun in English Proverbs.....	54
3.3	Indefiniteness at the Pragmatic Level.....	55
3.3.1	The Pragmatic Contrast between Indefiniteness and Definiteness.....	56
3.3.2	The Co-operative Principle.....	58
3.3.3	The Pragmatic Sets of Indefiniteness	60
FOUR:	INDEFINITENESS IN ARABIC	63
4.1	Indefiniteness at the Syntactic Level.....	63
4.1.1	The Syntactic Definitions of the Indefinite (الذكر) لاسم.....	67
4.1.2	The Classification of Nouns.....	68
4.1.2.1	The Indefiniteness of (الاسم) لاسم Proper Noun	70
4.1.3	Articles	74
4.1.3.1	الانوين Noun	74
4.1.3.2	The Definite Article 'ال' 'the'	81
4.1.4	The Syntactic Positions of the Indefinite اسم.....	82

4.2	Indefiniteness at the Semantic Level.....	91
4.2.1	The Uses of the Indefinite للم.....	91
4.2.2	Indefiniteness and Singularity.....	94
4.2.3	Indefiniteness and ال عموم Generalization.....	96
4.2.4	Indefiniteness and Ambiguity.....	97
4.2.5	The Semantic contrast between Indefiniteness and Definiteness.....	98
4.2.6	The indefinite للم in Proverbs and Proverbial Sayings	100
4.3	Indefiniteness at the Pragmatic Level.....	101
4.3.1	The Pragmatic Meanings of the Indefinite للم.....	104
FIVE:	CONTRASTIVE ANALYSIS.....	107
5.1	Indefiniteness at the Syntactic Level	107
5.1.1	The Classification of Noun / للم.....	108
5.1.2	Articles.....	108
5.1.3	The Syntactic Positions of the Indefinite Noun/ للم.....	111
5.2	Indefiniteness at the Semantic Level.....	113
5.2.1	The Uses of the Indefinite Noun / للم.....	113
5.2.2	The indefinite noun/ للم and the Numeral <i>one</i> واحدة / واحد.....	114
5.2.3	Indefiniteness and Ambiguity.....	114
5.2.4	The Semantic Contrast between Indefiniteness and Definiteness.....	115
5.3	Indefiniteness at the Pragmatic Level	123

SIX:	CONCLUSIONS, RECOMMENDATIONS, AND SUGGESTIONS FOR FURTHER STUDY.....	125
	6.1 Conclusions	125
	6.2 Recommendations.....	127
	6.3 Suggestions for Further study.....	128
	APPENDIX.....	129
	BIBLIOGRAPHY.....	144
	ABSTRACT IN ARABIC	

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

Adj	Adjective
Det	Determiner
LV	Linking Verb
N	Noun
2OED	The Oxford English Dictionary 2 nd edition 1989
TrV	Transitive Verb
UW	Uninflected Word
Webster III	Webster's Third New International Dictionary of the English Language

ABSTRACT

Indefiniteness is a linguistic concept that exists in English and Arabic. It indicates that the referent(s) is common and not distinguishable from any member or part of the kind, so it is not uniquely identified by the addressee.

The distinction between indefiniteness and definiteness is essentially related to the addressee's knowledge. The referent(s) referred to by the indefinite item is unknown to the addressee but it can be known or unknown to the speaker/writer. When the referent is known to the speaker/writer, the specific indefinite item is used; when it is unknown, the generic indefinite is used.

Thus, indefiniteness is a semantic feature expressed by grammatical devices to be used linguistically depending on pragmatic factors. So, the present study deals with indefiniteness syntactically, semantically and pragmatically. These levels are related to each other and it is not easy to draw lines between them.

Indefiniteness in English grammar books is treated mainly as a syntactic category independently of the semantic and pragmatic factors, which are highly involved in expressing the concept. The concentration in grammar is on the articles, not the concept itself.

In Arabic, there is no such concentration, because Arabic, unlike English, has no indefinite article. Indefiniteness is expressed by the original form of the word, i.e. the absence of the definite article, and the indefinite marker *nunation*, which is a controversial marker. The present study considers *nunation* an indefinite marker with different functions.

The procedures followed in this study include an introduction about the concept of indefiniteness, and a brief survey of indefiniteness in thirty-one languages to show how this concept is expressed in them. Then, indefiniteness in English and Arabic is dealt with at the syntactic, semantic, and pragmatic levels. This is followed by a contrastive analysis to point out the similarities and differences between the two languages.

The study has come to the conclusion that, in English, the indefinite feature exists in the noun, not in the article, and the article has a syntactic function rather than a semantic one. The study shows also that, semantically, indefiniteness in English and Arabic is almost the same, and its function is internal to the language system. Syntactically and pragmatically, indefiniteness in Arabic is more powerful and active than it is in English. Syntactically, the indefinite item is obligatory in certain positions to perform different functions. Pragmatically, the indefinite item acquires additional meaning from the context in which it occurs.

TO
My Family
with My
Love and Gratitude

CHAPTER ONE

INTRODUCTION

1.1 The Problem

Indefiniteness is a linguistic term used in grammar, semantics, and pragmatics. It refers to an entity or class of entities which is not capable of specific and unique identification. It indicates that the referent of an expression is not presumed to be uniquely identifiable by the addressee because of a lack of shared knowledge or situation or because there is no previous mention of the referent.

English and Arabic grammarians agree that indefiniteness is the unmarked term in the system of language because it is considered the basis and the origin of all things. Definiteness, on the other hand, is considered secondary to indefiniteness.

In English, indefiniteness is expressed grammatically by the use of the indefinite article *a/an* with the singular count nouns, and *zero article* or the unstressed *some* with the plural and non-count nouns. In Arabic, it is expressed by the absence of the definite article **الـ** 'the' and the indefinite marker *nunation* **النفثي** which is used with count, non-count, and proper nouns, with certain exceptions, to perform different functions.

Languages are different in their rules and structures; so they are different in how they organize their thematic structure. There is a

tendency in most languages, including English and Arabic, to place the given information, which is definite, at the beginning of the sentence, and the new information, which is indefinite, at the end. Certain devices are used in both languages to keep the sentence grammatical and acceptable such as: *existential there*, *transformation*, *extrapolation*, etc.

The indefinite and definite terms have generic and specific uses and the selection of the proper term depends on syntactic, semantic, and pragmatic considerations. English and Arabic grammarians try to find syntactic rules for the use of the indefinite term irrespective of the semantic and pragmatic factors. In the present study, indefiniteness will be treated at the syntactic, semantic, and pragmatic levels.

Indefiniteness is not a specific notion, and the distinction between indefiniteness and definiteness is not easy to understand. The many linguistic and extralinguistic contextual variables, which operate, should be taken into consideration. The distinction can be captured in pragmatic terms.

There is no one-to-one relation between English and Arabic; so areas where the two languages differ are expected to constitute problems to the non-native speaker concerning indefiniteness.

1.2 The Aims of the Study

The study aims to:

1. Point out how the concept of indefiniteness is expressed grammatically in English and Arabic, and show the role of articles in expressing the concept.

2. Show the syntactic, semantic and pragmatic uses of the indefinite expression in English and Arabic.
3. Identify the similarity and difference between the two languages at the syntactic, semantic, and pragmatic levels which may help to get a common core that contributes to the belief in the existence of language universals.

1.3 The Hypotheses

In order to give a comprehensive view about indefiniteness in English and Arabic, it will be treated at the syntactic, semantic, and pragmatic levels. Accordingly, the following hypotheses will be considered:

1. The indefinite feature exists in the noun itself not in the article so the article in English is considered a grammatical device not a semantic one.
2. Indefiniteness in Arabic is more active and powerful syntactically and pragmatically than it is in English.

1.4 The Procedures

1. Giving an idea about the concept of indefiniteness and how it is expressed in different languages.
2. Showing indefiniteness in English at the syntactic, semantic, and pragmatic levels.
3. Showing indefiniteness in Arabic at the syntactic, semantic and pragmatic levels.

4. Carrying out a contrastive analysis to show the similarity and difference between the two languages concerning indefiniteness.
5. Drawing conclusions from the results of the study.

1.5 The Value of the Study

The contrastive analysis that will be done in this study may be useful for the belief of some linguists in the existence of language universals. This study may be useful also for pedagogical purposes. The findings and results of the study may help us predict difficulties that non- native speakers encounter concerning indefiniteness.

1.6 The Limits of the Study

The study will be limited to Standard English and Standard Arabic.

CHAPTER TWO

THE CONCEPT OF INDEFINITENESS

This chapter presents an introduction about how indefiniteness is expressed in different languages. It contains also the definitions of the concept, indefiniteness v. definiteness, the need for articles, indefiniteness as the unmarked feature and sentence structure as far as indefiniteness is concerned.

2.1 Introduction

Concepts such as negation, question, indefiniteness and definiteness etc. are expressed linguistically by grammatical devices, that is to say these concepts are transferred from theory to practice through grammatical devices.

The concern of the present study is the concept of indefiniteness. To see how this concept is expressed in different languages, which may help us to comprehend the concept, a brief survey of thirty-one languages from different families is made.¹ It is found that some languages have article system and others do not, and those that do are different from each other in their article system.

¹ The web site WIKIPEDIA provides a wide range of information about languages, including grammar and semantics, (<http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki>). Webster's Third Dictionary (1976) also provides the grammar of seven languages which are: English, French, German, Italian, Spanish, Russian, and Japanese.

Some languages have indefinite and definite articles. Others have only a definite article, and the absence of this article indicates indefiniteness. The difference is also in the position of the article and whether it is a separate word or an affix.

Languages which have no article system employ other devices to express indefiniteness and definiteness such as word order, intonation, demonstratives, numeral *one*, the form of words and the context.

It is to be mentioned here that the survey includes in addition to natural languages two different languages: an artificial language called The Master Language, and American Sign Language (ASL).

According to the survey, the examined languages are classified as follows:

I. Languages which have an article system:

(a) Languages which have both indefinite and definite articles are:

English, French, German, Swedish, Danish, Romanian, Dutch, Italian, Spanish, and The Master Language.

(b) Languages which have one article (the definite article) are:

Arabic, Greek, Galician, Bulgarian, Sodd, Welsh, and Mekheal .

II. Languages which have no article system are:

Latin, Japanese, Chinese, Turkish, Czech, Finnish, Russian, Hindi, Slovene, Rotuman, Tamil, Acatec, Garifuna, and American Sign Language (ASL).

These Languages are different in expressing the concept of indefiniteness and definiteness (see the Appendix).

Out of the survey, the following points come out, which need special attention:

1. The choice of the proper noun phrase, whether indefinite or definite, depends on linguistic, situational, and cultural context in addition to the man's knowledge of the world, i.e. the environment in which the noun phrase occurs determines the choice of the noun phrase.
1. The indefinite and definite articles in most languages, which have an article system, have the function of the numeral *one* and the demonstrative *that* respectively. In some languages which have no article system the numeral *one* and the demonstrative *that* function as indefinite and definite articles respectively. This point emphasizes the historical origin of the indefinite and definite articles in English. The former is derived from the numeral *one*, and the latter from the demonstrative *that* (Strang 1970: 272 ; Halliday & Hassan 1976/1987 :70).
2. None of the examined languages has only an indefinite article. This point may emphasize the fact that nouns are inherently indefinite, and that indefiniteness is the unmarked feature in the system of language.
3. The gender of the noun affects the form of the article in some languages i.e. the article agrees with the gender of the noun in some languages that have grammatical gender.
4. In some languages, the indefinite and definite determiners can be used together in the same noun phrase. In others, two definite determiners can be used in the same noun phrase.

5. The physical linguistic manifestation that exists in the surface structure of a language cannot be considered a ground to make comparison between languages because there is no one –to –one relation between them. So, the further one abstracts from the physical aspect of language towards its conceptual content, the nearer one gets to a common core of linguistic universal (Leech 1974/1978 : 232).

2.2 Definitions of Indefiniteness

Indefiniteness as a general term is always associated with *vagueness* and considered as a synonym to it (2OED s.v. *indefiniteness* ; Webster’s III s.v. *indefiniteness*). In fact, the indefinite noun may be considered as non-specific rather than vague because there is a distinction between vagueness and lack of specificity (Alston 1964:84-85).

In grammar books and linguistics, there is no precise and comprehensive definition for indefiniteness. The concentration is on the article rather than the concept itself. However, Crystal (1997 s.v. *Indefinite(ness)*) defines it as “a term used in Grammar and Semantics to refer to an entity (or class of entities) which is not capable of specific identification.” *Definiteness*, on the other hand, is used “to refer to a specific identifiable entity (or class of entities)” (ibid. s.v. *definite (ness)*). It seems that these definitions are inadequate, partly because they do not give a semantic explanation for the concept, and partly because they ignore the pragmatic factors which are highly involved in expressing the concept.

Arabic grammarians define the indefinite اسم in different ways. Here are some of them. ابن السراج (ت 316 م) [1973:1/175] defines it as follows :
 لَمْ يَلَمْ م لَمْ يَلَمْ هَا زَمْ وَلَمْ يَلَمْ سَعْنَا وَلَمْ يَلَمْ مَنَا م اوم :
 i.e. 'The indefinite' اسم is said to be 'indefinite', if it applies to more than one entity. It is called 'indefinite', because when the indefinite اسم is mentioned the addressee cannot identify with it a specific entity.'

ال لاس 'the indefinite' ل إرا (5/85 : ت 643 هـ) defines it from a semantic and pragmatic point of view ; he says :

ال إرباع يلا إ ر - طل ارباع اعززة خص ماحداً ن لاج س يت مل غمره
 مل تيقب اعززة ل اخ طب من اللال . انقم يمل كلال لال م م عرم فله م
 يعززه ل اخ طبزم إن إ رالقم ل لقم ل لمنيخ ظمزم ار رجل لم بسلان
 م ه يعرف لار م لست ن قد يعززه لال لي م ووق لم لم زم طمب غلم
 شاتريه ام دالكثير م ي إن قصده ليش بجم هـ .

Paraphrase in English (without the examples):

The indefinite لَمْ means that the referent is unknown to the addressee, but it can be known or unknown to the speaker. The definite لَمْ , on the other hand, is related to one specific entity which is known to the addressee and the speaker/writer

ال إرا م : [1977:1/292] (ت 761 هـ) ألوصم ر
 i.e. 'The indefinite لاس applies to common things and the definite applies to specific things.'

ل إرا م م ضجل م ([185 ل 316 : 1405 هـ] ت 816 هـ) الجرو
 بجم هـ كـ ... التعريف را نل كم رشم تستل م عرت م عرزمه شم ء خم
 i.e. 'The indefinite اسم refers to a non-specific thing as a man...definiteness is mentioning a thing the knowledge of which requires prior knowledge of something else.'

From the above definitions, one concludes that the indefinite noun / اسم refers to a common entity which is not understood by the addressee to be the only thing, group, or quantity of the kind in existence in general, or in the relevant situation in particular. The definite, on the other hand, means that the referent is uniquely identified by the addressee.

The identifiability criterion is not controlled by certain rules. The referent is said to be identifiable if it is visible in the situation, mentioned previously, recoverable from the context, or based on general knowledge of the speaker/writer and the addressee. In brief, it exists uniquely in the shared knowledge.

2.3 Indefiniteness v. Definiteness

The contrast between indefiniteness and definiteness is not a binary taxonomy; the relation between them is rather one of hyponymy (Leech 1974/1978:167-168).² Thus, the formula ‘a man’ (- definite) and ‘the man’ (+ definite), which is used by some grammarians, is incompatible. This can be seen from the relation of entailment in the following example:

2.1 I saw *the queen* today.

entails

2.2 I saw *a queen* today

(ibid.)

So, *the queen* is *a queen*, and, according to the componential

² Leech (ibid.102) defines the term *hyponymy* as “the inclusion of one meaning in another” and *incompatibility* as “the exclusion of one meaning from another.”

analysis, *the queen* includes the features of *a queen* plus the definite feature. The phrase *a queen*, in another respect, includes *the queen* in the sense that the general term includes the meaning of the specific one (ibid. 101).

According to meaning inclusion, it can be said that the definite term has the features of the general term which in turn has the features of the more general one and so on. Thus, the indefiniteness of a noun is a matter of degree, the larger the set is, the more indefinite its member will be. For example, *the queen* is *a queen* and she is *a woman*, *a human being*, *a creature*, and *a living being*. It can be seen that *a creature*, for example, is more indefinite than *a queen*.

From this ground it can be said that the feature of indefiniteness and definiteness belongs to the theory of reference (ibid.). The indefinite noun refers to a common and non-unique entity and the definite noun refers to a unique entity in the relevant situation.

Since nouns in general refer to common objects in the world, they are inherently indefinite. Then, when an object becomes uniquely identified in the mind of the speaker/writer and the addressee, or when it is given a name, it becomes definite.

Compare the following entries of the words *god*, *man*, and *sun* in the Oxford Advanced Learner's Dictionary (2000):

god 1 (God) [sing.]... the being or spirit that is worshipped and is believed to have created the universe... 2 [c]...a being or spirit who is believed to have power over a particular part of nature ...

man [c] an adult human being ...

sun (the sun, the Sun) [sing.] the star that shines in the sky during the day and gives the earth heat and light

It can be seen that *god* (1) and *sun* are introduced by the definite noun phrases *the being* and *the star* respectively. The former indicates the uniqueness of *God*, and the latter refers to the unique entity that shines on this earth. The words *god* (2) and *man* are introduced by the indefinite noun phrases *a being* and *an adult* respectively because they are common nouns. They can be classified into indefinite and definite according to the context.

The *اسم*/noun can be classified into indefinite and definite in the sentence not in isolation ([1914:1/35] ت 631 هـ آل مد) because the feature of indefiniteness and definiteness belongs to the theory of reference, and the distinction between them is essentially related to the knowledge of the speaker/writer and the addressee. Thus, the proper nouns and personal pronouns are considered definite because they refer to definite persons or things which are supposed to be known to the speaker/writer and the addressee and they are unique in the relevant situation.

Accordingly, the noun can be classified into indefinite and definite syntactically and semantically. These levels are related to each other and they cannot operate independently of context and general knowledge. The speaker/writer generates a linguistic utterance by matching the conceptual meaning with the right syntactic structure of his language. The addressee, on the other hand, understands the utterance by matching the syntactic structure with the conceptual meaning (Leech 1974/1978:13). The article has no

conceptual meaning; so it has no semantic function, it does not add meaning, but it is an indicator after the noun has already been made indefinite or definite by the speaker/writer through the context. Yet, some grammarians consider, for example, *a lion* and *the lion* indefinite and definite respectively because the former is preceded by the indefinite article and the latter by the definite article. They pass their judgement independently of context and give the impression that the article carries the feature of indefiniteness or definiteness not the noun.

Consider the following examples:

2.3 I saw *a lion* and an elephant in the zoo yesterday and *the lion* tried to attack me.

2.4 *The lion* is a dangerous animal.

The indefinite noun phrase *a lion* in (3) is grammatically indefinite but it refers to a specific lion in the mind of the speaker but it is unknown to the addressee. When the object becomes contextually definite to the addressee, and when it becomes the only thing in the relevant situation that is talked about, the definite noun is used. The definite noun phrase *the lion* in (4) is grammatically definite but it does not refer to a specific lion in the mind of the speaker/writer and the addressee. The indefinite noun phrase can be indefinite in form and reference as in:

2.5 *A lion* is a dangerous animal.

The indefinite noun *a lion* refers to any arbitrary lion for the speaker/writer and the addressee. It seems that the terms indefinite and definite are not always proper ones for classifying these nouns.

2.4 The Need for Articles

Consider the following example:

2.6 *I meet *man* and *woman* yesterday and *man* tried to help me.

This sentence can be understood without articles depending on the lexical meaning of the words and the pragmatic factors. Sentences analogous to this sentence can be normal and grammatical in some languages that have no article system.

Since English has an article system to mark the indefiniteness and definiteness of nouns and form part of the structural meaning of the sentence (Fries 1977:56), the articles are used as follows :

2.7 I met *a man* and *a woman* yesterday and *the man* tried to help me .

It is obvious that *a man* and *the man* refer to the same entity and *the man* is *a man*. Now, when it becomes definite, *a man* requires an extra article which is the definite article *the*. As a result, a conflict will ensue between the two articles, the indefinite and the definite, as it is explained below:

2.8. I met *a man* and a woman yesterday and *the (a) man* tried to help me.

In such a case, the original article used with the noun is dropped i.e. the indefinite article ت 392هـ بام م [1956:3/62] ; Jespersen 1949/1954:VII:468):

2.7 I met *a man* and *a woman* yesterday and *the man* tried to help me .

Quirk et al. (1985/1987:255) say that the articles have no lexical meaning nor function independently of the noun they precede. They are used solely to give grammatical status to the following noun which needs this status. Yet, the articles are considered separate words. Hall (1960:98-99) thinks that they are considered separate words because English grammars use writing not language as their point of departure. He suggests that the articles should be counted as bound forms like prefixes and suffixes . For example, the indefinite article *a/an* and the suffix *-s* in the following nouns have the same grammatical role:

a book , *books*

The indefinite article *a* is used with the singular count noun to individualize the object *book* , so it indicates singularity , whereas the suffix *-s* is used to indicate plurality . The historical origin of the indefinite article in English may support this view (Strang 1970/1974 :272). Thus, the indefinite article is used with the singular count noun to perform an obligatory syntactic function. It is used to

pick out one of a potentially infinite number of the object in question. Compare:

2.9 *I bought *book*.

2.10 I bought *a book* .

2.11 I bought *the book* .

The noun *book* in (9) has only a lexical meaning. It does not refer to anything, whereas *a book* in (10) refers to the object as an individual, and it is indefinite because it is the first mention of the referent. When the object becomes definite to the addressee the definite *the book* is used as in (11).

This grammatical classification into indefinite and definite is categoric. It does not apply only to nouns that accept determiners, but it includes the whole class of nouns . The types of noun that do not always take a determiner can also be classified into indefinite and definite. *John* for example is definite, whereas *kindness* is indefinite (Blomfield 1933/1963:204). It is obvious that the indefiniteness of *kindness* and the definiteness of *John* are not expressed formally, i.e. they are expressed without articles. So, they are similar in terms of article use. Some grammarians try to distinguish between these nouns by giving different labels concerning the article. Quirk et al. (1985/1987:246) and Palmer & Blandford (1969:56-62n)³ almost share the same view in this respect.

³Palmer & Blandford say that there are two articles in English, indefinite and definite .When the indefinite article is used with plural and non-count nouns , it is alogistic, i.e. not represented by any word . It is equivalent to zero article .

They distinguish between *zero article* and *no article*. Quirk et al. introduce the following:

2.12 I like *music* .

2.13 I think *the music* is too loud in here .

2.14 I like *Sid*.

The noun *music* in the first example has *zero article* because it means *music* in general, whereas *Sid* in the last example has *no article* because it is a proper noun.

Dik (1978/1979:61-62) has another view which is more general because it includes languages which have an article system and languages which do not. He says that terms can be indefinitised or definitised by means of the indefinite or definite operator respectively .The operator exists in the underlying structure of the term , and it is expressed in different ways depending on the type of the noun and the structure of the language in question. Thus, indefiniteness and definiteness can be expressed with or without articles. In English, for example, the operator in some nouns is expressed by a marker, whereas in others, such as proper nouns ,the operator is present in the underlying structure of the noun. In languages which have no article system, the operator is expressed by other ways. In Latin and Finnish, for example, the operator is expressed by the constituent order differences. Consider the following example from Finnish (Ihalainen1980: 59):

2.15 a Kirja on pöydällä.

b *The book* is on the table.

2.16 a Pöydällä on kirja.

b There is *a book* on the table .

It can be noticed that articles are not a prerequisite for expressing indefiniteness. It can be expressed in one way or another. It is a matter of fact that the speaker of any language which has no article system never misses the article because its use has not become conventional with him (Pyles 1964/1971: 10).

Bloomfield (1933/1963:203) believes that the use of determiners with nouns is a habit; he says :

This habit of using certain noun expressions always with a determiner is peculiar to some languages, such as the modern Germanic and Romance. Many languages have not this habit; in Latin, for instance, *domus* 'house' requires no attribute and is used indifferently where we say *the house* or *a house*.

As a conclusion it can be said that articles are only needed for structural and grammatical purposes not semantic ones. The noun itself carries the feature of indefiniteness or definiteness in the deep structure, and the article is introduced in the intermediate syntactic structure (Postal 1966; cited in Master 1987: 167).

2.5 Indefiniteness as the Unmarked Feature

The originality of indefiniteness may be considered as a reason for being the unmarked term in the system of language. The other reason is that it is more general and common than definiteness.

ت 911هـ الم ط
 (71: ب [ت 911هـ الم ط] says that indefiniteness is the origin of things because it is the first to arise in the mind. Harris (1751/1968:251; cited in Brown & Yule 1983/1987: 170) refers to the primary perception of the indefinite article and the secondary perception of the definite one. It is better to use the indefinite noun instead of the indefinite article in this statement because the feature of indefiniteness exists in the noun not in the article.

Quirk et al. (1972:128) say that the indefinite term is considered the unmarked term in the system because “it is natural to consider indefiniteness as being basic to definiteness.”

Leech (1974/1978:168,190) explains the unmarkedness of the indefinite term according to the normal rules of componential analysis. He suggests that the definite feature is marked by a single symbol and the absence of this symbol marks indefiniteness. In English, for example, the definite feature is marked grammatically by the definite article *the* in a noun phrase and it is also present in the meaning of the proper nouns and the personal pronouns. The absence of the definite feature marks indefiniteness, which is marked grammatically by *a/an* or *zero article* in the noun phrase. The noun phrases *a butcher* and *the woman*, for example, according to Leech’s theory have the following features:

	[+Human]
a butcher	[+Adult]
	[+Male]

[+Definite]
the woman [+Human]
 [+Adult]
 [-Male]

2.6 Sentence Structure

It is important to show the structure of the sentence as far as indefiniteness is concerned. The structure of the sentence can be divided semantically and grammatically. Semantically, it is divided into *theme* and *rheme*, or what is called in other schools of linguistics *topic* and *comment*. The theme represents what is talked about, and the rheme what is said about the theme.

The distinction *given/new* is used sometimes for *topic/comment* and *theme/rheme* distinction. The theme contains given or shared information and it can be identified with the subject in the unmarked pattern. The rheme, on the other hand, gives extra meaning and new information which adds most to the advancing communication process (Crystal 1997/1998, s.v. *theme* and *rheme*). The difference between *theme / rheme* and *given/new* is that the former is defined linguistically, whereas the latter is established contextually (Quirk et al. (1985/1987: 1362)). In terms of indefinite and definite, the theme should be definite and the rheme indefinite.

In logic, propositions, which are normally explained in terms of predication, may be true or false (1/ 87: ت 643 لم في عمش) and (Palmer 1981: 198). Each proposition is analysed in terms of predicate and arguments. Leech (1974/1978: 167]) believes that the feature of indefiniteness and definiteness belongs to the theory of reference

rather than to the theory of truth and falsehood. He considers the terms *predicate* and *argument* logico-semantic units and the predicate in this sense has nothing to do with the predicate of traditional grammar.

Indefiniteness on the semantic level belongs to arguments not to predicates or predications as a whole. When the noun phrase expresses a whole predication, the use of the definite article becomes vacuous because there is no concept of definiteness to be expressed. So, the following examples are in free variation (ibid. :128,190):

2.17 *Robbery of old people* is a heinous crime.

2.18 *The robbery of old people* is a heinous crime .

The structure of the sentence is divided grammatically into subject and predicate .The subject should be definite and known to the addressee. The predicate, on the other hand, should be indefinite and unknown to the addressee, and should contain new information.

CHAPTER THREE

INDEFINITENESS IN ENGLISH

This chapter deals with indefiniteness in English at the syntactic, semantic, and pragmatic levels.

3.1 Indefiniteness at the Syntactic Level

The parts of speech in English are classified traditionally into noun, pronoun, adjective, verb, preposition, conjunction, adverb, and interjection (Palmer 1984/1985: 55).

Indefiniteness is a characteristic of nouns. All nouns in the context are either indefinite or definite in meaning, but they are different in expressing the concept grammatically. Proper nouns, for example, are self-determining, i.e. they do not need a separate word to express their definiteness. Common nouns, on the other hand, need a separate word with a determinative function to express the concept; i.e. they need a determiner (Quirk et al. 1985/1987: 64).

There are three kinds of determiners in English: predeterminers, central determiners, and postdeterminers. The concern of this study is central determiners. They include the articles (*a/an, the*), demonstratives (*this, that*, etc.), quantifiers (*some, any, every, each*, etc.) and wh-determiners (*what*)ever(), *who*)ever(, etc.)

Indefiniteness is expressed by the indefinite article (*a/an*) with the singular count noun, and the *zero article* or the unstressed *some* with the plural and non-count-nouns. Indefiniteness with added meaning of amount can be expressed by indefinite determiners like *some, most, any*, etc. (Leech & Svartvic 1994/1996: 45-51).

Definiteness is mainly expressed by the definite article *the*. It can be expressed by other determiners like the demonstratives (*that, this*, etc.) and the possessives (*my, his*, etc.).

3.1.1 The Classification of Nouns

Nouns are divided for syntactic and semantic reasons into proper and common nouns. A proper noun refers to a unique entity which cannot be further specified. Yet, it behaves as a common noun when there is more than one entity referred to by the same proper noun as in :

3.1 *The Dr. Brown* I know comes from Australia.

3.2 The flower arrangement was done by *a Miss Phillips* in Park Road .

(Quirk et al. 1985/1987: 290)

A common noun, on the other hand, refers to a general object. It is of two types, count and non-count nouns. Count nouns have singular and plural forms, whereas non-count nouns have one form and refer to continuous entities which have no natural bounds. They are either concrete such as *milk, sand*, and *water*, or abstract such as *kindness, strength* and *information*. The term *mass noun* is used

sometimes for non-count noun in general and sometimes for concrete noun only.

In fact, common nouns are not inherently count and non-count, but they are used countably and non-countably (Jacobs 1995:107). It can be seen that some count nouns can be used uncountably and non-count nouns can be used countably in one way or another.

3.3 You have got *some egg* on *your shirt* .

3.4 She needs *a piece of paper* .

3.5 Two more *coffee*, please (=cups of coffee)

Furthermore, there is a number of nouns that can be both count and non-count nouns as in the following examples :

3.6 We went for a walk in *the woods*.

3.7 There are a lot of houses made of *wood*.

Determiners are used with count and non-count nouns differently. The indefinite article *a/an* is used with the singular count noun, as in :

3.8 *She has a book*.

3.9 *A child* needs plenty of love.

It is used with plural count nouns in certain exceptions (Quirk et al.1985/1987:758n. (b)), as in:

a happy three months and *a good many friends*.

Jespersen (1949/1954: 431) considers the use of *a many* “an archaism or provincialism”, as in:

3.10 They have not shed *a many tears*. (Tennyson)

The structure *many a* is used with singular count noun to indicate plurality (Quirk et al 1985/1987: 263), as in:

Many a good student

which means :

Many good students

The indefinite article can be used with the non-count nouns when the noun is premodified or postmodified (ibid.:287). Consider the following examples:

3.11 She played the oboe with *(a) charming sensitivity*.

3.12 She played the oboe with *a sensitivity that delighted the critics*.

The acceptability of the indefinite article depends on the amount of modification (ibid.). The modified noun sometimes refers to a quality of a person or a thing, and the modification is either expressed or implied (ibid. 252 n.), as in:

3.13 She has *a good education*.

3.14 She has *an education* (a good education).

The stressed *some* is used with the singular count noun to denote an unspecified or unknown person or thing, as in:

3.15 *Some old philosopher* once said that you should know something of everything and everything of something.

(Jespersen 1933/1976:180)

It can be strengthened with *or other* to emphasize the indefinite or unknown feature of the referent.

3.16 *Some one (or other)* must have touched my paper since I went out .

(ibid.)

Strongly stressed *some* occurs with certain singular noun, especially temporal nouns (Quirk et al. 1985/1987:257 n.(a), 384), as:

3.17 *Some day*, I'll tell you a great secret .[one day]

Christophersen (1939; cited in Jespersen 1949/1954:VII:609) distinguishes between *a* and *some*; he says :

Some competes with *a* in certain of its uses. It is neither individualizing nor generic; we cannot say, "once upon a time there was some king who had some daughter," or "some cat has nine lives." *some* emphasizes the total indefiniteness of the notion.

Compare the following examples:

3.18 I have read it in *a book* .

3.19 I have read it in *some book* .

In (18) the speaker may still remember which book he has read, whereas in (19) the speaker probably cannot remember the title of the book (ibid.).

The unstressed *some* and *zero article* are used with plural count nouns and non-count nouns to express indefiniteness, as in:

3.20 I want *some rolls / bread* , please .

3.21 I've always preferred *coffee* to *tea*.

The unstressed *some* and *zero article* are exchangeable in certain contexts and not in others (Quirk et al.1985/1987 : 274-275). Consider the following examples:

3.22 Would you like (*some*) *coffee* or (*some*) *tea* ?

3.23 I've been writing (*some*) *letters* this morning.

3.24 We have just received (*some*)*news* from Moscow.

3.25 They have become *vegetarians*.

3.26 * They have become *some vegetarians*.

It can be noticed that *zero article* has a categorical meaning, so it is used in the generic sense, whereas *some* has a quantitative meaning, so it is used in the specific sense. The choice between them

is a matter of focus (ibid.).

Swan (1995/2003:61) has almost the same view. He says that *some* is used when the speaker/writer is thinking about limited but indefinite numbers or quantities, whereas *no article*, which he uses instead of *zero article*, is used when the speaker/writer is thinking about unlimited numbers or quantities, or not thinking about them at all.

It is to be mentioned that although that the unstressed *some* is sometimes considered a plural article, it keeps its quantifying function. It refers to a specifiable but indefinite number or quantity (Quirk et al. 1985/1987: 275). It is proper to consider it an indefinite article instead of a plural article since it is used with count and non-count nouns.

The definite article *the* is used with count and non-count nouns. It occurs with both of them in the specific use, as in:

3.27 Where do you want me to put *the chair/ the chairs /
the furniture?*

and only with the singular noun in the generic one as in:

3.28 Mary plays *the harp* very well.

The definite article can be used with nationality nouns as in: *the English*, and with an adjective referring to a group of people as in: *the blind*. It cannot be used with the plural and non-count nouns for generic reference (ibid.:283 ; Swan1995/2003:62-63).

Consider the following examples:

3.29 * *The wolves* are carnivorous.

3.30 *Wolves* are carnivorous.

3.31 **The hydrogen* is lighter than the oxygen.

3.32 *Hydrogen* is lighter than the oxygen.

(Quirk et al :283)

Swan thinks that the definite article *the* does not mean *all*, so it cannot be used to talk about things in general. Hawkins (1978) disagrees with this view. He considers such sentences as (29) and (30) grammatical and he distinguishes between them (see 3.2.4).

The last point to be mentioned is that count nouns can occur without a determiner in two cases (Quirk et al. 1985/1987: 255n.(a)):

1. In parallel structure, as in:

3.33 *Man* or *boy*, I don't like him.

2. In the vocatives, as in:

3.34 Look here, *man*!

It is to be mentioned that *man* is used generically without the article when it means 'the human race' rather than 'a male human being', and in this sense, its synonym is *mankind* (ibid.282n.)

3.35 This book is an attempt to trace the history of *man*.

3.1.2 Articles

English grammarians from Harris (1751/1968) to Crystal (1997/1998) concentrate on the indefinite and definite articles as prototypes of indefiniteness and definiteness respectively. It is said previously that these articles, unlike other determiners, have no function independently of the noun that follows them. They have no lexical meaning by themselves but they acquire their meaning from the following noun and the context in which the noun occurs.

Yet, grammarians give different labels to the articles. Fries (1952/1977:182) describes the indefinite article as general, and the definite as specific. Palmer & Blandford (1969:58) say that the indefinite article is either a specific and introductory article used to single out the idea expressed by the noun and make the addressee expect further information about it, or absolute article with the purely indefinite sense of *any*. Halliday & Hasan (1976/1987: 70) say that the indefinite article is a non-specific determiner, whereas the definite is a specific one. The proper name for *a/an* is the individualizing article because it is mainly used to individualize the object in question, whether it is specific or non-specific

Hartmann & Stork (1973 s.v. *article*) define the word *article* as ‘an adjunct to a noun to modify or limit its meaning’. So, it is considered by traditional grammarians as an adjective because it adds meaning to the following noun and limits its application. It is treated today as a determiner (Palmer 1984/1985: 59). The indefinite article *a/an* or its equivalents in other languages is considered an individualizing adjective and it is said to be indefinite because it is applied to nouns “taken in their more general and confused

signification”(2OED s.v. *indefinite*).

Structuralists, owing to their concentration on form rather than meaning, consider the articles as noun-determiners and they are grouped under function words (Fries 1952/1977: 88). They believe that the structural meaning of a sentence is understood by the use of formal devices like articles. For example, Fries's sentence *ship sails today* is ambiguous because it lacks a noun determiner to decide whether *ship* and *sails* are nouns or verbs (ibid.:146). It is to be noted that the ambiguity of this sentence does not only arise because of the absence of the articles, but also because it is cited in isolation independently of context and prosodic features like intonation.

Transformational grammarians distinguish between the grammatical and conceptual structures. Chomsky (1965) distinguishes between the surface structure, what is actually uttered, and the deep structure, what is present in the mind. He puts the determiner (article) in the deep structure and gives it the indefinite and definite features. Postal (1966; cited in Master 1987:167) is not satisfied with this vague transference of features from the noun to the article. He infers that the noun itself carries the feature in the deep structure and the article is introduced as a segment in the intermediate syntactic structure.

The interest of English grammar books is to show the grammatical indefiniteness, by using the articles, separately from the meaning of words and the context. This separation leads to the incompatibility of the rules of grammar with the meaning and use of words and leads consequently to ungrammatical structures (Hawkins 1978: 237-38). Compare the following structures:

<i>a pretty girl</i>	<i>* a prettiest girl</i>
<i>the pretty girl</i>	<i>the prettiest girl</i>

These noun phrases have the same syntactic structure (Det + Adj+ N). Yet, for a semantic reason, *a prettiest girl* is ungrammatical. This ungrammaticality arises because of the semantic incompatibility between indefiniteness and the superlative. Thus, the choice of the article depends on the other elements in the noun phrase in addition to the whole context.

Indefiniteness in English is associated with the indefinite article *a/an*, which is used with the singular count noun. Some grammarians try to show the grammatical indefiniteness of plural and non-count nouns. Palmer & Blandford (1969: 57) believe that when the indefinite article is used with plural and non-count nouns, it is alogistic, i.e. not represented by any word, which is equivalent to the *zero article*. Quirk et al.(1985/1987:65.n) say that “the apparent absence of an article signals the presence of the zero article.” Crystal(1997/1998 s.v. *zero*) says that *zero article* refers to the absence of an indefinite or definite article before a noun .

3.1.3 The Syntactic Position of the Indefinite Noun Phrase

The structure of the sentence is divided grammatically into subject and predicate. The subject is supposed to be known and definite to the addressee, and the predicate unknown and indefinite because it gives new information about the subject. This statement emphasizes the fact that the verb is an indefinite element (see 4.1.4).

Stageberg (1971: 169-90) gives nine basic patterns for the English sentence. The following ones are chosen to explain the syntactic positions of the indefinite and definite nouns:

1. N¹ be N¹

3.36 *My brother is a teacher.*

2. N¹ LV N¹

3.37 *My brother became a teacher.*

3. N be UW

3.38 *The doctor is here.*

4. N¹ TrV N²

3.39 *The girl bought a dress.*

5. N¹ TrV N² N³

3.40 *The woman bought her daughter a dress.*

The noun phrase that occupies the subject position in these patterns is either specific or generic. The specific subject is almost always definite, but when the reference is indefinite in certain situations, the indefinite noun is used:

3.41 While we were sitting in the class, *a boy* opened the door.

However, when the subject is indefinite, there are certain grammatical devices used to make the sentence more acceptable. One of these devices is *existential there* which is used to avoid starting the sentence with new information and prevent any kind of awkwardness sensed in the sentence (Quirk et al. 1985/1987:1402) Consider the following examples:

3.42 *A car* is blocking my way.

3.43 There is *a car* blocking my way.

The other device is to combine the subject with discontinuous postmodification of the noun phrase (ibid.):

3.44 *A bird* is in that tree.

3.45 *A bird* is in that tree which I have never seen around
here before.

The indefinite and definite generic noun may occupy the subject position but the indefinite generic is more flexible in taking other syntactic positions as well (Master 1987:184):

3.46 The best way to learn *a language* is to live among
its speakers.

(Quirk et al. 1985/1987: 281)

Gramley & Pätzold (1992:162) say that the singular indefinite generic noun may occur only in subject position. In fact, the

indefinite and definite generic noun may occupy other syntactic positions depending on the verb selected and the context (see 3.2.1).

In the first and second patterns, when the complement of the copular and linking verbs has a classifying function, the second noun should be indefinite (Zandvoort 1962/1963:125; Quirk et al. 1985/1987: 273; Jacobs 1995: 109).

The object of the transitive verb should be indefinite when it is not uniquely identified in the situation and in its first mention.

3.2 Indefiniteness at the Semantic Level

To show the semantic contrast between indefiniteness and definiteness, it is better to shed light on the uses of the indefinite and definite nouns, the indefinite article and numeral *one*, and indefiniteness and ambiguity.

3.2.1 The Uses of the Indefinite Noun

Jespersen (1949/1954), Zandvoort (1962/1963), Frank (1972), Hawkins (1978), Quirk et al.(1985/1987), Jacobs(1995), and Leech & Svartvick (1994/1996) distinguish two main uses of the indefinite noun: specific and generic:

1. The specific use:

It includes count and non-count nouns. The singular indefinite noun may refer to a definite member of a class which is unknown to the addressee. It is used to introduce entities into the discourse; so Jespersen refers to this use as *introductory*. It is the first mention of the noun phrase, as in:

3.47 I bought *a book* yesterday.

The indefinite noun *a book* refers to a certain book from many others existing in the situation, which are infinite in number; so it is not uniquely identified by the addressee. It is considered in this sense a referring expression because it refers to a particular book in the speaker's mind, which is definitely one book. So, the singular indefinite noun indicates singularity and specificity at the same time. Sometimes, the context or the intention of the speaker concentrates on one of these meanings more than the other. So, when the concentration is on the singularity, the indefinite article can be replaced by *one* as in:

3.48 I bought *a (one)* book and two magazines.

It is to be mentioned that Quirk et al. (1985/1987:265) give the following example as a specific use of the indefinite noun:

3.49 *A lion* and two tigers are sleeping in the cage.

It seems that this example indicates singularity more than specificity because it is followed by *two tigers*, and the concentration of the speaker/writer is on the number of the animals.

The indefinite noun in a sentence can be understood either specific or generic depending on the context as in:

3.50 I bought *a newspaper* yesterday (a specific one).

3.51 I bought *a newspaper* yesterday (not a magazine).

The plural indefinite noun refers to a specific number of something which is unknown to the addressee and the non-count indefinite to a specific amount of something, as in :

3.52 She bought (*some*) *books*.

3.53 She bought (*some*) *milk*.

2. The generic use:

The indefinite noun in this use cannot be considered a *referring expression* because there is no particular thing in the mind of the speaker and the addressee. The indefinite noun is used to mean any member or anything of the class. This use can be subdivided into three uses applied in three cases:

(a) The general use of the indefinite noun:

It includes the count and non-count nouns. The singular indefinite noun may refer to any arbitrary member of a class as a representative of the whole class. So, it has an inclusive meaning in a superficially exclusive use. The plural and non-count indefinite nouns refer to things in general. Some examples are shown below:

3.54 *A tiger* has no mane.

3.55 *Tigers* have no mane.

3.56 *Milk* is nutritious.

3.57 *Education* is important for everyone.

Jespersen (1949/1954:VII:424) proposes the term *all-representative* use for this use and he keeps the term *generic* for the

definite noun, whereas Jacobs (1995:110) ignores the generic use of the definite noun and employs the indefinite generic to refer to a class of entities.

This use is proper in the context of definition which includes classification, properties, comparisons and other means used to define an object. So, it almost always occurs in the subject position, and when it occurs in other position, the properties of the object can be understood from the context, as :

3.58 *A lion* is very strong.

(Frank 1972 :1:154)

3.59 He had the strong teeth of *a rodent*.

(Jespersen 1949/1954:VII:424)

This use is also proper in the context of generalization. It occurs in this sense in different positions in the sentence:

3.60 She likes reading *books*.

3.61 *Tea* is my favourite drink.

The indefinite noun used in transferred or metaphorical sense can be included in this use. Consider the following examples:

3.62 Old Mr.smith is *a fox*.

3.63 He married *a lemon*.

(Bloomfield 1933/1963:149)

The indefinite nouns *a fox* and *a lemon* are not used to mean *a real fox* or *real lemon*. The indefinite noun used in these examples

indicates the description, behaviour or the qualities of the real object. These sentences can be understood as:

3.64 Old Mr. Smith is sly as *a fox*.

3.65 He married a beautiful girl, like *a lemon*.

Thus, the sentence:

3.62 Old Mr. Smith is *a fox*.

apparently belongs to the following generic use, the classifying use, but virtually belongs to the general use of the indefinite noun.

(b) The classifying use of the indefinite noun:

It provides a classification for the subject or the object. It is associated with the complement function in a sentence, especially in a copular relation (Quirk et al.1985/1987:273):

3.66 Denver is *an interesting city*.

3.67 He found Denver *an interesting city*.

Jespersen adds the appositives to this use, as in:

3.68 Hamlet, *a play* of Shakespeare, is very interesting.

(c) The non-specific use of the indefinite noun:

The indefinite noun can be used to mean *any* member, kind, aspect, or quantity of something. It is mainly used in negative,

interrogative, imperative, and conditional sentences. Some examples are shown below:

3.69 She does not have *a book*.

3.70 Does she like reading *books*?

3.71 Give me *juice*, please.

3.72 If you say *a word*. I'll punish you.

The speaker in all these examples has no specific thing in mind, he means anything that fits the description. Jespersen (1949/1954: VII: 423) refers to this use indirectly by saying that the indefinite noun may refer to a member of a class which indicate the typical qualities of the person or thing in question. He gives the following example:

2.73 She had never yet been inside *a theatre*.

As a conclusion, it can be said that the specific and generic uses of the indefinite noun are different in two main points:

1. The specific indefinite noun is considered a referring expression, whereas the generic one is non-referring expression.
2. The plural of the specific indefinite noun can be preceded by *some*, whereas the plural of the generic one cannot.

It is proper to touch upon the main uses of the definite noun because they will be needed in the present study:

1. The specific use of the definite noun: it includes the count and non-count nouns, and it can be sub-divided into the following uses:

- (a) The anaphoric use, which is established by the previous mention of the noun, as in:

3.74 She bought a book yesterday. *The book* was lost.

- (b) The cataphoric use which is established by a kind of modification such as an of- phrase or a relative clause.

3.75 *The book of my friend* was lost.

3.76 Mary lost *the book she bought yesterday* .

- (c) The conventional use in which the definite noun may refer to anything shared by the community (institutions, devices, etc) as : *the radio, the train, etc.*
- (d) The unique use in which the definite noun may refer to what is understood to be unique in the world or in the situation, as : *the sun, the Queen, the Dean, etc..*

2. The generic use of the definite noun: it includes only count nouns, singular and plural. The generic non-count noun is expressed by *zero article* instead of *the*. The singular definite noun refers to the class as a whole not as individual, whereas the plural refers to all the members of the class, as in:

3.77 *The tiger* has no mane.

3.78 *The tigers* have no mane.

3.79 *Water* is necessary for life.

Restrictions on using the indefinite and definite nouns:

The indefinite and definite nouns can be exchangeable in some contexts, as in:

- 3.80 *A tiger* is a wild animal.
- 3.81 *The tiger* is a wild animal.
- 3.82 *Tigers* are wild animals.
- 3.83 *The tigers* are wild animals.

These sentences express essentially the same meaning, tigers in general. Yet, the slight difference between the uses of the indefinite and definite nouns makes them unexchangeable in other contexts. Consider the following examples:

- 3.84 *The tiger* is in danger of becoming extinct.
- 3.85 **A tiger* is in danger of becoming extinct.
- 3.86 *Tigers* are in danger of becoming extinct.

The second example (85) is ungrammatical because the property of extinction is applied to the class itself not to individuals (Hawkins 1978:216 ; Quirk et al:1985/1987 : 281 ; Leech & Svartvik: 1994/1996 : 53).

The verb also has a role in restricting the use of the indefinite and definite nouns. Chafe (1968; cited in Master 1987:170) believes that certain verbs are inherently generic and the verb determines the genericity of its subject and object. He gives certain restrictions on the selected generic noun. If the verb involves a change in the

condition of the object referred to, the generic definite cannot be used. The following examples illustrate the generic object:

3.87 *A gopher digs *the tunnel*.

3.88 A gopher digs *a tunnel*.

3.89 A gopher digs *tunnels*.

If the verb affects all members of the class at the same time and cannot act on any member individually, the generic indefinite cannot be used:

3.90 Roger is studying *the elephant*.

3.91 *Roger is studying *an elephant*.

3.92 *Roger is studying *elephants*.

Concerning the generic subject, consider the following examples:

3.93 **An automobile* has changed our way of life.

3.94 *The automobile* has changed our way of life.

3.95 *Automobiles* have changed our way of life.

3.96 *A student* should work hard.

3.97 *Students* should work hard.

3.98 **The student* should work hard. (ibid.:166)

The ungrammaticality of, for example, (98) arises because the act of working and studying is related to individuals not to the class as a whole. It can be noticed that the verb with the generic noun in subject position should be in the present.

It is to be mentioned that the verb does not only affect the generic noun but also the specific one. Consider the following examples:

3.99 *He scribbled on *a living room wall*.

3.100 He scribbled on *the living room wall*.

The concentration is on the act of scribbling, not on a specific wall; so the indefinite noun should not be used (see 3.2.4).

3.2.2. The Indefinite Article *a/an* and the Numeral *One*

The relation between the indefinite article *a/an* and the numeral *one* emphasizes the fact that the indefinite article derives historically from *one*. Thus, the indefinite article *a/an* means *one* and it is used with count nouns to indicate a single object, and *one* is used only to show a contrast with *two* or more (Praninskas 1975: 8,72). Accordingly, the singular indefinite noun, whether specific or generic, refers to one entity; so it definitely indicates singularity. In the specific use, the indefinite article can be replaced by *one* (Quirk et al. 1985/1987 :274), as in the following example:

3.101 The Wrights have two daughters and *a son*. (cf. 3.2.1. ex. 49).

In the generic use, the indefinite article cannot be replaced by *one* because the singular generic indefinite noun refers to any arbitrary member of the class as representative of the whole class (ibid.), as in:

3.102 *A tiger* is a wild animal.

3.103 **One tiger* is a wild animal.

One as a pronoun has a generic use, and can be replaced by the indefinite *you* in informal use as in:

3.104 *One* never knows what may happen.

3.105 *You* never know what may happen.

The indefinite article cannot occur with *one*, they are mutually exclusive,¹ whereas the definite article may occur. Consider the following structures:

**a one* book I like best.

the one (only) book I like best.

(ibid.:262)

Yet, *a one* may occur when *one* is a noun or in a casual speech or in non-standard usage in the sense of *an amusing person* (ibid. 387).

The following examples illustrate these uses respectively:

3.106 I could not remember whether the number is *a* four or
a one.

3.107 I had lots of pens and now I haven't got *a one*!

3.108 You are *a one*.

¹ similarly, the definite article and the demonstratives are mutually exclusive as in :

*the that boy

This point emphasizes the historical origin of the indefinite and definite articles.

The indefinite article *a/an* used as a numeral or a quantifying element can be seen in its occurrence in such expressions: *a* million, *a* dozen, in *a* word, etc.

The indefinite article *a/an* can be considered an unstressed *one*, equivalent to the stressed *one* (ibid.: 254n.) as in the following structures : *a pound or two* and *one or two pounds*

However, when the indefinite article *a/an* has the function of the numeral *one*, it is not completely article (Jespersen 1949/1954:408). Though, *one* can be used as an indefinite determiner in some expressions as *one day* , *one morning*, etc. as in:

3.109 *One day* she will change her mind.

and as an indefinite pronoun as in:

3.110 How does *one* deals with this problem.

It is to be mentioned that the adjective *single* can be used to add an intensifying force to the indefinite noun (Qurik et al. 1985/1987: 274) as in:

3.111 They did not stop talking for *a (single)* moment.

3.2.3. Indefiniteness and Ambiguity

It is well known that an ambiguity arises when two interpretations are plausible. Consider the following:

3.112 I am looking for *the pencil*.

3.113 I am looking for *a pencil*.

The unambiguity of (112) lies in that the hearer knows the object referred to and it is supposed to be mentioned previously or it may exist in the shared knowledge. So, he has a kind of control on the situation, whereas in (113), the hearer lacks this knowledge. So, he understands the indefinite noun phrase either as specific or non-specific. In the specific reading, *a pencil* means that the speaker has a specific pencil in mind, whereas in the non-specific reading, it means that anything fitting the description will meet the speaker's need. In both readings, the referent is unknown to the addressee.

It is to be mentioned that this ambiguity arises mainly in opaque contexts in which the meaning of a sentence cannot be understood and determined clearly unless the whole context is known. There are certain verbs used in such contexts as *look for* and *want* (Palmer 1981:195 ; Brown & yule 1983/1987:209).

It may be useful to point out that the non-specific reading does not always mean any member of the class and it does not matter which one. It may refer to any member of a certain group in the class. Consider the following example:

3.114 I want *a student* to answer this question.

In the non-specific reading of this sentence, the indefinite noun, *a student*, does not necessarily refer to *any student*, but to *any clever student*. Jespersen (1949/1954:420) mentions the difference between

the indefinite article *a/an* and *any* by stating the following questions and answers:

3.115 Can *a boy* do that? No, but *a man* can.

3.116 Can *any boy* do that? No, but *some boys* can.

3.2.4. The Semantic Contrast between Indefiniteness and Definiteness

Russell (1905; cited in Hawkins 1978 ; Abbott 2004) tries to find the semantic difference between the indefinite and definite nouns. His analysis is based on logic and mathematics and applies only to singular count nouns. He thinks that the indefinite noun refers to a non-unique entity in the situation. There is more than one entity in the situation and the indefinite noun phrase refers to one of them:

3.117 *A student* arrived.

The definite noun phrase, on the other hand, refers to a unique entity in the situation, as in:

3.118 *The student* arrived.

Accordingly, the indefinite noun phrase does not occur with some postdeterminers and adjectives whose meaning is associated with uniqueness such as: *same*, *only*, *sole*, and the superlatives. (Hawkins 1978:247-248 ; Quirk et al 1985/1987:270):

3.119 Ada and I have *the same* hobby.

3.120 * Ada and I have *a same* hobby.

(ibid.)

Quirk et al.(1985/1987) consider the use of the definite article with these words ‘the logical use’ of the definite article.

Hawkins (1978) criticizes Russell’s theory for being incomplete because it applies only to singular count nouns. So, he introduces his alternative theory ‘the Location Theory’ which is more comprehensive because it includes plural and mass nouns in addition to the singular count noun.

The crucial concepts in his theory are *exclusiveness* and *inclusiveness*. He argues that the indefinite noun phrase refers *exclusively* to not-all objects, whereas the definite refers *inclusively* to all objects in the situation. This theory applies to the specific and generic uses of the nouns.

Concerning the specific nouns, consider the following examples:

3.121 *A member* of parliament has just died.

3.122 Pass me *a cup* of tea, please.

3.123 Pass me *the bucket*, please.

3.124 Bring *the wickets* in after the game.

3.125 Move *the sand* from my gateway.

The indefinite noun in (121) and (122) refers to one member and excludes the others. The definite noun in (123),(124), and (125) refers to all objects and quantities in the relevant situation.

Concerning the generic nouns, consider the following :

- 3.126 *A lion* is a noble beast.
- 3.127 *Lions* are noble beasts.
- 3.128 *The lion* is a noble beast.
- 3.129 *The lions* are noble beasts.

The singular indefinite noun *a lion* refers to any member of the class as a representative of the whole class, and the plural indefinite noun *lions* refers to any member of the class whether it exists or not yet in existence. The singular definite noun *the lion*, on the other hand, refers to the class as a whole not as individuals, and the plural definite noun *the lions* refers to all the members of the class which are actually in existence.

So, according to Hawkins's theory, one can infer that the distinction between *a lion* / *lions* on one hand, and *the lion*/*the lions* on the other, is a distinction between *any* and *every* respectively. The indefinite nouns *a lion* and *lions* refer to any member in the class , A or B or C. The definite nouns *the lion* and *the lions*, on the other hand, refer to every member, A and B and C. It can be noticed that the generic indefinite noun has inclusive and general meaning theoretically not practically. It involves an indefinite reference to any arbitrary member or quantity of something.

This theory can be better explained with the parts of the body as in the following examples cited by Hawkins (1978):

3.130 Fred lost *a leg* in the war.

3.131 Fred lost *some fingers* in the war.

3.132 *Fred lost *a head* in the war.

It can be seen that the indefinite noun cannot be used unless the body has more than one of the body parts mentioned. If there are more than two parts, *some* is used. Yet, the possessive pronoun can be used instead of the indefinite article (Hawkins 1978:180 ; (Quirk et al.1985/1987: 273):

3.133 Fred lost *his leg* in the war.

3.134 Fred lost *his fingers* in the war.

3.135 Fred lost *his head* in the war.

It is to be mentioned that according to this theory, indefinites with *have* and *be* do not presuppose exclusiveness. These verbs define the membership of the relevant objects within a pragmatic set:

3.136 My house has *a roof*.

3.137 There is *a roof* on my house.

3.138 My house has *some windows*.

3.139 There are *some windows* in my house.

It seems that Hawkins's theory does not apply to all situations. Sometimes, even when the referent of the noun phrase is more than one, the definite noun is more suitable. Recall:

3.99 *He scribbled on *a living-room wall*.

3.100 He scribbled on *the living-room wall*.

The referent of the noun in (99) is more than one, so the indefinite noun is supposed to be used. Yet, the definite is used because it does not matter for the hearer which wall was scribbled on. The use of the indefinite noun in this example “gives the impression of being unnaturally precise” (Dubois 1980:233; cited in Abbott 2001:11), whereas the use of the indefinite noun in the following example is normal:

3.140 We have to paint *a living-room wall*.

Abbott (ibid.) thinks that the use of the definite noun instead of the indefinite in (140) is not so good because it gives the impression that there is only one wall in the living room. She fails to point out that the use of the definite noun in such a case is acceptable if the hearer knows previously that one of the walls needs painting; otherwise it is not acceptable:

3.141 We have to paint *the living –room wall*.

Bloomfield (1933/1963:203) indirectly refers to what Hawkins later on states explicitly. He states that one of the features that subdivides the determiners into *indefinite* and *definite* is that “a definite determiner can be preceded by the numerative *all* (as in *all the water*) but an indefinite determiner (as *some* in *some water*) cannot.”

What can be said about Hawkins's theory is that:

1. He does not mention proper and abstract nouns in his theory, and he concentrates on count nouns more than non-count ones.
2. He attributes the concept of exclusiveness and inclusiveness to the indefinite and definite articles respectively. Being so, he ignores languages, which have no articles but definitely have the concept of indefiniteness and definiteness.
3. It seems that this theory is not very plausible with the *inclusiveness* of the singular count noun. Compare:

3.142 Pass me *the buckets* , please.

3.143 Pass me *the bucket* , please.

According to Hawkins's theory, the plural definite noun phrase *the buckets* in (142) refers to all the buckets in the situation; the singular definite *the bucket* in (143) also refers to all the buckets, but, as Hawkins justifies implausibly, it just happens that the total number of buckets is only one. This remark also applies to Bloomfield's statement, though he does not give examples for the count nouns, singular and plural.

4. There is no mention of the *zero article* which is used with plural and non-count nouns.

It seems that Russell's and Hawkins's theories complete each other since the former applies to singular count noun and the latter is more plausible with plural and non-count nouns.

3.2.5 The Indefinite Noun in English Proverbs

It is well known that proverbs are concerned with the general meaning rather than the specific one. They are used for pragmatic purposes in different situations. The proverb can help, for example, to express one's feeling or opinions, justify something, excuse someone, and so on.

The indefinite and definite nouns can be used in English generically; so both of them can be used in proverbs. The singular indefinite is used when the properties of the referent are related to individuals, whereas the singular definite is used when the properties are related to the class as a whole. The plural and non-count nouns are used to convey general meaning. Gramley & Pätzold (1992:76-77) give the following well-known proverbs:

1. *A friend* in need is *a friend* indeed.
2. *People* who live in *glass houses* should not throw *stones*.
3. *Absence* makes *the heart* fonder.
4. *Clothes* do not make *the man*.

Jespersen (1949/1954:VII: 439) says that the generic mass (non-count) words are frequently used in proverbs because they convey general meaning, as in:

5. *Haste* makes *waste*, and *waste* makes *want*, and *want* makes *strife* between the good man and his wife.
6. *Mirth* and *motion* prolong *life*.

The indefinite article in some proverbs can be replaced by *one* as in the following proverbs:

7. *A bird* in the hand is worth two in the bush.
8. *A stitch* in time saves nine.

(Best 1963/1983: 63)

Zandvoort (1962/1963:126) says that the indefinite article can be used to mean *the same*, as in the following proverb:

9. Birds of *a feather* flock together.

Some proverbs contain irregular syntax as in:

10. Like *father*, like *son*.

3.3 Indefiniteness at the Pragmatic Level.

The contrast between indefiniteness and definiteness can be based on pragmatic principles (Dik 1978/1979: 61).

The main environment in which the indefinite noun is required is the first mention of the reference, i.e. when the reference is not mentioned before and supposed to be unfamiliar to the addressee as :

3.144 Bob lost *a gold watch* yesterday.

(Quirk et al. 1985/1987: 272)

When the indefinite noun is repeated in the same context, it

refers to another object, i.e. there is no coreference between the two indefinites. When the reference becomes contextually known, the indefinite noun is replaced by a definite one and they refer to the same thing. Compare:

3.145 Bob lost *a gold watch* yesterday, and Bill was wearing *a gold watch* this morning.

3.146 Bob lost *a gold watch* yesterday, and Bill was wearing *the gold watch* this morning.

Quirk et al. use the term direct anaphoric reference for the use of the definite noun in (146). They describe the occurrence of indefinite and definite nouns in this way as having a complementary role (ibid.:267). It is to be mentioned here that the use of the definite noun in (146) is not obligatory. It can be replaced by *it* or *this gold watch*.

However, the context determines how the indefinite noun is understood. For example, the two indefinite nouns in the following example most probably refer to the same object:

3.147 Bob lost *a gold watch* in *a taxi*, and Bill found *a gold watch* in *the taxi*.

3.3.1 The Pragmatic Contrast between Indefiniteness and Definiteness

The difference between the indefinite and definite nouns in some contexts is mainly pragmatic. Quirk et al. (ibid.:272) give the

following examples:

3.148 *The house on the corner* is for sale.

3.149 *A house on the corner* is for sale.

They think that the speaker/writer in (148) presupposes that the referent is identifiable to the addressee because there is only one such a house. In (149), there is no such presupposition. The prepositional phrase *on the corner* in (148) refers to a definite corner, whereas in (149) it is used to modify the noun *a house*, and it does not refer to any particular corner. Yet, the use of the indefinite noun in such a case is not very satisfactory because the corner permits only one house. The indefiniteness of the referent can be better expressed in this way:

3.150 *A corner-house* is for sale.

The pragmatic contrast between the indefinite and definite nouns can be brought out by the following:

3.151 *The door* of the house was broken.

3.152 *A door* of the house was broken.

The speaker/writer and the addressee in (151) know that the house normally has one door; so the use of the definite noun is normal. In (152), the use of the indefinite noun will be odd unless the house has more than one door. In such a case, the speaker/writer should

presuppose that the addressee knows this fact in order to avoid such a question:

3.153 How many doors does the house have?

which is not preferred according to Grice's co- operative principle.

3.3.2 The Co-operative Principle:

The participants in any conversation should co-operate with each other in order to make the conversation proceed properly and successfully. So, the speaker should avoid ambiguity and wh-question which may arise because he may misjudge the knowledge of the hearer.

Grice (1975) sets out the co-operative principle with four maxims, which should be obeyed. Yule (1985/1988:110) states this co-operative principle in the following way:

Make your conversational contribution such as is required, at the stage at which it occurs, by the accepted purpose or direction of the talk exchange in which you are engaged.

The four maxims are quantity, quality, relation and manner.

Grice's co-operative principle can be better explained by the following directive utterances:

3.154 Please hand me *a glass*.

3.155 Please hand me *the glass*.

(Abbott 2001:5)

For (154), any entity fitting the description of *glass* will enable the addressee to carry out the directive, whereas for (155), the speaker cannot be co-operative unless he knows previously that the addressee can figure out which *glass* the speaker means. Furthermore, the speaker must assume that there is only one glass in the relevant context.

It is to be mention that the ambiguity of the indefinite noun in certain context, whether specific or non-specific, can be treated in pragmatic terms (3.2.3). The referent of the specific indefinite noun is known to the speaker/writer but unknown to the addressee, whereas the referent of the non-specific indefinite is unknown to the speaker/writer and the addressee. The ambiguity of a sentence can be removed by the speaker/writer depending on Grice's co- operative principle. Recall:

3.113 I am looking for *a pencil*.

The speaker/writer can disambiguate (113) if he completes his speech in one of these ways:

3.156 I am looking for *a certain pencil*. (specific)

3.157 I am looking for *a pencil*. Please, who can find it?
(specific)

3.158 I am looking for *a pencil*. Please, who can give me
one? (non-specific)

3.3.3 The Pragmatic Sets of the Indefinite Noun

Discourse analysts point out that the purpose of the indefinite noun is to introduce entities to the discourse and to indicate that the object(s) referred to does not exist in the speaker-hearer shared knowledge. Consider the following example:

3.159 I bought *a book*.

The indefinite noun *a book* is used to introduce an entity to the discourse and it means to the addressee just one member, any arbitrary member of a potentially infinite number of such objects.

Hawkins (1978: 173) disagrees with this view. He proposes that the referent of an indefinite expression can be located in the speaker-hearer shared knowledge. He proposes pragmatic sets which are defined on the basis of the speaker – hearer shared knowledge and the situation of the utterance. These pragmatic sets are:

1. The larger situation set:

It is common knowledge that people of the same village, country, or nation can speak about objects existing in their area. So, when the speaker speaks about the president of his country, he says *the president* not *a president* because there is only one president in the country. When there is more than one member, the indefinite is used:

3.160 *A member of parliament* has just died.

2. The immediate situation set:

The referent may exist in the immediate situation in which the conversation is taking place. The referent is not necessarily invisible to the speaker and hearer. Consider:

3.161 Pass me *a bucket*.

3.162 Pass me *some buckets*.

3.163 I need *a student* to help me.

3. The association set:

The mention of certain noun expressions sometimes conjures up a set of associations for the hearer, for instance:

3.164 Fred bought *a book* from Heffer's.

3.165 He then went and spoke to *the author* about it.

Although *the author* in (165) is mentioned for the first time, the definite form is used. It is associated with *a book* because every book has an author(s). Consider the following examples:

3.166 Fred bought *a book* from Heffer's.

3.167 He was dismayed to find that *a page* was torn.

3.168 He then went and spoke to *an author* about it.

Hawkins (ibid.:176) says that *a page* is associated with that book, but *an author* cannot be the author of that book. He neglects books which have more than one author.

Prince (1981;cited in brown & Yule 1983/1987: 183) calls this associative use as *inferrable* because the speaker assumes that the hearer can infer from the discourse which has already been mentioned.

Quirk et al.(1985/1987:267) refer to this use and call it *indirect anaphoric reference* of the definite article:

3.169 John bought *a bicycle*, but when he rode it, *one of the wheels* came off.

which is equivalent to

3.170 John bought *a bicycle*, but when he rode it, *a wheel* came off.

It seems that Hawkins concentrates on concrete nouns, and he ignores abstract nouns like *idea*, *help*, *courage*, etc. He needs another set for them, which he may call *imagination* or *mental set*.

CHAPTER FOUR

INDEFINITENESS IN ARABIC

This chapter deals with indefiniteness at the syntactic, semantic and pragmatic levels.

4.1 Indefiniteness at the Syntactic Level

Arabic grammarians agree that the indefinite form is the original state of things. [1316:1/ 6-7] ت 180 ي س يوي ه expresses this idea by saying *الذاتي أول م يوي ه* i.e. ‘The indefinite is first and then it becomes definite.’ [1/ 55] (د) ت 911 يال س يوي ي expresses a similar view when he says : *إذا ظي قن ل ل ي ج ي د ا ي* i.e. ‘Indefiniteness precedes definiteness because the genera exist first and then the species.’ Thus, it can be said that *indefiniteness* is expressed by the original form of the *الذي*. There is no need to express it grammatically by using an indefinite article. Yet, there is a marker accompanying the indefinite *الذي* which is called *nunation* *النون* Tritton (1943/1970: 24) believes that what is here called *nunation* is in fact an indefinite article /n/ and it occurs at the end of the noun. This marker will be discussed in detail with the definite article in the section on *articles*.

Definiteness, on the other hand, is expressed by many ways. The first one is by adding *الذي* ‘the’ as a prefix to *الذي* ‘the’

indefinite *الشيء* as in *الشيء* The other way is by using 'the construct state', which means relating or ascribing the indefinite *شيء* to a definite *هو* a pronoun as in *هو* and *هائي*. Consider the difference in the following examples:

4.1 *لَا الَّذِي فِي هُوَ عِلْمٌ عَالِيٍّ رَحْمَةً لِّكَ وَلَوْ رَحْمَةً لِّكَ يَكْفِيكَ*

(النمل: ٤٠)

4.2 *لَقَدْ كُنَّا أَهْلَ الْبَيْتِ عَالِمِينَ لَقَدْ كُنَّا أَهْلَ الْبَيْتِ عَالِمِينَ*

(لَعْنَةُ الْكَافِرِينَ)

The indefinite *شيء* may be added to another indefinite *شيء* in *الشيء*. In this case (أنصاري [1959:253] ت 761 ي) says that the addition gives the first indefinite *بعض* 'specialization', not *بهي* 'definiteness'.

The other way to make the indefinite *شيء* definite is by using 'the vocative' in the nominative case as in:

4.3 *لَقَدْ يَنْبَغِي أَنْ يَكُونَ عَدْلًا لِّكَ*

(الأعراف: 69)

This kind of indefinite *شيء* is called 'the intended indefinite' because there is a specific individual or thing directly addressed. 'the unintended indefinite', on the other hand, is expressed by using the vocative in the accusative case, as in :

4.4 *يَا عَدْلُ هَذَا*

4.5 *يَا رَجُلًا عَذْبِي*

The definites also include, in addition to the three ones mentioned above, 'the pronouns' *الضمائر*, 'proper noun' *الاسم*, 'demonstratives' *إش* and 'the relative pronouns' *الضمائر النسبية*.

There are certain points that should be taken into consideration concerning the indefinite and definite ([1964] ت 651 *في المعاني*) ([1961:1/146] س [1/52:د] ت 911 هـ *السي* :52):

1. Certain items classed *السي* are considered indefinite by form, but definite by meaning, as the word *ول* in the following examples:

4.6

أ نك ه ع أول

2. Other items, however, are considered definite by form, but indefinite by meaning, like *أساة* which means *a lion*. This kind of *السي* is called *الاسم* 'generic proper noun'. The generic definite *السي* also put in this group because it is definite by form but it does not refer to a specific thing, as in:

4.7

(إ الإنسان أنف عنة)
ال صة: 2)

الغ (1966:1/155) gives the following example to show the explicit definiteness and implicit indefiniteness of the generic definite *السي*

4.8

للق وعة *السي* ه
ة ضبت عمّلات : لا ه فن

4.1.1 The Syntactic Definitions of the Indefinite (الإنكرة اسم)

The indefinite (الإنكرة) given a number of syntactic definitions in Arabic grammars. For example, (أ. غلّك 672 ت [1930:12]) says:

إنكّة لّ لّ أَل عؤمة
ول للّ عؤل ع ل ذاة

Explaining this statement, (أ. قبل 769 ت [1956:1/76]) says (الإنكّة) 'The indefinite is what accepts 'the' and by which it changes into definite. For example, (رجل) is indefinite and (الرجل) definite, whereas (ل) and (ال) are both definite and (ل) attached to (ل) does not affect the (الإنكّة). If the (الإنكّة) does not permit (ل) it may have the meaning of a word which permits it. For example, (ذل) has the meaning of (ل) ص ل ب هي.

ال (الإنكّة) يقول دعي (أ. 761 ت [1953:131]) thinks that (الإنكّة) 'The indefinite noun is identified by accepting (ل) (in front of it.' For example:

4.12 ر ر رجل طي

Accordingly, (أ. ري) infers that (الإنكّة) and (الإنكّة) may occur as indefinite (الإنكّة) in some contexts. He gives the following examples:

4.13 ر ر قن ناضت يظ ل او

ل بوم ح ل عي ل طع

4.14 ر ب لكة من ل ع أ ل ع

ل قة ج اح ل ل مق

وي , عي , عي ([1980: 343,345,357] ت 521 ي) الوطيسي may be used as indefinite ء on condition that they are modified:

4.15	عرتب من عس إلك
4.16	عرتب ما عوب لك
4.17	عرتب أي عوب لك

4.1.2 The Classification of Nouns

The parts of speech in Arabic are classified traditionally into 180 هس يوي ه is not easy to determine ; so 180 هس يوي ه determines 1316:1/2) and leaves 1316:1/2) to include all words which are neither ء nor لة .

Nevertheless, it can be said that 1316:1/2) includes 1316:1/2) 'generic or common noun', 1316:1/2) 'proper noun', 1316:1/2) 'adjective', 1316:1/2) 'pronoun', 1316:1/2) 'participles', 1316:1/2) 'relative pronoun', and 1316:1/2) 'adverb', in addition to other certain 1316:1/2) like 1316:1/2) which cannot be placed with the previous groups.

'the generic noun' is either 1316:1/2) 'concrete noun' as 1316:1/2) 'or 1316:1/2) 'abstract noun' as 1316:1/2) 'knowledge'. The same may apply to adjectives and participles, for example, 1316:1/2) riding, is 1316:1/2) a concrete noun , and 1316:1/2) understood , 1316:1/2) an abstract noun ([1914:1/73] ; Wright 1896/1955:1:107) .

¹Concerning 1316:1/2) 'the generic noun', الزمخشري (538 هـ) [5 : (ب)] says : [1316:1/2) ينقسم الى اسم معنى وكلاهما ينقسم الى اسم غير صفة واسم هو صفة فالاسم غير الصفة نحو رجل وفسر وعلم وجهل والصفة نحو راكب وجالس ومفهوم الى اسم عين و اسم معنى وكلاهما ينقسم الى اسم غير صفة واسم هو صفة فالاسم غير الصفة نحو رجل وفسر وعلم وجهل والصفة نحو راكب وجالس ومفهوم (1961: 1:142 حسن) النكرة and اسم الجنس . Some grammarians do not distinguish between 1316:1/2) . ومضمر

'proper noun', is definite but it is either transferred from indefinite noun like *ووسي* or from adjective like *يولي*; or it may be derived from indefinite noun like *هـمة*, or it is a borrowed noun (non-native Arabic) like *إةابجي* (الساج) 316 ت [1973: 1/176-177]).

فنعني قد *يولي* can be also either count or non-count. Count *يولي* can be 'singular' as *عاي*, 'dual' as *عاهام*, or 'plural'. The plural of *يولي* include the regular masculine plural' as *عاهامي*, the regular feminine plural' as *عاهيت*, and 'the irregular (broken) plural' as *رج*.

عـهـة is classified according to 'declension' into 'declinable' and 'indeclinable' (Wright 1896/1955: 1:234; لسري 1961:1/18-22). The declinable *يولي* is either 'fully declinable' (with nunation) as *رجيل* and *عحمي* or 'partly declinable' (without nunation and without the regular ending of the genitive case) like *لصية* which is called 'diptotes'. The indeclinable, on the other hand, has either a rigidly fixed termination like *بلي* and *عي*, or a non-rigidly fixed termination i.e. a termination that can be changed but only under certain conditions like *سوي* when it is not applied to the known person, but to any person carries the same name and unknown to the addressee.

The indefiniteness of the singular, the regular feminine plural, the irregular (broken) plural, and the non-count common declinable *لني* expressed by the origin of such *يولي*. the absence of the definite article, and it is emphasized by *nunation*, like *عوامت*, *رجل*, *عجي* and *رجي*. The indefiniteness of the regular masculine plural and the dual is expressed by the absence of the definite article, like

Similarly, The indefiniteness of the common عسيام and عسيامي diptotes is expressed by the absence of the definite article without *nunation* like صيحاء. The indefiniteness of proper nouns will be discussed separately. The indefiniteness of the indeclinable لهنيي expressed by *nunation* like ص/ص and سيوي/سيوي.

4.1.2.1 The Indefiniteness of 'Proper Noun' السملاعلم

The proper noun, is one of the definites. It is a name of a person, a city, a river, etc. which is applicable to only one individual of a kind. (1/73: د) [ت 911 هـ] السبيي says that للينكية 'The proper noun can be indefinite either practically or as an assumption', and he gives the following examples respectively:

- 4.18 روهت فيدا ع الم هـ
4.19 لاقيش هـ اليم

Then, it is deprived of its definiteness and behaves as an indefinite سلي. It can be dual as ز هـ, and plural as ز هـ. It accepts the definite article هـ as in:

- 4.20 هنالزي د اشة ع ذلل لزي

and the proper noun can be used in a construct phrase, as in :

- 4.21 ملازي د نليم النق رالذي دكم
لبض عض الشفتب مم

Also it may occur after رُ and لا التي قبل اسن which are used only with indefinite سلي as in:

4.22

رُ زِيْدُ الس ع زه

4.23

لض لالأب احسن لم

The proper noun لسي is used in (23) as a generic noun . It is used instead of the indefinite noun رجل . Its use gives the situation more effectiveness and shows how the problem is difficult. This impression comes from the fact that the use of لسي 'the proper noun', that refers to a man well- known for his wide knowledge in this respect, is better than using a man in general. The use of the proper noun in such a case does not mean that the person in question, لسي is indefinite or not considerable; on the other contrary, it shows his greatness. So, some grammarians interpret this sentence as:

4.24

لض لالقضي واهل لغل ا لس لم

4.25

لض لالاقبالبي احسن لم

4.26

لااقبالعلي لمه القضي

ا قبل; 2/104: ت 643 هـ ا هباش ; [1/354: 1316 هـ] ت 180 هـ سوي هـ)
([1985:3/82]) [ت 911 هـ السبي ي ; [1956:1/335] ت 769 هـ

It is to be mentioned that the proper noun is either declinable as لسي and لسي or indeclinable as لسي . The declinable is either fully declinable as لسي or diptote as لسي .

All these types of proper noun can be indefinite and accept nunation in one way or another. The indefiniteness of the fully declinable proper noun can be understood through the context (81/ 1 : 2000السن عقر [1/73 : (د) ت 911 هـ السن ي) :

4.27 ع عزيز امه م ت

The indefiniteness of the diptote is expressed by *nunation*, as:

4.28 ل ات احمد ا

The indefiniteness of the indeclinable proper noun is similarly expressed by *nunation*, as in:

4.29 ل وتلسوي ساي بوي هـ آعة

The indefiniteness of the proper noun can be accounted for by the following:

1. The proper noun, especially the name of a person, can be repeated many times; so this repetition gives it a sense of indefiniteness.
2. Proper nouns refer to persons, cities, etc. which are originally common nouns; for example, ع ح م is a man , غي اد is a city , دلي is a river and so on . Thus, the proper noun implies indefiniteness and the name is just a distinctive marker to make السن ي definite, like 'the definite article'. The difference between them is that the origin of السن ي is observable with the definite article and not observable with the proper noun.

[240/ 3/ 1956: 392 ت 392 هـ] (لِيِي نَجِي accounts for the indefiniteness of the proper noun by saying that the proper noun is, by form, like the indefinite اِسِي Its definiteness is implicit, whereas the definiteness of the definite اِلِي that is defined by the article or the construct state is explicit.

3. Proper nouns are either transferred from common indefinite اِسِي like, اِسِي or from , صِفِي adjective, like, اِسِي or it is derived from indefinite اِسِي like اِسِي. The proper noun has no meaning related to the referent and it does not describe the person or thing which carries the name. It happens that someone's name is اِسِي but in fact he is a liar. Thus, the name has nothing to do with the fact and personality of the referent. Yet, it happens that the meaning of the name fits the description of the person or thing it denotes. In such cases, the definite article can be attached to the proper noun to draw attention to the meaning of the noun, as in: اِلِحِي ر and اِلِفِي اِت (2000:1/ 84) (اِلِي عَقِل) اِلِحِي, and اِلِحِي. The definite article is attached to the former but not to the latter because the former has a sense of description, which is :

4.30

(لَوْرَهَنَ لِي ع غُرَتَا)

(اِلِمَة اِل ت: ع اِلَه 27)

This phenomenon is called in Arabic اِلِي 'hinting at the original meaning'. It is to be mentioned that changing the name of someone does not make a change in language, whereas

changing the genus or class of someone makes a change in language ([3/ 81 : ()] ت 911 هـ السبيي).

4. There is a kind of proper nouns called 'الاسمي ن' 'the generic proper noun', like 'مُلهي وسي ع' and 'ذولي'. These nouns are definite by form but indefinite by meaning. Each one of these nouns is applicable to every individual of a whole kind ([1959:97] ت 761 هـ النص ري). It is to be mentioned that these nouns do not accept the definite article and the construct state.

4.1.3 Articles

The indefinite marker in Arabic, as considered by some Arabic grammarians, is 'النون' 'nunation', and the definite article is 'و' 'the' .

4.1.3.1 Nunation' ال تنوين

Nunation is traditionally defined as 'نوني زل س ل باحق الآع في' 'A superfluous /n/ that is attached to the end of the سلي لا خطي غيبة اب pronounced , not written , and for no emphasis .' .

The origin of 'النون' 'nunation', is 'المتبني' 'meemation', which exists in other semitic languages like Akkadian ; for example the origin of 'بت' is 'بتي' (1982: 27) .

It is found that in the ancient Akkadian 'المتبني' has also a sense of definiteness (ibid.: 118). The occurrence of 'المتبني' with the indefinite and definite items is found in Hamurabi's texts ; so (1968:192-194) السبيي عة ار concludes that *nunation* and the definite article do not occur together because they indicate the same thing , and also for phonological reasons .

In Aramaic languages, when the definite article becomes weak and old, another article is invented. The old one becomes part of the word and it is considered as an indefinite article. It is not omitted when the new article is added; for example, the noun 'u hmōrō has two articles. عجمية (1982 : 119) infers that *nunation* perhaps is a definite article then it becomes an indefinite one.

Accordingly, the indefinite and definite articles are changeable and exchangeable. There is no strict formal marker that distinguishes between indefinite and definite forms in these semitic languages.

Arab grammarians, traditional and modern, have **two views** about nunation:

The first one says that nunation is a marker of fully declinable whether indefinite or definite. [1316:1/7] ت 180 في السويحيه says that الال عككي ريويي ل آل عيي لبي ليذاي هال عي لم يسييتقاي i.e. ‘*Nunation* is a marker of the fully declinable and the easier in articulation; avoidance of *nunation* indicates that they find it difficult in articulation.’ [1982:1/73 - 76] () ت 471 هـ) السعج ن الاسجي ي and ([3/239 : ()] ت 911 هـ) distinguish a number of types of *nunation* amounting to ten types, but the following are the concern of this study :

1. **الأسىي** this kind of *nunation* shows that **الأسىي** fully declinable like **عرجي** and **عرجي**. It includes indefinite and definite **الأسىي** and when *nunation* is mentioned without specifying it , this *nunation*, **الأسىي** is meant.

2. النون التانيئة 'nunation of indefiniteness': this kind of *nunation* is used to distinguish between indefinite and definite indeclinable nouns, as in :

expressed grammatically by the absence of the definite article not by النون. This exists in the indefinite and definite and also in the vocative; so it cannot be considered the marker corresponding to nunation, as considered by some grammarians. (2003:234) , for example , says that the indefinite marker and the definite marker و can be used together as in دع لي الم هام ي . It is to be mentioned that there are some Arabic tribes that use nunation with the dual as in: ج ء رجلا . This dialect is called (ibid. :139).

471 ت [(1982 :1/183)] tries to find an explanation for the use of the with the indefinite and definite dual and masculine regular plural . He believes that in these forms has two cases : in the first one it corresponds to ل النون in the indefinite singular, as in :

رجل	جال
عنلي	عن اهي

In the second case, النون corresponds to إلهة ا , as in :

ه رجل	ه رجلا
ه عنلي	ه عن اهي
ه لة رجل	ه لة رجلا
ه لة عنلي	ه لة عن اهي

That is why does not mention the *nunation* of correspondence. It is better to include the nunation of the feminine

regular plural within the first type of nunation with the indefinite singular, the irregular plural and the non-count لم ء.

4. 'nunation of diptote': It is used to distinguish between indefinite and definite diptote, as in:

4.32 ل اتُّ احمَدَ

4.33 ل اتُّ احمدا

The first اَلْهِيّ refers to a definite person who is known to the speaker and addressee, whereas the second, اَلْهِيَّ , refers to someone called اَلْم , who is unknown to the addressee .

5. 'nunation of the vocative': it is used to distinguish between the indefinite and definite اَلْهِيّ in the vocative case, as in :

4.34 لَهْلَهْ هَنَارُ لُؤَيْنَ هَدَا لَهْلَهْ لَاعَ هَا حِ اِهْ اَلْهِيّ)

(الابء: 69)

4.35 ه عَدَلَّ ه ذَاة الله

The second view is that nunation is a marker of indefiniteness.

القي ه ه ه اهلل اهلل اهلل الم ب ([1954 : 69] ت 392 ه) ا جن
'Nunation indicates indefiniteness and the definite article صي يلف ح /l/ indicates definiteness.' Tritton (1943/1970:24) and (1959 :165) agree with this view. It seems that this statement is not very precise. It says that the definite article indicates definiteness which means that the absence of this article indicates indefiniteness, as in اهلل اهلل and اهلل اهلل Accordingly, *nunation* does not indicate

indefiniteness, but it occurs in this case to emphasize indefiniteness. Furthermore, nunation occurs with other nouns as a device to perform different functions (see 4.1.2). Thus, *nunation* and the definite article do not occur together because the indefinite noun becomes definite by the definite article; so there is no need to emphasize the indefinite noun.

اي جزى (ت 392 ي) accounts for the nunation in proper nouns by considering them indefinite اسيم ء so when the proper noun refers to a specific person who is directly addressed as in the vocative , then nunation is not used , like : ء عحم ء .

This statement is in contrast with two cases:

1. The proper noun عحم ء (ص) in :

4.36

ء عحم ء رسي ء الله

refers to a specific person and no one can be confused about him. Yet, nunation is found in this noun.

2. The proper noun زه ء in:

4.37

لا نيد امه م ت

is used indefinitely and generically. Yet, *nunation* is not found in this noun.

Concerning the first case, *nunation* in عحم ء refers to the indefinite origin of the اسيم ء Nunation here does not reduce the importance and the greatness of the prophet Muhammad, but it

4.42 (لَجَّ قَلْبُ عَ لَمَاءِ الشَّامِ) (الاهب: ع الآية 30)

3. as in , ألسنت غةا :

4.43 (هُدَاهُ اللَّهُ وَهُوَ مُضَيَّ - وَلَيْلٍ لِعُقَاقِ الْإِنْسَانِ ضَيِّفٌ) (الس: 28)

[1914:1/301] ت 631 ي (آل عي ي) says that when the definite article is used generically , it does not show the genus of the object because it is already known without it . It is used to convey a sense of *generalization* .

4.1.4 The Syntactic Position of the Indefinite اسم

The structure of the sentence in Arabic is divided grammatically into a subject and a predicate. The subject is called *المسمى بـ* and the predicate is *المتكلم*. There are two types of sentences in Arabic : 'the verbal sentence' *الجملة الفعلية* and 'the nominal sentence' *الجملة الاسمية*.

The verbal sentence is composed of 'the verb' *الفعل* and 'the subject' (the doer of the action). The nominal sentence, on the other hand, is composed of 'the subject' *المتكلم* and 'the predicate' *الخبير*.

In Arabic, indefiniteness is associated with the predicate. In the verbal sentence, the verb is considered the predicate and it precedes the subject. So, Arabic grammarians agree that the verb is 'indefinite', and it is impossible to be definite (هبش: 643 هـ 1) (ت 911 يلسي يي ; 1974:1/323 لسري ; 1985:1:199) [] ت 911 يلسي يي ; 1974:1/323 لسري ; 1985:1:199).

Though, there are some examples in Arabic in which **و** is attached to the verb. In such cases, this prefix has the form of the definite article **ال** **مقي** , 'the' , but the different meaning of a relative pronoun, as **الذي** 'who, that, which' (**الذي** 761 ت [1977:1/312]; **الذي** 471 ت [1982:1/72]). This use of **ا** is irregular and abnormal (ibid.) . **السنة** gives the following :

4.44 **قِي لَخْنِ لَأَغْضَالُهُرِي نَقِيَّ**

إِلْحَنْدُ صِيَتِ الْحَمَرِ لِيُجَدِّعُ

4.45 **لَهْتِخْ عَجَلْ لَيْ عَن قَر**

لَعُ جُحْهَ لَشَبْخَ لِيَقْصَّعُ

و (**و**) says that **الصرى** in this sense is used out of necessity:

4.46 **ع وَنْتَ لِحَلِي لَتُرْضَى كِي ع**

and it is also used with **المفهي** and **الفهي** 'the participles' as in **الضري** and **الضري** : **و** , **و** and **و** can be considered hyponymous .

The subject of the verbal sentence may be indefinite or definite since the verb begins the sentence and the action is determined in the mind of the speaker first (**ال** 769 ت [1956:1/92]), as in :

4.47 **لَدَعَلْ عَهْ لِرَسْ فَتَيَانِ)**

يس : ع الة 36)

4.48 **لَقْسَ الْهَيْكُ الْيُوجْ هِي * إِلْ إِبْ)**

(لأحس: 30-31)

The object of the verb may be definite or indefinite as in :

- 4.49 (لَّ وَرَسُولَ الْيَلْفِي رَسُولَاشَ هَ اَّ مَلْفِي اَمَ وَرَسُولَ لِحَ هَ هَ فِيَ
رَسُولَ* هَ صَحَ هَ هَ فِيَ لَ رَسُولَ هَ اَعَنِّي هَ وَغَذَالَ لِبَادَ)
(ل م مع ل 15-16)

There are certain positions in Arabic that are occupied by لم م ء in the accusative case, and these لم م ء should be indefinite. The important ones will be mentioned hereunder with examples:

1. 'adverbs of manner' as in :

- 4.50 (لَّ لَابَمَشِرَ الْأَرْضِ قَرَحًا)
(الاسماء: ع الآه 37)

2. 'Specificative', as in:

- 4.51 (لَّ رَ رَ لَ هَ لَ مَظِي عَ لَتَلْتَهَلَّ لَ وَ لُ شَرِيًا)
(ع هي: ع الآه 4)
4.52 (إِ هَذَا وَ لَ بَسِغَ لَبِغِي نَعَجًا)
(هن: ع الآه 23)

3. 'the absolute object', as in :

- 4.53 (لَّ مَ هَ هُ اللَّهُ ذُ هَبَ فُلْفِي لَ هَجَ وَ مَلَّ لُ هَتَ لَ هُ طَمَّ هَ لُ يَتَطَوَّرا)
(الأد م: ع الآه 33)
4.54 (لَّ نَفَحَ لَ لَفَتَحَ اَعِينُ)
(نفت : 1)

4. as in: الجفهي أَل ج ا.

4.55 (لُتِي عَ هُجِي ج هَادَا سَرِيَا لَشَغَاء عَضَبِ)
 (المتج: ع الآه 1)

The indefinite also occurs after لا الية لاسرن , as in:

4.56 (لَوَّالَسَّ هَ بَلَبَّ لَا رِيَبَبِمَ)
 (الاج: ع الآه 7)

It also occurs after ال ‘every, each’, وي ‘any’, and اي ‘how many’, as in :

4.57 (أُلْفَسِ ذَقَّ لُيْتِ)
 (الاي: ع الآه 35)

4.58 (وَيَّصُورَةَ عَشَاءَ رَوَّكَ)
 (الاطر: 8)

4.59 اي لفتاب لةوت

In the nominal sentence, the subject precedes the predicate and should be definite and known to the addressee. The predicate, on the other hand, should be indefinite and unknown to the addressee ([1316:1/22 ي] ت 180 اي س عوي ه). Thus starting a message with an indefinite لنيي not allowed in Arabic. However, there are certain justifications for which the subject may be indefinite.² These

² نكرة / اسم. There are different views about starting the nominal sentence in Arabic with an indefinite () : [1985 : 3/107] () ت 911 ه السيوطي mentions some of these views.

justifications as stated by Arabic grammarians come to forty ones.

() [ت 911 ي (السبي ي and ([ت 761 ي (الضري ([1957:182-183] and ([ت 911 ي (السبي ي and ([ت 761 ي (الضري ([1957:182-183] and ([ت 911 ي (السبي ي and ([ت 761 ي (الضري ([1957:182-183] state the main and common justifications as follows :

1. When the indefinite is modified explicitly or implicitly, as in the following:

4.60 (لَعَنَ قَوْمٌ بَنِي إِسْرَءِيلَ وَبَنِي إِسْرَءِيلَ وَبَنِي إِسْرَءِيلَ)

(الوقفة : ع الآه 221)

4.61 الاسم قن وان ري

4.62 رَجُلٌ ج ف

Sentence (61) means : ري and (62) means رَجُلٌ ص ل ي ر . ج عن

2. When the indefinite is active, i.e. it is derived from a verb as:

4.63 قَطِمْ ا ل م ه ا

4.64. ا ق ر م ه ق ل ص ل

3. In the case of 'co-ordination', provided that either ال م ط ي or ال م ط ي ب ل ي satisfies the grammatical conditions of the permissible indefinite subject as in :

4.65 قَوْلٌ مَعْرُوفٌ وَقِصَّةٌ بَنِي إِسْرَءِيلَ وَبَنِي إِسْرَءِيلَ وَبَنِي إِسْرَءِيلَ

(لَعَنَ قَوْمٌ بَنِي إِسْرَءِيلَ وَبَنِي إِسْرَءِيلَ وَبَنِي إِسْرَءِيلَ)

(الوقفة : 263)

4.66 طَاعَ قَوْلٌ مَعْرُوفٌ إِذَا هَمَّ الْأَعْمَى فَلْيَصِلْ إِلَى اللَّهِ لَكَ عَالَمٌ

(ع ح م : 21)

4. When the predicate is a preposition or an adverbial phrase as follows :

4.67 (لَعَّ أَلْهَرِيَّ وَ مَلَبَّ آهَ إِلَّا إِذْ لِلَّهِ أَجَلٌ لَتَأْتِ) (الآه : ع 38)

4.68 (لَهَيْ عَ هَ غَلَّ بَقَمَ وَلَيْنَا قَزِيْدَ) (هـ : 35)

5. If the indefinite **لهي** used non- specifically either by itself like conditional **وئس** **يعمالشي** or it is preceded by negation or interrogation as follows :

4.69 (هَبْ مَنْ أَعْلَيْ عَقَضَ وَلَ أَحْصَفَ ةَ ةَ عَوَّ مَوْعَ) (الوقفة : ع الآه 184)

4.70 (لَا إِلَهَ إِلَّا اللَّهُ لَا خَوْفَ مَلَيْ لَ لَا يُهَ حَ هَي) (هين : 62)

4.71 (وَلِ ةَ عَعِ اللَّهُ لِبَلَاءَ عَبْدًا كُلَّ) (النمل : ع الآه 62)

6. If it is used generically and as a matter of fact, as in :

4.72 تَمْرَةٌ عِبَّةُ ع جَعَادَ

4.73 حَيْدُ عِبَّةُ ع نَح ل

7. When it has a meaning of a verb , which denotes , for example , invocations , as in the following example :

4.74 (سَلِّمْ هَاحَ إِسْبَ)

(الصلاة : 130)

8. When the information conveyed in the message is unusual and strange, as follows :

4.75

شجر شمس ت

Some grammarians consider this sentence nominal since it begins with *شجر* others consider it verbal since it contains 'verb' *شمس* regardless of its position .

9. When the indefinite *إذَا* occurs after *الفسر* as :

4.76

عجة تة ذا رجل ل و

10. When it occurs in the initial position of *المرابي* *الجلي*, a manner clause , as :

4.77

سقف ونجمل وضء قمذ ا

عجك وضعضيه ال شر

Arabic grammarians divide the structure of the nominal sentence according to indefiniteness and definiteness into three patterns) ([() 1982:305-309] ت 471 هـ السةجن :

1. The subject is definite and the predicate is indefinite. This pattern is the unmarked one because it follows the requirements of the meaningful sentence , as in :

4.78

زه عطاق

2. Both of them, the subject and the predicate, are definite. In this pattern, there is no information to convey. However, it is used in certain cases. Consider the following examples:

4.79

الله رن ل ع حم نهن

4.80

ها وع

Concerning (79), every Muslim knows this fact , but it is said as a kind of worship . It may also be said to the non- Muslim who does not know this fact, or to the child to teach him . Concerning (80), it is said if the hearer knows that I have a brother, and he knows someone called اي , so I inform him that اي is my brother . In this case the whole sentence is considered new information.

A kind of confusion may arise concerning whether the subject and the predicate in this pattern form a complete sentence or just a nominal structure. So, to remove any possibility of the predicate being considered as an adjective or apposition, a pronoun called ضمة 'pronoun of separation' is normally inserted between the subject and the predicate (شريفة 1975 : 205 n.173) . This pronoun is used either to indicate the beginning of the predicate or to emphasize the subject (Ziadeh & Winder 1957:48) . Consider the following examples:

4.81

ها " هي وع

4.82

(اللهي السيئ ت ل ع بَق السيئ ت في عِندِ قَ رَلِمَ لَئِكَ
فِي لَيْزُ لَ هَظِي)

(ية : 9)

The indefinite plural refers to some members of a class or a group, and not all of them (آل ع ي) [1914 : 1/ 302] ت 631 هـ (آل ع ي) :

4.89 لَقِيَ آةٌ هَيْسٌ لَّإِغْيَبِ يَإِتْلِلْ رَبِّكَ)

ييس : 7)

4.90 لَكَ نَسِوَةٌ لَمْ يَفِ اعْوَتْ لَاهِمِبْ ةَالِدُقَّ هَ ةَفَسْ)

(ييس : 30)

4.91 (لَ هَى الْآهَ ةَا رَجَالٌ هَ ةَفِي فَلَبْلَأَسِبَمَ يُ)

(الآه ةا : ع الآه 46)

This indefinite *لعي* can be followed by certain words like *لعهي* or *لعي* as in :

4.92 (لَشَ لَهْثَمَ خَ دَرَاهِمَ قَعْدُودَةٍ)

ييس : ع الآه 20)

4.93 (لَاخُجُّ لَشَ هُرَّ قَعْلُودَاتٍ)

(لوقة : ع الآه 197)

The indefinite non - countable *لعي* refers to a certain quantity, a part , or a kind of something, as in :

4.94 (لَدَعَلْ عَهْ لُورَسْ نَقَبَ لَ وَلَ هُمَ لَّ وَرَكَ وَصَ هُ خَمْرَا

لَ الْآعَ هُ لَّ وَرَكَ وَلَمَلْ هِي رُوسُ خُزْبَأُ أَلْ لَطَبَّةُ هِي)

(ييس : ع الآه 36)

The indefinite abstract *لعي* refers to a certain aspect or kind of something, as in:

4.95 (عَيَّ اللَّهُ مَا حُلِّيَ مِنْ لَدُنْ أَحْسَمَ مِنْ لَدُنْ أَحْوَضَ رِيٍّ عَنْ أَوَّةٍ)

(الوقعة: ع الآية 7)

4.96 لَمْ يَلِدْ وَلَمْ يَكُنْ لَهُ كُفُوًا فَلَمْ يَكُنْ لَهُ كُفُوًا فَلَمْ يَكُنْ لَهُ كُفُوًا فَلَمْ يَكُنْ لَهُ كُفُوًا
يَوْمَئِذٍ

(النمل: ع الآية 40)

In general, the indefinite *لَمْ يَكُنْ لَهُ كُفُوًا* refers to some or part of the class in question (السيرة: 686 ت 2/129).

2. The generic use:

The referent of the generic indefinite *لَمْ يَكُنْ لَهُ كُفُوًا* unknown to the speaker and the addressee. This use applies in three cases:

- (a) The generic use: it shows the very identity and nature of a certain class or genus as in:

4.97

رَجُلٌ عَجُوزٌ عَاقِرَةٌ

and recall :

4.73

حَيْدٌ عَجُوزٌ عَاقِرَةٌ

This use can be called identity-generic use to distinguish it from the other generic ones.

- (b) The classifying use: it classifies the subject or the object. So, it occurs in the predicate, as in :

4.98

لَمْ يَكُنْ لَهُ كُفُوًا فَلَمْ يَكُنْ لَهُ كُفُوًا فَلَمْ يَكُنْ لَهُ كُفُوًا

(يس: ع الآية 19)

(هَذَا دُلٌّ جَمَلٌ كَعَفَاةٍ الْأَرْضِ لِلْيُبِّ النَّارِ لِحَقِّ)

4.99

(ه: ع الآية 26)

4.100

لَمْ يَكُنْ لَهُ كُفُوًا فَلَمْ يَكُنْ لَهُ كُفُوًا فَلَمْ يَكُنْ لَهُ كُفُوًا

(قصص: ع الآية 6)

- (c) The non-specific use: it refers to any member of a class or a quantity or a kind of something that carries the qualities of the thing in question, as in :

4.101 (إِنَّ اللَّهَ هُوَ الَّذِي وَبَدَّ خِيَابَ رَهْ)

الوقفة : ع الآية 67)

4.102 (إِنَّ هَذَا هُوَ الَّذِي أَهْلَكَ الْقُرْيَةَ الَّتِي فِيهَا كُنْتُمْ تَسْكُنُونَ)

القم : 2)

((103: (و [] ت 471 ي) السة جن distinguishes between the specific and generic use of the indefinite سيلي by the structure of the interrogative sentence. Compare:

4.103 و ج عك رجل

4.104 و رجل ج عك

In (103), the question is about the coming of a specific person, whereas in (104), the question is about the genus of the comer, (cf. [1964: 51] ت 651 ه ا المعالك).

4.2.2 Indefiniteness and Singularity

The indefinite singular سيلي used in the specific and generic uses definitely refers to one thing, but this sense does not always have the priority. The context determines the indication of the سيلي

(2000:1/39) السية عار and ([1391:4/42] ت 794 ي ال مرتري give the following examples respectively referring to the sense of singularity of the indefinite سيلي :

- 4.105 (لَجَّاءَ رَجُلٌ عٌ وَلَصَّحَ لَمْ فِي هَاحَا لَهَ عَاجِيحَ إِ لَمْ لَأْ
مَبْلَمَ كُلِّ كَ لِقَتُوكَ عَ عَاجِلٌ لَكَ عَ النَّصِيحِ)

(القصص : 20)

- 4.106 (لَجَّاءَ عٌ وَلَصَّحَ لَمْ فِي رَجُلٍ هَاحَا لَهَ لَيْمَ بَلَوِيَا
لَمْ هَدَبِ) (فهم : 20)

It seems that these examples are not appropriate to convey the sense of singularity. In (105) and (106), the indefinite *رجلٌ* 'a man' means one man, but it is not important whether the man who came is alone or not. The most important thing in the first situation is that the news of the conspiracy reaches Moses by someone, whereas in the second, the most important thing is that the message of the prophet Muhammad (PBUH) reached the remotest part of the city. The position of the indefinite *الإنسي* in these examples supports this point. However, the following examples can be used to express singularity:

- 4.107 (لَا لَرَلٌ عَنِّي لِيَلُوتِي لِي الْوَتْنِي وَةَ أَوَّلَ مَضَ عِيَمِ)

(الكلم : ع الآية 19)

- 4.108 (وَلَيْدَسَ هَلْ بَعَثَ * لِهَلْ الشَّفَقَتَبِ)

(الها : 8-9)

In (107) and (108), the indefinite *لالي* implies the numeral *لال* 'one' which can be expressed explicitly in a certain context to emphasize the singularity of the indefinite *لالي* as in :

- 4.109 (لَهَ الْأَرْضِ لَطَعَ عَسَ لِرَاتُ لَحَّتْ عَ وَفَ لَزَرُ

لَنَضِلَّ صَرْنِيَا لَهِيَّ عَصْرَنِيَا هُرُقَ حِمَاءٍ وَاحِدِ)

(لَه : ع الآية 4)

- 4.110 (إِنَّ مَذَاوِعَ لَبِئْسَ عِلَاسٍ فِي نَهِجٍ لَكَ نَعَجٌ وَاحِدَةٌ)
(هن: ع الآه 23)

It is to be mentioned that 'one' and the indefinite marker 'nunation' are not mutually exclusive.³

4.2.3 Indefiniteness and 'Generalization'

When the indefinite 'used in the contexts of negation, conditional, and imperative it conveys a sense of generalization, as :

- 4.111 (إِنَّ اللَّهَ هَاطُ عُلَيَّ وَبَذَحِي لِقَرَّةً)
(الوقه: ع الآه 67)
- 4.112 (إِنَّ اللَّهَ لَا هَاطُ لَنَ لَشَرِيهِ الْكَ لَنَ لَوَسَّيْ هَاطُيَ)
(هين: 44)
- 4.113 (هَاطُ لَازِهَ آعِيَا إِ جَ عُلَيَّ لَقَ زَوَاقُوقِيَا وَبُصُويَا
لَيَعَّ سَاطَ لَقُصُوقِيَا هَاطُ عَ قَاطُنَ يَ)
(لحاس قات: 6)

It can be noticed that the indefinite 'in these examples do not imply inclusive generalization, but they refer to any one , or any part of the object(s) in question . Sometimes, the indefinite 'used in the sense of generalization has an inclusive meaning, as in:

- 4.114 (لَعَبَ رِيَقَسَّ عَ ذَلَبَسَبِيَّ أَلَعَبَ رِيَقُ أَيَّ
وَرَضِبَ يَتُ إِنَّ اللَّهَ مَلِيَّ عَ)
(لقم: ع الآه 34)

³ Similarly, the definite article 'and the demonstratives (هذا etc.) are not mutually exclusive.

4.115 (لَإِذَا الْقُوِيُّ نُفِيتْ * هَامَتْ فَهَسَّ عَ لَعَتْ لَوَعَتْ) (الفيط ر: 4-5)

4.116 (لَقَدْ نَقَّيَ اللَّهُ هَذَا لَعْلَ عَجَّ) (ال : ع : الآه 2)

The indefinite *لا* after *ي* also expresses inclusive generalization, as in :

4.117 (لَكِي اسْرُوحَكَ لَا عَلَى ظَنِّ إِلَّا عَ هَلَّيْ لَكَ وَنَتَ لَا لِيْ لَعْلِيْ) (الوقه : 32)

4.118 (لَعْبَلْبِيْ عَ لِيْ عَ آهَاتِ رَّيِّيْ إِلَّا أَنْيَا قَمَّ غَهْ قَنَبَ) (الآه م: 4)

4.2.4 Indefiniteness and Ambiguity

The indefinite *لا* Arabic can be understood as specific or generic (non-specific) depending on the intention of the speaker and the context.

Consider the following example:

4.119 اره ابلهيلي اجنب

This sentence either means that the speaker has a specific language in mind, or he has no specific language.

It is to be mentioned that this ambiguity arises with certain 'verbs', like *اره*, *ح*, *ا* and *التح*.

4.120 هُذِرَتْ مَلَائِكَةُ وَهَّ عَ قُيُومًا إِلَّا رَجُلٌ وَعَ اللَّهُ لِلْأُولِ
عَ النَّاسِ لِلْعُلَا غَضَبٍ عَ اللَّهُ لَضُرَّةٍ تَ مَلَائِكَةُ الْمَلَائِكِ
لَوْكَ لَمْ يَأْنِي الْهَفْلُ آهَاتِ اللَّهِ لَقَتْلِي الْأَهْبَاءِ بَعْدَ
حَقِّ لَوْكَ مَ صَرِيحًا لَمْ يَأْنِي الْهَفْلُ)

4.121 لَضُوءَاتٌ مَلَأْنِي لِلْمَلَائِكَةِ عَلَا غَضَبِ عِ اللَّهِ
لَكَ لَنْفِي أَنْفِي الْهَقْلَ آهَاتِ اللَّهِ لِقَتْنِي الْوَيْبَ بَعْدَ
لِحَقِّ لَكَ مَصْرِي أَلْ أَنْفِي الْهَقْلَ)

The indefinite *حاقس يي* ‘right’ in (120) indicates that there is no right at all to kill and hurt the prophets. The definite *الحاقس لي* ‘the right’ in (121) refers to one definite *ليق* ‘right’ which is the right to kill the prophets. So, the indefinite is general and unknown, whereas the definite is specific and known (الس 1988: 170).

It can also be understood that the indefinite and definite **الاسمي** **ع** **ليق** and **لحق** are used generically . Arabic grammarians distinguish between the generic indefinite and generic definite.

(118 - 116 / 1: 2000) لسي عقل and (155 / 1 : 1966) (الغالبيني think that the difference between them is a different between المطلق 'the absolute' and المقيد 'the restricted' . The generic indefinite refers to the absolute fact without restriction, whereas the generic definite refers to the identity of the thing as it exists in the mind. The

In (122), the indefinite **وصية اسم**, refers to something optional. The definite **الوصية اسم** in (123) refers to an obligatory state which is required of Muslims at a certain time. The interference of the pragmatic element with the semantic one is obvious.

4.2.6 The Indefinite **اسم** in Proverbs and Proverbial Sayings

The indefinite and definite items can be used in Arabic generically; so both of them are used in proverbs and proverbial sayings. The indefinite item can begin the sentence if , for example, it has a modifier of some sort , or specialization , as in :

1. **خَيْرٌ مَعَ النَّاسِ عِيْدٌ.**
2. **لَيْسَ حَقٌّ عِندَ سُلْطَانٍ يَجُورُ**

The indefinite item can be used in non-subject position, as in:

3. **لَا يَمْلِكُ الْمَرْءُ شَيْئًا إِذَا هَانَ**
4. **أَظْلَمُ مِنْ فُتَاةٍ**

and it may imply the numeral **واحد** 'one', as in ,

5. **أَلْفٌ صَدَقَ وَالْعَدُوُّ**
6. **الْهَرَمُ يَوْمَ أَنْ يَمْلِكَ وَيَوْمَ عَلِيٍّ لَفْ إِذَا كَانَ
لَفْ لَاتَّبَطَّرَ وَإِذَا كَانَ عَلِيٍّ لَفْ لَفْ بَر**

The indefinite item is used in the context of negation to convey a sense of generalization, as in:

9. **فرب كلهم لبتن عمه**

The indefinite اسم is used when the referent is mentioned for the first time; otherwise, for example, when the referent is mentioned previously or unique or well-known to the speaker and the hearer or it has a general sense, then the definite اسم is used, as in the following example:

4.124 (وَقَدْ أَخَذَ الْإِنسَانُ مِنْ سُلَالَةٍ مِنْ طِينٍ * ثُمَّ جَعَلْنَاهُ نُفْرًا فِي قَرَارٍ مُيَسَّرٍ * ثُمَّ خَلَقْنَا النَّفْسَ الْوَعَالَیَّةَ عَلَى الْفُطْرَةِ الَّتِي كُنَّا فَضَعْنَا نُفُوسَنَا لَهَا نُضَعَةً عِظَامًا فَكُنَّا مِنَ الْعِظَامِ حَمًّا * ثُمَّ نَسْأَلُهَا نُفْسًا آخَرَ فَتَقَبَّلَ رُكَّ اللَّهُ أَجْمَرُ لَهَا الْقَبْلَ)

الأمم المتحدة: 12- 14)

Arabic grammarians recognize four cases for the repetition of the اسم in the context according to its indefiniteness and definiteness (أ) [ت 911 م السميوي ; [4/93 : 1391 م] ت 794 م اللوكشمي (1/563)]:

1. When the first and second اسماء are indefinite , they have different referents , as in :

4.125 (اللَّهُ الَّذِي يُحْيِيكَ مِنْ صَعْفَتٍ جَعَلَ مِنْ بَعْضِ عَصْفِكَ عِفْءٌ وَتُ جَعَلَ مِنْ بَعْضِ عِصْفِكَ شَيْءًا مَوْبِشًا وَأَوَّلُ نُفُوسٍ أَلْفَايِرُ) (الروم: 54)

2. When the first and second **معي** are definite , they have one referent , as in :

4.126 (أَهَنَ لَصْرَاطَ لِمُنْقَىٰ مَصْرَاطَ لَنِّينَ لَعَمَتَ غَيَّ هِمَ غَيْرِ
لَمِضُوبِ غَيَّ هِمَ وَلَا لَضَلَّيْنِ)
(الغالب ح : 6-7)

These two cases can be seen in the following example :

4.127 (ةِإَّ عَعِ الْغُنْرِيْسُ رَا * إَّ عَعِ لَغُنْرِيْسُ رَا)
(الشَّعْ ح: 5-6)

The indefinite, **معا** , has two referents, whereas the definite, **المسي** , has one referent. This view is supported by the saying of the prophet Muhammad “ (509/ 10: ت 548 ي الطوةس) ”ل مغاب هس هس هه “ . In some Quranic commentaries, it is said that the repetition of the whole sentence in such cases is for the sake of emphasis.

3. When the first **لري** is indefinite and the second is definite , they have the same referent , as in :

4.128 (لَّ وَرَسَلْ لِّلَّيْ رَسْمِي لَاشَ هَ اَّ مَلَّيْ اَمَّ وَرَسَلْ لِّلَّ حَ ةُ هِيَّ
رَسُولا * ةَصَحَ ةُ هِيَّ لِرَسُولا ةَاَعْنِيْ هُوَعْدَا لِبَالَا)
(المدخل: 15-16)

4. When the first **لوي** definite and the second is indefinite ,they either have one referent as in :

- 4.129 (لَقَدْ ضَرَبْنَا لِلْأَعْيُنِ مَذَاقَ الْفُرَّانِ عَ ۖ أَلَّا يَحْلِلَ هَآؤُلَآئِ
 هَٰؤُلَآئِ * قُرْآنًا عَرَبِيًّا يَبْهَتُونَ بِهِ ذِي هَيْجَلٍ هَآؤُلَآئِ ثَوَّيَّاتٍ)
 (لمعة: 27 - 28)

or they have different referents, as in :

- 4.130 لَيْسَ مَبْقِيَةٌ لِّسَآءِ ۖ شَيْءٍ لِّمَنْ تَعْبِي ۖ عَ لَوْثِيَا
 يَبْ مَسَآءٍ ۖ أَلَيْكَ أَنْيَا مُؤْتِي ۖ)
 (العلم: 55)

It seems that these rules sometimes apply to the form of the word not to its meaning. For example, the words **من ي** • **الله سي** • **من ي** (130) have different meanings, so they definitely have different referents .

The inadequacy of these rules can be supported by the following example:

- 4.131 لَيْسَ لِّكَ يَمِ ۖ أَلَيْ * لِّلَّجَ كَضَ لَا تَمَ ۖ * لِّلَّجَ كَ
 مَرَأً ۖ أَلَيْنَحَ * أَعَّ ۖ أَلَيْمَ قَلْبُ ۖ)
 (الضح: 6 - 9)

This example, according to these rules, belongs to the third case, i.e. the indefinite and definite items **الذي** and **تبي** respectively have the same referent. In fact the definite item **الذي** is definite by form ,but it has an indefinite referent because it is used in a generic sense.

It can be concluded that the syntactic, semantic, and pragmatic factors should be taken into consideration to form such rules.

Arabic grammarians state that the indefinite **لن** used to express certain meanings like ‘ greatness and elevation’, ‘humility and degradation’, ‘decreasing and scarcity’, ‘increasing and abundance’, etc. In fact, the indefinite **لن** has its lexical meaning and the above meanings are given to the indefinite **لن** by context (1950 : 128) . Consider the following aayas (verses):

4.132 (الْفَرَسَنَ يُؤْوَىٰ وَنَّصَّ النَّبِيَّ لِمَاحِ مَحَاةٍ لِّعَالِيهِ وَشُهُوِيَا هَيْدُ
وَلِ قُلُوبِي هُمَّ هُوَ سَرِيَّ)

(الوقفة : ع الآه 96)

4.133 (الْحَيُّ ۚ الْقَيُّ ۚ صَحِيحَةٌ وَلِلَّ الْأَوَّلَ لَمْ يَكُنْ يُبْقَى)
 العفة: 179)

In (132) and (133) the indefinite **بلي** has the same meaning , and it is used instead of the definite **البي** to refer to the absolute life **لي**, but the context gives them different meanings. In (132), the context in which **بلي** occurred gives it a sense of humility ,whereas the context of (133) gives it a sense of greatness (ibid.) .

السورة جين ([198 - 199 : و] ت 471 ي) thinks that the indefinite is used in these aayas(verses) because it refers to additional life, not one's already possessed life .⁴ ([1964:52 ت 651 هـ ي) الم مع الكين and ([4 / 91 : 1391 هـ ت 793 هـ] الم ر اش) السورة ج ن reiterate the view of

4 "و السبب في ذلك [حسن التنكير] ان المعنى على الازدياد من الحياة لا الحياة من : الجرجاني, (133) Concerning (134), he concerning, اصلها وذلك لا يحرص عليه الا الحي فاما العادم للحياة فلا يصح منه الحرص على الحياة ولا على غيرها " "ان السبب في حسن التنكير وان لم يحسن التعريف ان ليس المعنى على الحياة نفسها ولكن على انه لما كان الانسان اذا علم انه اذا قتل قُتل ارتدع بذلك عن القتل. فسلم صاحبه صارت حياة هذا المهموم بقتله في مستأنف الوقت مستفاداة بالقصاص "

) 200 : لا ٥ ١٥ (

4.135 (لَا يَعْزُبُ عَنْكَ الْغَيْبُ نَمًّا عَمَّا يُسْمِعُ الْقَوْمَ) لَعْنَةُ

(قصات: 36)

At the syntactic level, the two indefinites *سومع ولي* in (134) form the predicate, which is the normal position of the indefinite *بلي*. The two definites *السومع والولي* in (135), on the other hand, form the predicate but a pronoun of separation, which is *وي* is used to remove any possibility of the predicate being an adjective or an apposition.

At the semantic level, the indefinites **سَمِعَ مَلِيٌّ** mean that Allah hears and knows but these qualities are not unique to Him. The definites **إِلَهُ سَمِعَ لَا مَلِيٌّ** on the other hand , mean that Allah alone has these qualities , and these **لَهُ سَمِعَ** are two of His Names.

At the pragmatic level, the choice of the **سَلِي** whether indefinite or definite depends on the context. Concerning the first aaya , it can be noticed, by reading the ayyas preceding the one in question , that there is a description of the gods which neither hear nor see nor do anything. So, Allah describes Himself by being **سَمِيعٌ مَلِيٌّ** In the second aaya, it can be noticed from the context that the non-Muslims say that the knowledge of Allah is little. So, Allah describes Himself as **لَسَمِيعٌ لَمَلِيٌّ** to prove that these qualities are

exclusive to Him and all that they know amounts nothing when compared with the knowledge of Allah . The pronoun of separation **هي** is used to emphasize this meaning (١٩٨٨:١٧٥ س ع ق ا ر) .

CHAPTER FIVE

CONTRASTIVE ANALYSIS

The contrastive analysis intended here will be conducted at the syntactic, semantic, and pragmatic levels.

5.1 Indefiniteness at the Syntactic Level

English and Arabic are different in their structures and their grammatical systems. This difference leads them consequently to be different in their expression of certain concepts.

The parts of speech in English are the noun, pronoun, verb, adverb, adjective, preposition, conjunction and interjection. The parts of speech in Arabic are اسم and حرف. The class of اسم In Arabic includes the counterparts of English common and proper nouns, adjectives, participles, and some adverbs.

Indefiniteness in both languages is a characteristic of noun and لم. It is expressed in English by using the indefinite article *a/an* with the singular count noun and *zero article* or unstressed *some* with plural and non-count noun. In Arabic it is expressed by the absence of the definite article 'ال التعنيم' and the definite suffixal marker 'التنوين' *nunation*.

In Arabic, the indefinite لم is given some syntactic definitions (4.1.1), perhaps because there is no indefinite article in Arabic, whereas in English there is no such definitions.

5.1.1 The Classification of Noun and اسم

The classification of noun and اسم in English and Arabic is similar in some aspects and different in others. Nouns in English are of two types: common and proper nouns. The common noun is either count or non- count. The count noun can be either singular or plural. In Arabic, اسم includes the counterparts of English common and proper noun, adjective, participle and some adverbs. These (except proper nouns) are either count or non- count. The count can be singular, dual or plural. The plural is of three types: regular masculine plural, regular feminine plural, and irregular plural. In both languages the noun and لى is either concrete or abstract.

In Arabic, being an inflected language, اسم is classified according to declension. In English, there is no such classification.

5.1.2 Articles

In English and Arabic, articles have no meaning by themselves. They acquire their meanings from the word they occur with and the context. The choice of the article in both languages depends on syntactic, semantic, and pragmatic factors.

In English, there are two articles. The indefinite article *a/an*, and the definite article *the*. Plural and non-count nouns in the generic use take no article, and in such a case, they are said to take *zero article* (3.1.1). In Arabic, there is one article, which is the definite article ال التعظيم. The absence of this article indicates indefiniteness with the indefinite marker *nunation* التامون which has different functions (4.1.3.1).

A question may be asked that: is the absence of the definite article in Arabic referred to by *zero article*? The answer is that *zero article* is appropriate with common nouns which have article contrast (Quirk et al. 1985/1987:246). In Arabic, when the اسم implies *one, some, or any* then it can be said that it carries *zero article*, in contrast with the definite article أل التعظيم. When the generic اسم refers to the absolute fact of the referent, it does not carry *zero article*.

Nunation is not an indefinite article;¹ otherwise, Arab learners of English would not have produced a sentence like:

5.1 *He is *teacher*.

instead of :

5.2 He is *a teacher*.

It is the interference of Arabic, which lacks the indefinite article, that is behind the production of such a sentence.

Since Arabic is an inflected language, the presence of *nunation* with the indefinite اسم is important. It is found with almost all types of اسم in Arabic. The absence of the definite article and the presence of *nunation* in Arabic may correspond to the indefinite article *a/an*, *zero article* and *some* in English. The differences between the indefinite article *a/an* in English and the indefinite marker *nunation* in Arabic are the following:

¹ See the different views about *nunation* in (4.1.3.1)..

1. The indefinite article in English is used to make the singular count noun grammatically indefinite, whereas in Arabic, اسم is originally indefinite and the definite marker *nunation* is used to perform different functions (4.1.3.1).
2. The indefinite article in English is used to express the indefiniteness of the singular count noun. The indefiniteness of plural and non-count nouns is expressed by *zero article* or the unstressed *some*. The indefinite marker in Arabic is used with all kinds of nouns, with certain exceptions. It is to be mentioned in this respect that the concentration in English grammar books is on the indefinite article and consequently on the singular count noun. The indefiniteness of plural and non-count nouns is almost neglected. In Arabic, though the indefinite marker is used with the count (singular and plural) and non-count اسم the concentration is also on the singular indefinite لم.
3. The indefinite article in English is not used with the proper noun except when the proper noun behaves as a common noun. In Arabic, the indefinite marker is used with اسم الـ 'the proper noun' freely.
4. The indefinite article in English is a separate word, whereas the indefinite marker in Arabic is a suffix.
5. The indefinite article in English has the meaning of *one* and they are mutually exclusive, whereas the indefinite marker in Arabic, when it is used with the singular count اسم does not have such a meaning and it is not mutually exclusive with واحدة and واحد 'one'.

The definite articles in English and Arabic share almost the same grammatical functions. They are also similar in their position, but in English it is a separate word and in Arabic it is a prefix.

5.1.3 The syntactic Position of the Indefinite Noun and اسم

The sentence is divided structurally into subject and predicate. In English, the subject precedes the predicate and it should be definite because it carries given information. Yet, the subject can be indefinite in certain contexts, although in others it seems awkward. To avoid any kind of awkwardness sensed in the sentence, certain grammatical devices are used. One of these devices is *existential there* and the other is the postmodification of the subject (3.1.3).

In Arabic, the sentence is of two types: verbal and nominal. In the verbal sentence, the predicate precedes the subject, and the subject can be definite or indefinite. In the nominal sentence the subject precedes the predicate and the subject should be definite. It is not allowed in Arabic to begin the nominal sentence with an indefinite element unless there is a justification (4.1.4). If the subject is indefinite and the predicate is a prepositional phrase, the word order of the sentence is changed to avoid starting the sentence with an indefinite اسم. Yet, in modern Arabic texts there is a construction reproducing English *existential there*, viz. *هنا / في* (Aziz 1998: 136). This is an aspect of loan grammar in Arabic which has come about as a result of borrowing done by translators from English. The following is an example:

5.3

فيل الحقيقة رجل

5.4

فانك رجل في الحقيقة

The English sentence corresponds to the Arabic nominal sentence. The main difference between them is that in patterns of English sentence where the predicate is an adjective, a noun, or an uninflected word, a *copula* is required between the subject and the predicate which is either verb *to be* or another linking verb. In Arabic, however, there is no verb *copula*. What exists in Arabic in such sentence patterns is another kind of *copula* as in the following examples:

5.5 a. Ahmad is a teacher.

b. اح د م عم

c. اح د م عم

5.6 a. Ahmad is the teacher.

b. اح د م عم

c. اح د م عم

d. اح د م عم

In (5a), it seems that the indefiniteness of the predicate م عم functions as a kind of copula in Arabic. In (6d), 'the pronoun of separation' performs this function (1975:205n.173). However, the *copula* is not for emphasis, whereas م عم performs rhetorical functions including emphasis (السم م فاي (2000:1/47- 56).

ولفطلى بلبي ! عك ظلى منى قل رقيت من المغمرة وارون زيمة المل العقبومة ولمد القمو
الفلبطة ال مزه في المغمرة العقبومة هيلال فع ل ل ل ق لة ول كن ل ي حوا فمي المغمرة المبطه
غرف زمره عو مق أس تقى ظلى لى ولتي في لي راون زومتع اولم فلبطة ال غف
ال مزى مضطة هو وهى من حوه مع كونه ف الص لى ال أدوات.

The object in both languages can be indefinite or definite depending on the context.

In Arabic, certain position is occupied by an indefinite noun as
 ذلك صومول آلهم ليترمم إلحم ل etc. which are expressed in English in
 different ways.

5.2.1 The Uses of the Indefinite Noun and اسم

English noun and Arabic **ال** share the same uses in the indefinite, the generic and specific use, with some differences. In English, the indefinite singular, plural, and non-count noun are used in generic and specific use. The singular indefinite noun is used generically more than others and it is flexible in taking different syntactic positions in the sentence.

In Arabic, the count and non-count **أسم** are used in a generic and specific sense. They are not frequently used in the subject position in an affirmative nominal sentence. In negative, interrogative and conditional sentences the indefinite **اسم** is used frequently in a generic sense.

The generic definite **اسم** is used in the subject position in the affirmative nominal sentence instead of the indefinite noun as in:

- 5.7 **وَنُفِّلْ لِمَسْفِيٍّ أَلْ مِ الْفَاتَبِ**
 5.8 **لِلْفَاتَبِ نُفِّلْ لِمَسْفِيٍّ**

The difference between the generic indefinite and generic definite is explained in (4.2.6).

5.2.2 The Indefinite Noun / **اسم** and the Numeral **One** / واحدة / واحد

In English and Arabic the singular indefinite noun / **اسم** whether specific or generic, implies numeral *one*. The singularity of the indefinite noun / **اسم** is sometimes emphasized by numeral *one* in English and واحدة / واحد in Arabic.

In English the indefinite article and *one* are mutually exclusive (3.2.2), whereas in Arabic the indefinite marker, when it is used with the singular indefinite , and واحدة / واحد are not (4.2.2).

5.23 Indefiniteness and Ambiguity

In English and Arabic the indefinite noun / **اسم** may be understood as specific or non-specific with certain verbs like *want* **يُحِثُّ عَنْ** and *look for* **يُحِثُّ عَنْ**.

5.2.4 The Semantic Contrast between Indefiniteness and Definiteness

The generic use of the indefinite and definite noun in English :

The all- representative singular indefinite noun refers to any member of the class as representative of the whole class. The plural indefinite noun refers to any member of the class as individuals and as a whole class, and it refers to things which exist or are not yet in existence. The non-specific generic refers to any member, no matter which.

The singular definite noun refers to the class itself as a whole. The plural definite noun refers to the class as a whole and as individuals and it refers only to things which exist. The generic non-count noun is expressed by zero article.

The generic use of the indefinite and definite اسم in Arabic:

The identity generic indefinite اسم refers to the absolute fact of the class or genus . It does not refer to individuals but to the fact of the genus or the class. The counts and non-counts are used in this sense (4.2.1).

The non- specific generic is used mainly in interrogative, conditional, and imperative sentences. It is also used with certain verbs in affirmative sentences as *يوجد* and *يكون* and it has the meaning of any member(s) not specific one(s).

The generic singular definite refers to the fact of the class or genus as represented in the mind by its typical member or specimen. It also refers to the class as individuals. The counts and non- counts are used in this sense.

The specific use of the indefinite and definite noun /اسم in English and Arabic:

The specific indefinite noun / اسم in English and Arabic refers to a particular thing(s) or quantity which is specific and definite in the speaker's mind. The specific definite noun / اسم in English and Arabic refers to a particular thing(s) or quantity which is specific and definite in the speaker's and hearer's minds.

Hawkins's theory of inclusiveness and exclusiveness applies to English and Arabic. The definite refers to all objects in the situation, whereas the indefinite refers to one, some, or any member/quantity of the object.

In spite of the semantic contrast between the indefinite and definite noun/ اسم translators do not convey the same meaning achieved by using the indefinite and the definite in the original text. This point can be proved by the following aayas (verses) of the Glorious Qura'n containing indefinite and definite items examined in a number of translations respectively. The translators depended on here are: Pickthal(1930/1959), Ali(1934/1996), Arberry(1955/1980), and Dawood (1956/1978) :

هَـ وَفَعَلُوا بِالَّذِينَ بَعَثَ فِيهِمُ

هـ آل عفا : من الآية 112

هَـ وَفَعَلُوا بِالَّذِينَ بَعَثَ فِيهِمُ

الطه: من الآية 61

‘...and slew the Prophets *wrongfully*...’

‘...and slew the Prophets *wrongfully*...’

(Pickthall:71, 38)

‘...and slew The Prophets in defiance of *right*;

‘...And slaying His Messengers Without *just cause*.’

(Ali:91,12)

‘...and slew the Prophets without *right*;

‘...and slain the Prophets *unrightfully*;

(Arberry 1:88,36)

‘...and slew His Prophets *unjustly*...

‘...and slew His Prophets *unjustly*...

(Dawood : 417,338)

It seems that there is no distinction between the indefinite and definite items in these translations and the translators give a general idea about the aayas .The distinction between حق and حق is worthy of consideration and it is mentioned in (4.2.4).

The use of the indefinite من and the definite في in the Glorious Qur'an is not arbitrary, and they invoke a number of contextual meanings. It has already been mentioned (4.3.1) that there are a number of pragmatic meanings of the indefinite ايسم such as 'greatness and elevation', 'humility and degradation', 'decreasing and scarcity', and 'increasing and abundance'. These meanings cannot always be found in the pragmatic use of the indefinite *soul* in English. Therefore translators cannot aspire to achieve success in their renderings. However, the inclusion of *every*, *any*, and *each* in some of the translations of a number of aayas reflect their understanding of the general or total

reference to all souls addressed or deserving what is said in the aayas. Consider the following translation specimens:

فَمَلَّوْا لَا عُظْمَ فَهَسْ شَرَوْا وَلَا عُجْ وَ إِلَّا مَ لَقْتُمْ عَذَابًا

يَس: 54

‘This day *no soul* is wronged in aught; nor are
ye requited aught save what ye used to do’

(Pickthall 317)

‘Then ,on that Day,
Not a soul will be
Wronged in the least ,
And ye shall but
Be repaid the meeds
Of your past Deeds.’

(Ali 684-85)

‘So today *no soul* shall be wronged anything, and
you shall not be
recompensed, except according to what you
have been doing.’

(Arberry II :147)

‘ On that day *no soul* shall suffer the least injustice you
shall be rewarded according only to your deeds’

(Dawood 176)

هَٰٓأَنفُسُ الْفَاسِقِينَ تَرْفَعُ أَعْمَالَهُمْ هَفَفًا مَّتَقَدِّمِينَ لِلَّهِ وَاللَّهُ وَٱلْغَنَمُ تُنْزِلُ مِنَ
السَّمَاءِ مَخِيبِينَ

هال فب: 56

‘Lest *any soul* should say : Alas, my grief
that I was unmindful of Allah ,
and I was indeed among the
scoffers !’

(Pickthall 333)

‘Lest *the soul* should (then)
Say : Ah!woe is me!’ –
In that I neglected
(My Duty) towards Allah ,
And was but among those
Who mocked’

(Ali 726)

‘Lest *any soul* should say , Alas for me,
in that I neglected my duty to God,
and was a scoffer,’

(Arberry II : 171)

‘lest *any man* should say:
‘Alas I have disobeyed Allah
and scoffed at His revelations .’

(Dawood 283)

يَا أَيُّهَا الَّذِينَ آمَنُوا اتَّقُوا اللَّهَ وَلْيُنْظُرْ نَفْسٌ مَّقَدَّمَتْلَعِدِ وَإِنَّا لِلَّهِ
 خَوْفٌ
 بِرَعْعُ مَوْ

الحشيف: 18

‘O ye who believe ! observe your duty to Allah. And let every *soul* look to that which sendeth on before for the morrow. And observe your duty to Allah! Lo! Allah is informed of what ye do.’
 (Pickthall 394)

‘O ye who believe !
 Fear Allah,
 And let *every soul* look
 To what (provision) he has
 Sent forth for the morrow.
 Yea,fear Allah:
 For Allah is well-acquainted
 With (all)that ye do’ (Ali 887)

‘O believers , fear God. Let *every soul* consider what it has forwarded for the morrow. And fear God; God is aware of the things you do’.

(Arberry II : 269)

‘Believers, have fear of Allah .Let *every soul* look to what it offers for the morrow. Fear Allah , for He is cognizant of all your actions.’

(Dawood 270)

هَوَإِذَا الْقُبُورُ بُعْثَتْ * عَمَّ تَفْهَسُ مَقَدَّمَتْ وَأَخَّرَتْ

هالطوط ا: 4-5

‘And [when] the sepulchres are overturned,
A *soul* will know what it hath sent before (it)
and what left behind’

(Pickthal :432)

‘And when the Graves
Are turned upside down_
(Then) shall *each soul* know
What it hath sent forward
And (what it hath) kept back.’

(Ali 985)

‘when the tombs are overthrown ,
Then *a soul* shall know its works, the former and the latter.’

(Arberry II :328)

‘when the graves are hurled ;*each soul* shall know
what it has done and what it has failed to do.’

(Dawood 16)

هَ وَالْأَرْضِ وَمَ حَ ه * وَفَسَّ وَمَسَّوَاهَ

(الشمس 6: 7)

‘And [by] the earth and Him who spread it
And [by] *a soul* and Him who perfected it’ (Pickthall 441)

By the Earth
 And its (wide) expanse;
 By *the Soul*,
 And the proportion and order
 Given to it;
 (Ali 1008)

‘and by the earth and That which extended it !
 By *the soul* , and That which shaped it’
 (Arberry II : 340)

‘by the earth and Him that spread it ; by
the soul and Him that moulded it’
 (Dawood 30)

Concerning the previous aayas, it is important to mention that the all-representative generic in English does not correspond to the identity generic in Arabic. The word *soul* in, for example, the ayya *وَفَسَّ وَهَمَّ سَمَوَاتِهَ* refers to something great and indescribable ; so, the generic indefinite is used to refer to this great thing as an absolute concept not as an individual in order to show the greatness of Allah. The context of the Swearing of Allah gives the word *صَمَمَس* more greatness, which is considered by the interpreters the main reason behind using the indefinite word.² The word *صَمَمَس* in the ayya

² *عَنْ طَبِيسٍ فَهِيَ سَائِلَةٌ شَسَاءٌ فَرَّوْلَهُ أَحَدُهُمَا يُعْنِي صَمَمَسَ* (758 / 4 : هـ أ [ت 538 هـ] الرخشي)
 خصة لمن طَبِيسٍ وَهِيَ عُنَسٌ أَدْنَى أَنْ قُلْ وَوَاحِدَةٌ لِمَنْ طَبِيسٍ وَالْثَنِي أَوْ فِي لَيْلٍ نَصَسَ هَذَا لِمَنْ خُفَّ عَمَلُ الْطَبِيسِ قَالَتْ لَوْ أَنَّ فِي
 قُلْ عَمَّ تَفَسَّ

عَمَّ تَفْهَسُ مَقَمَدَّتْ وَأَخْبَتْ refers to the soul as an individual and it has a sense of inclusive generalization (4.2.3).

It can be noticed that the generic indefinite اسم in Arabic assumes a special significance, whereas in English the indefinite noun does not have that significance.

The definite noun / لى in English and Arabic is almost similar. In both languages, the definite noun/ لى is either generic or specific.

5.3 Indefiniteness at the Pragmatic Level

In English and Arabic, the distinction between indefiniteness and definiteness depends heavily on pragmatic factors. The choice of the word whether indefinite or definite depends on the context, the information shared by the participants, common knowledge, familiarity and unfamiliarity, and the participant's knowledge of the world.

In Arabic, the context determines the choice of the اسم whether indefinite or definite, and adds meanings to the indefinite اسم (4.3.1). In English, the context determines the choice of the noun, but it does not add meanings to the indefinite noun.

In English and Arabic, the main environment in which the indefinite noun/ اسم is required is the first mention of the referent. Then, when the object is determined in the mind of the addressee, the definite noun/ لى is used.

The Pragmatic Sets of the Indefinite Noun / اسم

The main purpose of the specific indefinite noun/ اسم is to introduce an entity to the discourse. This entity either exists in the shared knowledge or not.

The pragmatic sets given by Hawkins, which are defined on the basis of shared knowledge and the situation, exist in English and Arabic. In both languages, the indefinite noun / اسم may refer to an object that can exist in one of these sets (3.3.3):

- 1- the larger situation set
- 2- the immediate situation set
- 3- the association set

It is important to say that the choice of the indefinite or definite is relative to the world in which the speaker/writer and addressee live

CHAPTER SIX

CONCLUSIONS, RECOMMENDATIONS, AND SUGGESTIONS FOR FURTHER STUDY

6.1 Conclusions

The study has arrived at the following conclusions:

A. At the syntactic level, the study has revealed the following points:

1. The articles in English are used to perform an obligatory syntactic function, and they have nothing to do with meaning. Accordingly, the first hypothesis, which is that the indefinite feature exists in the noun not in the article, is validated.
2. Arabic grammarians are interested in finding justifications for using the indefinite اسم in the subject position. These justifications are not very satisfactory. The indefinite اسم can be used in subject position if it is accompanied by specifying features that render it definite.
3. The use of the indefinite ل in Arabic is obligatory in certain positions in the sentence, and it is used to perform different functions, such as ال مفعول لـ and ال مفعول لـ which do not exist in English in forms corresponding to their forms in Arabic. This point partially validates the second hypothesis,

which is that the indefinite expression in Arabic is more active and powerful from the syntactic point of view than it is in English .

4. The indefinite article *a/an* and *zero article* in English, and the indefinite marker, *nunation*, in Arabic share some grammatical functions.
- B. At the semantic level , the study has revealed the following points which apply to English and Arabic:
1. The notion of reference overlaps with the conceptual meaning of the indefinite noun / اسم The indefinite noun / اسم has a conceptual meaning which exists in all objects referred to by the noun / اسم The context determines whether the referent is specific or generic.
 2. The function of the indefiniteness is internal to the language system in English and Arabic.
 3. This study will hopefully contribute to the belief in the existence of language universals.
- C. At the pragmatic level, the study has revealed the following points:
1. The context determines the choice of the noun/ لم, indefinite or definite, in English and Arabic.
 2. In Arabic, the context gives additional meanings to the indefinite اسم which also contributes to the validation of the second hypothesis.

6.2 Recommendations

Depending on the findings arrived at in this study, the following recommendations are considered useful for pedagogical purposes and also for researchers:

1. Indefiniteness is a concept that can be expressed with or without articles. Teachers should not concentrate on the syntactic aspect of indefiniteness, which is expressed by the articles. They have to consider the semantic and pragmatic aspects of the concept as well.
2. The difference in using the articles in English and Arabic should be taken into consideration in the pedagogical process. So, this study is considered helpful to the learners so that they overcome some of their problems in using the articles.
3. Since indefiniteness is the unmarked term in the system of language, the indefinite article in English, for its obligatory occurrence with the singular count noun, can be considered a bound form not a free form. This is suggested by Hall (1960: 98-99).

6.3 Suggestions for Further Study

1. The feature of definiteness is not treated in detail in this study, but it has been touched upon when needed. A similar contrastive study can be conducted about expressing definiteness.
2. A similar contrastive study can be done with other languages to investigate the concept of indefiniteness in these languages.

APPENDIX

I. Languages which have an article system:

A. Languages which have both indefinite and definite articles are:

1. English: Indo-European, Germanic

The indefinite article is *a/an* for the singular noun, and *zero article* or unstressed *some* for the plural and non- count nouns .

The definite article is *the* for count and non- count nouns.

<i>a</i> book ,	<i>an</i> apple
(<i>some</i>) books	(<i>some</i>) water
<i>the</i> book(s)	<i>the</i> water

2. French: Indo-European , Romance language

The indefinite article is *un* for the singular masculine , *une* for the singular feminine , and *des* for the indefinite plural .

<i>un</i> livre	a book
<i>une</i> pomme	an apple
<i>des</i> livres	books
<i>des</i> pommes	apples

The indefinite article also refers to just one member of a class:

Il ya *un* étudiant dans la salle.

There is *one* student in the class.

The definite article in French is *le* for the singular masculine, *la* for the singular feminine, *l'* before a vowel sound and *les* for plural :

<i>le</i> livre	the book
<i>la</i> banque	the bank
<i>l'</i> amor	the love
<i>les</i> livres	the books

The definite articles in French were formed from the Latin demonstrative *ille*. Furthermore, the articles in French can indicate different meanings:

<i>la</i> puce	the flea
<i>le</i> puce	the thumb

3. German: Indo-European, Germanic language

The indefinite articles in German are *ein/eines/einem/einen* for the singular masculine, *eine/einer/einer/eine* for the singular feminine, and *ein/eines/einem/ein* for the neuter . The choice of the article depends on the case of the noun: nominative, genitive, dative, and accusative. The following nouns are in the nominative case:

<i>ein</i> Mann	a man
<i>eine</i> Frau	a woman
<i>ein</i> Kind	a child

The indefinite plural is used without article:

<i>ein</i> Sohn	a son
Söhne	sons

The definite article is *der/ des /dem/ den* for the singular masculine ; *die/der/der/die* for the singular feminine ; and *das/des/dem/das/* for the neuter. The definite plural for all genders is *die/der/den/die* . The choice of the article depends also on the case of the noun. The following nouns are in the nominative case:

<i>der</i> Mann	the man
<i>die</i> Frau	the woman
<i>das</i> Kind	the child
<i>die</i> Männer	the men
<i>die</i> Frauen	the women
<i>die</i> Kinder	the children

4. Swedish: Indo-European, Germanic language

The article structures in the Scandinavian languages are similar. The indefinite article is a separate word preceding the noun, whereas the definite article is the same indefinite article

attached to the end of the noun as a suffix. The noun in Swedish is either common or neuter. The indefinite article is *en* with the common noun, and *ett* with the neuter. The definite article is *-en* with the common noun and *-ett* with the neuter :

<i>en</i> banan	a banana
bananen	the banana
<i>ett</i> bord	a table
bordett	the table

The article in this language may indicate different meanings depending on the article and the noun:

<i>en</i> plan	a plan
<i>ett</i> plan	a plane

5. Danish: Indo-European, Germanic language

The indefinite article is *en* with the common noun and *et* with neuter noun. The definite article is *-en* with the common noun and *-et* with neuter noun attached at the end of the noun as a suffix:

<i>en</i> bil	a car
bilen	the car
<i>et</i> hus	a house
huset	the house

6. Romanian: Indo-European, Romance language

It is the only Romance language that has the definite article as a suffix not as a separate word in front of the noun .The indefinite article in Romanian has different forms .It can be explained as follows:

O with singular,feminine,nominative

Un with singular,masculine,and neuter,
nominative

Niste with plural , nominative

Unei with singular,feminine ,dative

Unui with singular,masculine,neuter,dative

Unor with plural,dative

The definite article in Romanian is *-a* for the feminine and *-ul* for the masculine :

Cartea the book

Drumul the road

7. Dutch: Indo-European , west Germanic language

The indefinite article is *een*, and the definite article is *de* or *het* :

een book a book

de book the man

het kind the child

8. Italian: Indo – European, Italic language, Romance

The indefinite articles are *un /uno* for singular masculine, *una /un'* for singular feminine, *dei/ degli* for plural masculine and *delle* for plural feminine. The definite articles are *il / lo / l'/I /gli* for masculine and *la / l' / le* for feminine .

9. Spanish: Indo- European , Italic language, Romance

The indefinite article is *un* for singular masculine, *una* for singular feminine ,*unos* for plural masculine, and *unas* for feminine plural. The definite is *el* for singular masculine, *la* for feminine singular, *los* for plural masculine and *las* for plural feminine.

10.The Master Language (Magistri Linguio):

This is an artificial language .It is an attempt at the international auxiliary language (IAL) based on modified Latin vocabulary with English word order instead of the Latin declensional system. This language was published privately in 1907 by Stephen Chase Houghton, and it is reproduced today with the comments of Paul Bartlett, who is interested in the past IAL project.

The indefinite article in this language is *un* , and the definite article is *il* .Bartlett suggests that there is no need to use articles at all to express indefiniteness and definiteness .He thinks that marking for indefiniteness is almost never

necessary , and if it is needed to express definiteness ,demonstratives such as *isti(s)* or *ili(s)* can be used to mark it .

B. Languages with one article:

1. Arabic : Semitic language

The definite article is **ال** *al* which is equivalent to *the*, and the absence of this article indicates indefiniteness.

(الْمُنُورُ السَّامِوَاتِ وَالْأَرْضِ نَحْلُنُ وَرِهِكَ شَرِكًا إِيَّاهَا صَلَاحُ
لُحْمِنَا حَبِي زُجَاةٍ لَزُجَاةٍ هَكَذَا هَكَذَا كَلْبُ دُرِّي يُقَدِّمُ
شَجَرَةَ نَهَارِكَ نَيْبُونَ لَا شَرَفِيَّةٍ وَلَا غُلِيَّةٍ)

للمنور: نألي 35)

2. Greek: Indo-European

In Greek, the definite article is variable in form. It has about thirty different forms, and all these forms have the meaning of *the*. The absence of the definite article indicate indefiniteness .It is to be mentioned here that the translation from Greek to English is not easy because there is no indefinite article in Greek. So, the mechanism to express indefiniteness is different. The absence of the definite article indicates that the noun is indefinite. This may cause a problem in translation especially when it affects doctrinal understanding.

3. Galician: Indo- European, Italic ,Romance language

It has the definite article *as* :

as patacas the potatoes

When the definite article is preceded by *en* it patterns like bare noun phrase (indefinite) even though a definite article is present.

Està comendo *as* patacas

He/She is eating the potatoes

Està comendo *nas* patacas

Està comendo patacas

She is eating potatoes

4. Bulgarian: Indo European, Slavic language

The definite article is *ek*, and the absence of this article indicates indefiniteness:

ЧОВЕК	man, a man
ЧОВЕКЪТ	the man
добър човек	a good man
добрият човек	the good man

5. Soddo: Afro –Asiatic, Semitic, spoken in Ethiopia

Indefiniteness can be expressed by using the word *k'una*, which means *one*. The [definite article](#) is expressed by the suffix *-i*,

<i>goš</i>	a boy
<i>goš-i</i>	the boy
<i>ätit</i>	sister
<i>ätiti</i>	the sister

6. Welsh: Indo-European, Celtic

Welsh has no [indefinite article](#). The [definite article](#), which precedes the words it modifies and whose usage differs little with that of English, has the forms *y*, *yr*, and *'r*.

7. Mekhael:

It is an extinct language once spoken throughout northern Arakhel. There are two forms of the definite article, independent and affixed. The absence of the definite article indicates indefiniteness.

Prel aîl *sepízos!*

Bring [imper] I[dat] fish[ac,sg]!

Get me a fish! (any fish)

Prel aîl *esepízos!*

Bring [imper] I[dat] fish[ac,sg,masc,def]!

Get me the fish! (a specific fish)

II. Languages with no articles

1. Latin: Indo-European language

Indefiniteness, if necessary, can be expressed by *unus* and *una*, which means *one*, and definiteness by the demonstratives *ille* and *illa*.

2. Japanese

Indefiniteness can be expressed by the numeral *one* and definiteness by the demonstratives:

ko-no this

a no that

so-no that

There are certain markers used with the object, subject, and topic:

O object marker

ga subject marker

wa topic marker

There is a parallelism between *ga* and *wa* in Japanese and *a* and *the* in English in some contexts.

3. Chinese:

Indefiniteness and definiteness are expressed by the word order which also interacts with topicality and the distribution of the new and old information in the sentence.

Zhou-zi shang you shu

There is a book (books) on the table

Shu zai zhuo-zi shang

The book is on the table

4. Turkish: Altaic (disputed), Turkic

Indefiniteness is expressed by the numeral *one / bir* and definiteness, if necessary, by the demonstratives:

ev house, the house

bir ev a house, one house

The numeral *one/ bir* has a dual function: it indicates singularity and introduces a new individual to the discourse.

5. Czech:

Indefiniteness and definiteness are expressed by the discourse context, intonation, word order, and lexical quantifiers. There are four quantifiers used in this language :

Nejaky, jakys, eden, and jakykoliv

6. Finnish: Finno-Ugrian language

Finnish uses certain devices to express indefiniteness and definiteness. These devices are: pronouns, stress and intonation, and word order, as in the following example:

Henryllä on kirja

Henry has *a* book

Kirja on Henryllä

Henry has *the* book

7. Russian: Slavic language

Indefiniteness is expressed by word order:

Na stole lezhit karta

On table lies map

There is a map lying on the table

Karta lezhit na stole

Map lies on table

The map is lying on the table

8. Hindi: Indo- Iranian

On the language level nouns in Hindi are indefinite .In context, the zero article can signal both indefiniteness and definiteness. The numeral *one* also expresses indefiniteness.

9. Slovene or Slovenian: Slavic language

barka *a* barga

the barga

The numeral *one* / *ena* is used to express indefiniteness in certain cases.

ena barka a barge

One barge

Definiteness can be expressed by *that* ,as :

tista barka that (exact)barge

10. Rotuman: Western Fijian

All content words in Rotuman have two forms: complete and incomplete. The complete form is used to express definiteness and the incomplete is used to express indefiniteness, as:

epa the mats

eap some mats

11. Tamil : spoken in southern India

Indefiniteness is expressed by numeral *one* , whereas compound nouns are used as deictic pronouns (demonstratives)which are used to indicate close objects .

12. Acatec: a Mayan language

Indefiniteness is expressed by numeral *one* / *jun* which cannot be considered as indefinite article. Definiteness, on the other hand is expressed by a classifier .The most frequently classifiers in this language are : *ix*, *naj,te'*, *ixim*, *no'*, etc.The classifier can combine with the numeral *one* :

jun no' s ajin s-mis naj

a white cat of his.

13 .Garifuna:

Indefiniteness is expressed by the use of the marker *aba*, which can also mean the numeral *one* .It is used mainly before nominal presenting entities introduced in a discourse for the first time. Definiteness is expressed by many ways .One of these ways is by using one of the third person pronoun forms after the noun. The generic use of the noun is expressed without using articles.

14. American Sign Language ASL

ASL grammar has its own rules. It uses spatial locations, motion, and context. For example, If a signer signs a noun and then points to a certain spot, he (she) can refer back to that noun by pointing again to the same spot. Indefiniteness in ASL is expressed in different ways. The English sentence, for example, *I am a teacher* could be signed : *TEACHER ME* or *ME TEACHER* while nodding the head .

It is discovered recently that there is an indefinite particle in ASL (Colin et al. 2003). It is similar to the question sign WHAT, but they are different in terms of articulation, function, and distribution. The indefinite particle occurs in question constructions, and in sentences that involves some kind of indefiniteness. It appears in environment in which (wh) phrases are disallowed. In negative context the indefinite particle can play a role like *any* in English.

The indefinite particle may occur at the end of a sentence or with a determiner or a pronoun. In the first case, it indicates uncertainty of the proposition as a whole, whereas in the second, it indicates uncertainty of the noun phrase only. When this particle occurs with the indefinite determiner or pronoun, it may appear in different positions and sometimes in more than one position.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Abbott, Barbara. (2001). "Definiteness and Identification in English"
Pragmatics in 2000: Selected papers from the 7th international pragmatics conference, Vol.2. Antwerp:
 International pragmatics Association,1-15.Retrieved February
 14,2004,from <http://msu.edu/~abbott> .
- _____. (2004). "Definiteness and Indefiniteness". In Laurence R.
 Horn & Gregory Ward (Eds.), ***Handbook of pragmatics*** (122-
 149). Oxford: Blackwell. Retrieved May 19,2004,from
<http://msu.edu/~abbott>.
- Abboud, Peter F. & Ernest N. McCarns (Eds.).(1987). ***Elementry
 Modern Standard Arabic Pronunciation and Writing*** .Part
 1.Cambridge:Cambridge University Press.
- Ali,Abduallah Yousif Ali, trans.(1934/1996). ***An English
 Interpretation of The Holy Qura'n***. India : Bilal Books.
- Alston, William p. (1964). ***Philosophy of Language***. Englewood
 Cliffs,N.J.: Prentice Hall.
- Alyéshmerni, Mansoor & Paul Taubr.(1979).***Working with Aspects
 of Language***. Chicago : Harcourt, Brace and Word, inc.
- Arberry, A. J., trans. (1955 /1980).***The Koran Interpreted*** .London :
 George Allen & Unwin.
- Aziz, Yowell Y. (1998). ***Topics in Translation***. Benghazi:
 Garyounis University.
- Best , Wilfred D. (1963/1983).***The Students' Companion*** .London:
 Collins Sons & Company.

- Bloomfield, Leonard .(1933/1963) . *Language*. New York: Holt, Rinehart and Winston.
- Brown, Gillian & George Yule. (1983/1987) .*Discourse Analysis*. Cambridge: Cambridge university Press.
- Chafe,W.(1968). *English Noun Inflection and Related Matters from a Generative Semantic Point of View* (POLA Report 2-6). Berkely:University of California.
- Chomsky,N. (1965). *Aspects of the Theory of Syntax*. Cambridge: MIT Press.
- Christophersen, Paul.(1939).*The Articles.: A Study of their Theory and Use in English*. Copenhagen: Munksgaard.
- Colins, Frances, Paul Hagstorm, and Carol Neidle. (2003). “A Particle of Indefiniteness in American Sign Language” *Linguistic Discovery*. 2.1 . Retrieved May 19, 2004, from [http:// journals. Dartmouth.edu/webobjibin/](http://journals.Dartmouth.edu/webobjibin/)
- Crystal, David.(1997/1998). *A Dictionary of Linguistic and Phonetics*. Oxford: Blackwell.
- Dawood, N.J., trans.(1978). *The Koran*. Harmondsworth: Penguin Books.
- Dik Simo C. (1978/1979). *Functional Grammar*. Amsterdam: North Holland Publishing Company.
- Du Bois , J. W. (1980). “Beyond Definiteness : the Trace of Identity in Discourse”. In W. Chafe (Ed.) , *The Pear Stories : Cognitive, Cultural, and Linguistic Aspects of Narrative Production* (203-274).Noorwood, NJ: Ablex.
- Frank, Marcella. (1972). *Modern English* .Part 1 , *Parts of Speech*. Englewood Cliffs.N.J. : Prentice –Hall .

- Fries, C.C. (1952). *The Structure of English*. London : Longmans .
- Gramley, Stephan & Kurt_Mich Pätzold .(1992). *A Survey of Modern English* . London : Routledge.
- Hall, Robert A., Jr. (1960). *Linguistics and your Language*. New York : Anchor Books. Revised edition of *Leave Your Language Alone !* by the Linguistic Press in 1950.
- Halliday, M. A. K. & Ruqaiya Hasan.(1976/1987). *Cohesin in English* . London : Longman.
- Harris, J. (1751/1968). *Hermes: or A Philosophical Inquiry concerning Language and Universal Grammar*. Menston: Scolar Press.
- Hartmann, R. R. K. & F.C. Stork . (1973). *Dictionary of Language and Linguistics*. London: Applied Science Publishers.
- Hawkins, John A.(1978). *Definiteness and Indefiniteness: A study in Reference and Grammaticality Prediction*. London: Croom Helm.
- Haywood, J. A. & H. M. Nahmad . (1965). *A New Arabic Grammar of the Written Language*. London : The Camelot Press.
- Henning, Jeffrey.(2000). “Magistri Linguio : A Latin – Based IAL”. Retrieved April 8, 2005, from <http://langmaker.com/featured/magistrilinguio.htm>.
- Hurford, James R. & Brendan Heasley.(1983/1996). *Semantics: a Coursebook* .Cambridge: Cambridge university Press.
- Ihalainen, Ossi. (1980). “Some Remarks on Word Order and Definiteness in Finnish and English” . *Papers and Studies in Contrastive Linguistics* ,11: 59-67.
- Jacobs, Roderick A. (1995). *English Syntax*. Oxford : Oxford University Press .

Jespersen, Otto .(1933/1976). *Essentials of English Grammar*.
London: George Allen &Unwin.

_____. (1949/1954). *A Modern Grammar on Historical Principles*. Vol. VII. London: George Allen & Unwin .

al-Johani, Maneh Hammad .(1984) . *English and Arabic Articles :A Contrastive Analysis in Definiteness and Indefiniteness* .Diss. Indiana University .(1982).Michigan: University Microfilms.

Joodi,Abdul Majeed Hameed .(1978) . “A Contrastive Analysis of the Article System in Standard English and Modern Arabic.” Master’s Thesis, University of Baghdad .

Leech, Geoffery.(1974/1978).*Semantics* .Harmondsworth : Penguin Books.

Leech, Geoffery & Jan Svartivk .(1994 /1996). *A communicative Grammar of English* . London : Longman.

Master, Peter.(1987). “Generic *The* in Scientific American.” *English for specific Purposes* . 6.3: 165-185.

Murphy, Raymond. (1994/1999). *English Grammar in Use*
Cambridge: Cambridge university Press.

The Oxford English Dictionary .(1989), 2nd ed. .Oxford :Clarendon Press.

Oxford Advanced Learner’s Dictionary .(2000).Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Palmer, Harold E. & F. G. Blandford .(1969) . *A Grammar of Spoken English*. Cambridge : W. Heffer & Sons LTD.

Palmer, F.R. (1981) . *Semantics* . Cambridge: Cambridge University Press .

_____. (1984/1985).*Grammar*.Harmondsworth:Penguin books.

- Peñalosa, Fernando . (1987). “Major Syntactic Structures of Acatec”
International Journal of American Linguistics . 53.3 :281-311.
- Pickthal, M. trans. (1953/1959). *The Meaning of the Glorious Koran* London: George & Unwin.
- Postal, P. M. (1966). “On So-called ‘ Pronouns’ in English”. *19th Monograph on Language and Linguistics* (201-224). Washington, DC: Georgetown University Institute of Languages and Linguistics.
- Praninskas, Jean.(1975) . *Rapid Review of English Grammar*. New Jersey: Printice-Hall.
- Prince, E. F. (1981) .“ Toward a Taxonomy of Given-New Information” in P. Cole (Ed.) *Radical Pragmatics*. New York: Academic Press.
- Pyles, Thomas & John Alego. (1964/1971). *The Origins and Developments of English Language*. New York : Harcourt Brace Jovanovich.
- Quirk, R., S. Greenbaum, G. Leech , and J. Svartvic .(1972). *A Grammar of Contemporary English* . London : Longman.
- _____. (1985/1987). *A Comprehensive Grammar of the English Language*. London: Longman.
- Russell, Bertrand .(1905). “On Denoting”, *Mind* 14 : 479-493.
- Snape, Neal. (2002). “Variability in the Use of the English Article System by Japanese Learners of English.” Retrieved July 14,2004 , from [http:// geocities.com /](http://geocities.com/).
- Stageberg, Norman C. (1971). *An Introductory English Grammar*. New York: Holt , Rinehart andWinston.
- Strang, Barbara M. H. (1970/1974). *A History of English*. Harper & Row Publishers.

Swan, Michael. (1995/2003). *Practical English Usage*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Tritton, A.S. (1943/1970). *Arabic*. London: Teach Yourself Books.

Webster's Third New International Dictionary of the English Language. (1976). Vol.III. Chicago: Encyclopaedia Britannica, Inc.

Wikipedia contributors. (2001). *Wikipedia , the free encyclopedia*. Retrieved 27 February 2005 from http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/grammatical_article.

Wright, W. (1896/1955). *A Grammar of the Arabic Language* Vol.1. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Yule, George. (1985/1988). *The Study of Language*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Zandvoort, R. W. (1962/1963). *A Handbook of English Grammar*. London: Longmans.

Ziadeh, Farhat J., & R. Bayly Winder. (1957). *An Introduction to Modern Arabic*. Princeton: Princeton University Press.

المصطلح العربي

لقد قرآن الكريم

بلن بلقيال حيد، عز الدين بن عبد الحفيظ بن علي الحيد (656 هـ .) 1965 (شرح نهر
ال غة قال جزء لك الشب حقيق محمبأول ليل لبراهيم . مصر: دار إلهة الكتب .

بلن بن يهوا الفتحة عثمان ، 392 هـ .) 1954 (ل فاص فال جزء أل ولت حقي قبلي رايم
صطفى وعبد الله ا هين ال جزء أل أول . مصر: مطبعه طفى لليلي ال حلي .

_____ .) 1956 (ل فاص . ال جزء لك الشب حقيق محمبأول ليل لبراهيم . مصر: دار
ال كتب العربي .

بلن ان لم كلي ، كمل ال بن عبد الواحي بن عبد الواسع (651 هـ .) 1964 (تالي رفر
عبد الواسع لم غة إلهة قال لرح ب حقيق باحيد مطلي وخ و د ديحي
ال حثي بغداد : مطبعة ال علي .

بلن اليراسجأ بكوب اليرج النحوي ، 316 هـ .) 1965 (المجز فالن ورو ال جزء
ال ليل حقيق مصطفى الواسع ويني ال دامرجي يي رو : م ية بلقي دران
لل طبعة و النير .

_____ .) 1973 (ل صوف فالن ورو ل جزء أل ولت حقيق دبيل حيد في ال فليتي .
ال جف الأشرف بطبعة النعمان .

بيلين عقي لبالي ال يني بن عبد الله ، 769 هـ .) 1956 (شرح فلة رة ار ال رال جي جزء
أل أول . مصر: مطبعة محمبأول .

بلن لك ، جم ال الين محمبأول عبد الشبن محمبأول ، 672 هـ .) 1930 (فلة ية لب ال
ف لال وول لاص حفالق إلهة الكتب المصري .

_____ .) 1967 (بس هي الة وائي هو تكيل لم اص لب حقيق محمبأول لبركا .
ال قاهرة : وا الكاخ ال عيل لطاعة .

بلن في طور ملبلي ضويل جي الاليني نم حمي هي في ذلك يري الال، تويي، لكهي، وى 643هـ-بي
تاريخ (. لسالاع حبال جزء الوبلع بيرو : دار صادر .

بلري عيش ، مو، قال بين بلن علي الممتو، وى 643هـ . ب تاريخ (. شحال تقي لال جزء
الول والخال مس. مصر : الطباعة البيرية .

ال بترباي ، ر ي لي في نم حمي في الال حيين، لكهي، وى 686هـ-بي تاريخ (شرح
لكافي قف لنوو. ال جزء الثاني بيبي : دار الكتب العامة .

ال مدي ، ي فال في في الال حيين ل عي، لكهي، وى 631 هـ- . (1914) (الكرا فرا صرف
ال حكا . ال جزء الأول . مصر : مطبعة المعارف .

ال صاري ابن بيبي الممتو، وى 761 هـ- . (1953) (شرح شذورال ذهب، ي معر، فك ي
ال عرخت حقيق محمد محي الوعبد الحميد . مصر بطبعة الي عادة .

_____ . (1959) (شرح رعرح لردا ولال صرد لك حقيقي قم حمي في لي في نبي
ال حميد . مصر بطبعة الي عادة .

_____ . (1977) (شرح للموة ليدريفة غلال غلق عحاية . ال جزء الأول . درا
تو حقيق د . هادين اربغداد : طبعة الجامعة .

_____ . (1980) (وضح الال الالقية اا ال ال جزء الأول . لبنان : دار
اللقاة الجديدة .

بدوي ، احمد . (1950) . ال غة ال ح . مصر الال فجلة .

برجيترا ر ، ج . (1982) (لت عور لان وو ل غلق عحاية : مح ايرال ق لي، الال عاية
الصبي في يينة 1929 . ايراج وضيبي حيق عليق د . وضيبي انبي دليوي واخ .
القامة : مكتبة الالنجي .

بيير ، دكتور كمال محمد ، (1975) . دورال كلم قف اللغة . مصر : مكتبة الوياخ .

لطليوي ، بلي محمد عبد الله بن محمد ، التو، وى 521 هـ . (1980) . الولل ف الال
لخلرل ررتراب الال ل ب حقيق ي عي دال كيريم ي عوديب غي دادان الرشبيد
للنير .

بالكياء ، تقي ورم حمي بيبي الالطي ب . (1982) . صرعة جرواد وج هودولل غورق غي داد
دار الال لالنير .

_____ (د. ب. يتاي ايخ). هردال دورال فر شرح جردا ال آوارال جيزه الأول و
الثلي بيرو : دل المعر، قل طباعة و النير .

الطر ي بيبي ال فضيل ال حيين، لتيو، 548 (ب. يتاي ايخ). لمرال بيرافر
قسي ح الح. ال جزء ال علر بيرو : دار احل علترات العبي .

ال عي زاوي، ثلثي ون عي قرح ييم . (1986). "ال لحيه العبي عي يي ال دراييل ال لغوي
ال حيه". دراراتف اللغه/ تاب ال مورد. ال عدد 1 بغداد .

ال غييني، البي خ صي طفى . (1966). ج ا إل دروسل ع حايه. ال جزء الأول يي رو :
الملة ال عصرية .

ال قال، بلوعلي ا معي لبلقا م، لتيو، 356 (م. 1626). ال ال. ال جزء الأول.
الوقادار ال كتب المصرية .

لشرين، دكتور عبالفتاح. (1983). لمعان ف ضوء ال بالرح صير: الملتية
الموية .

البيرد يلي البعي امحمدي نبيزي، لتيو، 285 (ب. يتاي ايخ). الم تاربال جيزه
الرباع بتخي محمد عدال خلق مخزيمه. لقامره: الملة للبرى.

المخزومي، دكتور مادي . (1964) ف لانوولاحا : ن نو تجي بيرو : الملة
ال عصرية .

صي طفى، ابراهيم . (1959). مخياعلانوو. مصر : مطبعة لجة التليف .

نور الدين، عصلي. (2003). وضحات فف وال لغه. لبنان : وا ال لتبلى عليية .

الم عبد الله . (1129 هـ) حاشية تعي للمي زا .، بع، يدار الخ ،ة .

المس تخلص

التك زهف م ل ع ب ا الك ل ش ة الع ب ة ع اى الش ا الود ك ر ش ل ع ف
ج س ا ل ت و ش ر ب ه ا س ر ل ا ت و ا ش ب ا ي ب م ا ة ج س ا . اى الت ك ا ز الت ع ز ا ف ت ع ل ل ف ا
ل ا ح م ق ب و ع ف ة ل و خ ا ط ا و ا ل و ل ط ن ا ا ل ش ا ا ل و ا ك ر ل ا ع ف ا ل و خ ا ط ا ل ك ا ي ل ا
ع ف ا ل و ل ن ا ل ع ز ف .

التك ز (م ل ع ب ا) ط ا ز ت (ا ل ل ت ن ب ك ع ز ب ل ب س ا ل) ح ا ة ال ب ت و ال و ب ل ل
ب ا ه ا ل ا ل ت ي خ ص ل ل س ا ق ل و ل ط ن ل و خ ا ط ل ا ل ا ل ا ل ر ل ا ت ا ل ا ن ل ل ت ك ا ز
ه ا ل ا ح ا ل ل ح ا ل ال ل ة ا ل ت ال ة (ذ ا ل ا ح) ال و س ا ت ا (ب ق ز ب ة ا ف ت ا ل ح ا
ف و ب ا ل ل س ت ع ا ح ا ب ي ا خ ز .

ا ل ت ل ح ا ل ا ل ط ش ب ي ز ل ش ب ل ل ل و ك ل ل ت ك ز ل ش ه ا ل ل ز ك ش ب ل ل ل ف م ف س
ب ل ل ك ي ع ل ل ت ك ز ط ا ز ت ح ا ف م ا ط ب ع ا ا ب ل ل ع ا م ا ل ل ل ا ة ل ل ا ل ل ا ل ل ا
ش ا ل ب ز ف ل ب ك ع ب ت ب ي الت ك ز .

ا م ل ال ل غ ة ل ع ب م ل ل ع ز ب ل ل ت ك ز ك ي ب ش ك ال ل ن ا ل ا ه ا ز و ا م ل ل و ك
ال ت ع ز ف ، ه ع ل ت م . ال ت م ي ه ر ا ع خ ا ب ا ي ال ح ا ل ل ع ا ز ن ل ل ك ا ي ا ل ر ا س ا
ي ع ب ه ي ك ز ا ط ل ف ه م ف ت ي ع و ب ل ل ع ال ل ن ل و ن .

ل ل ت و ل ت خ ا ال ع و ف ا ذ ا ال ح ت ب ل ل م م ة ب ا ي م ف ا م الت ك ا ز ب ا ز
ه ج ش ب ي الت ك ز ف ا ح ا ت ت ي ل غ م ف ا ط ب ا ل ل ف ل ع ب ا ز ب ل ا ا ل ل ل غ ا .
ب ل ل ر ا ت ا ل ر ا س ا ه ا ع الت ك ا ف ا ل ل ل ع ا ل ا ل ل ش ا ة ال ع ب ا ة ل ل ا ل ا ح
ا ل ل ل ا ل ال ل ة ا ل ت ال ة ي ع ا ذ ل ل ا م ا ر ل ل ا ل ا ل ل ل ل ا ل ل ا ل ل ا ل ل
ال ل ل ع ل ل ب ك ع ز ب ي الت ك ا ز . ه ي ا ل ت ال ر ل ل ا ل ل ا ل ع ا ل ل ل ك ا ز ه ج ا ف ا ل ل غ ا
ا ل ل ش ف (ه ا س و نoun) ا ل و ل ب ال م ز ل س ر ف ال ع ب ة (ا م ا ل و ل ف ا ل ل غ ا
ا ل ل ش م ا ل ط ت ا ح ه م ط ا ل ل ح و ه ع ا ل ت ك ز . ل ل ل ل ت ال ر ل ل ا ل ل ا ل ل
ا ل ل ت ك ز ف ال ل غ ا ا ل ل ط ش ة ال ع ب ة ب ش ر ب م ز ب ا م ل ل ا ل ا ل ا ل ا ل ل ا ل ا
ل ا ح ا ة ل ل ا ل ا ل ا ل ل ل ك ا ل ا ل ا ل ع ب ا ل ا ل و ر ف ع ا ل ل م ا ل ل ل ا ل ا ل ا
ا ل ل ش ة .

هجت ب غداد

النعير عن الشكير

في

ل الخ لال نك لسي بي لك و عرب بيت
در ملت هق ارنت

رسل تهق دعت

إل هجل س ليلت الأب في جاع تب غداد
جسءا هخ طلب اثري لش هادة ال و ج سخي ر
ف لي لا غك لالي سي يتوع لال لا غت

ح ق د ه جب ه

إن عام إس لم ع يل طاهر

بلش راف

للت اذ لك تورك اظم حيدر ال ج وادي