Rescuers at risk: Posttraumatic stress symptoms differ among police officers, fire fighters, ambulance personnel, and emergency and psychiatric nurses

Leila M. Soravia^{1*°}, PhD, Simon Schwab^{2,3°}, PhD, Sebastian Walther¹, MD, Thomas Müller^{1,4}, MD

[°] shared first authorship

¹ Translational Research Center, University Hospital of Psychiatry, University of Bern, Bolligenstrasse 111, 3000 Bern, Switzerland

² Center for Reproducible Science (CRS), University of Zurich, Hirschengraben 84, 8001 Zürich, Switzerland.

³ Epidemiology, Biostatistics and Prevention Institute (EPBI), University of Zurich, Hirschengraben 84, 8001 Zürich, Switzerland.

⁴ Private Clinic Meiringen, 3860 Meiringen, Switzerland

*** Correspondence:**

Leila Maria Soravia

leila.soravia@upd.unibe.ch

Keywords: PTSD, rescue workers, coping, risk factors, wellbeing

15 **Abstract**

16 Emergency personnel and rescue workers may be at a risk of posttraumatic stress symptoms (PTSS) due to
17 exposure to trauma and work-related stressors. Salient predictors for the development of PTSS among rescue
18 workers have been identified; however, little is known about how these predictors differ among professions
19 requiring repeated engagement in emergencies. The present cross-sectional survey aimed to examine how these
20 variables influence PTSS, well-being, and suicidal ideation across different professions of rescue workers and
21 emergency personnel. In this anonymous online study, data from 1,002 rescue workers and emergency personnel
22 in the canton Bern, Switzerland, were collected in the year 2015: 499 police officers, 239 firefighters, 97
23 ambulance personnel, and 85 emergency and 82 psychiatric nurses. PTSS, coping strategies, well-being, suicidal
24 ideation, previously experienced and work-related trauma, and self-efficacy were measured and analyzed using
25 multiple regression and structural equation modeling (SEM). The prevalence of suspected posttraumatic stress
26 disorder varied across the professions ($F_{4, 994} = 6.21, P < 0.001$), ranged from 8% (firefighters) to 22%
27 (psychiatric nurses), and was associated with psychological strain and suicidal ideation. The SEM showed that
28 dysfunctional coping strategies, self-efficacy, previously experienced and work-related trauma, years on the job,
29 and the female sex explained between 37% and 78% of PTSS and that PTSS itself explained between 48% and
30 68% of the psychological strain experienced in the different professions. Independent of the profession,
31 dysfunctional coping such as alcohol use, avoidance, and distraction, as well as work-related trauma were the
32 most robust predictors of PTSS development. In agreement with prior research, emergency personnel and
33 rescuers exhibited enhanced prevalence of PTSS and suspected PTSD, leading to significant psychological strain
34 and suicidal ideation. However, risk factors varied across the professions. Thus, the development of profession-
35 specific trainings to improve self-efficacy and coping with work-related stressors to reduce PTSS, and enhance
36 quality of life, is urgently needed for individuals in such high-risk professions.

37 ClinicalTrials.gov Nr. NCT03842553

1. INTRODUCTION

Employees of rescue and emergency services are frequently subjected to traumatic experiences, and as the risk of posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD) increases with the number of traumatic events experienced, professionals involved in rescue are at high risk of PTSD [1, 2]. Rescue workers feature a higher prevalence of PTSD than does the general population [1, 3]. However, PTSD prevalence across different occupational groups, including firefighters, police officers, ambulance personnel, and other rescue teams varies as widely as from 0% to 46% [4, 5]. Profession-specific analyses support previous findings that ambulance personnel exhibit higher prevalence rates of PTSD than do firefighters, rescue teams, and police officers [1, 6]. The PTSD prevalence of similar professions, such as emergency and psychiatric nurses, have a similarly high risk of trauma exposure [7].

Several meta-analyses have identified critical risk factors across professions: sex, previous trauma, number of work-related traumatic events, lack of social support, and dysfunctional coping strategies [8, 9]. On the other hand, resilience, a sense of coherence, and self-efficacy can be protective factors [3, 9-12]. While some variables cannot be changed, training and coaching can actively influence coping strategies and self-efficacy. Coping encompasses cognitive and behavioral strategies employed by an individual to manage a stressful situation and the attendant negative emotions and can thus determine whether a stressful situation leads to the development of posttraumatic stress symptoms (PTSS) and depression or to the continuation of a normal or even a better life [13-15].

Hence, to inform effective interventions, much research has focused on elucidating factors influencing the development of PTSD symptoms among rescue workers [16]; special attention was given to those involved in disasters (i.e., earthquakes, floods, war, terror attacks, or airline disasters [5, 17-21]) or professionals who are repeatedly confronted with traumatic events during work, such as emergency personnel, ambulance personnel, and police officers. Such investigations showed that the most salient predictors of PTSD symptoms in rescue workers include previous work-unrelated traumas, number of work-related traumatic events, the female sex, self-efficacy, and coping strategies. However, little is known concerning how the salience of these predictors varies across professions. Through the present cross-sectional survey, we aimed to examine how these risk factors are related to PTSS and wellbeing across different professions of rescue workers using regression and structural equation modeling. We expected high self-efficacy and problem-focused coping strategies to be protective factors; and dysfunctional coping strategies, such as substance use and avoidance behavior, to be risk factors for the development of PTSS across all professions. We further hypothesize that the prevalence of suspected PTSD varies across the investigated professions, but that independent of the profession PTSS are associated with reduced well-being and suicidal ideation.

2. MATERIALS and METHODS

2.1. Participants

In the year 2015 we collected data from 1002 rescue workers in the canton Bern, Switzerland. The participation in the anonymous online study was voluntary and promoted by the department heads at the places of work corresponding to the studied professions. The study was deemed exempt from human subjects review as the survey was voluntary and anonymous and participants gave consent to use the data with their participation. The sample consisted of 499 (18% female) police officers from the cantonal police forces, 239 (4% female) firefighters from the professional fire service, 97 (43% female) ambulance personnel, 85 (86% female) emergency staff of the University Hospital of Bern, and 82 (68% female) qualified staff of two acute care wards of the University Hospital of Psychiatry in Bern. Of all participants, 61% were married or in a stable relationship, 31% were single, and 8% were divorced. The mean age of the study subjects was 39.6 (SD, 9.6; range, 21-66). Participants reported an average job experience of 14.7 years (SD, 9.7). Missing data was in the following variables: self-efficacy 1.4%, BSI PSDI 11.7% (only used to describe sample in Table 1) and suicidal ideation 0.6%.

2.2. Materials

Participants completed a 20-minute online questionnaire regarding socio-demographic information, number of traumatic events before and during the course of their work, years on the job, coping with stressful and traumatic events, the burden of stressful job-related circumstances, self-efficacy, general psychological strain, posttraumatic stress symptoms, suicidal ideation, and well-being.

Self-efficacy was measured using the General Perceived Self-Efficacy Scale [22], a 10-item self-report instrument for personality diagnostics based on Banduras's concept of perceived self-efficacy that measures the general optimistic competence expectation [23].

The usefulness of different *Coping Strategies* was rated on 5-point Likert scale, ranging from a score of 1, indicating "not helpful"; to 5, "very helpful." According to the operationalization of Coolidge [24], coping strategies were divided into three main subscales: I) *problem-focused coping strategies*, which was comprised of the subscales of "instrumental support" (getting help and advice from psychologic professionals) and "active job coping" (debriefing with operation team, supervisor, and work colleagues); II) *dysfunctional coping strategies*, which included "substance use" (alcohol or medication), "avoidance" (avoidance of situations and emotions associated with the stressful/traumatic situation), and "self-distraction" (turning to work or other activities to take the mind off the stressful situation); III) *emotion-focused coping strategy* (humor, getting emotional support from close friends, thinking about the positive aspects of the job).

The burden of *stressful job-related circumstances*, such as dealing with *situations including people* (aggressive and violent people, dealing with deaths or suicide, threats, dealing with relatives, involvement of children) and *job-related conditions* (incorrect or wrong information about the emergency situation, shift work, time pressure). Participants stated if they had ever experienced a presented situation, and if so, whether the situation caused a light or heavy stress burden.

Posttraumatic stress symptoms were assessed with the German Version of the Post Traumatic Symptom Scale (PTSS-10) [25, 26], a self-rating scale used in screening and follow-up studies on catastrophe that measures the most common posttraumatic symptoms (sleep disorders, nightmares, fear of reminders, etc.) [27, 28]. Attained values above 12.5 were associated with a suspected diagnosis of PTSD [29].

Mental wellbeing was assessed using the German version of the shortest General Health Questionnaire 12 (GHQ-12) [30, 31]: originally a 60-item self-rating measurement developed to measure current mental wellbeing (consisting of two subscales: psychological and physiological wellbeing), it is widely used to detect psychological strain in the general population.

Psychological distress and psychiatric disorders were assessed with the German Version of the Brief Symptom Inventory (BSI). The 53-item self-report questionnaire measures symptoms of general psychopathology. Relevant to the current study are the three global scales that evaluate global psychological distress: the Global Severity Index (GSI), the Positive Distress Index (PSDI), and the Positive Symptom Total (PST). Additionally, participants were asked if they had *suicidal ideation* in the 12 months prior to the questionnaire.

2.3. Statistical analyses

Differences in PTSS scores among groups were tested with a one-way ANCOVA, with work experience, age, and sex as covariates. Proportions of participants with a suspected diagnosis of PTSD were compared with a 5-sample test for equality of proportions without continuity correction. For multiple regression, we investigated multicollinearity of the regressors beforehand, which all had a variance inflation factor (VIF) of <4; a cutoff of 5 is typically suggested [32]. We used multiple regression as an exploratory approach to subset relevant variables that featured a significant relationship with PTSS in order to create a global causal model of PTSS symptoms, which could then be tested with structural equation modeling (SEM) for each group; the final model tested is shown in Figure 1. Two latent variables were considered in our model: "dysfunctional coping" has been regressed on "substance use", "avoidance" and "self-distraction", and "psychological strain" on the two global scales of the BSCL (GSI, PST) and two GHQ (psychological and physiological wellbeing) measures. To assess model fit, the degree to which SEM fit the sample data, we used the chi-square test, the R^2 , the comparative fit index (CFI), the Tucker-Lewis index (TLI), and the root mean square error of approximation (RMSEA). All analyses were performed with R v4.0.2[33]. For structural equation modeling, we used lavaan v.0.6-7 [34].

2.4. Software and data availability

Analysis pipeline to fully reproduce the results, tables, and figures of this paper are available at osf.io/c7xj2. A subset (replication dataset) of the raw data to reproduce the main results of this paper will be online during or after publication.

3. RESULTS

3.1. Posttraumatic symptoms among the considered professions

The comparison of PTSS scores among the occupational groups demonstrated that individuals working as emergency-room staff or in psychiatry services featured the highest PTSS (median = 7 for both), while working at the fire service was associated with the lowest PTSS (median = 3); the difference between groups was significant ($F_{4,994} = 6.21, p < 0.0001$). The covariate sex was also significant; females were associated with an increase in PTSS ($F_{1,994} = 10.7, p = 0.001$), while age and years of work experience were non-significant (age: $F_{1,994} = 2.63, p = 0.11$; years of work experience: $F_{1,944} = 1.41, p = 0.24$). The five occupational groups differed significantly regarding the prevalence of suspected PTSD: individuals employed in emergency (18%) or psychiatry services (22%) had the highest proportions of suspected PTSD, followed next by those in ambulance (15%) and police services (15%), and lastly by individuals in the fire service (8%) ($X^2 = 14.0, df = 4, p = 0.007$; Table 1). The occurrence of suicidal ideation was also significantly different among groups ($X^2 = 20.0, df = 4, p = 0.0005$): psychiatric nurses exhibited the highest rates followed by those employed in ambulance and police services (Table 1). Further, the burden of *stressful job-related circumstances*, such as dealing with *situations including people* (e.g. aggressive and violent people, dealing with deaths or suicide) ($F_{4,997} = 6.59, p < 0.0001$) and *work-related conditions* (e.g. shift work, time pressure) ($F_{4,997} = 14.7, p < 0.0001$) significantly differed among occupational groups as (Figure 1).

3.2. Regression model

We created a multiple regression model to find variables strongly associated with PTSS ($F_{20,961} = 23.9; p < 0.0001$) and explained 32% of the variance of PTSS (adjusted R^2). Dysfunctional coping (substance use, avoidance, self-distraction) and suicidal ideation exhibited the strongest relationship (Table 2). Furthermore, the female sex, work experience in years, work-related trauma, previous work-unrelated trauma, and the professions involving psychiatry and emergency were positively associated with PTSS. However, self-efficacy was only protective for the psychiatric and emergency staff (significant interaction), suggesting a negative association with PTSS (slope coefficients, associated t -scores, and p -values are shown in Table 2).

In a second model, we also included two additional variables regarding the burden of stressful job-related circumstances and compared the two model fits with an ANOVA ($F_{10,951} = 0.92, p = 0.51$). The models did not differ significantly, and the Akaike Information Criterion (AIC) increased after adding these two variables (AIC: 5659; larger model: 5669). These findings indicated the superiority of the original, simpler model that lacked the additional variables.

3.3. Structural Equation Model

We fitted a causal model based on the significant regressors from the previous analysis to explain PTSS and psychological strain (Figure 2; for detailed results of chi-square and fit indices, see supplements S1 Text). This model explained 37%–78% of the variance (R^2) of PTSS for the different groups and 48%–68% of the variance of psychological strain (Table 3).

We found that dysfunctional coping featured significant positive-paths coefficients in all groups (Table 3). For active coping, we found a significant but small effect in relation to the ambulance service. Work-related trauma significantly predicted symptoms in all groups except psychiatry. Years of work experience was associated with a PTSS-symptom increase for emergency and psychiatric nurses. PTSS demonstrated a significant influence on psychological strain across all groups. High levels of self-efficacy were negatively correlated with PTSS among psychiatric and emergency staff, while they were positively correlated with PTSS in the ambulance, police, and fire services. The female sex had a significant positive association with PTSS symptoms only for the emergency and ambulance services (factor loadings of the latent variables are shown in Table 4).

4. DISCUSSION

Rescue workers are regularly engaged in traumatic events and are at high risk of PTSS. Though rescue workers of different professions are often engaged in the same type of emergency, they have different tasks and responsibilities and receive different training in coping with traumatic events and stress; hence, we speculated that the salience of risk factors for PTSS vary across their respective professions. To our knowledge, this is the first cross-sectional survey investigating how these risk factors are related to PTSS and wellbeing across 1000 emergency personnel and rescue workers of five different professions and settings within the Bern metropolitan area (Switzerland). We further compared the prevalence rates of suspected PTSD across the investigated professions. We used a regression analysis to identify relevant factors that influence PTSS to construct and test

a causal model using structural equation modeling, which tested how well these factors explain the outcome variables of PTSS and psychological strain.

The prevalence rate for suspected PTSD of the investigated professions mostly agree with the findings of previous research: firefighters featured the lowest rates of PTSD (8%), followed by professionals in the police and ambulance services (15%), emergency staff (18%), and psychiatric nurses (22%) [1, 7, 32]. According to the results of the regression analysis, working in an emergency or psychiatry unit significantly increases the risk of PTSS. Our findings concerning emergency personnel and intensive care unit nurses supports the report of 20% to 29% of professionals in these fields meeting PTSD symptom criteria [7, 35]. The repeated exposure of these personnel to traumatic situations, stressful events, and time pressure may explain the high prevalence of suspected PTSD in this profession. In addition, While other occupational groups such as police and firefighters are prepared during their training for the risk of developing PTSS and learn possible intervention to improve mental health, such training modules are often lacking in the nursing profession.

Studies on the prevalence rates of PTSD among psychiatric nurses showed much lower rates than those of suspected PTSD [36-38] found by the present study: 5-14% and 22%, respectively [32, 35, 37]. A dearth of training in coping with the stress of exposure to assault, the potential for assault, and inpatient suicide, as well as the lack of routine [38], structured debriefing meetings after such critical events to promote resilience may account for the results [32, 35, 37]. Our data further indicated that psychiatric nurses experienced significantly higher levels of stress when confronted with suicide than did other rescue workers (Figure 1). Feelings of worthlessness associated with feeling of inability to prevent the patients' death may underlie this significantly greater load of mental stress [36, 38].

The observed prevalence rates of suspected PTSD among rescuer workers outside of hospitals supports previous reports of the highest being among ambulance personnel, followed by police officers and firefighters. The fact that ambulance personnel are exposed to greater pressure and stress, due to more emergency calls and close contact with the victims, might account for this finding [1, 36]. The difference highlights the heterogeneity among the various professions, even when the different types of rescue workers and emergency personnel are sometimes deployed to the same emergency. This variance might further be explained by work-specific circumstances, psychological preparedness, training, gender differences and personality traits.

The results of the SEM showed that the female sex, previously experienced work-unrelated trauma, work-related trauma, years on the job, dysfunctional coping strategies, problem-focused coping strategies, and self-efficacy explained between 37% and 78% of the PTSS, while PTSS itself explained between 48% and 68% of the psychological strain across the different professions. However, dysfunctional coping strategies, experienced work-related trauma, and self-efficacy were the most robust and strongest predictors for PTSS across most professions. A large body of previous research has reported an increase in the use of alcohol as a coping strategy to forget traumatic events in individuals exposed to disasters [39-41]. Conrod and Stewart [41] suggest that PTSD and alcohol use/abuse appears to be related through a self-medication process. Thus, alcohol dampens the physiological stress response and emotional memory, and thus, has a short-term arousal- and anxiety-reducing effect, which is used for the management of PTSS, particularly for hyper-arousal and intrusion symptoms [42]. However, in the long-term, alcohol use might impair the natural recovery process, preventing habituation to the traumatic experience and prolonging the intrusive PTSS and therefore maintain and intensify the PTSS symptomatology and increase the risk of alcohol dependence with all its serious medical consequences. Thus, preventative interventions should focus on assisting employees at a risk to develop more adaptive coping strategies for managing intrusive symptoms of hyper-arousal and re-experiencing such as relaxation trainings. However, even more importantly, employees should be informed about the severe consequences of dysfunctional coping strategies, such as alcohol use or avoidance, following exposure to traumatic events for the development of PTSS. Unexpectedly, problem-focused coping strategies, such as professional psychological support, debriefing in the team, talking with colleagues and supervisors seemed not to be as protective for PTSS, as reported in previous research.

Unexpectedly, self-efficacy showed a complex relationship across the different professions: while high levels were protective and associated with lower PTSS among psychiatric and emergency nurses, they were a risk factor among police officers, firefighters, and ambulance personnel. This latter finding may be accounted for reduced controllability and unpredictability of situations experienced by such rescue workers or gender specific differences. Another explanation might be an overestimation of ability: being too confident about one's capability of dealing with every situation and solving every problem alone might lead to higher psychological

strain when confronted with unexpected and uncontrollable emotional distress and symptoms after traumatic events. Various studies investigating potential contributors to PTSS development but also posttraumatic recovery show that self-efficacy emerges as a central mediator for the development and recovery of PTSS [43-47]. Self-efficacy is the belief in personal competence to deal with stressful situations and the capability to better regulate the own functioning. Thus, high levels of self-efficacy seem to buffer against the adverse effects of trauma and foster resilience [47]. According to Chung et al. [47], self-efficacy is an enabling and protective process, which helps people to control their thinking, and to regulate their emotions, feelings, and affects. Low levels of self-efficacy are therefore associated with the inability to control one's thoughts, difficulties in regulating emotions, increased anxiety and distress leading to dysfunctional coping strategies. Lasting PTSS may negatively influence self-efficacy and the belief in personal competence to deal with stressful situations highlighting the importance of long-term follow-up of individuals recently exposed to trauma. However, in the present survey the information about the time point of the experienced trauma is missing, and therefore, difficult to interpret.

The other variables such as female sex, years on job, work-related and work-unrelated trauma were significant predictors in the overall regression analysis but varied in their significance in the SEM, further highlighting the heterogeneity across the different professions (for a detailed discussion of these variables, see supplements S2 Text). This finding indicates that vocational training, professional assignment, environment, and possibly personality traits differ among the personnel of the different professions.

Apart from unchangeable factors such as sex, previous trauma, and years on job, our results show that dysfunctional coping strategies as well as self-efficacy most strongly predict PTSS across different professions engaged in emergencies. Resilience can be acquired and strengthened through psychotherapy and special training [48]. With respect to such high-risk professions, strengthening resilience to improve coping with work-related stressors may reduce PTSS and enhance quality of life [48, 49]. From this perspective, we have identified the following profession-specific areas for intervention. As our sample reveals a disproportionate number of female participants in the hospital staff group, the identified areas for intervention might not only be profession-specific but also gender-specific.

Police officers and fire fighters: Dysfunctional coping strategies and high self-efficacy are most strongly associated with PTSS, while work-related trauma is correlated with PTSS to a lesser extent.

Ambulance personnel: Dysfunctional coping strategies, high self-efficacy, and work-related trauma are risk factors for PTSS. Problem-focused coping strategies seem to be only marginally protective.

Emergency nurses: Dysfunctional coping strategies, years on the job, and work-related trauma are associated with PTSS, and high levels of self-efficacy are protective against PTSS.

Psychiatric nurses: Dysfunctional coping strategies and years on the job are risk factors for PTSS, while high levels of self-efficacy are protective against PTSS.

The urgency of improving these areas is underscored by our observation that 8 to 22% of the employees of the investigated professions develops a job-related psychiatric disorder, as well as the fact that PTSS is strongly associated with reduced psychological and physiological wellbeing and increases suicidal ideation [50]. Suicide ideation is rather a consequence than a cause of PTSS, and there is extensive evidence that the presence, accessibility, and availability for firearms significantly increases the risk of suicide attempts [51-53]. This needs very special attention for professions with armed forces, such as police but also the accessibility to medication in ambulance personnel, emergency and psychiatric nurses needs special attention.

The present study is subject to several limitations. The findings are based on retrospective self-report survey data, which should be replicated in prospective studies using more detailed interview methods – especially regarding PTSD symptomatology. Furthermore, the voluntary anonymous online survey, entitled “traumatization in emergency service,” might have addressed people that were already vulnerable or sensitized to the topic and consequently have caused a population bias. The investigated sample is not fully representative, but additional analysis of the percentage of participation within the investigated professions was between 20 and 66% (see supplements S1 Table). Another critical point is the disproportionate number of female participants in the hospital staff group, since there is evidence that female gender is a risk factor for the development of internalizing disorders. The assessment of the exact number of experienced traumatic events during work and additional information regarding the trauma itself (direct vs. witnessed) would have afforded further insight into

distinctive features of responses to different types of traumatic situations. Finally, the sample sizes of the different professions vary significantly, rendering a direct comparison among the professions difficult. Based on our data, we cannot state a causality between work-related trauma and PTSS for several reasons: 1) posttraumatic stress symptoms were generally assessed and not in relation to work-related trauma, 2) the number of work-related and work-unrelated trauma was assessed but the information about the time point of the experienced trauma is missing. Thus, PTSS might come from work-unrelated trauma experienced before or outside the current work. However, the findings of the present survey show, that work-related trauma is a stronger predictor for PTSS than work-unrelated trauma. As the risk of PTSD increases with the number of traumatic events experienced (independent of the context), we can assume that individuals that additionally experienced work-unrelated traumatic events are even at a higher risk during employment in rescue and emergency services. Summarized, the investigated emergency personnel and rescue workers exhibited enhanced prevalence of PTSS and suspected PTSD. PTSS itself was highly associated with psychological strain and increased suicidal ideation underlying the great burden and loss of quality of life in this population.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Funding

The study was funded by the University Hospital of Psychiatry, Bern, Switzerland and the Clinic Meiringen, Meiringen, Switzerland.

Acknowledgments

We thank the police department, fire department, ambulance service, University Emergency Center of the Inselspital Bern and University Hospital of Psychiatry in Bern for their support and participation. We further thank Luzius Pfiffner and Anja Leserri for excellent research assistance.

Data Availability Statement

Analysis pipeline to fully reproduce the results, tables, and figures of this paper are available at osf.io/c7xj2. A subset (replication dataset) of the raw data to reproduce the main results of this paper will be online during or after publication.

335 Reference

- 336 1. Berger, W., et al., *Rescuers at risk: a systematic review and meta-regression analysis of the*
337 *worldwide current prevalence and correlates of PTSD in rescue workers*. Social psychiatry
338 and psychiatric epidemiology, 2012. **47**(6): p. 1001-1011.
- 339 2. Neuner, F., et al., *Psychological trauma and evidence for enhanced vulnerability for*
340 *posttraumatic stress disorder through previous trauma among West Nile refugees*. BMC
341 psychiatry, 2004. **4**(1): p. 34.
- 342 3. Streb, M., P. Häller, and T. Michael, *PTSD in paramedics: Resilience and sense of coherence*.
343 Behavioural and cognitive psychotherapy, 2014. **42**(04): p. 452-463.
- 344 4. Morren, M., et al., *The health of volunteer firefighters three years after a technological*
345 *disaster*. Journal of Occupational Health, 2005. **47**(6): p. 523-532.
- 346 5. Stewart, S.H., et al., *The relations of PTSD symptoms to alcohol use and coping drinking in*
347 *volunteers who responded to the Swissair Flight 111 airline disaster*. Journal of anxiety
348 disorders, 2004. **18**(1): p. 51-68.
- 349 6. Marmar, C.R., et al., *Stress responses of emergency services personnel to the Loma Prieta*
350 *earthquake Interstate 880 freeway collapse and control traumatic incidents*. Journal of
351 traumatic stress, 1996. **9**(1): p. 63-85.
- 352 7. Laposa, J.M. and L.E. Alden, *Posttraumatic stress disorder in the emergency room:*
353 *exploration of a cognitive model*. Behaviour research and therapy, 2003. **41**(1): p. 49-65.
- 354 8. Brewin, C.R., B. Andrews, and J.D. Valentine, *Meta-analysis of risk factors for posttraumatic*
355 *stress disorder in trauma-exposed adults*. Journal of consulting and clinical psychology,
356 2000. **68**(5): p. 748.
- 357 9. Brown, S.P., R.A. Westbrook, and G. Challagalla, *Good cope, bad cope: adaptive and*
358 *maladaptive coping strategies following a critical negative work event*. Journal of applied
359 psychology, 2005. **90**(4): p. 792.
- 360 10. Stratta, P., et al., *Resilience and coping in trauma spectrum symptoms prediction: A structural*
361 *equation modeling approach*. Personality and Individual Differences, 2015. **77**: p. 55-61.
- 362 11. Wittmann, L., et al., *Comorbidity in posttraumatic stress disorder: a structural equation*
363 *modelling approach*. Comprehensive Psychiatry, 2008. **49**(5): p. 430-440.
- 364 12. Martin-Soelch, C. and U. Schnyder, *Resilience and Vulnerability Factors in Response to*
365 *Stress*. Frontiers in psychiatry, 2019. **10**: p. 732.
- 366 13. Folkman, S. and J.T. Moskowitz, *Coping: Pitfalls and promise*. Annu. Rev. Psychol., 2004.
367 **55**: p. 745-774.
- 368 14. Freeman, D. and D. Fowler, *Routes to psychotic symptoms: trauma, anxiety and psychosis-*
369 *like experiences*. Psychiatry research, 2009. **169**(2): p. 107-112.
- 370 15. Lazarus, R.S., *From psychological stress to the emotions: a history of changing outlooks*.
371 Annual Review Of Psychology, 1993. **44**: p. 1-21.
- 372 16. Wagner, D., M. Heinrichs, and U. Ehlert, *Prevalence of symptoms of posttraumatic stress*
373 *disorder in German professional firefighters*. American Journal of Psychiatry, 1998. **155**(12):
374 p. 1727-1732.

- 375 17. Benotsch, E.G., et al., *War Zone stress, personal and environmental resources, and PTSD*
 376 *symptoms in Gulf War Veterans: A longitudinal perspective*. Journal of Abnormal
 377 Psychology, 2000. **109**(2): p. 205.
- 378 18. Maguen, S., et al., *The impact of reported direct and indirect killing on mental health*
 379 *symptoms in Iraq war veterans*. Journal of Traumatic Stress: Official Publication of The
 380 International Society for Traumatic Stress Studies, 2010. **23**(1): p. 86-90.
- 381 19. North, C.S., et al., *Psychiatric disorders in rescue workers after the Oklahoma City bombing*.
 382 American Journal of Psychiatry, 2002. **159**(5): p. 857-859.
- 383 20. Orcutt, H.K., D.J. Erickson, and J. Wolfe, *The course of PTSD symptoms among Gulf War*
 384 *veterans: a growth mixture modeling approach*. Journal of Traumatic Stress: Official
 385 Publication of The International Society for Traumatic Stress Studies, 2004. **17**(3): p. 195-
 386 202.
- 387 21. Perrin, M.A., et al., *Differences in PTSD prevalence and associated risk factors among World*
 388 *Trade Center disaster rescue and recovery workers*. American Journal of Psychiatry, 2007.
 389 **164**(9): p. 1385-1394.
- 390 22. Schwarzer, R. and M. Jerusalem, *Skalen zur Erfassung von Lehrer- und Schülermerkmalen:*
 391 *Dokumentation der psychometrischen Verfahren im Rahmen der Wissenschaftlichen*
 392 *Begleitung des Modellversuchs Selbstwirksame Schulen*. 1999, Berlin: Freie Universität
 393 Berlin.
- 394 23. Bandura, A., *Self-efficacy: Toward a unifying theory of behavioral change*. . Psychological
 395 Review, 1977. **84**(2): p. 191-215.
- 396 24. Coolidge, F.L., et al., *Personality disorders and coping among anxious older adults*. Journal
 397 of anxiety disorders, 2000. **14**(2): p. 157-172.
- 398 25. Maercker, A., *Posttraumatische-Stress-Skala-10 (PTSS-10)*. Angstdiagnostik–Grundlagen
 399 und Testverfahren, 2003: p. 401-403.
- 400 26. Weisaeth, L., *Torture of a Norwegians ship's crew: The torture, stress reactions and*
 401 *psychiatric aftereffects*. Acta Psychiatrica Scandinavia, 1989. **80**(355): p. 63-72.
- 402 27. Aardal-Eriksson, E., et al., *Salivary cortisol and serum prolactin in relation to stress rating*
 403 *scales in a group of rescue workers*. Biological Psychiatry, 1999. **46**(6): p. 850-855.
- 404 28. Holen, A., A. Sund, and L. Weisaeth, *The Alexander Kielland Disaster March 27th 1980:*
 405 *psychological reactions among the survivors*. Oslo: Division of Disaster Psychiatri,
 406 University of Oslo, 1983.
- 407 29. Stoll, C., et al., *Sensitivity and specificity of a screening test to document traumatic*
 408 *experiences and to diagnose post-traumatic stress disorder in ARDS patients after intensive*
 409 *care treatment*. Intensive Care Medicine, 1999. **25**(7): p. 697-704.
- 410 30. Goldberg, D., *General health questionnaire (GHQ-12)*. Windsor, UK: Nfer-Nelson, 1992.
- 411 31. Schnitz, N., J. Kruse, and W. Tress, *Psychometric properties of the General Health*
 412 *Questionnaire (GHQ-12) in a German primary care sample*. Acta Psychiatrica Scandinavica,
 413 1999. **100**(6): p. 462-468.
- 414 32. Laposa, J.M., L.E. Alden, and L.M. Fullerton, *Work stress and posttraumatic stress disorder*
 415 *in ED nurses/personnel (CE)*. Journal of emergency nursing, 2003. **29**(1): p. 23-28.
- 416 33. Team, R.C., *R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing*. 2018.

- 417 34. Rosseel, Y., *Lavaan: An R package for structural equation modeling and more. Version 0.5–*
418 *12 (BETA)*. Journal of statistical software, 2012. **48**(2): p. 1-36.
- 419 35. Mealer, M.L., et al., *Increased prevalence of post-traumatic stress disorder symptoms in*
420 *critical care nurses*. American journal of respiratory and critical care medicine, 2007. **175**(7):
421 p. 693-697.
- 422 36. Berger, W., et al., *Partial and full PTSD in Brazilian ambulance workers: prevalence and*
423 *impact on health and on quality of life*. Journal of Traumatic Stress, 2007. **20**(4): p. 637-642.
- 424 37. Jacobowitz, W., *PTSD in psychiatric nurses and other mental health providers: a review of*
425 *the literature*. Issues in mental health nursing, 2013. **34**(11): p. 787-795.
- 426 38. Takahashi, C., et al., *The impact of inpatient suicide on psychiatric nurses and their need for*
427 *support*. BMC psychiatry, 2011. **11**(1): p. 38.
- 428 39. Vlahov, D., et al., *Increased use of cigarettes, alcohol, and marijuana among Manhattan,*
429 *New York, residents after the September 11th terrorist attacks*. American journal of
430 epidemiology, 2002. **155**(11): p. 988-996.
- 431 40. Pfefferbaum, B. and D.E. E. Doughty, *Increased alcohol use in a treatment sample of*
432 *Oklahoma City bombing victims*. Psychiatry: Interpersonal and Biological Processes, 2001.
433 **64**(4): p. 296-303.
- 434 41. Conrod, P.J. and S.H. Stewart, *Experimental studies exploring functional relations between*
435 *posttraumatic stress disorder and substance use disorder*. Trauma and substance abuse:
436 Causes, consequences, and treatment of comorbid disorders, 2003: p. 57-71.
- 437 42. Stewart, S.H., *Alcohol abuse in individuals exposed to trauma: a critical review*.
438 Psychological bulletin, 1996. **120**(1): p. 83.
- 439 43. Benight, C.C. and A. Bandura, *Social cognitive theory of posttraumatic recovery: The role of*
440 *perceived self-efficacy*. Behaviour research and therapy, 2004. **42**(10): p. 1129-1148.
- 441 44. Benight, C.C., et al., *Self-evaluative appraisals of coping capability and posttraumatic*
442 *distress following motor vehicle accidents*. Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology,
443 2008. **76**(4): p. 677.
- 444 45. Benight, C.C. and M.L. Harper, *Coping self-efficacy perceptions as a mediator between acute*
445 *stress response and long-term distress following natural disasters*. Journal of Traumatic
446 Stress: Official Publication of The International Society for Traumatic Stress Studies, 2002.
447 **15**(3): p. 177-186.
- 448 46. Heinrichs, M., et al., *Predicting posttraumatic stress symptoms from pretraumatic risk*
449 *factors: A 2-year prospective follow-up study in firefighters*. American Journal of Psychiatry,
450 2005. **162**: p. 2276-2286.
- 451 47. Chung, M.C., R.D. Allen, and I. Dennis, *The impact of self-efficacy, alexithymia and multiple*
452 *traumas on posttraumatic stress disorder and psychiatric co-morbidity following epileptic*
453 *seizures: A moderated mediation analysis*. Psychiatry Research, 2013. **210**(3): p. 1033-1041.
- 454 48. Connor, K.M. and J.R. Davidson, *Development of a new resilience scale: The Connor-*
455 *Davidson resilience scale (CD-RISC)*. Depression and anxiety, 2003. **18**(2): p. 76-82.
- 456 49. Martin-Soelch, C. and U. Schnyder, *Resilience and Vulnerability*. 2020.
- 457 50. Swanson, J.W., et al., *Mental illness and reduction of gun violence and suicide: bringing*
458 *epidemiologic research to policy*. Annals of epidemiology, 2015. **25**(5): p. 366-376.

- 459 51. Weinberger, S.E., et al., *Firearm-related injury and death in the United States: a call to*
460 *action from 8 health professional organizations and the American Bar Association.* Annals of
461 internal medicine, 2015. **162**(7): p. 513-516.
- 462 52. Metzl, J.M. and K.T. MacLeish, *Mental illness, mass shootings, and the politics of American*
463 *firearms.* American journal of public health, 2015. **105**(2): p. 240-249.
- 464 53. Brent, D.A., et al., *The presence and accessibility of firearms in the homes of adolescent*
465 *suicides: a case-control study.* Jama, 1991. **266**(21): p. 2989-2995.
- 466

467

468

469

470

471

472

473

474

Figure 1: Stressful job-related circumstances, such as dealing with situations involving people (aggressive and violent people, dealing with deaths or suicide, threats, dealing with relatives, involvement of children) and job-related conditions (incorrect or wrong information about the emergency situation, shift work, time pressure) are rated regarding experienced stress levels (never = never experienced; little = no or little stress; strong = strong or very strong stress).

Figure 2: Structural equation modelling: variables associated with PTSS and psychological strain.

Figure Note: PTSS: Posttraumatic Symptom Scale; BSI GSI: Brief Symptom Inventory, Subscale Global Severity Index; BSI PST: Brief Symptom Inventory, Subscale Positive Symptom Total; GHQ: General Health Questionnaire 12, subscales psychological and physiological wellbeing

Tables

Table 1. Demographic and clinical characteristics.

	Police	Fire service	Ambulance service	Emergency staff	Psychiatric nurses
Group size	n = 499	n = 239	n = 97	n = 85	n = 82
Mean age (SD)	38.4 (9.6)	42.3 (8.2)	38.7 (10.0)	38.7 (9.6)	40.9 (11.6)
% female	18%	4%	43%	86%	68%
Mean work experience in years (SD)	12.9 (9.6)	18.4 (8.4)	12.0 (9.0)	16.0 (9.6)	17.1 (11.0)
Living in a relationship	59%	74%	62%	51%	48%
Median PTSS (IQR)	5 (7.0)	3 (6.0)	6 (8.0)	7 (7.0)	7 (7.8)
Suspected PTSD	15%	8%	15%	18%	22%
Trauma before	31%	24%	37%	49%	54%
One or more trauma(s) during work	87%	81%	93%	99%	91%
Median BSI GSI (IQR)	0.20 (0.32)	0.12 (0.26)	0.18 (0.35)	0.22 (0.27)	0.22 (0.37)
Median BSI PST (IQR)	8.0 (11.0)	5.0 (9.0)	7.0 (14.0)	8.0 (11.0)	9.0 (11.5)
Median BSI PSDI (IQR)	1.30 (0.49)	1.23 (0.50)	1.23 (0.54)	1.30 (0.50)	1.25 (0.50)
Median GHQ (IQR)	8.0 (6.0)	7.0 (3.0)	8.0 (6.0)	8.0 (4.0)	9.0 (8.0)
PSES	29.0 (3.0)	29.0 (5.0)	30.0 (4.0)	30.0 (3.0)	31.0 (4.0)
Suicidal ideation	4.6%	2.5%	6.2%	3.5%	14.6%
Work-related conditions	1.60 (1.00)	1.67 (1.00)	1.80 (1.00)	2.00 (0.90)	2.20 (1.05)
situations including people	2.00 (1.00)	1.88(1.00)	2.00 (0.75)	2.25 (0.62)	2.23 (1.05)

Note: PTSS: Posttraumatic Symptom Scale; BSI GSI: Brief Symptom Inventory, Subscale Global Severity Index; BSI PST: Brief Symptom Inventory, Subscale Positive Symptom Total; BSI PSDI: Brief Symptom Inventory, Subscale Positive Distress Index; GHQ: General Health Questionnaire 12; PSES: General Perceived Self-Efficacy Scale

Table 2. Regression estimates in a model of PTSS scores as outcomes and 13 predictor variables with three interaction terms sorted according to their relative importance as indicated by the *t*-score.

	Estimate	95% CI	<i>t</i> -score	<i>p</i> -value
<i>(Intercept)</i>	-10.98	from -15.88 to -6.09	-4.40	< 0.0001
Coping: substance use	2.69	from 2.07 to 3.31	8.47	< 0.0001
Suicidal ideation (Yes)	4.42	from 3.13 to 5.71	6.73	< 0.0001
Coping: avoidance	1.00	from 0.59 to 1.42	4.76	< 0.0001
Coping: self-distraction	0.75	from 0.40 to 1.10	4.20	< 0.0001
Sex (female)	1.49	from 0.74 to 2.24	3.92	< 0.0001
Work experience (in years)	0.058	from 0.03 to 0.09	3.74	0.0002
Trauma work-related (yes)	1.61	from 0.76 to 2.47	3.70	0.0002
Profession: Emergency	16.05	from 6.43 to 25.68	3.27	0.001
Emergency*Self-efficacy	-0.52	from -0.84 to -0.20	-3.18	0.002
Self-efficacy	0.24	from 0.09 to 0.39	3.17	0.002
Trauma work-unrelated	0.84	from 0.25 to 1.43	2.81	0.005
Psychiatry*Self-efficacy	-0.48	from -0.82 to -0.14	-2.76	0.006
Profession: Psychiatry	14.12	from 3.47 to 24.76	2.60	0.009
Coping: active	-0.43	from -0.85 to -0.01	-1.99	0.047
Coping: instrumental support	0.34	from -0.02 to 0.69	1.84	0.066
Coping: emotional focused	-0.19	from -0.62 to 0.24	-0.87	0.39
Ambulance service*Self-efficacy	0.094	from -0.20 to 0.39	0.63	0.53
Profession: Police	0.95	from -4.49 to 6.39	0.34	0.73
Profession: Ambulance service	-1.18	from -9.70 to 7.33	-0.27	0.78
Police*Self-efficacy	-0.0013	from -0.19 to 0.19	-0.01	0.99

492 **Table 3.** Structural equation model regressions: path coefficients for explanatory variables for the five
 493 occupational groups. Dependent variables (*italics*) are in rows containing the explained variance (R^2).

Group	Response variable (variance explained R^2)	Explanatory variables	Coefficient	95% CI	<i>p-value</i>
Police	<i>PTSS (.48)</i>	Dysf. coping	0.81	from 0.39 to 1.23	0.0002
		Active coping	0.01	from -0.16 to 0.18	0.89
		SE	0.26	from 0.05 to 0.46	0.013
		Trauma before	0.14	from -0.04 to 0.33	0.12
		Trauma work	0.19	from -0.00 to 0.38	0.054
		Work experience	0.07	from -0.11 to 0.25	0.42
		female	0.04	from -0.14 to 0.22	0.66
	<i>Psychological strain (.68)</i>	PTSS	0.82	from 0.68 to 0.96	< 0.0001
Fire service	<i>PTSS (.42)</i>	Dysf. coping	0.59	from 0.39 to 0.79	< 0.0001
		Active coping	-0.03	from -0.14 to 0.08	0.57
		SE	0.23	from 0.11 to 0.34	< 0.0001
		Trauma before	-0.01	from -0.12 to 0.11	0.93
		Trauma work	0.13	from 0.01 to 0.24	0.032
		Work experience	0.09	from -0.02 to 0.21	0.12
		female	-0.03	from -0.15 to 0.08	0.55
	<i>Psychological strain (.57)</i>	PTSS	0.76	from 0.69 to 0.83	< 0.0001
Ambulance service	<i>PTSS (.78)</i>	Dysf. coping	0.63	from 0.53 to 0.72	< 0.0001
		Active coping	-0.08	from -0.16 to -0.01	0.035
		SE	0.15	from 0.07 to 0.23	< 0.0001
		Trauma before	0.12	from 0.05 to 0.20	0.001
		Trauma work	0.11	from 0.03 to 0.19	0.007
		Work experience	0.06	from -0.03 to 0.14	0.17
		female	0.15	from 0.07 to 0.22	0.0001
	<i>Psychological strain (.67)</i>	PTSS	0.82	from 0.78 to 0.86	< 0.0001
Emergency staff	<i>PTSS (.37)</i>	Dysf. coping	0.38	from 0.14 to 0.62	0.002
		Active coping	-0.04	from -0.23 to 0.15	0.68
		SE	-0.20	from -0.39 to -0.02	0.027
		Trauma before	-0.10	from -0.28 to 0.08	0.28
		Trauma work	0.20	from 0.02 to 0.37	0.028
		Work experience	0.27	from 0.09 to 0.44	0.003
		female	0.26	from 0.08 to 0.43	0.004

	<i>Psychological strain</i> (.48)	PTSS	0.69	from 0.58 to 0.80	< 0.0001
Psychiatric nurses	<i>PTSS (.41)</i>	Dysf. coping	0.45	from 0.16 to 0.74	0.002
		Active coping	0.10	from -0.11 to 0.31	0.35
		SE	-0.35	from -0.54 to -0.15	0.0005
		Trauma before	0.17	from -0.04 to 0.38	0.12
		Trauma work	0.08	from -0.13 to 0.29	0.44
		Work experience	0.23	from 0.03 to 0.43	0.021
		female	0.01	from -0.20 to 0.23	0.89
	<i>Psychological strain</i> (.65)	PTSS	0.81	from 0.72 to 0.89	< 0.0001

494 Note: Dysf. Coping: dysfunctional coping; PTSS: Posttraumatic Symptom Scale; SE: Self-Efficacy Scale;
 495 Psychological Strain: latent variable including Brief Symptom Inventory (subscales: Global Severity Index,
 496 Positive Symptom Total) and General Health Questionnaire (subscales: physiological wellbeing; psychological
 497 wellbeing)