Please note this paper has been published ahead of print in *Autism in Adulthood*:

Nolte, K., Fletcher-Watson, S., Sorace, A., Stanfield, A., & Digard, B.G. (2021). Perspectives and experiences of autistic multilingual adults: A qualitative analysis. *Autism in Adulthood* (ahead of print). <https://doi.org/10.1089/aut.2020.0067>

Please do not cite this preprint.

Perspectives and experiences of autistic multilingual adults: A qualitative analysis

Kathryn Nolte, MSc ^a, Sue Fletcher-Watson, PhD ^b, Antonella Sorace, PhD ^a, Andrew Stanfield, PhD ^b,
Bérengère G. Digard, PhD ^b

^a Department of Linguistics, University of Edinburgh, Dugald Stewart Building, 3 Charles Street,
Edinburgh EH8 9AD, United Kingdom

^b Patrick Wild Centre, University of Edinburgh, Kennedy Tower, Morningside Terrace, Edinburgh EH10
5HF, United Kingdom

Correspondence to:

Dr Bérengère G. Digard
Patrick Wild Centre
University of Edinburgh
Kennedy Tower, Royal Edinburgh Hospital, Morningside Terrace
Edinburgh EH10 5HF
Tel: +44 131 537 6680
E-mail: Berengere.digard@ed.ac.uk

Abstract

Background: The combined experience of autism and bilingualism is poorly understood, leading to poor support for autistic people in multilingual environments or those interested in languages. While most available studies focus on the language and cognitive profiles of autistic bilinguals, or on the experiences of parents, little is known about the lived experiences of autistic multilinguals.

Methods: To address this question, this study examined the impact of autism and multilingualism on the lives of 54 autistic multilingual adults who completed an online survey assessing the profiles of autistic bi- and multilinguals. We conducted a thematic analysis of responses to the survey's open-ended questions to explore motivations for learning languages and the perceived benefits of being both autistic and multilingual.

Results: There was a wide range of language profiles in the sample, with various levels of proficiency, ages of acquisition, and learning environments. Respondents felt that being autistic can both positively and negatively influence language learning. They reported various motivating factors for the acquisition of multiple languages, including social aspects and a predisposition for language learning. Respondents reported many benefits of multilingualism, such as educational, employment or leisure opportunities; social skills and understanding of other people; self-confidence in their own abilities; and relationships with family, friends, and the worldwide autistic community.

Conclusions: Unlike previous work with autistic multilinguals involving case studies, the larger sample involved here offers valuable insight into the profiles and experiences of this overlooked population. Importantly, autistic people can experience numerous benefits from multilingualism. These findings will have implications for language education practices as well as for multilingual families and the practitioners who support them.

Lay abstract

“Why was this study done?”

We wanted to understand what it feels like to be both autistic and multilingual, in a world where it is often assumed that both cannot go together. The combined experience of autism and bilingualism is poorly understood. This leads to poor support for autistic people in bilingual environments or for those interested in languages. Most studies available focus on the language and mental abilities of autistic bilinguals, or on the experiences of parents. However, very few studies focus on the lived experiences of autistic multilinguals themselves.

“What was the purpose of this study?”

The purpose of this study was to understand the experiences of autistic multilingual adults. We focused on their learning motivations and the perceived benefits of being autistic and multilingual.

“What did the researchers do?”

Fifty-four autistic multilingual adults completed an online questionnaire designed for autistic bi- and multilinguals. The questionnaire included questions about the respondents' language history and language profiles. There were also open-ended questions about the respondents' motivations for learning languages, and their general experience of being both autistic and multilingual. We analysed and summarised the responses to these open-ended questions to understand the experience of autistic multilingual adults.

“What were the results of the study?”

Our sample had a diverse range of language profiles and experiences. Respondents thought that autism could be both an advantage and a disadvantage for language learning. They reported a range of motivations for language learning, including a predisposition for language learning. They considered relationships as both a motivation to learn languages, and a benefit of multilingualism. Respondents

thought that being multilingual had brought them many opportunities for leisure, travels, education, and employment. They considered that being multilingual had improved their self-confidence. They also thought that being multilingual had increased their awareness and understanding of autism, allowing them to connect with the wider autistic population.

“What do these findings add to what was already known?”

Previous research with autistic multilinguals involved only one or two participants. The larger group of autistic multilinguals involved in this study offers valuable insight into the lived experiences of this overlooked population.

“What are potential weaknesses in the study?”

The online questionnaire was not originally designed to collect in-depth data on lived experiences. This means that the questions included very few prompts: respondents were able to discuss the aspects of their experience that were the most important to them. The absence of specific topics in the results does not mean they are not experienced, but simply that the participants did not spontaneously mention them. Future research should build upon our findings and focus on specific topics, such as learning environments or opportunities.

“How will these findings help autistic adults now or in the future?”

These findings will help autistic adults by highlighting the diversity and richness of their language profiles, abilities, and experiences. This will prompt families, educators, and practitioners to better support and include autistic people in multilingual environments, or those interested in languages.

1 Introduction

Autism is characterised by social communication difficulties, but is independent from potentially co-occurring language difficulties.¹ Indeed, the language profiles of autistic people are extremely varied: while 15 to 25% of autistic children have very limited expressive spoken language,² most go on to develop language. Autistic people can show typical or even enhanced language skills,³ with or without peculiar language or speech patterns, independently of their general non-verbal cognitive abilities.⁴ Autistic people can experience pragmatic language difficulties,^{5,6} and sometimes dysgraphia or selective mutism.⁷⁻⁹ However, other aspects of language, such as vocabulary or grammar, are generally in the typical range, even if evidence suggest word-learning occurs differently in autism.^{6,10-12} Importantly, these language skills may also be enhanced compared to typical populations, particularly regarding grammar patterns.^{13,14} Some autistic individuals also demonstrate exceptional psycho-linguistic abilities, such as hyperlexia, eidetic memory, or an enhanced capacity for systemizing or pattern recognition. While these abilities also occur in neurotypical (NT) people, studies suggest they are more common in autistic individuals.¹⁵⁻¹⁸ The distinction between communication and language difficulties is critical in autism, especially in the more linguistically-complex context of multilingualism.

Recent findings demonstrate that autistic people can acquire additional languages in multiple ways and through various life experiences, leading to a rich diversity in the autistic bi- and multilingual population.¹⁹ Specific evidence from a range of detailed case studies involving autistic multilinguals indeed show enhanced abilities in multiple languages.^{3,20,21} Nonetheless, misconceptions about multilingualism can lead to the belief that being multilingual is inherently impossible for autistic people. In the absence of definitive evidence, practitioners and parents worry about potential confusion, developmental delays, or restricted access to services, caused by the use of multiple languages with an autistic child.²²⁻²⁵ Therefore, they often favour monolingualism for the child, sometimes even while the rest of the family maintains multilingualism.²⁶

In recent years research has started to address this topic. Most studies available focus on the language²⁷ and overall cognitive skills²⁸ of autistic bilingual children and adolescents, and found no evidence for a developmental delay caused by bilingualism.^{27,28} A systematic review²⁷ of eight studies on language development in autistic bilingual children found no effect of bilingualism, providing evidence against the commonly-held concern that bilingualism may cause language delays in autistic children. Fewer studies considered lived experiences, and most of them focused on the experiences of parents.^{24,29} To date, the only account of the lived experiences of autistic bilinguals themselves targeted the school experience of 11 autistic children aged 7 to 14 from bilingual families.³⁰ Therefore, with the aforementioned exception of a handful of case-studies,³ a direct account of the experiences of autistic multilingual adults, some of whom may have learned languages after childhood, is still lacking.

When attempting to understand the experiences of multilinguals, we can draw inferences from research in the general population. Relying on interviews with six polyglots (one female) with knowledge of five languages or more, Paradowski and Wysokińska³¹ reported that self-taught multilinguals were primarily motivated by “improving one’s chances in the job market”, “hobby”, “travel”, “interest in culture”, and “life circumstances”. These findings were reproduced by Hyltenstam³² who interviewed 10 polyglots (one female) with knowledge of seven languages or more. However, it is unknown whether these motivating factors are also relevant for autistic people. Importantly, recent findings from a large sample of mono-, bi- and multilingual autistic adults suggests a link between multilingualism and their self-rated social life satisfaction,¹⁹ suggesting that the social domain may play a crucial role in the lived experiences of autistic multilingual people.

The present study explores the combined experience of being autistic and multilingual. While one existing large-scale description of autistic multilinguals includes people with two or more languages,¹⁹ this study aims to describe the experiences of a sub-sample of 54 autistic multilingual adults with knowledge of at least four languages, thus providing an unprecedented account of autistic multilingualism. Since proficiency in four or more languages is likely a matter of choice rather than

circumstance, this could be linked with unusual motivations and specific experiences of language acquisition. Specifically, this study sets out to describe how autism and multilingualism intersect to influence one another and shape the lives of autistic multilinguals. Our research questions ask 1) what are their motivations for language learning?; and 2) what are the perceived benefits of these unique profiles? Overall, the study seeks to provide a better understanding of the autistic multilingual experience, which could help families and practitioners to better support autistic people who live in multilingual environments or have an interest in languages.

2 Methods

2.1 Participants

We selected participants from a large-scale online study addressing the demographic and language profiles of autistic bilingual and multilingual adults (aged 16 or above), as well as their social life experiences.¹⁹ Inclusion criteria for the online survey were: an age of 16 or above, language information self-reported for at least one language, and self-reported autism diagnosis. Given the great degree of under-diagnosis amongst autistic adults^{33,34} due to barriers to diagnosis such as stigma, time, and cost of evaluation,³⁵ the study purposefully included a small number of self-diagnosed participants to capture the diversity of autistic experiences. The original sample of 297 respondents included 89 monolinguals, 98 bilinguals, 56 trilinguals, and 54 multilinguals knowing four languages or more. The present study focused on this subset of 54 multilingual autistic adults. While most respondents reported that they had a clinical diagnosis, seven indicated that they were self-identified as autistic.

2.2 Design

This study had a cross-sectional survey design using self-report measures and open-ended questions to explore the demographic, language, and social profiles of autistic bilingual and multilingual adults, as well as their lived experiences.

2.3 Measures

The study used the Autism & Bilingualism Census (ABC),³⁶ an online survey available to view at <https://osf.io/xsqy7/>. The ABC consists of four main sections (detailed in Digard et al¹⁹): general demographic information, general life satisfaction and social life quality, detailed language history for up to seven languages (additional languages could be listed, but no further information about them was required), and open-ended questions. The present study focused on the answers to the open-ended questions, while details were taken from the demographic and language history sections to describe the respondents.

The open-ended questions addressed the participants' language learning experience, their views on the importance of language learning, and their perception of the interplay between autism and their language experiences. Additional open-ended questions were also included within each language-reporting page, allowing respondents to provide more details about their past and current use of this language. Importantly, no question was mandatory, to ensure the comfort of the respondents, as well as the voluntary nature of the responses. The three main open-ended questions, formulated as follows, each allowed for responses of up to 5,000 characters:

1. Do you find learning several languages challenging? Why? Do you think being on the autism spectrum affects how you learn languages?
2. Do you find learning several languages useful? Why? Do you think knowing several languages is important / useful for someone on the autism spectrum?
3. Do you think bilingualism influences your life as someone on the autism spectrum? If so, how?

2.4 Procedure

The PPLS Research Ethics Committee of the University of Edinburgh approved the study. The consent form was built into the first page of the online survey created in SurveyMonkey. Respondents provided consent by completing these items, which was necessary to progress to the survey. We recruited participants between February and March 2017, with a flyer circulated via social media, autism charities and networks across the UK, and disability services of UK universities. Respondents completed the survey online independently, in their own time. They were not compensated for their participation.

2.5 Survey data management

Full details of the survey answers' filtering, as well as the cleaning steps regarding the language history measures, are available in Digard et al (2020)¹⁹ and in the supplementary material 1. The final sample of respondents for the current study was obtained by selecting only those who had provided language profile details for four languages or more. For each participant, we extracted their full-text responses to all open-ended questions for inclusion in the analysis.

2.6 Data analysis

We determined the demographic characteristics and language profiles with descriptive analyses using R (version 3.5.3). We analysed the qualitative data in NVivo (version 12) using inductive thematic analysis, an approach appropriate for exploratory studies addressing under-researched topics, deriving themes from raw data rather than looking at the data from the perspective of prior theories and assumptions³⁷. A first coder (K.N.) initially analysed the survey responses for first-level codes labelling content in the data (e.g., "making friends" or "better than peers"), leading to 128 distinct first-level codes (see supplementary material 2 for examples of initial first-level codes). To confirm

validity, a second coder (B.G.D) double-coded the responses from 12 of the 54 participants (22%), and generated thematically equivalent first-level codes (for example, the first-level code “higher education” generated by B.G.D. was equivalent to the first-level code “academic development” generated by K.N.). The two coders met to discuss potential combinations and divisions of the initial codes. Subsequently, the revised first-level codes were grouped into midlevel sub-themes and top-level themes in collaboration between the first and second coder. The coders regrouped and rearranged the codes, subthemes, and themes until a final configuration was achieved that encapsulated almost all of the coded material. Themes and sub-themes are described below, and subsequently applied to address our research questions of interest: what are the motivations for language learning? and what are the perceived benefits of language learning? for this population.

3 Results

3.1 Respondents

The sample included 54 autistic adults (mean age = 32.7 years, range = 18 – 64), 47 of whom had a clinical diagnosis of autism (mean age at diagnosis = 26.0 years), while seven were self-identified autistic. The gender distribution was 55.6% female, 14.8% male, and 29.6% not listed or not disclosed. Respondents had a mean English proficiency (averaged across oral expression, oral comprehension, written expression, and written comprehension skills, each self-rated on a Likert-type scale ranging from 0 = “Not at all” to 8 = “Excellent”) of 7.4 (SD = 0.9, range = 4.3 – 8.0), confirming all were proficient in English, and thus able to complete the survey. As the recruitment flyer was circulated online through social media, non-UK residents also took part in the study (57.4% of the respondents). Notably, 20.4% of the respondents (n = 11) were residents of the United States of America, 5.6% residents of Germany (n = 3), and 5.6% residents of the Netherlands (n = 3). All other countries represented no more than 4% (i.e., 1 or 2 participants) of the sample (see Table 1 for further details

about the countries of origin and residence of the respondents). Respondents' demographics are reported in Table 1, and their language profiles in Figure 1 and supplementary material 3.

Table 1. Respondents' demographic characteristics (n = 54)

Demographics	
Age in years, M (SD, range)	32.7 (9.8, 18 - 64)
Gender, n (%)	
Female	30 (55.6)
Male	8 (14.8)
Other gender identity	14 (26.0)
Not disclosed	2 (3.7)
Diagnosis, n (%)	
Diagnosed ^a	47 (87.0)
Self-identified	7 (13.0)
Age of diagnosis, M (SD, range)	26.0 (12.4, 3 - 51)
Highest Education, n (%)	
Less than an undergraduate degree	16 (29.6)
Undergraduate degree or higher	38 (70.4)
Country of birth, n (%)	
UK	17 (31.5)
Non-UK, English-speaking ^b	12 (22.2)
Europe, non-English-speaking ^c	18 (33.3)
Outside Europe, non-English-speaking ^d	7 (13.0)
Country of residence, n (%)	
UK	23 (42.6)
Non-UK, English-speaking ^e	13 (24.1)
Europe, non-English-speaking ^f	16 (29.6)
Outside Europe, non-English-speaking ^g	2 (3.7)
Non-UK-born UK-residents, n (%)	7 (13.0)
Age of arrival in the UK, M (SD, range)	21.6 (10.1, 8 - 36)

Note: Sociodemographic characteristics of the respondents (n = 54).

a = The 14 respondents who selected "Not listed" provided a written-in answer, each including one or several gender identity labels, for a total of 8 labels: non binary (4 respondents), agender (3), gender queer (3), genderfluid (3), bi-gender (1), neutral (1), neutrois (1), not dysphoric (1). b = 48.1% (n = 26) reported "Asperger's syndrome" as diagnosis, 27.8% (n = 15) reported "Autism", 9% (n = 5) reported "Autism spectrum disorder", and 7 respondents reported combinations of these labels, and 1 was "unsure". c = Ireland (2), USA (12). d = Belgium (1), France (1), Germany (5), Italy (2), The Netherlands (2), Norway (3), Spain (1), Sweden (3). e = Algeria (1), Brazil (1), Curacao (1), Hong Kong (1), Israel (1), Turkey (2). f = Ireland (2), USA (11). g = Estonia (1), France (2), Germany (3), Italy (1), The Netherlands (3), Norway (2), Spain (1), Sweden (2), Switzerland (1). h = Curacao (1), Turkey (1).

Figure 1. Age of acquisition and proficiency for the languages reported

A. Age of acquisition: Boxplot and scatterplot of the distribution of the reported ages of acquisition (in years) for the languages (L) 1 to 7, ranked by age of acquisition for each respondent.

B. Language proficiency: Boxplot and scatterplot of distribution of the overall self-rated proficiency (averaged across self-rated abilities in written expression, written comprehension, oral expression, and oral comprehension) for the languages (L) 1 to 7, ranked by age of acquisition for each respondent, on a scale from 0 (= "not at all") to 8 (= "excellent").

C. Detailed language proficiency: Radar plot of the mean overall and detailed (written expression, written comprehension, oral expression, and oral comprehension) self-rated proficiency of the respondents for their languages (L) 1 to 4, ranked by age of acquisition for each respondent, on a scale from 0 (= "not at all") to 8 (= "excellent").³⁸

3.2 Lived experience themes

3.2.1 Direct influence of autism on language learning

This theme encapsulates the respondents' multiple, explicit references – across all questions asked – to the fact that their learning experiences differed from those of their neurotypical peers. Autism was described both as an advantage and as a disadvantage (Figure 2) for language learning. For example:

"I think the autism matters because it makes me able to concentrate a lot on something I'm interested

in (like learning [language]¹ now, and [language] in the past), while also making it very hard to concentrate on something I'm not interested in (like learning [language] in the past).²" [P1250]

Importantly, many stated that language learning was one of their special interests, thus facilitating their learning experience: "I find it fascinating and very fun. I do not learn languages for their usefulness, but for the joy I get from learning them". [P1152]

Figure 2. Thematic map of themes and sub-themes identified in the data

Note. Themes are presented circled in bold, related sub-themes are circled with dashed lines. ³⁸

Many participants mentioned an aptitude for pattern finding that allowed them to quickly grasp grammatical structures within a language and extend them to related languages, or imitation skills that allowed them to reproduce accents. Other advantages mentioned were memory or focus. A few respondents claimed to possess learning advantages that went above and beyond the norm.

¹ All languages (but English) and countries (but the United-Kingdom) were removed for anonymity.

² All participant quotes are reported exactly as they appear in the original data from the online survey, regardless of spelling, capitalization, and/or grammatical errors.

These included hyperlexia (five participants), hyperfocus (two participants), and eidetic memory (one participant). However, respondents also highlighted how autism hindered their language learning, notably in terms of *“difficulty switching focus”* [P1036], selective mutism (eight respondents) or dysgraphia (one respondent).

3.2.2 Opportunities brought by languages

This theme brings together participants’ references to options that were available to them, which they attributed to multilingualism. The theme covers four subthemes: “Entertainment and leisure”, “Travels”, “Higher education”, and “Employment” (Figure 2). The following quote encapsulates all of the aforementioned opportunities: *“Knowing several languages is absurdly useful. Languages can help you with work, traveling, learning (I have learned three languages in languages that were not L1 or L2 and I got one of my degrees in English), making friends and so on. I’m not sure if knowing several languages is more useful while autistic. I think it might be equally useful for everyone.”* [P1117] Many other respondents echoed this and focused their responses on how multilingualism expands horizons. Indeed, participants reported using their language skills to access a wide range of special interests: *“For other autistic people I think it may help them find a lot of informations and point of view about subjects they are interested in.”* [P1202] Participants also reported that being multilingual made travelling and moving abroad more comfortable, for example when travelling for education purposes. Indeed, respondents repeatedly described languages as particularly useful for academic reading and coursework at foreign institutions. They also perceived multilingualism as particularly beneficial for employment, listing overall a wide range of professions such as teaching, healthcare, retail, business, interpreting, or editing, among others.

3.2.3 Relationships

This theme refers to the way family and friends (Figure 2) were both a driver of, and a benefit from knowing multiple languages. Participants discussed the influence that family members, friends, or

partners had on the necessity or desire to learn a language. Participants often highlighted their family as a reason for language learning, especially to communicate with relatives in or from a foreign country was an important factor motivating the acquisition of a language: *"It is also useful to me personally for helping me communicate with my family especially with my gran who didn't speak English"* [P1215]. However, multilingualism could also strengthen familial relationship, without it being a necessity. For example, a participant mentioned sharing a special bond with their mother as a result of their shared interest in languages. Likewise, participants mentioned that multilingualism enabled them to form and develop friendships with people from other backgrounds, especially online (*"learning languages makes it easier to make online friends from far away"* [P1180]) or in the community (*"I found myself speaking a lot more Italian with my friends at university after having joined a society for all Italians and we meet up outside of those meet-ups as well"* [P1220]).

3.2.4 Self-efficacy

This theme summarises respondents' feelings of increased understanding of themselves as autistic people, increased confidence in themselves, and increased assurance in their social-interactive abilities (Figure 2). It provides insight into the ways that multilingualism can have an effect on someone's experience of autism (as opposed to the opposite direction of effect, mostly described in the "direct influence of autism on language learning" theme). Participants saw multilingualism as a tool increasing their ability to connect with autistic people from around the world and join the autistic community, while also widening their horizon by learning more about autism through online forums that were not in their native language. The following quote encapsulated both ideas of this sub-theme: *"It makes it possible for me to interact with a wider set of people. Without my knowledge of the English language I would not have met several people who are important to me, and who have enriched my life as an autistic person, and helped me in gaining an identity. There is not much of a neurodiversity movement in [language], so without knowledge of additional languages I would have been very isolated indeed."* [P1250]

Respondents also expressed feelings of self-assurance, confidence, independence, and reduced anxiety linked with multilingualism. The following quote illustrated this sub-theme: *“Apart from others' views, internally you feel more capable. I can go abroad by myself and can survive without others' help. This lowers anxiety.”* [P1273] For some respondents, this increase in confidence was linked with the notion that their language skills surpassed those from their neurotypical peers: *“I have a certain pride in my bilingualism because it highlights that there are certain things I'm very good at and do well despite being autistic [...]. It highlights that we all have strong and weak points.”* [P1148] This same participant went on to describe multilingualism as an *“autism superpower.”*

Respondents also considered that being multilingual facilitated their ability to interact socially, beyond the simple fact of being able to speak with somebody who shares their languages. First, respondents explained that using a non-native language to communicate could feel more comfortable than using the native one. The following quotes illustrate this sub-theme: *“I think bilingualism and multilingualism have helped reduce my social anxiety and have given me more self confidence in social situations.”* [P1111] Other respondents linked this idea with the attitude of their interlocutors when interacting in their non-native languages: *“I think socially people are very forgiving of faux pas if they know you're a foreigner so it's not uncommon for autistic people to feel more comfortable in another country. I am much more comfortable in a multi-lingual setting.”* [P1220] Second, participants expressed that learning and knowing other languages had increased their social understanding and social abilities, which in turn made them more comfortable when interacting with others. This was particularly evident in terms of perspective-taking abilities, as illustrated by *“You learn that there are more than one way of living, thinking. You have more perspectives”* [P1273]. This was also evident in terms of social rules understanding – as illustrated by *“I became more aware of social patterns- specific phrases- what to say in which situation, etc.”* [P1273], sometimes acquired via the communication *“scripts”* provided through the study of foreign languages – as illustrated by *“It helps with my communication, as it makes me put thought into what I say/gives me scripts to follow in social situations.”* [P1062] Many participants who cited social skills as an advantage of multilingualism also

commented that autistic people could potentially benefit from this aspect of multilingualism more than their neurotypical peers.

4 Discussion

This study is the first to directly address the lived experiences of a larger group of autistic multilingual adults. It reveals a wide diversity of language experiences within the autistic multilingual population, and highlights numerous ways in which autism and multilingualism can influence one another. In terms of our original goals, the themes identified in this analysis cast significant insight on the motivations and benefits that autistic people describe with respect to their learning of multiple languages. Motivations incorporate intra-personal factors such as a predisposition for language learning, interpersonal factors such as family and romantic relationships, and societal factors such as academic and employment opportunities. Benefits likewise operate across these levels, incorporating gains in self-efficacy, benefits in terms of creating new social networks, and opportunities for travel and leisure.

Before examining these in detail, it is also worth noting that the diversity of profiles in this autistic multilingual sample mirrors, and builds upon, the diversity seen in the overall autistic bilingual population¹⁹. The demographics and language data demonstrate that, similarly to their neurotypical peers, autistic people can be multilingual, with high skills in several languages, without being a language savant extremely proficient in a large number of languages. A few respondents reported less than “Good” (= 6) proficiency in their L1, which can be due to a number of reasons unrelated to language difficulties (such as an extend period without using L1) outside the scope of this study. Overall, the range of profiles in this sample suggest that autistic people can become multilingual through life circumstances, education, or by choice, reaching varying levels of proficiency.

This study offers rare insight into how autistic multilinguals themselves perceive the effects of autism and multilingualism on their lives, particularly in the bidirectional influence of autism and multilingualism on each other. Our findings indicate that being autistic can be both an advantage and

a disadvantage for language learning, depending on individual differences. This finding calls for a more personalised approach to second language learning for autistic people, based on their individual strengths. Our results also show that being multilingual influences the experience of autism, by opening up access to a global community of autistic people sharing experiences.

Motivations for and benefits from being multilingual were found to be intertwined in many aspects of our participants' experience, especially in terms of relationships with friends or family and opportunities for employment or education. Importantly, the finding of communication with others as a motivation to learn languages suggests an intrinsic social motivation for some autistic people. This overlap between multilingualism and the social domain was further highlighted in the Self-efficacy theme. Indeed, we found that one benefit of multilingualism was a feeling of confidence in their own abilities, and a feeling of improved social interactions. Participants reported to have formed meaningful connections, and improved their social and communication skills as a result of using multiple languages, domains often described as challenging for autistic people.^{39–41} This qualitative result regarding a link between multilingualism and the social domain, both in terms of socio-cognitive skills and of social interactions, brings depth to the quantitative results found in the larger original sample described in Digard et al¹⁹, which showed that multilingualism predicted higher self-rated social life quality than monolingualism.

Participants also mentioned benefits in other areas that are relevant to broader issues that affect autistic people. For example, while unemployment is regularly highlighted as a serious problem in the autistic community,⁴² participants mentioned that multilingualism was improving their employment prospects. Furthermore, while poor mental health is often experienced in autism,⁴³ participants reported increased confidence and joy stemming from multilingualism. Finally, one multilingualism benefit unique to the autistic population is the increased opportunity to interact with the worldwide autistic community, and to access more information about autism, thus empowering autistic multilinguals who may otherwise feel isolated. Taken together, these findings suggest that the experience of autism can be shaped by multilingualism in a positive way, including regarding

potentially challenging aspects such as social skills, confidence, and professional outcomes. Finally, these findings point out the need for adapted language learning practices, tailored to the specific learning profiles of autistic people. They also highlight the importance to support language learning in multilingual families, as multilingualism appears associated with valuable benefits.

These findings have to be considered in the light of their limitations. Importantly, the open-ended questions used to gather the data included very few prompts. While this allowed respondents to discuss the aspects of their experience that were the most important to them, future research could focus on more specific topics, such as learning environments or opportunities. Prioritising depth over breadth, might also reveal further intersecting experiences, for example regarding cultural, ethnographic, or migratory aspects of the experience of autistic multilinguals. We were also unable to verify the multilingual abilities of our participants in person, given the anonymous online nature of the study, nor did we ask directly about language impairments or savant skills. Studies have shown that self-ratings of language proficiency and standardised language tests generally provide comparable results,^{44,45} however this has not yet been verified in autism. Specifically, we cannot be sure whether participants had the same understanding of what counted as average or good language proficiency. Therefore, relating the self-reported language profiles with psycho-linguistic abilities or impairments is outside the scope of this study, and future research should include direct assessments of language skills.

There are also some limitations with respect to our participant sample profile. Females were over-represented, at odds with the male-to-female ratio estimates in autism approaching 3:1.⁴⁶ The gender distribution in our sample aligned with the regularly reported bias towards female respondents in online studies,^{47,48} including studies with autistic participants.⁴⁹ We did not gather data on the ethnicity of the respondents, although we recognise that intersectionality⁵⁰ between autism, ethnicity, and status of the languages spoken may also greatly shape the experience of autistic multilinguals.⁵¹ Furthermore, seven of the 54 respondents were self-identified. It is possible that some of them would not meet criteria for a formal diagnosis of autism. Additionally, our data collection

methods were unlikely to permit responses from autistic people with severe intellectual disability. Finally, the age range of the respondents, the range of their age at diagnosis, and the range of countries of origin and residence in the sample, combine to indicate that variation of diagnosis systems across time and countries may have influenced their experiences. Overall, we acknowledge the diversity and complexity of autism: the lived experiences reported in this study may not be generalisable to all autistic multilinguals.

The present findings raise numerous questions regarding the combined experience of autism and multilingualism. First, this analysis exclusively focused on autistic adults who reported knowledge of at least four languages, but as no other study available assessed the experience of autistic individuals with only two or three languages, we do not currently know whether our findings are specific to autistic multilinguals, or comparable to the experience of autistic bi- and trilinguals. Addressing this question would indicate whether some of the benefits reported here only occur above a certain threshold of multilingualism, or whether other aspects of the language journey (for example, the active decision to learn a foreign language, or moving to a different country) produce these benefits. Comparing the experience of autistic and non-autistic bi- and multilinguals would also highlight how autistic people can uniquely experience bilingualism, for example in terms of social and communicative benefits. Finally, and importantly, respondents spontaneously reported social cognitive processes as an aspect of their lives influenced by multilingualism. To date, the link between social cognition and bilingualism has almost exclusively been researched in neurotypical populations, and only counts few studies (see Schroeder⁵² for a review). This relationship has not yet been directly addressed in autism, where the few studies currently available focus on social life quality,¹⁹ overall functional⁵³ or social⁵⁴ communication, or overall social functioning.^{55,56} Considering participants spontaneously pointed out the link between multilingualism and social cognition, particularly perspective-taking (generally challenged in autism, as described in Velikonja et al⁴⁰), it is now necessary to specifically address this topic in the context of autism.

5 Conclusion

This study is the first to provide a detailed account of the demographic and language profiles of a larger sample of autistic multilingual adults, as well as their perception of the combined experience of autism and multilingualism. Respondents reported multiple reasons motivating them to learn languages, such as increased opportunities and social motivations. Respondents also described multiple benefits linked with multilingualism, such as autism awareness, social abilities, and self-confidence. These findings have implications for language education practices as well as for multilingual families and the practitioners who support them.

Acknowledgements

We thank the respondents for taking part in the study.

Authorship Disclosure Statements

No competing financial interests exist.

Funding statement

This research was funded by the Patrick Wild Centre, University of Edinburgh.

Authorship Confirmation Statement

Nolte K contributed to the conceptualization and methodology of the study, analysis of the data, and writing of the original draft. Fletcher-Watson S contributed to the resources for the completion of the study, the reviewing and editing of the manuscript, and the overall supervision of the project. Sorace A contributed to the reviewing and editing of the manuscript, and the overall supervision of the project. Stanfield A contributed to the funding acquisition, the resources for the completion of the study, the reviewing and editing of the manuscript, and the overall supervision of the project. Digard

BG contributed to the conceptualization and methodology of the study, validation of the analysis, investigation and visualisation of the results, curation of the data, and the reviewing and editing of the manuscript. All co-authors reviewed and approved the manuscript prior to submission. The manuscript has been submitted solely to this journal and is not published, in press, or submitted elsewhere.

References

1. American Psychiatric Association. *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (DSM-5®)*. American Psychiatric Pub; 2013.
2. Norrelgen F, Fernell E, Eriksson M, et al. Children with autism spectrum disorders who do not develop phrase speech in the preschool years. *Autism*. 2015;19(8):934-943. doi:10.1177/1362361314556782
3. Hyltenstam K. 8 The exceptional ability of polyglots to achieve high-level proficiency in numerous languages. In: Hyltenstam K, ed. *Advanced Proficiency and Exceptional Ability in Second Languages*. De Gruyter; 2016. doi:10.1515/9781614515173-011
4. Silleresi S, Prévost P, Zebib R, Bonnet-Brilhault F, Conte D, Tuller L. Identifying Language and Cognitive Profiles in Children With ASD via a Cluster Analysis Exploration: Implications for the New ICD-11. *Autism Res*. Published online January 27, 2020. doi:10.1002/aur.2268
5. Tager-Flusberg H, Paul R, Lord C. Language and Communication in Autism. In: Volkmar FR, Paul R, Klin A, Cohen D, eds. *Handbook of Autism and Pervasive Developmental Disorders*. 1st ed. Wiley; 2005:335-364. doi:10.1002/9780470939345.ch12
6. Walenski M, Tager-Flusberg H, Ullman MT. Language in Autism. In: *Understanding Autism: From Basic Neuroscience to Treatment*. CRC Press; 2006:175-203. doi:10.1201/9781420004205.ch9
7. Kushki A, Chau T, Anagnostou E. Handwriting Difficulties in Children with Autism Spectrum Disorders: A Scoping Review. *J Autism Dev Disord*. 2011;41(12):1706-1716. doi:10.1007/s10803-011-1206-0
8. Mayes SD, Breaux RP, Calhoun SL, Frye SS. High Prevalence of Dysgraphia in Elementary Through High School Students With ADHD and Autism. *J Atten Disord*. 2019;23(8):787-796. doi:10.1177/1087054717720721
9. Steffenburg H, Steffenburg S, Gillberg C, Billstedt E. Children with autism spectrum disorders and selective mutism. *Neuropsychiatr Dis Treat*. 2018;Volume 14:1163-1169. doi:10.2147/NDT.S154966
10. Luyster R, Lord C. Word learning in children with autism spectrum disorders. *Dev Psychol*. 2009;45(6):1774-1786. doi:10.1037/a0016223
11. Norbury CF, Griffiths H, Nation K. Sound before meaning: Word learning in autistic disorders. *Neuropsychologia*. 2010;48(14):4012-4019. doi:10.1016/j.neuropsychologia.2010.10.015
12. Vulchanova M, Saldaña D, Baggio G. Word structure and word processing in developmental disorders. In: *Word Knowledge and Word Usage: A Cross-Disciplinary Guide to the Mental Lexicon*. De Gruyter Mouton; 2020:680-708. doi:10.1515/9783110440577-017

13. Walenski M, Mostofsky SH, Gidley-Larson JC, Ullman MT. Brief Report: Enhanced Picture Naming in Autism. *J Autism Dev Disord*. 2008;38(7):1395-1399. doi:10.1007/s10803-007-0513-y
14. Walenski M, Mostofsky SH, Ullman MT. Inflectional morphology in high-functioning autism: Evidence for speeded grammatical processing. *Res Autism Spectr Disord*. 2014;8(11):1607-1621. doi:10.1016/j.rasd.2014.08.009
15. Craig HK, Telfer AS. Hyperlexia and Autism Spectrum Disorder: A Case Study of Scaffolding Language Growth Over Time. *Top Lang Disord*. 2005;25(4):364-374. doi:10.1097/00011363-200510000-00011
16. Mottron L, Dawson M, Soulières I. Enhanced perception in savant syndrome: patterns, structure and creativity. *Philos Trans R Soc B Biol Sci*. 2009;364(1522):1385-1391. doi:10.1098/rstb.2008.0333
17. Nation K, Clarke P, Wright B, Williams C. Patterns of Reading Ability in Children with Autism Spectrum Disorder. *J Autism Dev Disord*. 2006;36(7):911-919. doi:10.1007/s10803-006-0130-1
18. Toal F, Murphy DGM, Murphy KC. Autistic-spectrum disorders: lessons from neuroimaging. *Br J Psychiatry*. 2005;187(5):395-397. doi:10.1192/bjp.187.5.395
19. Digard BG, Sorace A, Stanfield A, Fletcher-Watson S. Bilingualism in autism: Language learning profiles and social experiences. *Autism*. Published online July 17, 2020:1362361320937845. doi:10.1177/1362361320937845
20. Vulchanova M, Talcott JB, Vulchanov V, Stankova M, Eshuis H. Morphology in autism spectrum disorders: Local processing bias and language. *Cogn Neuropsychol*. 2012;29(7-8):584-600. doi:10.1080/02643294.2012.762350
21. Vulchanova M, Talcott JB, Vulchanov V, Stankova M. Language against the odds, or rather not: The weak central coherence hypothesis and language. *J Neurolinguistics*. 2012;25(1):13-30. doi:10.1016/j.jneuroling.2011.07.004
22. Kay-Raining Bird E, Genesee F, Verhoeven L. Bilingualism in children with developmental disorders: A narrative review. *J Commun Disord*. 2016;63:1-14. doi:10.1016/j.jcomdis.2016.07.003
23. Park S. Bilingualism and children with autism spectrum disorders: Issues, research, and implications. *NYS Tesol J*. 2014;1(2):122-129.
24. Kay-Raining Bird E, Lamond E, Holden J. Survey of bilingualism in autism spectrum disorders. *Int J Lang Commun Disord*. 2012;47(1):52-64. doi:10.1111/j.1460-6984.2011.00071.x
25. Kay-Raining Bird E, Trudeau N, Sutton A. Pulling it all together: The road to lasting bilingualism for children with developmental disabilities. *J Commun Disord*. 2016;63:63-78. doi:10.1016/j.jcomdis.2016.07.005
26. Yu B. Bilingualism as Conceptualized and Bilingualism as Lived : A Critical Examination of the Monolingual Socialization of a Child with Autism in a Bilingual Family. *J Autism Dev Disord*. 2016;46(2):424-435. doi:10.1007/s10803-015-2625-0
27. Drysdale H, van der Meer L, Kagohara D. Children with autism spectrum disorder from bilingual families: A systematic review. *Rev J Autism Dev Disord*. 2015;2(1):26-38. doi:10.1007/s40489-014-0032-7
28. Wang M, Jegathesan T, Huber J, Minhas R. Raising Children with Autism Spectrum Disorders in Monolingual vs Bilingual Homes: A Scoping Review. *Early Lang*. 2018;0(0):13.
29. Hampton S, Rabagliati H, Sorace A, Fletcher-Watson S. Autism and bilingualism: a qualitative interview study of parents' perspectives and experiences. *J Speech Lang Hear Res*. 2017;60(2):435-446. doi:10.1044/2016_JSLHR-L-15-0348

30. Howard KB, Katsos N, Gibson JL. The school experiences of bilingual children on the autism spectrum: An interpretative phenomenological analysis. *Res Dev Disabil.* 2019;87:9-20. doi:10.1016/j.ridd.2019.01.008
31. Paradowski MB, Wysokińska A. What motivates polyglots? *Teach Lang Beaten Track*. Published online 2014:127-148.
32. Hyltenstam K. Polyglotism: A synergy of abilities and predispositions. In: Hyltenstam K, Bartning I, Fant L, eds. *High-Level Language Proficiency in Second Language and Multilingual Contexts*. 1st ed. Cambridge University Press; 2018:170-195. doi:10.1017/9781316809686.008
33. Lewis LF. Realizing a diagnosis of autism spectrum disorder as an adult. *Int J Ment Health Nurs.* 2016;25(4):346-354. doi:10.1111/inm.12200
34. Epstein T. Late Diagnosis of Autism Spectrum Disorder. In: Lowinger S, Pearlman-Avnion S, eds. *Autism in Adulthood*. Springer International Publishing; 2019:31-52. doi:10.1007/978-3-030-28833-4_3
35. Kim SY. The experiences of adults with autism spectrum disorder: Self-determination and quality of life. *Res Autism Spectr Disord.* 2019;60:1-15. doi:10.1016/j.rasd.2018.12.002
36. Digard B, Fletcher-Watson S. Autism & Bilingualism Census: Demographic and Language Questionnaires. Published online May 16, 2020. doi:10.17605/OSF.IO/NS7MA
37. Braun V, Clarke V. Using thematic analysis in psychology. *Qual Res Psychol.* 2006;3(2):77-101. doi:10.1191/1478088706qp063oa
38. Digard B, Sorace A, Stanfield A, Fletcher-Watson S. Autism and multilingualism: Language profiles and lived experiences. Published online August 7, 2020. doi:10.17605/OSF.IO/N5ERH
39. Brighenti S, Schintu S, Liloia D, Keller R. Neuropsychological aspects of Asperger Syndrome in adults: a review. *Neuropsychol Trends.* 2018;(24):63-95. doi:10.7358/neur-2018-024-brig
40. Velikonja T, Fett A-K, Velthorst E. Patterns of Nonsocial and Social Cognitive Functioning in Adults With Autism Spectrum Disorder: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. *JAMA Psychiatry.* 2019;76(2):135. doi:10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2018.3645
41. Vincent A, Fonseca DD, Baumstarck K, Charvin I, Alcaraz-Mor R, Lehucher-Michel M-P. The quality of life and the future of young adults with Asperger syndrome. *Disabil Rehabil.* 2019;0(0):1-8. doi:10.1080/09638288.2018.1544297
42. Gerhardt PF, Lainer I. Addressing the Needs of Adolescents and Adults with Autism: A Crisis on the Horizon. *J Contemp Psychother.* 2011;41(1):37-45. doi:10.1007/s10879-010-9160-2
43. Ghaziuddin M. *Mental Health Aspects of Autism and Asperger Syndrome*. Jessica Kingsley Publishers; 2005.
44. Brantmeier C, Vanderplank R, Strube M. What about me?: Individual self-assessment by skill and level of language instruction. *System.* 2012;40(1):144-160. doi:10.1016/j.system.2012.01.003
45. Edele A, Seuring J, Kristen C, Stanat P. Why bother with testing? The validity of immigrants' self-assessed language proficiency. *Soc Sci Res.* 2015;52:99-123. doi:10.1016/j.ssresearch.2014.12.017
46. Loomes R, Hull L, Mandy WPL. What Is the Male-to-Female Ratio in Autism Spectrum Disorder? A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry.* 2017;56(6):466-474. doi:10.1016/j.jaac.2017.03.013
47. Sax LJ, Gilmartin SK, Bryant AN. Assessing Response Rates and Nonresponse Bias in Web and Paper Surveys. *Res High Educ.* 2003;44(4):409-432. doi:10.1023/A:1024232915870
48. Smith WG. *Does Gender Influence Online Survey Participation? A Record-Linkage Analysis of University Faculty Online Survey Response Behavior*. San José State University; 2008. Accessed February 15, 2020. <https://eric.ed.gov/?id=ED501717>

49. Deserno MK, Borsboom D, Begeer S, Geurts HM. Multicausal systems ask for multicausal approaches: A network perspective on subjective well-being in individuals with autism spectrum disorder. *Autism*. 2017;21(8):960-971. doi:10.1177/1362361316660309
50. Crenshaw K. Mapping the Margins: Intersectionality, Identity Politics, and Violence against Women of Color. *Stanford Law Rev*. 1990;43(6):1241-1300.
51. Rosa J, Flores N. Unsettling race and language: Toward a raciolinguistic perspective. *Lang Soc*. 2017;46(5):621-647. doi:10.1017/S0047404517000562
52. Schroeder SR. Do Bilinguals Have an Advantage in Theory of Mind? A Meta-Analysis. *Front Commun*. 2018;3. doi:10.3389/fcomm.2018.00036
53. Iarocci G, Hutchison SM, Toole GO. Second language exposure, functional communication, and executive function in children with and without autism spectrum disorder (ASD). *J Autism Dev Disord*. 2017;47(6):1818-1829. doi:10.1007/s10803-017-3103-7
54. Ratto AB, Potvin D, Pallathra AA, Saldana L, Kenworthy L. Parents report fewer executive functioning problems and repetitive behaviors in young dual-language speakers with autism. *Child Neuropsychol*. Published online March 11, 2020:1-17. doi:10.1080/09297049.2020.1733512
55. Uljarević M, Katsos N, Hudry K, Gibson JL. Practitioner review: Multilingualism and neurodevelopmental disorders – an overview of recent research and discussion of clinical implications. *J Child Psychol Psychiatry*. 2016;57(11):1205-1217. doi:10.1111/jcpp.12596
56. Valicenti-McDermott M, Seijo R, Shulman L. Social Differences Between Monolingual English and Bilingual English-Spanish Children With Autism Spectrum Disorders. *Pediatr Neurol*. 2019;100:55-59. doi:10.1016/j.pediatrneurol.2019.07.001

Supplementary Materials

Supplementary material 1. *Survey data management*

In the full sample described in Digard et al (2020)¹⁸, a total of 491 responses were recorded by SurveyMonkey. No catch item or repeated item was used, but the requirement to type the name of each language known, and the multiple open-ended questions allowed us to ensure no bot-like response was present in the final sample. In addition, responses were excluded if they:

- Did not provide full information for at least their first language (179 responses), as this could indicate the respondent had not actually completed the questionnaire and had dropped out after completing the consent form, but before providing all the necessary information to be included in the analysis. This high dropout rate was in all likelihood due to the length of the questionnaire;
- Listed information about several languages on one page (2 responses), as it was unclear which language was associated with the proficiency and use reported;
- Failed to provide information about diagnosis or self-identification of autism (7 responses);
- Did not list English as any of their languages, or indicated a general English proficiency strictly less than 3 ("Slightly less than adequate") (5 responses), as this suggests that the respondent might not fully understand the questions of the survey;
- Were duplicate responses from the same participant (1 response): in this case the second and more complete response was retained for analysis.

Several variables were created based on the participants' responses.

Language proficiency: For each language, proficiency was calculated as the average of 4 self-rated language skills (oral expression, oral comprehension, written expression, written comprehension).

Number of languages reported (N language R): Each respondent provided data on a number of languages ranging from 1 to 7. This was further converted into a categorical variable (N language R-group) for analysis: monolingual (one language reported), bilingual (two languages reported) and multilingual (three languages or more reported).

Number of languages known with medium to high proficiency (N language P): For each participant, this was the number of languages reported with a proficiency equal to or over 3 (“Slightly less than adequate”). This threshold was defined as indicating that the respondents had a more than basic grasp of the language. This discrete variable ranged from 1 to 7. This was further converted into a categorical variable (N language P-group) for analysis: monolingual (proficient in one language), bilingual (proficient in two languages) and multilingual (proficient in three or more languages).

Age of acquisition: Participants were asked “how old were you when you first encountered L2” and the answer to this question was defined as age of acquisition.

Language order: Participants reported their languages in varying orders (e.g. by increasing age of acquisition, or by decreasing proficiency). Languages were reordered by age of acquisition, with the 2nd language being the first language learned after the native language. Thirteen participants did not report a specific age of acquisition in years for some of their languages. In this case, answers were re-coded as missing data, but in most cases reordering of the languages by age of acquisition was still possible (e.g. where the respondent replied “infancy” for age of acquisition).

Balance: Relative proficiency between the first (L1) and second (L2) languages was calculated as the absolute difference between the first and second language proficiency. A score of 0 indicated a balanced proficiency, a score of 7 indicated a complete dominance in one of the languages. The same balance was calculated between the first and third (L3) languages.

Acquisition context: For each language, respondents indicated frequency of use with different interlocutors and in different contexts. The home environment included 5 item scores (parent 1, parent 2, siblings, other people in the household, other members of the family), the school environment included 1 item (school), and the community environment included 2 item scores (friends, community). Not all respondents assigned a score to all items (e.g. respondents without siblings did not report a score for this item). The maximum score reported in an environment was the score assigned to that environment. The main context of acquisition was identified as the environment with the highest score. When the main (highest-scoring) context had a score strictly under 3

("Occasionally"), the main context was re-coded as "independent", highlighting the fact that the respondent mostly learned the language independently, and didn't use it in the home, the school, or the community.

Current context: The main context of current use was identified in the same manner as the main context of acquisition. For this variable, the home environment included 7 item scores (parent 1, parent 2, siblings, partner, children, other members of the family, flatmates), the school/work environment included 1 item (school/work), and the community environment included 2 item scores (friends, community). For the respondents' first language (L1) only, the community environment featured only one item (community) due to an error when building the online survey. When the main context had a score strictly under 3 ("Occasionally"), the main context was re-coded as "independent", as above.

Supplementary material 2. Examples of initial first-level codes for all identified mid-level subthemes and top-level themes

Top-level themes	Mid-level subthemes	Examples of initial first-level codes
Direct influence of autism on language learning	Autism as an advantage	ASD helps, eidetic memory, focus (+), grammar, hyperfocus, hyperlexia, memory (+), identifying patterns, language connections, logic, independent study, verbal skills (+), written skills (+)
	Autism as a disadvantage	ASD makes languages learning more difficult, comorbid issues (e.g., ADHD, OCD), dysgraphia, focus (-), mutism, verbal skills (-)
Relationships	Family	Parents, grandparents, siblings, children, other relatives, babysitter, partner
	Friendships	Partner, making friends, autistic friends, videogame friends, exchange students
Opportunities brought by languages	Entertainment and leisure	Anime, books, Internet, magazines, movies and TV, music, news, radio, videogame friends
	Travels	Holidays, living abroad, tourists
	Higher education	Academic development, reading for university, study abroad
	Employment	Editing, interpreting, translating, teaching, healthcare, business, volunteering
Self-efficacy	Social interactions	Family, friendships, reduced anxiety, reduced social expectations, perspective taking, scripts, open-mindedness
	Self-confidence	Admiration, respect from others, better than peers, reduced anxiety, autism awareness
	Autism awareness	Autism awareness, autistic friends

Note: The (+/-) represent positive and negative connotations for codes that were mentioned in both contexts.

Supplementary material 3. Respondents' language profile (n = 54)

A. Number of languages			B. Age of acquisition and proficiency			
R, n (%)		P, n (%)	Languages (N)	Age in years, M (SD, range)	Proficiency, M (SD, range)	
1 lang.	-	3 (5.6)	L1 (54)	0.0 (0.0, 0.0 - 0.0)	7.4 (1.0, 3.3 - 8.0)	
2 lang.	-	7 (13.0)	L2 (54)	5.9 (5.02, 0.0 - 25.0)	5.2 (2.4, 0.5 - 8.0)	
3 lang.	-	15 (27.8)	L3 (54)	10.0 (6.1, 0.0 - 31.0)	4.4 (1.8, 1.5 - 8.0)	
4 lang.	26 (48.1)	20 (37.0)	L4 (54)	15.6 (7.5, 1.0 - 36.0)	3.5 (1.9, 0.3 - 8.0)	
5 lang.	14 (25.9)	6 (11.1)	L5 (28)	18.9 (6.3, 8.0 - 33.0)	3.9 (2.3, 0.5 - 8.0)	
6 lang.	9 (16.7)	1 (1.8)	L6 (14)	19.9 (5.2, 11.0 - 30.0)	3.2 (2.5, 0.3 - 7.3)	
7+ lang.	5 (9.6)	2 (3.7)	L7 (5)	25.2 (10.7, 14.0 - 42.0)	3.1 (1.7, 1.3 - 5.5)	
C. Age of acquisition – Age groups distribution, n (%)						
Language (n) ^a	Birth (age = 0)	Early childhood (age = 1 – 5)	Late childhood (age = 6 – 10)	Adolescence (age = 11 – 17)	Early adulthood (age = 18 – 30)	Adulthood (age > 30)
L1 (54)	54 (100.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)
L2 (53)	9 (17.0)	20 (37.7)	18 (34.0)	5 (9.4)	1 (1.9)	0 (0.0)
L3 (53)	4 (7.5)	8 (15.1)	14 (26.4)	23 (43.4)	3 (5.7)	1 (1.9)
L4 (52)	0 (0.0)	4 (7.7)	9 (17.3)	18 (34.6)	19 (36.5)	2 (3.9)
L5 (26)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	2 (7.7)	8 (30.8)	15 (57.7)	1 (3.9)
L6 (14)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	4 (28.6)	10 (71.4)	0 (0.0)
L7 (5)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	1 (20.0)	1 (20.0)	3 (60.0)

Note. Some percentages do not sum up to 100% due to cumulative rounding effects.

A. Number of languages: Number and proportion of respondents who reported (R) or were proficient (P) in 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, or 7 or more languages (lang.).

B. Age of acquisition and proficiency: Age of acquisition (age) and overall self-rated proficiency (averaged across self-rated abilities in written expression, written comprehension, oral expression, and oral comprehension) reported by the respondents in languages (L) 1 to 7, ranked by age of acquisition for each respondent.

C. Age of acquisition – Age groups distribution: Number and proportion of respondents who acquired their languages (L) 1 to 7 at birth, during early childhood, late childhood, adolescence, early adulthood, and late adulthood.

^a Reported sample sizes (n) reflect the number of respondents who provided useable age of acquisition data (in years).