NECESSARY AND SUFFICIENT CONDITIONS FOR TWO FUNCTIONS DEFINED BY TWO DERIVATIVES OF A FUNCTION INVOLVING TRIGAMMA FUNCTION TO BE COMPLETELY MONOTONIC OR MONOTONIC

FENG QI

*Dedicated to my PhD supervisor, Prof. Sen-Lin Xu, retired at USTC in China
on his 80th birthday anniversary*

ABSTRACT. In the paper, by convolution theorem for the Laplace transforms, some properties of a function involving exponential function, and other analytic techniques, the author finds necessary and sufficient conditions for two functions defined by two derivatives of a function involving trigamma function to be completely monotonic or monotonic.

CONTENTS

1.	Introduction	1
2.	Lemmas	3
3.	Necessary and sufficient conditions for complete monotonicity	9
4.	Necessary and sufficient conditions for monotonicity	12
	References	16

1. INTRODUCTION

In the literature [1, Section 6.4], the function $\Gamma(z) = \int_0^\infty t^{z-1} e^{-t} dt$, $\Re(z) > 0$ and its logarithmic derivative

$$\psi(z) = [\ln \Gamma(z)]' = \frac{\Gamma'(z)}{\Gamma(z)}$$

are respectively called Euler's gamma function and digamma function. Further, the functions $\psi'(z)$, $\psi''(z)$, $\psi'''(z)$, and $\psi^{(4)}(z)$ are known as trigamma, tetragamma, pentagamma, and hexagamma functions respectively. As a whole, all the derivatives $\psi^{(k)}(z)$ for $k \in \{0\} \cup \mathbb{N}$ are known as polygamma functions.

Recall from Chapter XIII in [3], Chapter 1 in [9], and Chapter IV in [10] that, if a function $f(x)$ on an interval I has derivatives of all orders on I and satisfies $(-1)^n f^{(n)}(x) \geq 0$ for $x \in I$ and $n \in \{0\} \cup \mathbb{N}$, where $\mathbb{N}$ denotes the set of all positive

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 33B15; Secondary 26A48, 26A51, 26D07, 44A10.

Key words and phrases. complete monotonicity; monotonicity; necessary and sufficient condition; derivative; trigamma function; convolution theorem for the Laplace transforms; logarithmic concavity; exponential function; inequality.

This paper was typeset using $\mathcal{A}\mathcal{M}\mathcal{S}$ - $\mathcal{L}\mathcal{A}\mathcal{T}\mathcal{E}\mathcal{X}$.

integers, then we call $f(x)$ a completely monotonic function on I . Theorem 12b in [10, p. 161] characterized that a function $f(x)$ is completely monotonic on $(0, \infty)$ if and only if

$$f(x) = \int_0^\infty e^{-xt} d\sigma(t), \quad x \in (0, \infty), \quad (1.1)$$

where $\sigma(s)$ is non-decreasing and the integral in (1.1) converges for $x \in (0, \infty)$. The integral representation (1.1) means that a function $f(x)$ is completely monotonic on $(0, \infty)$ if and only if it is a Laplace transform of a non-decreasing measure $\sigma(s)$ on $(0, \infty)$.

Let $\Phi(x) = x\psi'(x) - 1$ on $(0, \infty)$. It is easy to see that

$$\Phi^{(k)}(x) = k\psi^{(k)}(x) + x\psi^{(k+1)}(x), \quad k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

In [7, Section 4] and [8, Theorem 4], the author proved that,

- (1) if and only if $\alpha \geq 2$, the function $\mathfrak{H}_\alpha(x) = \Phi'(x) + \alpha\Phi^2(x)$ is completely monotonic on $(0, \infty)$;
- (2) if and only if $\alpha \leq 1$, the function $-\mathfrak{H}_\alpha(x)$ is completely monotonic on $(0, \infty)$;
- (3) the double inequality $-2 < \frac{\Phi'(x)}{\Phi^2(x)} < -1$ is valid on $(0, \infty)$ and sharp in the sense that the lower bound -2 and the upper bound -1 cannot be replaced by any bigger number and any smaller number respectively.

In [7, Theorem 1.1], the author discovered the following necessary and sufficient conditions:

- (1) if and only if $\beta \geq 2$, the function $H_\beta(x) = \frac{\Phi'(x)}{\Phi^\beta(x)}$ is decreasing on $(0, \infty)$, with the limits

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} H_\beta(x) = \begin{cases} -1, & \beta = 2 \\ 0, & \beta > 2 \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} H_\beta(x) = \begin{cases} -2, & \beta = 2 \\ -\infty, & \beta > 2; \end{cases}$$

- (2) if $\beta \leq 1$, the function $H_\beta(x)$ is increasing on $(0, \infty)$, with the limits

$$H_\beta(x) \rightarrow \begin{cases} -\infty, & x \rightarrow 0^+ \\ 0, & x \rightarrow \infty. \end{cases}$$

For $k \in \{0\} \cup \mathbb{N}$ and $\lambda_k, \mu_k \in \mathbb{R}$, let

$$\mathfrak{J}_{k, \lambda_k}(x) = \Phi^{(2k+1)}(x) + \lambda_k [\Phi^{(k)}(x)]^2 \quad \text{and} \quad J_{k, \mu_k}(x) = \frac{\Phi^{(2k+1)}(x)}{[(-1)^k \Phi^{(k)}(x)]^{\mu_k}}$$

on $(0, \infty)$. It is clear that $\mathfrak{J}_{0, \lambda_0}(x) = \mathfrak{H}_{\lambda_0}(x)$ and $J_{0, \mu_0}(x) = H_{\mu_0}(x)$. In the paper [5], the author established the following necessary and sufficient conditions:

- (1) if and only if $\lambda_k \geq \frac{(2k+2)!}{k!(k+1)!}$, the function $\mathfrak{J}_{k, \lambda_k}(x)$ is completely monotonic on $(0, \infty)$;
- (2) if and only if $\lambda_k \leq \frac{1}{2} \frac{(2k+2)!}{k!(k+1)!}$, the function $-\mathfrak{J}_{k, \lambda_k}(x)$ is completely monotonic on $(0, \infty)$;
- (3) if and only if $\mu_k \geq 2$, the function $J_{k, \mu_k}(x)$ is decreasing on $(0, \infty)$, with the limits

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} J_{k, \mu_k}(x) = \begin{cases} -\frac{1}{2} \frac{(2k+2)!}{k!(k+1)!}, & \mu_k = 2 \\ 0, & \mu_k > 2 \end{cases}$$

and

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} J_{k, \mu_k}(x) = \begin{cases} -\frac{(2k+2)!}{k!(k+1)!}, & \mu_k = 2 \\ -\infty, & \mu_k > 2; \end{cases}$$

(4) if $\mu_k \leq 1$, the function $J_{k, \mu_k}(x)$ is increasing on $(0, \infty)$, with the limits

$$J_{k, \mu_k}(x) \rightarrow \begin{cases} -\infty, & x \rightarrow 0^+ \\ 0, & x \rightarrow \infty; \end{cases}$$

(5) the double inequality

$$-\frac{(2k+2)!}{k!(k+1)!} < \frac{\Phi^{(2k+1)}(x)}{[\Phi^{(k)}(x)]^2} < -\frac{1}{2} \frac{(2k+2)!}{k!(k+1)!}$$

is valid on $(0, \infty)$ and sharp in the sense that the lower and upper bounds cannot be replaced by any larger and smaller numbers respectively.

Let

$$G(x) = x\Phi(x) - \frac{1}{2} = x[x\psi'(x) - 1] - \frac{1}{2} = x^2 \left[\psi'(x) - \frac{1}{x} \right] - \frac{1}{2}$$

on $(0, \infty)$. It is easy to obtain that

$$G'(x) = \Phi(x) + x\Phi'(x) = x^2\psi''(x) + 2x\psi'(x) - 1$$

and

$$G^{(\ell)}(x) = \ell\Phi^{(\ell-1)}(x) + x\Phi^{(\ell)}(x) = x^2\psi^{(\ell+1)}(x) + 2\ell x\psi^{(\ell)}(x) + \ell(\ell-1)\psi^{(\ell-1)}(x)$$

for $\ell \geq 2$. For $k \in \{0\} \cup \mathbb{N}$ and $\theta_k, \tau_k \in \mathbb{R}$, let

$$\mathcal{G}_{k, \theta_k}(x) = G^{(2k+1)}(x) + \theta_k [G^{(k)}(x)]^2 \quad (1.2)$$

and

$$\mathfrak{G}_{k, \tau_k}(x) = \frac{G^{(2k+1)}(x)}{[(-1)^k G^{(k)}(x)]^{\tau_k}} \quad (1.3)$$

on $(0, \infty)$. In this paper, we will find necessary and sufficient conditions on the scalars θ_k and τ_k such that

- (1) the functions $\pm \mathcal{G}_{k, \theta_k}(x)$ are completely monotonic on $(0, \infty)$;
- (2) the function $\mathfrak{G}_{k, \tau_k}(x)$ is monotonic on $(0, \infty)$.

2. LEMMAS

The following lemmas are necessary in this paper.

Lemma 2.1. *Let*

$$w(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{e^t[(t-2)e^t + t + 2]}{(e^t - 1)^3}, & t \neq 0; \\ \frac{1}{6}, & t = 0. \end{cases}$$

Then the following conclusions are valid:

- (1) *the function $w(t)$ is infinitely differentiable, positive, and even on $(-\infty, \infty)$, is increasing on $(-\infty, 0)$, and is decreasing on $(0, \infty)$;*
- (2) *the function $w(t)$ is logarithmically concave on $(-\infty, \infty)$;*

- (3) the function $\frac{w(2t)}{w^2(t)}$ is even on $(-\infty, \infty)$, increasing from $(-\infty, 0)$ onto $(0, 6)$, and decreasing from $(0, \infty)$ onto $(0, 6)$;
- (4) for any fixed $t > 0$, the function $w(st)w((1-s)t)$ is increasing in $s \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$.

Proof. Let

$$h(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{e^t(e^t - 1 - t)}{(e^t - 1)^2}, & t \neq 0; \\ \frac{1}{2}, & t = 0. \end{cases}$$

In [7, Lemma 2.3], the author proved that the function $h(t)$ is infinitely differentiable on $(-\infty, \infty)$, increasing on $(-\infty, \infty)$, convex on $(-\infty, 0)$, concave on $(0, \infty)$, and $h(t) + h(-t) = 1$ on $(-\infty, \infty)$. It is easy to verify that $h'(t) = w(t)$. Therefore, the function $w(t)$ is infinitely differentiable on $(-\infty, \infty)$, positive on $(-\infty, \infty)$, increasing on $(-\infty, 0)$, decreasing on $(0, \infty)$, and even on $(-\infty, \infty)$.

Straightforward computation yields

$$\begin{aligned} [\ln w(t)]'' &= -\frac{e^{4t} - 4(t^2 - 3t + 4)e^{3t} - (4t^2 - 30)e^{2t} - 4(t^2 + 3t + 4)e^t + 1}{(e^t - 1)^2[(t - 2)e^t + t + 2]^2} \\ &= -\frac{\sum_{k=8}^{\infty} \left(\begin{array}{c} 29 \times 2^{2k-5} - 4(k^2 - 10k + 36)3^{k-2} \\ + 2^{2k-7} - 4(k^2 + 2k + 4) \\ + (11 \times 2^{k-7} - k^2 + k + 30)2^k \end{array} \right) \frac{t^k}{k!}}{(e^t - 1)^2[(t - 2)e^t + t + 2]^2} \\ &= -\frac{\frac{t^8}{180} + \frac{t^9}{90} + \frac{109t^{10}}{9450} + \frac{13t^{11}}{1575} + \frac{2071t^{12}}{453600} + \frac{157t^{13}}{75600} + \frac{10573t^{14}}{13097700} + \cdots}{(e^t - 1)^2[(t - 2)e^t + t + 2]^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $29 \times 2^{2k-5} - 4(k^2 - 10k + 36)3^{k-2} > 0$ for $k \geq 7$, $2^{2k-7} - 4(k^2 + 2k + 4) > 0$ for $k \geq 8$, and $11 \times 2^{k-7} - k^2 + k + 30 > 0$ for $k \geq 9$, we obtain that $[\ln w(t)]'' < 0$ on $(0, \infty)$. This means that the function $w(t)$ is logarithmically concave on $(0, \infty)$.

Let $\varphi(t) = e^{4t} - 4(t^2 - 3t + 4)e^{3t} - (4t^2 - 30)e^{2t} - 4(t^2 + 3t + 4)e^t + 1$ on $[0, \infty)$. Direct differentiation yields

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi'(t) &= 4e^t[e^{3t} - e^{2t}(3t^2 - 7t + 9) - e^t(2t^2 + 2t - 15) - t^2 - 5t - 7] \\ &\triangleq 4e^t\varphi_1(t) \\ &\rightarrow 0, \quad t \rightarrow 0, \\ \varphi_1'(t) &= 3e^{3t} - e^{2t}(6t^2 - 8t + 11) - e^t(2t^2 + 6t - 13) - 2t - 5, \\ \varphi_1''(t) &= 9e^{3t} - 2e^{2t}(6t^2 - 2t + 7) - e^t(2t^2 + 10t - 7) - 2, \\ \varphi_1'''(t) &= e^t[27e^{2t} - 8e^t(3t^2 + 2t + 3) - 2t^2 - 14t - 3] \\ &\triangleq e^t\varphi_2(t) \\ &\rightarrow 0, \quad t \rightarrow 0, \\ \varphi_2'(t) &= 54e^{2t} - 8e^t(3t^2 + 8t + 5) - 4t - 14, \\ \varphi_2''(t) &= 108e^{2t} - 8e^t(3t^2 + 14t + 13) - 4, \\ \varphi_2'''(t) &= 8e^t(27e^t - 3t^2 - 20t - 27) \\ &\triangleq 8e^t\varphi_3(t) \\ &\rightarrow 0, \quad t \rightarrow 0, \\ \varphi_3'(t) &= 27e^t - 6t - 20 \end{aligned}$$

$$> 0$$

on $[0, \infty)$. As a result, we obtain that $\varphi_k^{(\ell)}(t) > 0$ for all $1 \leq k \leq 2$ and $1 \leq \ell \leq 3$ and $\varphi'(t) > 0$ on $(0, \infty)$. Further, since $\varphi(0) = 0$, we deduce $\varphi(t) > 0$ on $(0, \infty)$. This means $[\ln w(t)]'' < 0$ on $(0, \infty)$. The logarithmic concavity of $w(t)$ on $(0, \infty)$ is proved once again.

From $w(t) = w(-t)$, it follows that $w'(t) = -w'(-t)$. Accordingly, we acquire

$$[\ln w(-t)]' = -\frac{w'(-t)}{w(-t)} = \frac{w'(t)}{w(t)} = [\ln w(t)]'.$$

As a result, the function $w(t)$ is also logarithmically concave on $(-\infty, 0)$.

Direct computation gives

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{w(2t)}{w^2(t)} &= \frac{2(e^t - 1)^3[(t-1)e^{2t} + t + 1]}{(e^t + 1)^3[(t-2)e^t + t + 2]^2} \\ &= \begin{cases} 6, & t \rightarrow 0; \\ 0, & t \rightarrow \pm\infty, \end{cases} \\ \left[\frac{w(2t)}{w^2(t)} \right]' &= -\frac{2(e^t - 1)^2 \left[te^{5t} - (8t^2 - 17t + 12)e^{4t} - 4(t^2 + 2t - 3)e^{3t} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - 4(t^2 - 2t - 3)e^{2t} - (8t^2 + 17t + 12)e^t - t \right]}{(e^t + 1)^4[(t-2)e^t + t + 2]^3} \\ &\triangleq -\frac{2(e^t - 1)w_1(t)}{(e^t + 1)^3[(t-2)e^t + t + 2]^3}, \\ w_1'(t) &= e^{5t}(5t + 1) - e^{4t}(32t^2 - 52t + 31) - 4e^{3t}(3t^2 + 8t - 7) \\ &\quad - 8e^{2t}(t^2 - t - 4) - e^t(8t^2 + 33t + 29) - 1 \\ &\rightarrow 0, \quad t \rightarrow 0, \\ w_1''(t) &= [5e^{4t}(5t + 2) - 8e^{3t}(16t^2 - 18t + 9) - 4e^{2t}(9t^2 + 30t - 13) \\ &\quad - 8e^t(2t^2 - 9) - 8t^2 - 49t - 62]e^t \\ &\triangleq w_2(t)e^t \\ &\rightarrow 0, \quad t \rightarrow 0, \\ w_2'(t) &= 5e^{4t}(20t + 13) - 8e^{3t}(48t^2 - 22t + 9) - 8e^{2t}(9t^2 + 39t + 2) \\ &\quad - 8e^t(2t^2 + 4t - 9) - 16t - 49 \\ &\rightarrow 0, \quad t \rightarrow 0, \\ w_2''(t) &= 8[5e^{4t}(10t + 9) - e^{3t}(144t^2 + 30t + 5) \\ &\quad - e^{2t}(18t^2 + 96t + 43) - e^t(2t^2 + 8t - 5) - 2] \\ &\rightarrow 0, \quad t \rightarrow 0, \\ w_2'''(t) &= 8[10e^{3t}(20t + 23) - 9e^{2t}(48t^2 + 42t + 5) \\ &\quad - 2e^t(18t^2 + 114t + 91) - 2t^2 - 12t - 3]e^t \\ &\triangleq 8w_3(t)e^t \\ &\rightarrow 0, \quad t \rightarrow 0, \\ w_3'(t) &= 10e^{3t}(60t + 89) - 36e^{2t}(24t^2 + 45t + 13) \\ &\quad - 2e^t(18t^2 + 150t + 205) - 4t - 12 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \rightarrow 0, \quad t \rightarrow 0, \\
w_3''(t) &= 30e^{3t}(60t + 109) - 36e^{2t}(48t^2 + 138t + 71) \\
&\quad - 2e^t(18t^2 + 186t + 355) - 4 \\
& \rightarrow 0, \quad t \rightarrow 0, \\
w_3'''(t) &= 2[135e^{2t}(20t + 43) - 72e^t(24t^2 + 93t + 70) - 18t^2 - 222t - 541]e^t \\
&\triangleq 2w_4(t)e^t \\
& \rightarrow 448, \quad t \rightarrow 0, \\
w_4'(t) &= 6[45e^{2t}(20t + 53) - 12e^t(24t^2 + 141t + 163) - 6t - 37] \\
& \rightarrow 2352, \quad t \rightarrow 0, \\
w_4''(t) &= 36[15e^{2t}(20t + 63) - 2e^t(24t^2 + 189t + 304) - 1] \\
& \rightarrow 12096, \quad t \rightarrow 0, \\
w_4'''(t) &= 72[15e^t(20t + 73) - 24t^2 - 237t - 493]e^t \\
&\triangleq 72w_5(t)e^t \\
& \rightarrow 43344, \quad t \rightarrow 0, \\
w_5'(t) &= 3[5e^t(20t + 93) - 16t - 79] \\
& \rightarrow 1158, \quad t \rightarrow 0, \\
w_5''(t) &= 15e^t(20t + 113) - 48 \\
& \rightarrow 1647, \quad t \rightarrow 0, \\
w_5'''(t) &= 15e^t(20t + 133) \\
& > 0
\end{aligned}$$

on $(0, \infty)$. This means that $w_k^{(\ell)}(t) > 0$ for $1 \leq \ell \leq 3$ and $2 \leq k \leq 5$ on $(0, \infty)$, that $w_1''(t) > 0$ and $w_1'(t) > 0$ on $(0, \infty)$, and that $w_1(t) > 0$ on $(0, \infty)$. Hence, the first derivative $\left[\frac{w(2t)}{w^2(t)}\right]'$ is negative on $(0, \infty)$. As a result, the function $\frac{w(2t)}{w^2(t)}$ is decreasing on $(0, \infty)$.

From the evenness of $\frac{w(2t)}{w^2(t)}$ on $(-\infty, \infty)$, it follows that the function $\frac{w(2t)}{w^2(t)}$ is increasing on $(-\infty, 0)$.

Direct differentiation results in

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d[w(st)w((1-s)t)]}{ds} &= tw'(st)w((1-s)t) - tw(st)w'((1-s)t) \\
&= tw(st)w((1-s)t) \left[\frac{w'(st)}{w(st)} - \frac{w'((1-s)t)}{w((1-s)t)} \right] \\
&= tw(st)w((1-s)t) \left[\frac{d \ln w(s)}{ds} \Big|_{s=st} - \frac{d \ln w(s)}{ds} \Big|_{s=(1-s)t} \right] \\
&> 0
\end{aligned}$$

for $0 < s < \frac{1}{2}$, where we used the fact that $st < (1-s)t$ for $0 < s < \frac{1}{2}$ and the fact that $w(t)$ is logarithmically concave on $(-\infty, \infty)$. Accordingly, for any fixed $t > 0$, the function $w(st)w((1-s)t)$ is increasing in $s \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$. The proof of Lemma 2.1 is complete. $\square$

Lemma 2.2. *For $k \in \{0\} \cup \mathbb{N}$, the function $(-1)^k G^{(k)}(x)$ is completely monotonic on $(0, \infty)$, with the limits*

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} [(-1)^k G^{(k)}(x)] = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}, & k = 0 \\ 1, & k = 1 \\ (-1)^k k(k-1)\psi^{(k-1)}(1), & k \geq 2 \end{cases} \quad (2.1)$$

and

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} [(-1)^k x^{k+1} G^{(k)}(x)] = \frac{k!}{6}. \quad (2.2)$$

Proof. In the proof of [8, Theorem 4], the author established that

$$G(x) = \int_0^\infty w(t) e^{-xt} dt.$$

This means

$$(-1)^k G^{(k)}(x) = \int_0^\infty w(t) t^k e^{-xt} dt \quad (2.3)$$

which is completely monotonic on $(0, \infty)$.

For $\Re(z) > 0$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$\psi^{(k-1)}(z+1) = \psi^{(k-1)}(z) + (-1)^{k-1} \frac{(k-1)!}{z^k}.$$

See [1, p. 260, 6.4.6]. By this recurrence relation, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} G(x) &= x \left[x \left(\psi'(x+1) + \frac{1}{x^2} \right) - 1 \right] - \frac{1}{2} \\ &\rightarrow \frac{1}{2}, \quad x \rightarrow 0^+, \\ G'(x) &= x^2 \left[\psi''(x+1) - \frac{2}{x^3} \right] + 2x \left[\psi'(x+1) + \frac{1}{x^2} \right] - 1 \\ &\rightarrow -1, \quad x \rightarrow 0^+, \end{aligned}$$

and, when $\ell \geq 2$,

$$\begin{aligned} G^{(\ell)}(x) &= x^2 \left[\psi^{(\ell+1)}(x+1) - (-1)^{\ell+1} \frac{(\ell+1)!}{x^{\ell+2}} \right] \\ &\quad + 2\ell x \left[\psi^{(\ell)}(x+1) - (-1)^\ell \frac{\ell!}{x^{\ell+1}} \right] \\ &\quad + \ell(\ell-1) \left[\psi^{(\ell-1)}(x+1) - (-1)^{\ell-1} \frac{(\ell-1)!}{x^\ell} \right] \\ &= -(-1)^{\ell+1} \frac{(\ell+1)!}{x^\ell} - 2\ell(-1)^\ell \frac{\ell!}{x^\ell} - \ell(\ell-1)(-1)^{\ell-1} \frac{(\ell-1)!}{x^\ell} \\ &\quad + x^2 \psi^{(\ell+1)}(x+1) + 2\ell x \psi^{(\ell)}(x+1) + \ell(\ell-1) \psi^{(\ell-1)}(x+1) \\ &= x^2 \psi^{(\ell+1)}(x+1) + 2\ell x \psi^{(\ell)}(x+1) + \ell(\ell-1) \psi^{(\ell-1)}(x+1) \\ &\rightarrow \ell(\ell-1) \psi^{(\ell-1)}(1), \quad x \rightarrow 0^+. \end{aligned}$$

Three limits in (2.1) are thus proved.

In [1, p. 260, 6.4.11], it was given that, for $|\arg z| < \pi$, as $z \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\psi^{(n)}(z) \sim (-1)^{n-1} \left[\frac{(n-1)!}{z^n} + \frac{n!}{2z^{n+1}} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} B_{2k} \frac{(2k+n-1)!}{(2k)!z^{2k+n}} \right], \quad (2.4)$$

where B_{2k} for $k \in \mathbb{N}$ stands for the Bernoulli numbers which are generated [4] by

$$\frac{z}{e^z - 1} = 1 - \frac{z}{2} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} B_{2k} \frac{z^{2k}}{(2k)!}, \quad |z| < 2\pi.$$

By virtue of the asymptotic expansion (2.4), as $x \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} xG(x) &\sim x \left(x \left[x \left(\frac{1}{x} + \frac{1}{2x^2} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{B_{2k}}{x^{2k+1}} \right) - 1 \right] - \frac{1}{2} \right) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{B_{2k}}{x^{2k-2}} \\ &\rightarrow B_2, \\ x^2 G'(x) &\sim x^2 \left[2x \left(\frac{1}{x} + \frac{1}{2x^2} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{B_{2k}}{x^{2k+1}} \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - x^2 \left(\frac{1}{x^2} + \frac{1}{x^3} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(2k+1)B_{2k}}{x^{2k+2}} \right) - 1 \right] \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(1-2k)B_{2k}}{x^{2k-2}} \\ &\rightarrow -B_2, \end{aligned}$$

and, for $\ell \geq 2$,

$$\begin{aligned} x^{\ell+1} G^{(\ell)}(x) &= x^{\ell+1} \left(x^2 (-1)^\ell \left[\frac{\ell!}{x^{\ell+1}} + \frac{(\ell+1)!}{2x^{\ell+2}} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} B_{2k} \frac{(2k+\ell)!}{(2k)!x^{2k+\ell+1}} \right] \right. \\ &\quad \left. + 2\ell x (-1)^{\ell-1} \left[\frac{(\ell-1)!}{x^\ell} + \frac{\ell!}{2x^{\ell+1}} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} B_{2k} \frac{(2k+\ell-1)!}{(2k)!x^{2k+\ell}} \right] \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \ell(\ell-1)(-1)^{\ell-2} \left[\frac{(\ell-2)!}{x^{\ell-1}} + \frac{(\ell-1)!}{2x^\ell} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} B_{2k} \frac{(2k+\ell-2)!}{(2k)!x^{2k+\ell-1}} \right] \right) \\ &= (-1)^\ell \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(2k+\ell-2)!}{(2k-2)!} \frac{B_{2k}}{x^{2k-2}} \\ &\rightarrow (-1)^\ell \ell! B_2. \end{aligned}$$

Applying $B_2 = \frac{1}{6}$ to the above three limits and unifying lead to the limit (2.2). The proof of Lemma 2.2 is complete. $\square$

Lemma 2.3 (Convolution theorem for the Laplace transforms [10, pp. 91–92]). *Let $f_k(t)$ for $k = 1, 2$ be piecewise continuous in arbitrary finite intervals included in $(0, \infty)$. If there exist some constants $M_k > 0$ and $c_k \geq 0$ such that $|f_k(t)| \leq M_k e^{c_k t}$*

for $k = 1, 2$, then

$$\int_0^\infty \left[\int_0^t f_1(u) f_2(t-u) du \right] e^{-st} dt = \int_0^\infty f_1(u) e^{-su} du \int_0^\infty f_2(v) e^{-sv} dv.$$

Lemma 2.4 ([6, Theorem 6.1]). *If $f(x)$ is differentiable and logarithmically concave on $(-\infty, \infty)$, then the product $f(x)f(x_0 - x)$ for any fixed number $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ is increasing in $x \in (-\infty, \frac{x_0}{2})$ and decreasing in $x \in (\frac{x_0}{2}, \infty)$.*

Lemma 2.5 ([5, Lemma 2.6]). *For $k, m \in \mathbb{N}$, the function*

$$V_{k,m}(x) = \frac{(1-x)^{k+m} + (1+x)^{k+m}}{(1-x)^k + (1+x)^k}$$

is increasing in $x \in [0, 1]$, with $V_{k,m}(0) = 1$ and $V_{k,m}(1) = 2^m$.

3. NECESSARY AND SUFFICIENT CONDITIONS FOR COMPLETE MONOTONICITY

In this section, we find necessary and sufficient conditions on θ_k such that the functions $\pm \mathcal{G}_{k,\theta_k}(x)$ defined in (1.2) are completely monotonic on $(0, \infty)$.

Theorem 3.1. *For $k \in \{0\} \cup \mathbb{N}$ and $\theta_k \in \mathbb{R}$,*

- (1) *if and only if $\theta_k \geq \frac{3(2k+2)!}{k!(k+1)!}$, the function $\mathcal{G}_{k,\theta_k}(x)$ is completely monotonic on $(0, \infty)$;*
- (2) *if and only if $\theta_k \leq 0$, the function $-\mathcal{G}_{k,\theta_k}(x)$ is completely monotonic on $(0, \infty)$.*

Proof. If the function $\mathcal{G}_{k,\theta_k}(x)$ is completely monotonic, then its first derivative

$$\mathcal{G}'_{k,\theta_k}(x) = G^{(2k+2)}(x) + 2\theta_k G^{(k)}(x) G^{(k+1)}(x) \leq 0,$$

that is,

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_k &\geq -\frac{1}{2} \frac{G^{(2k+2)}(x)}{G^{(k)}(x) G^{(k+1)}(x)} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \frac{(-1)^{2k+2} x^{2k+3} G^{(2k+2)}(x)}{[(-1)^k x^{k+1} G^{(k)}(x)][(-1)^{k+1} x^{k+2} G^{(k+1)}(x)]} \\ &\rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \frac{\frac{(2k+2)!}{6}}{\frac{k!}{6} \frac{(k+1)!}{6}} \\ &= \frac{3(2k+2)!}{k!(k+1)!} \end{aligned}$$

as $x \rightarrow \infty$, where we used the limit (2.2) in Lemma 2.2.

If the function $-\mathcal{G}_{k,\theta_k}(x)$ is completely monotonic, then its first derivative

$$\mathcal{G}'_{k,\theta_k}(x) = G^{(2k+2)}(x) + 2\theta_k G^{(k)}(x) G^{(k+1)}(x) \geq 0,$$

that is,

$$\theta_k \leq -\frac{1}{2} \frac{G^{(2k+2)}(x)}{G^{(k)}(x) G^{(k+1)}(x)}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \rightarrow -\frac{1}{2} \times \begin{cases} \frac{2\psi'(1)}{\frac{1}{2}(-1)}, & k=0 \\ \frac{12\psi'''(1)}{(-1)2\psi'(1)}, & k=1 \\ \frac{(2k+2)(2k+1)\psi^{(2k+1)}(1)}{k(k-1)\psi^{(k-1)}(1)(k+1)k\psi^{(k)}(1)}, & k \geq 2 \end{cases} \\
& = \begin{cases} 2\psi'(1), & k=0 \\ \frac{3\psi'''(1)}{\psi'(1)}, & k=1 \\ -\frac{2k+1}{k^2(k-1)} \frac{\psi^{(2k+1)}(1)}{\psi^{(k-1)}(1)\psi^{(k)}(1)}, & k \geq 2 \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

as $x \rightarrow 0^+$, where we used the limits in (2.1).

By virtue of the integral representation (2.3) and Lemma 2.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{G}_{k,\theta_k}(x) &= \theta_k \left[\int_0^\infty w(t) t^k e^{-xt} dt \right]^2 - \int_0^\infty t^{2k+1} w(t) e^{-xt} dt \\
&= \int_0^\infty \left[\theta_k \int_0^t u^k (t-u)^k w(u) w(t-u) du - t^{2k+1} w(t) \right] e^{-xt} dt.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

From the logarithmic concavity of $w(t)$ in Lemma 2.1 and from Lemma 2.4, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \theta_k \int_0^t u^k (t-u)^k w(u) w(t-u) du - t^{2k+1} w(t) \\
& \leq \theta_k \int_0^t u^k (t-u)^k w\left(\frac{t}{2}\right) w\left(t - \frac{t}{2}\right) du - t^{2k+1} w(t) \\
& = \theta_k w^2\left(\frac{t}{2}\right) \int_0^t u^k (t-u)^k du - t^{2k+1} w(t) \\
& = \theta_k w^2\left(\frac{t}{2}\right) \frac{(k!)^2}{(2k+1)!} t^{2k+1} - t^{2k+1} w(t) \\
& = \left[\theta_k \frac{(k!)^2}{(2k+1)!} - \frac{w(t)}{w^2\left(\frac{t}{2}\right)} \right] t^{2k+1} w^2\left(\frac{t}{2}\right)
\end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& \theta_k \int_0^t u^k (t-u)^k w(u) w(t-u) du - t^{2k+1} w(t) \\
& \geq \theta_k \int_0^t u^k (t-u)^k w(0) w(t) du - t^{2k+1} w(t) \\
& = \theta_k \frac{(k!)^2}{(2k+1)!} t^{2k+1} w(0) w(t) - t^{2k+1} w(t) \\
& = \left[\frac{\theta_k (k!)^2}{6 (2k+1)!} - 1 \right] t^{2k+1} w(t),
\end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

where we used the computation

$$\int_0^t u^k (t-u)^k du = t^{2k+1} \int_0^1 s^k (1-s)^k ds$$

$$= B(k+1, k+1)t^{2k+1} = \frac{(k!)^2}{(2k+1)!}t^{2k+1}.$$

Applying the third conclusion in Lemma 2.1 to (3.2), when $\theta_k \leq 0$, then

$$\theta_k \int_0^t u^k(t-u)^k w(u)w(t-u)du - t^{2k+1}w(t) \leq 0$$

which means that the function $-\mathcal{G}_{k,\theta_k}(x)$ is completely monotonic on $(0, \infty)$. This sufficient condition $\theta_k \leq 0$ is trivial.

From (3.3), we can deduce that, when $\theta_k \geq \frac{6(2k+1)!}{(k!)^2} = \frac{3(2k+2)!}{k!(k+1)!}$,

$$\theta_k \int_0^t u^k(t-u)^k w(u)w(t-u)du - t^{2k+1}w(t) \geq 0$$

which means that the function $\mathcal{G}_{k,\theta_k}(x)$ is completely monotonic on $(0, \infty)$.

The integral representation (3.1) can be alternatively rearranged as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{G}_{k,\theta_k}(x) &= \int_0^\infty \left[\theta_k \frac{\int_0^t u^k(t-u)^k w(u)w(t-u)du}{t^{2k+1}w(t)} - 1 \right] t^{2k+1}w(t)e^{-xt}dt \\ &= \int_0^\infty \left[\theta_k \frac{\int_0^1 s^k(1-s)^k w(st)w((1-s)t)ds}{w(t)} - 1 \right] t^{2k+1}w(t)e^{-xt}dt. \end{aligned}$$

Making use of the fact that $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{e^t w(t)}{t} = 1$, we can deduce that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{w(st)w((1-s)t)}{w(t)} = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \left[\frac{e^{st}w(st)}{st} \frac{e^{(1-s)t}w((1-s)t)}{(1-s)t} \frac{t}{e^t w(t)} s(1-s)t \right] = \infty$$

for $s \in (0, 1)$. This means that, if $\theta_k > 0$, for $s \in (0, 1)$, it is impossible to require the inequality

$$\theta_k \frac{\int_0^1 s^k(1-s)^k w(st)w((1-s)t)ds}{w(t)} - 1 \leq 0$$

to be valid in $t \in (0, \infty)$. Hence, by Lemma 1.1, if $\theta_k > 0$, the function $-\mathcal{G}_{k,\theta_k}(x)$ is surely not completely monotonic on $(0, \infty)$. In conclusion, the condition $\theta_k \leq 0$ is necessary and sufficient for the function $-\mathcal{G}_{k,\theta_k}(x)$ to be completely monotonic on $(0, \infty)$. The proof of Theorem 3.1 is complete. $\square$

Remark 3.1. Basing on the proof of necessary conditions in Theorem 3.1, we guess that the function

$$\mathcal{G}_k(x) = \frac{G^{(2k+2)}(x)}{G^{(k)}(x)G^{(k+1)}(x)}, \quad k \in \{0\} \cup \mathbb{N}$$

should be decreasing on $(0, \infty)$. Consequently, the double inequality

$$-\frac{6(2k+2)!}{k!(k+1)!} < \mathcal{G}_k(x) < \begin{cases} -4\psi'(1), & k=0 \\ -\frac{6\psi'''(1)}{\psi'(1)}, & k=1 \\ \frac{2(2k+1)}{k^2(k-1)} \frac{\psi^{(2k+1)}(1)}{\psi^{(k-1)}(1)\psi^{(k)}(1)}, & k \geq 2 \end{cases}$$

should be valid and sharp in the sense that the lower and upper bounds cannot be replaced by any bigger and small numbers.

4. NECESSARY AND SUFFICIENT CONDITIONS FOR MONOTONICITY

In this section, we find necessary and sufficient conditions on τ_k such that the function $\mathfrak{G}_{k,\tau_k}(x)$ defined in (1.3) is monotonic on $(0, \infty)$.

Theorem 4.1. *For $k \in \{0\} \cup \mathbb{N}$ and $\tau_k \in \mathbb{R}$,*

- (1) *if and only if $\tau_k \geq 2$, the function $\mathfrak{G}_{k,\tau_k}(x)$ is decreasing on $(0, \infty)$;*
- (2) *if $\tau_k \leq 1$, the function $\mathfrak{G}_{k,\tau_k}(x)$ is increasing on $(0, \infty)$;*
- (3) *only if*

$$\tau_k \leq \begin{cases} \psi'(1), & k = 0 \\ -\frac{\psi'''(1)}{\psi'(1)\psi''(1)}, & k = 1 \\ \frac{k-1}{k} \frac{\psi^{(k-1)}(1)\psi^{(2k+1)}(1)}{\psi^{(k)}(1)\psi^{(2k)}(1)}, & k \geq 2, \end{cases} \quad (4.1)$$

the function $\mathfrak{G}_{k,\tau_k}(x)$ is increasing on $(0, \infty)$;

- (4) *the limits*

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} \mathfrak{G}_{k,\tau_k}(x) = \begin{cases} -2^{\tau_0}, & k = 0 \\ 6\psi''(1), & k = 1 \\ \frac{2(2k+1)}{(k-1)^{\tau_k} k^{\tau_k-1}} \frac{\psi^{(2k)}(1)}{|\psi^{(k-1)}(1)|}, & k \geq 2 \end{cases} \quad (4.2)$$

and

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \mathfrak{G}_{k,\tau_k}(x) = \begin{cases} -\infty, & \tau_k > 2 \\ -\frac{3(2k+2)!}{k!(k+1)!}, & \tau_k = 2 \\ 0, & \tau_k < 2 \end{cases} \quad (4.3)$$

are valid;

- (5) *the double inequality*

$$-\frac{3(2k+2)!}{k!(k+1)!} < \mathfrak{G}_{k,2}(x) < \begin{cases} -4, & k = 0 \\ 6\psi''(1), & k = 1 \\ \frac{2(2k+1)}{(k-1)^2 k} \frac{\psi^{(2k)}(1)}{|\psi^{(k-1)}(1)|}, & k \geq 2 \end{cases} \quad (4.4)$$

is valid on $(0, \infty)$ and sharp in the sense that the lower and upper bounds cannot be replaced by any greater and less numbers respectively.

Proof. If the function $\mathfrak{G}_{k,\tau_k}(x)$ is decreasing on $(0, \infty)$, then its first derivative

$$\mathfrak{G}'_{k,\tau_k}(x) = \frac{G^{(2k+2)}(x)[(-1)^k G^{(k)}(x)] - \tau_k G^{(2k+1)}(x)(-1)^k G^{(k+1)}(x)}{[(-1)^k G^{(k)}(x)]^{\tau_k+1}} \leq 0$$

which is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_k &\geq \frac{G^{(k)}(x)G^{(2k+2)}(x)}{G^{(k+1)}(x)G^{(2k+1)}(x)} \\ &= \frac{[(-1)^k x^{k+1} G^{(k)}(x)][(-1)^{2k+2} x^{2k+3} G^{(2k+2)}(x)]}{[(-1)^{k+1} x^{k+2} G^{(k+1)}(x)][(-1)^{2k+1} x^{2k+2} G^{(2k+1)}(x)]} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \rightarrow \frac{k!(2k+2)!}{(k+1)!(2k+1)!}, \quad x \rightarrow \infty \\ & = 2, \end{aligned}$$

where we used the limit (2.2).

If the function $\mathfrak{G}_{k,\tau_k}(x)$ is increasing on $(0, \infty)$, then its first derivative $\mathfrak{G}'_{k,\tau_k}(x) \geq 0$ which is equivalent to

$$\tau_k \leq \frac{G^{(k)}(x)G^{(2k+2)}(x)}{G^{(k+1)}(x)G^{(2k+1)}(x)} \rightarrow \begin{cases} \psi'(1), & k = 0 \\ -\frac{\psi'''(1)}{\psi'(1)\psi''(1)}, & k = 1 \\ \frac{k-1}{k} \frac{\psi^{(k-1)}(1)\psi^{(2k+1)}(1)}{\psi^{(k)}(1)\psi^{(2k)}(1)}, & k \geq 2 \end{cases}$$

as $x \rightarrow 0^+$, where we used the limit (2.1).

Making use of the integral representation (2.3) gives

$$\mathfrak{G}_{k,\tau_k}(x) = -\frac{\int_0^\infty w(t)t^{2k+1}e^{-xt}dt}{\left[\int_0^\infty w(t)t^k e^{-xt}dt\right]^{\tau_k}}.$$

Since

$$\mathfrak{G}'_{k,\tau_k}(x) = \frac{\left(-\tau_k \frac{\int_0^\infty t^{2k+2}w(t)e^{-xt}dt}{\int_0^\infty t^{2k+1}w(t)e^{-xt}dt} \frac{\int_0^\infty t^k w(t)e^{-xt}dt}{\int_0^\infty t^{k+1}w(t)e^{-xt}dt}\right)}{\left[\int_0^\infty t^k w(t)e^{-xt}dt\right]^{\tau_k+1}},$$

to prove that the function $\mathfrak{G}_{k,\tau_k}(x)$ is decreasing on $(0, \infty)$, it is sufficient to show

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_k \int_0^\infty t^{2k+1}w(t)e^{-xt}dt \int_0^\infty t^{k+1}w(t)e^{-xt}dt \\ \geq \int_0^\infty t^{2k+2}w(t)e^{-xt}dt \int_0^\infty t^k w(t)e^{-xt}dt. \end{aligned} \quad (4.5)$$

By Lemma 2.3, the inequality (4.5) can be rearranged as

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_k \int_0^\infty \left[\int_0^t u^{2k+1}(t-u)^{k+1}w(u)w(t-u)du \right] e^{-xt}dt \\ \geq \int_0^\infty \left[\int_0^t u^{2k+2}(t-u)^k w(u)w(t-u)du \right] e^{-xt}dt. \end{aligned} \quad (4.6)$$

Let

$$P_k(t) = \int_0^t u^{2k+1}(t-u)^{k+1}w(u)w(t-u)du$$

and

$$Q_k(t) = \int_0^t u^{2k+2}(t-u)^k w(u)w(t-u)du.$$

Then the inequality (4.6) can be rewritten as

$$\int_0^\infty Q_k(t) \left[\tau_k \frac{P_k(t)}{Q_k(t)} - 1 \right] e^{-xt}dt \geq 0. \quad (4.7)$$

Changing the variable $u = \frac{(1+v)t}{2}$ results in

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{P_k(t)}{Q_k(t)} &= \frac{\int_0^1 [(1-v)^k + (1+v)^k] (1-v^2)^{k+1} w\left(\frac{1+v}{2}t\right) w\left(\frac{1-v}{2}t\right) dv}{\int_0^1 [(1-v)^{k+2} + (1+v)^{k+2}] (1-v^2)^k w\left(\frac{1+v}{2}t\right) w\left(\frac{1-v}{2}t\right) dv} \\
&\rightarrow \frac{\int_0^1 [(1-v)^k + (1+v)^k] (1-v^2)^{k+1} dv}{\int_0^1 [(1-v)^{k+2} + (1+v)^{k+2}] (1-v^2)^k dv}, \quad t \rightarrow 0^+ \\
&= \frac{2^{3k+3} B(2k+2, k+2)}{2^{3k+3} B(2k+3, k+1)} \\
&= \frac{1}{2},
\end{aligned} \tag{4.8}$$

where we used the fact in Lemma 2.1 that the function $w(t)$ is decreasing from $(0, \infty)$ onto $(0, \frac{1}{6})$ and used the formula

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^1 [(1+x)^{\mu-1} (1-x)^{\nu-1} + (1+x)^{\nu-1} (1-x)^{\mu-1}] dx &= 2^{\mu+\nu-1} B(\mu, \nu) \\
&= 2^{\mu+\nu-1} \frac{\Gamma(\mu)\Gamma(\nu)}{\Gamma(\mu+\nu)}
\end{aligned} \tag{4.9}$$

for $\Re(\mu), \Re(\nu) > 0$ in [2, p. 321, 3.214].

Let

$$\begin{aligned}
S_k(t) &= \int_0^1 [(1-v)^k + (1+v)^k] (1-v^2)^{k+1} w\left(\frac{1+v}{2}t\right) w\left(\frac{1-v}{2}t\right) dv \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 [(1-v)^{k+2} + (1+v)^{k+2}] (1-v^2)^k w\left(\frac{1+v}{2}t\right) w\left(\frac{1-v}{2}t\right) dv \\
&= \int_0^1 T_k(v) [(1-v)^k + (1+v)^k] (1-v^2)^k w\left(\frac{1+v}{2}t\right) w\left(\frac{1-v}{2}t\right) dv,
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$T_k(v) = 1 - v^2 - \frac{1}{2} \frac{(1-v)^{k+2} + (1+v)^{k+2}}{(1-v)^k + (1+v)^k}$$

with $T_k(0) = \frac{1}{2}$ and $T_k(1) = -2$. By Lemma 2.5 for $m = 2$, we see that the function $T_k(v)$ is decreasing on $[0, 1]$ and has only one zero $v_0 \in (0, 1)$. As a result, by virtue of the fourth conclusion in Lemma 2.1, we acquire

$$\begin{aligned}
S_k(t) &= \int_0^{v_0} + \int_{v_0}^1 T_k(v) [(1-v)^k + (1+v)^k] (1-v^2)^k w\left(\frac{1+v}{2}t\right) w\left(\frac{1-v}{2}t\right) dv \\
&> w\left(\frac{1+v_0}{2}t\right) w\left(\frac{1-v_0}{2}t\right) \int_0^{v_0} T_k(v) [(1-v)^k + (1+v)^k] (1-v^2)^k dv \\
&\quad + w\left(\frac{1+v_0}{2}t\right) w\left(\frac{1-v_0}{2}t\right) \int_{v_0}^1 T_k(v) [(1-v)^k + (1+v)^k] (1-v^2)^k dv \\
&= w\left(\frac{1+v_0}{2}t\right) w\left(\frac{1-v_0}{2}t\right) \int_0^1 T_k(v) [(1-v)^k + (1+v)^k] (1-v^2)^k dv \\
&= 0,
\end{aligned}$$

where we used the formula (4.9) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^1 T_k(v) [(1-v)^k + (1+v)^k] (1-v^2)^k dv \\
&= \int_0^1 [(1+v)^{k+1} (1-v)^{2k+1} + (1+v)^{2k+1} (1-v)^{k+1}] dv \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 [(1+v)^k (1-v)^{2k+2} + (1+v)^{2k+2} (1-v)^k] dv \\
&= 2^{3k+3} B(k+2, 2k+2) - 2^{3k+2} B(k+1, 2k+3) \\
&= 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Consequently, considering the limit in (4.8), we conclude an inequality $\frac{P_k(t)}{Q_k(t)} > \frac{1}{2}$ for $t > 0$, which is sharp in the sense that the lower bound $\frac{1}{2}$ cannot be replaced by any bigger scalar. This sharp inequality shows that the inequality (4.7) is valid for all $\tau_k \geq 2$. Accordingly, the condition $\tau_k \geq 2$ is sufficient for $G_{\tau_k}(x)$ to be decreasing on $(0, \infty)$.

It is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned}
& [(1-v)^k + (1+v)^k] (1-v^2)^{k+1} - [(1-v)^{k+2} + (1+v)^{k+2}] (1-v^2)^k \\
&= -2v(1-v^2)^k [(1+v)^k - (1-v)^k + v((1-v)^k + (1+v)^k)] < 0
\end{aligned}$$

for $v \in (0, 1)$. Combining this negativity with the positivity of $w(t)$ on $(0, \infty)$, we deduce an inequality $0 < \frac{P_k(t)}{Q_k(t)} < 1$ on $(0, \infty)$. This means that, when $\tau_k \leq 1$, the function $G_{\tau_k}(x)$ is increasing on $(0, \infty)$.

Utilizing the limits (2.1) and 2.2 in Lemma 2.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} \mathfrak{G}_{k, \tau_k}(x) &= \frac{\lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} G^{(2k+1)}(x)}{[(-1)^k \lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} G^{(k)}(x)]^{\tau_k}} \\
&= \begin{cases} -2^{\tau_0}, & k = 0 \\ 6\psi''(1), & k = 1 \\ \frac{2(2k+1)}{(k-1)^{\tau_k} k^{\tau_k-1}} \frac{\psi^{(2k)}(1)}{|\psi^{(k-1)}(1)|}, & k \geq 2 \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \mathfrak{G}_{k, \tau_k}(x) &= (-1)^{2k+1} \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} x^{(k+1)(\tau_k-2)} \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(-1)^{2k+1} x^{2k+2} G^{(2k+1)}(x)}{[(-1)^k x^{k+1} G^{(k)}(x)]^{\tau_k}} \\
&= \begin{cases} -\infty, & \tau_k > 2 \\ -6^{\tau_k-1} \frac{(2k+1)!}{(k!)^{\tau_k}}, & \tau_k = 2 \\ 0, & \tau_k < 2. \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

The inequalities in (4.4) and their sharpness follow from monotonicity of the function $\mathfrak{G}_{\mu_k}(x)$ and the limits in (4.2) and (4.3) for $\tau_k = 2$. The proof of Theorem 4.1 is complete. $\square$

Corollary 4.1. *Let $k \in \{0\} \cup \mathbb{N}$ and $\tau_k \in \mathbb{R}$ and*

$$G_k(x) = (-1)^k [\tau_k G^{(2k+1)}(x) G^{(k+1)}(x) - G^{(2k+2)}(x) G^{(k)}(x)]$$

on $(0, \infty)$. Then

- (1) if and only if $\tau_k \geq 2$, the function $\mathbf{G}_k(x)$ is completely monotonic on $(0, \infty)$;
- (2) if $\tau_k \leq 1$, the function $-\mathbf{G}_k(x)$ is completely monotonic on $(0, \infty)$.

Proof. This follows from the proof of Theorem 4.1. $\square$

Remark 4.1. We guess that the necessary condition (4.1) in Theorem 4.1 should also be sufficient for $\mathfrak{G}_{k, \tau_k}(x)$ to be increasing on $(0, \infty)$.

Remark 4.2. This paper is the fourth one in a series of articles including [5, 7, 8].

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Abramowitz and I. A. Stegun (Eds), *Handbook of Mathematical Functions with Formulas, Graphs, and Mathematical Tables*, National Bureau of Standards, Applied Mathematics Series **55**, Reprint of the 1972 edition, Dover Publications, Inc., New York, 1992.
- [2] I. S. Gradshteyn and I. M. Ryzhik, *Table of Integrals, Series, and Products*, Translated from the Russian, Translation edited and with a preface by Daniel Zwillinger and Victor Moll, Eighth edition, Revised from the seventh edition, Elsevier/Academic Press, Amsterdam, 2015; available online at <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-384933-5.00013-8>.
- [3] D. S. Mitrinović, J. E. Pečarić, and A. M. Fink, *Classical and New Inequalities in Analysis*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht-Boston-London, 1993; available online at <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-017-1043-5>.
- [4] F. Qi, *A double inequality for the ratio of two non-zero neighbouring Bernoulli numbers*, J. Comput. Appl. Math. **351** (2019), 1–5; available online at <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cam.2018.10.049>.
- [5] F. Qi, *Complete monotonicity and monotonicity of two functions defined by two derivatives of a function involving trigamma function*, HAL preprint (2020), available online at <https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-02993723>.
- [6] F. Qi, *Completely monotonic degree of a function involving trigamma and tetragamma functions*, AIMS Math. **5** (2020), no. 4, 3391–3407; available online at <https://doi.org/10.3934/math.2020219>.
- [7] F. Qi, *Monotonicity of a ratio involving trigamma and tetragamma functions*, OSF Preprints (2020), available online at <https://doi.org/10.31219/osf.io/5rfb8>.
- [8] F. Qi, *Some properties of several functions involving polygamma functions and originating from the sectional curvature of the beta manifold*, São Paulo J. Math. Sci. **15** (2021), in press; available online at <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40863-020-00193-1>.
- [9] R. L. Schilling, R. Song, and Z. Vondraček, *Bernstein Functions*, 2nd ed., de Gruyter Studies in Mathematics **37**, Walter de Gruyter, Berlin, Germany, 2012; available online at <https://doi.org/10.1515/9783110269338>.
- [10] D. V. Widder, *The Laplace Transform*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1946.
Email address: qifeng618@gmail.com, qifeng618@hotmail.com, qifeng618@qq.com
URL: <https://qifeng618.wordpress.com>