Scientific societies fostering inclusivity in the life sciences through engagement of undergraduate scientists

Clara Primus¹, Alexandra N. Zimmerman¹, Avanthia K. Terovolas¹, Kirsten Block², Christopher G. Brown³, Michael D. Burton⁴, Ashanti Edwards⁵, Candice M. Etson⁶, Sonia C. Flores⁷, Catherine Fry⁸, Ashley N. Guillory⁹, Susan L. Ingram¹⁰, Richard McGee¹¹, Deborah L. Neely-Fisher¹², Laura Phelan¹³, Stephanie Paxson², Kirsta Suggs¹⁴, Leticia Vega¹⁵, Elizabeth Vuong¹³, J. L. Lujan¹⁶, Marina Ramirez-Alvarado¹⁶, Veronica A. Segarra^{1*}

¹High Point University, United States, ²American Society for Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, United States, ³Georgia Gwinnett College, United States, ⁴The University of Texas at Dallas, United States, ⁵American Society for Cell Biology, United States, ⁶Wesleyan University, United States, ⁷University of Colorado, United States, ⁸American Society for Pharmacology and Experimental Therapeutics, United States, ⁹University of Texas Medical Branch at Galveston, United States, ¹⁰Oregon Health & Science University, United States, ¹¹Feinberg School of Medicine, Northwestern University, United States, ¹²Reynolds Community College, United States, ¹³Biophysical Society, United States, ¹⁴Endocrine Society, United States, ¹⁵Barry University, United States, ¹⁶Mayo Clinic, United States

Submitted to Journal:
Frontiers in Education

Specialty Section:
STEM Education

Article type:
Mini Review Article

Manuscript ID:
757816

Received on:
12 Aug 2021

Journal website link:
www.frontiersin.org

Conflict of interest statement

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest

Author contribution statement

All authors contributed to the generation of this manuscript and participated in its revision and refinement. All authors approve the final manuscript in its current form and it being submitted.

Keywords

Undergraduate students 1, Diversity 2, Equity 3, Inclusion 4, Scientific Societies 5, Student Chapters 6, STEMM Workforce 7, Early-career Scientists 8

Abstract

Word count: 120

Scientific societies serve as communities of practice in which scientists develop many of the skills and connections required for the progression of their careers. For example, scientific societies offer a range of opportunities to attend career development programs, gain experience in communicating science, and receive recognition for achievements within their discipline. Programming for undergraduate student members has recently been increasing, both in prevalence and in its range of offerings. The Alliance to Catalyze Change for Equity in STEM Success, ACCESS, a meta-organization seeking equity and inclusivity in life sciences fields, has examined programs and opportunities focused on undergraduates across its member scientific societies to identify common themes, promising practices and challenges. In this article, we share and discuss our findings.

Contribution to the field

We synthesize current efforts of scientific societies in the life sciences to serve undergraduate scientists. Using this information we identify challenges and opportunities that exist in the field for inclusive STEMM workforce development.

Scientific societies fostering inclusivity in the life sciences through engagement of undergraduate scientists

Clara Primus^{1,8}, Alexandra N. Zimmerman², Avanthia K. Terovolos¹, Kirsten Block³, Christopher G. Brown^{4,5}, Michael D. Burton^{6,7}, Ashanti Edwards⁸, Candice M. Etson^{9,10}, Sonia C. Flores^{3,11}, Catherine Fry¹², Ashley N. Guillory^{12,13}, Susan L. Ingram^{12,14}, Richard McGee¹⁵, Deborah L. Neely-Fisher^{3,16}, Stephanie Paxson³, Laura Phelan¹⁰, Kirsta Suggs⁷, Leticia R. Vega^{8,17}, Elizabeth Vuong¹⁰, J. Luis Lujan¹⁸, Marina Ramirez-Alvarado^{#10,19}, Verónica A. Segarra^{#1,8}

¹Department of Biology, High Point University, High Point, NC 27268

²Department of Psychology, High Point University, High Point, NC 27268

³American Society for Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, Rockville, MD 20852

⁴School of Science and Technology, Georgia Gwinnett College, Lawrenceville, GA 30043

⁵Association of Southeastern Biologists, Salisbury, NC 28144

⁶Department of Neuroscience, The University of Texas at Dallas, Richardson, Texas 75082

⁷Endocrine Society, Washington, DC 20036

⁸American Society for Cell Biology, Bethesda, MD 20852

⁹Department of Molecular Biology and Biochemistry, Wesleyan University, Middletown, CT 06495

¹⁰Biophysical Society, Rockville, MD 20852

¹¹Division of Pulmonary Sciences and Critical Care Medicine, University of Colorado, Anschutz Medical Campus, CO 80045

¹²American Society for Pharmacology and Experimental Therapeutics, Rockville, MD 20852

¹³Department of Physician Assistant Studies, The University of Texas Medical Branch at Galveston, Galveston, TX 77555

¹⁴Department of Neurological Surgery, Oregon Health & Science University, Portland, OR 97239

¹⁵Northwestern University Feinberg School of Medicine, Faculty Affairs, Chicago, IL 60611

¹⁶Reynolds Community College, Richmond, VA, 23285

¹⁷Department of Biology, Barry University, Miami Shores, FL 33161

¹⁸Departments of Neurologic Surgery and Physiology and Biomedical Engineering, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, MN 55905

¹⁹Departments of Biochemistry, Molecular Biology, and Immunology, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, MN 55905

[#]Corresponding Authors

Keywords: Undergraduate students¹, Diversity², Equity³, Inclusion⁴, Scientific Societies⁵, Student Chapters⁶, STEMM Workforce⁷, Early-career Scientists⁸.

ABSTRACT

Scientific societies serve as communities of practice in which scientists develop many of the skills and connections required for the progression of their careers. For example, scientific societies offer a range of opportunities to attend career development programs, gain experience in communicating science, and receive recognition for achievements within their discipline. Programming for undergraduate student members has recently been increasing, both in prevalence and in its range of offerings. The Alliance to Catalyze Change for Equity in STEM Success, ACCESS, a meta-organization seeking equity and inclusivity in life sciences fields, has examined programs and opportunities focused on undergraduates across its member scientific societies to identify common themes, promising practices and challenges. In this article, we share and discuss our findings.

INTRODUCTION

Scientific societies serve as unifying hubs that advance the disciplinary Science, Technology, Engineering, Mathematics, and Medicine (STEMM) communities they represent. They provide long-term, cross-institutional communities for individuals within a scientific discipline which renders them ideal organizations to facilitate networking, collaboration, and mentoring among members (Segarra, et al., 2020; Hulede, 2018; Womack, et al., 2020). Scientific societies can also serve as agents of change to promote diversity, equity, and inclusion in their fields of interest. To this point, in 2017, five different professional societies in the life sciences established the Alliance to Catalyze Change for Equity in STEM Success (ACCESS) a meta-organization that brings together the diversity committees of the American Society for Biochemistry and Molecular Biology (ASBMB), the American Society for Cell Biology (ASCB), the American Society for Pharmacology and Experimental Therapeutics (ASPET), the Biophysical Society (BPS), the Endocrine Society (ES), and the Association of Southeastern Biologists (ASB). The purpose of ACCESS is to provide an unified voice through which scientific societies can foster inclusivity in their disciplines by identifying challenges and solutions through shared experiences.

In this review article, ACCESS societies in collaboration with the Association for Southeastern Biologists (ASB), synthesize and discuss their efforts to foster inclusivity in the life sciences through engagement of undergraduate scientists. We also specifically highlight society offerings aiming to engage undergraduates from underrepresented backgrounds (UR) in STEMM. UR backgrounds in STEMM are those whose representation in STEMM disciplines is smaller than their representation in the U.S. population. For example, women, members of minority racial and ethnic groups (Black/African-American, Hispanic/Latino, Native American or Alaska Native, Native Hawaiian, and other Pacific Islanders), persons with disabilities, and low-income persons are all considered UR in STEMM fields (NSF, 2019; NIH, n.d.). Of the early undergraduate students who intend to earn a degree in STEMM, less than 40% will obtain it; this percentage is even smaller for those from UR backgrounds (Mourad, et al., 2018; Ahern-Dodson, et al., 2020). Therefore, a big portion of the early talent pool is lost before students earn their baccalaureate degrees (Graham, et al., 2013; Doerschuk, et al., 2016; Ahern-Dodson, et al., 2020; Russell, 2017; Casad, et al., 2016). Scientific societies are in a position to help retain this undergraduate talent through early access to their disciplinary learning communities.

Professional and scientific societies can act as gatekeepers to their disciplines and can play an integral role in connecting practitioners with one another, fueling collaborations, and

mentoring relationships. Society events and programs are accessible ways for undergraduates to network, stay up to date on the newest research discoveries, and gain skills necessary for their future careers. While undergraduate institutions may be able to provide some of these resources, many are not able to do so in a discipline-specific way that transcends geographical boundaries. This might leave undergraduates searching for opportunities to get involved within their larger scientific community, placing scientific societies in an ideal position to fill this need. Moreover, undergraduates from UR backgrounds may attend institutions where they do not see themselves represented in their department's faculty or in their peers. The importance of seeing successful practitioners that share one's background has been examined in numerous studies, many concluding that access to these role models can help combat feelings of imposter syndrome and self-stereotypes (Asgari, et al., 2010; Casad, et al., 2016; Chemers, et al., 2011). Given that undergraduates are practitioners in-training and may not have developed a secure identity as scientists, they might be more susceptible to feelings of isolation within the scientific community. Becoming part of a larger STEM community and organization, such as a scientific society, can connect young trainees with role models of similar backgrounds in their STEM disciplines of interest and lessen feelings of isolation, especially for UR STEM undergraduates (Smith, et al., 2021).

Scientific self-efficacy and sense of community are also factors that drive undergraduate student persistence in STEM (Graham, et al., 2013; Doerschuk, et al., 2016; Ahern-Dodson, et al., 2020; Russell, 2017; Casad, et al., 2016; Chemers, et al., 2011; Gopalan, et al., 2018; Bruthers, et al., 2021). However, these factors may be especially difficult to cultivate and develop in UR undergraduates due to discrepancies in common values, cultural isolation, and lack of support (Seymour & Hewitt, 1997; Good, et al., 2000). These discrepancies can lead to those from UR backgrounds feeling marginalized; therefore, discouraging them from their aspirations in STEM (Morales, et al., 2020). Multiple lines of research have demonstrated that early research experiences and membership in STEM learning communities deepens fellowship and increases persistence in STEM paths amongst undergraduate students, particularly those of UR backgrounds (Ahern-Dodson, et al., 2020; Graham, et al., 2013; Doerschuk, et al., 2016; Russell, 2017; Casad, et al., 2016; Chemers, et al., 2011; Gopalan, et al., 2018; Bruthers, et al., 2021; Mourad, et al., 2018). Building an identity as a scientist by interacting with a community that includes motivating faculty, staff, and peers leads to an increased number of students who will seek to further their STEM education by attending graduate school (Eagan, et al., 2013).

Taken together, these observations indicate that undergraduates can benefit from the resources available to society members such as networking opportunities, conferencing, research fellowships, professional development workshops, and achievement awards. For this reason, active participation in a professional society can benefit early-career undergraduates by plugging these students into a built-in community of scientists, facilitating their navigating the complex landscape of their chosen scientific discipline (Hulede, 2018; Bruthers, et al., 2021; Segarra, et al., 2020; Bruthers & Matyas, 2020; Graham, et al., 2013; Matyas, et al., 2017; Abernethy, et al., 2020; Womack, et al., 2020). Using the current literature on the topic to anchor our review, we discuss the undergraduate programming within ACCESS member societies and identify common themes, challenges, and promising practices. We hope that this analysis will help us pinpoint gaps in scientific society programming geared towards undergraduates.

SCIENTIFIC SOCIETY ENGAGEMENT OF UNDERGRADUATE SCIENTISTS

While a fair amount of programming for undergraduate members is offered at annual society meetings, there are additional opportunities outside of these meetings such as affordable membership fees, student chapters, and undergraduate research experiences (Table 1). Below we describe and discuss the undergraduate programs offered by ACCESS societies and their benefits.

Table 1: Summary of programming offered by ACCESS member societies to their undergraduate members as of summer 2021.

<i>ACCESS Member Society</i>	<i>Travel Awards</i>	<i>Networking Opportunities</i>	<i>Achievement Awards</i>	<i>Poster Sessions</i>	<i>Student Chapters</i>	<i>Discounted Membership Fee</i>	<i>Professional Development Sessions at Conferences</i>	<i>Research Fellowships</i>
ASB	X	X	X	X		X	In progress	In progress
ASBMB	X	X	X	X	X	X		
ASCB	X	X		X		X	X	
ASPET	X	X	X	X		X	X	X
BPS	X	X	X	X	X	X		X
ES	X	X				X		

Society Membership Accessibility for Undergraduate Students

By regularly offering affordable membership fees for students, scientific societies can foster recruitment and retention of undergraduate members. In 2021, the undergraduate student membership fees in ACCESS societies range from \$10-\$30 a year. ASBMB and ASPET both charge the least, with an annual fee of \$10 for undergraduate students. At the top of the range, ASCB and BPS charge \$26/year and \$25/year, respectively, while the ASB undergraduate membership fee falls in the middle at \$20. Some, but not all ACCESS societies offer student members the opportunity to establish a student chapter at their home institution. Table 2 lists the undergraduate membership fee for each ACCESS society, along with the number of institutions with student chapters, the number of undergraduate members, and the percentage of undergraduate members within the society. Societies with the most undergraduate programming offerings and the most affordable membership fees do not necessarily display the highest levels of undergraduate membership.

Table 2: Summary of undergraduate membership, dues and student chapters in ACCESS member societies as of summer 2021.

<i>ACCESS Member Society (no. members)</i>	<i>Undergraduate Membership Fee</i>	<i>Number of Institutions with Student Chapters</i>	<i>Number of Undergraduate members</i>	<i>Percentage of Undergraduate</i>
ASB (938)	\$20	N/A	264**	51%**
ASBMB (9800)	\$10	153	2210	22.6%

ASCB (5363)	\$26	N/A	210	3.9%
ASPET (3505)	\$10	N/A	115	3.3%
BPS (5358)	\$25	38	173	3.2%

**Numbers based on presentations at the last pre-COVID meeting (2019).

Undergraduate Student Engagement at Annual Society Meetings

Travel Awards

Travel awards are funds given to members of a scientific society to either partially or fully cover expenses related to attending annual society meetings. To obtain a travel award, an award application must be completed, usually requiring the applicant's curriculum vitae (CV) or resume, reason for wanting to attend the conference, career aspirations, statement of financial need, and, if an undergraduate student, a letter of support from a faculty member. Additionally, a poster abstract is commonly required, as it is often the case that travel awardees are required to present their research in the form of a poster to be eligible for a travel award. While this is the case, non-presenting students have been shown to benefit from scientific meeting attendance as well (Gopalan, et al., 2018). In fact, we identify broadening travel award eligibility to non-presenting students as an opportunity societies have to further foster inclusivity of talent in their discipline. We discuss this idea in a later section below.

Travel awards allow for undergraduates to attend the annual meeting who may not have been able to do so otherwise. Particularly, those who may come from UR backgrounds may largely benefit from connecting with other practitioners of similar backgrounds and building a network of contacts (Asgari, et al., 2010). Receiving a travel award not only benefits the recipient by allowing them to experience a scientific conference, but it also benefits the society by increasing the diversity of meeting attendees.

Attending scientific conferences as a practitioner-in-training can aid undergraduates developing a scientific identity by giving them the opportunity to interact with successful scientists in their discipline. Undergraduate travel award recipients can also put the award on their CV as an achievement, earning the undergraduate awardees an early accomplishment to heighten feelings of belonging and self-efficacy within their scientific community. Most ACCESS member societies offer travel awards specifically for undergraduate scientists with award amounts ranging from \$400 to \$1700 (Segarra, et al., 2020). All ACCESS societies offer undergraduate travel awards. Most societies also offer specific programming for award recipients, we describe this programming below.

Professional Development Sessions and Networking Opportunities at Annual Conferences

Professional development can catalyze an undergraduate's self-efficacy and growth in STEMM disciplines (Cuker, et al., 2016; Doerschuk, et al., 2016; Abernethy, et al., 2020; Helm, et al., 2013). Annual society meetings provide an opportunity for undergraduate students to enhance their professional development. ASCB and ASPET both have professional development sessions during their annual meetings which undergraduates can attend (Table 1). ES also holds an Early Career Forum, which undergraduate students at the conference can attend and obtain orientation in a range of professional development topics. These sessions during annual meetings can facilitate undergraduates networking with others, such as peers and faculty members. Additional opportunities for networking include dedicated spaces for undergraduate students at

annual conferences. For example, BPS provides students a lounge at their annual meeting as a dedicated space for them to catch up on missed coursework and connect with peers while attending the BPS annual meeting which is held every spring. BPS also holds a “Pizza Breakfast” event with a guest speaker at their annual meeting, bringing about additional networking opportunities for students. Networking with peers and faculty members increases undergraduates’ sense of community, and, in turn, increases the likelihood of them persisting in STEMM (Graham, et al., 2013; Doerschuk, et al., 2016; Ahern-Dodson, et al., 2020; Russell, 2017; Casad, et al., 2016; Chemers, et al., 2011; Gopalan, et al., 2018; Bruthers, et al., 2021).

Presentation Opportunities at Annual Conferences

Undergraduate research is an important part of undergraduate education for students in STEMM, and communicating scientific findings is a key skill that scientists must develop. ACCESS societies like ASCB and BPS offer trainees dedicated poster sessions, in addition to poster presentations held on the main exhibit floor, at their annual conferences. These trainee-focused poster sessions are often judged and poster awards offered to the top performing scientists at different academic levels--including undergraduates. Programming like poster sessions and awards allow students to highlight their work in research and continue to develop their scientific communication skills.

Student Chapters

Undergraduate membership in a scientific society can provide opportunities beyond attending annual meetings. For example, a number of ACCESS societies enable their student members to establish disciplinary chapters at their home academic institutions that can serve as a place of community for scientists-in-training. Student chapters can contribute to a society establishing a welcoming environment to its discipline’s scientists-in-training. This type of environment has been shown to be vital to undergraduate persistence in STEMM fields (Bruthers & Matyas, 2020; Cuker, et al., 2016; Graham, et al., 2013; Chemers, et al., 2011; Gopalan, et al., 2018). Student chapter membership can also allow entry into prestigious academic fraternities such as ASBMB’s Chi Omega Lambda which recognizes undergraduate upperclassmen seeking degrees in molecular life sciences. ASBMB also offers numerous achievement awards and scholarship opportunities to members of student chapters, which can also be listed as evidence on an undergraduate’s CV as a disciplinary honor. Furthermore, BPS offers opportunities for student chapter establishment outside of the United States, providing an international community for early-career scientists.

Research Programs

Undergraduate research experiences (UREs) have proven themselves to be one of the most valuable approaches to increasing the number of UR students earning degrees in STEMM fields (Doerschuk, et al., 2016). These experiences foster students’ ability to network and communicate, allow them to gain more research skills, and further their STEMM career aspirations. These opportunities often leave positive, lasting impacts on students and provide them with a number of different life skills (Bruthers & Matyas, 2020). For example, UREs can help develop undergraduate students' self-efficacy and sense of belonging through professional development and positive reinforcement (Mourad, et al., 2018). Moreover, UREs can also guide students along their STEMM career paths with support, necessary information, news of novel scientific findings, and the opportunity to receive recognition as a scientist (Eagan, et al., 2013).

It is pertinent to mention that a majority of URE programming has been delayed or adapted due to the COVID-19 pandemic. For example, in 2020, ASPET had more than an 84% decrease in undergraduate summer research programs held; however, this pushed them to successfully hold their first fully virtual research program (ASPET, 2020).

ACCESS societies support UREs in a variety of ways. For example, ASBMB furthers the research aspirations of their undergraduate student members by offering \$1,000 awards to support their research. Furthermore, though it ended in 2017 due to lack of funding, BPS's Summer Research Program (SRP) was a collaborative effort with the University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill and presented UR undergraduate students with the opportunity to receive a research assistantship. SRP provided participants with graduate-level class work on disciplinary concepts and methods, opportunity to do lab work and research, and programming to facilitate networking and community building. At the end of the program, program alumni transitioned to become "biophysics ambassadors" within BPS and at their universities, enabling them to collectively become a support system for each other as well as others at their home communities. From 2008 to 2017, approximately 100 students were served by this program, many of them successfully transitioned into Kirschstein-NRSA predoctoral fellowships from the National Institutes of Health (NIH) or Graduate Research Fellowship from the National Science Foundation (NSF). Additionally, ASPET sponsors institutions, as well as individual students, through their Summer Undergraduate Research Fellowship (SURF). This 10-week summer laboratory experience provides mentored research for pharmacology undergraduates and has been strengthening young scientist's professional skills since 1992. Over 90% of its participants remain involved in biomedical sciences after program completion. Through a variety of collaborative activities such as field trips and picnics amongst faculty and peers, SURF drives the development of a strong network of alumni. This expanding network further serves the program as some past participants become mentors for newcomers. Even more, participation in this program has paved paths for undergraduates to pursue doctoral programs in their SURF laboratory departments (ASPET, n.d.). Due to the COVID-19 pandemic, as mentioned above, ASPET transitioned SURF into a fully virtual research program that had >84% decrease in participants (ASPET, 2020).

Other UREs organized by scientific societies for UR undergraduates include the Ecological Society of America's Strategies for Ecology Education, Diversity and Sustainability (SEEDS) Research Fellowship and the American Physiological Society's Short-Term Research Education Program to Increase Diversity in Health-Related Research (STRIDE) (Aherns-Dodson, et al., 2020; Mourad, et al, 2018; Bruthers & Matyas, 2020). These programs have had positive outcomes in developing strong research skills in its participants (Bruthers & Matyas, 2020). For example, many of the students who participated in STRIDES commented on gaining knowledge in experimental design, data analysis, management, organization, applying statistics and math to experiments, and made note of a number of realities such as the patience and determination that is required when conducting research (Bruthers & Matyas, 2020). Furthermore, STRIDE program participants have adjusted their career aspirations to incorporate clinical research.

Scientific Society Representation in Conferences Attended Predominantly by Undergraduates

STEMM conferences that are directed at undergraduate participation create an opportunity for students to enhance their professional skills in their field while simultaneously

strengthening their sense of community. The important skills students obtain from attending these scientific conferences make these events a good place for experiential learning (Gopalan, et al., 2018). Most, if not all, conferences allow students to network, to present their research as talks or in the form of a poster, and to obtain awards. Additionally, there are typically panels, professional and scientific workshops, and a keynote or plenary speakers geared towards undergraduate scientists (Hurd, et al., 2011; Casad, et al., 2016; Zarate & Gonzalez, n.d.; McLaughlin, et al., 2009; SACNAS, n.d.; ABRCMS, n.d.; Gopalan, et al., 2018). Across the U.S., the Society for Neuroscience has created many regional, undergraduate specific conferences including SYNAPSE for the southeast, NEURON for the northeast, and MidBrains and mGLuRs for the midwest regions (Hurd, et al., 2011; McLaughlin, et al., 2009; Goyette, et al., 2007; Frye, et al., 2004; Wiertelak, et al., 2012; Ramos, et al., 2020). They provide an intimate setting for students to receive feedback from professionals (Hurd, et al., 2011). Furthermore, at ASB's annual meeting, more than 50% of presenters at the 2021 virtual annual meeting were undergraduates. Altogether, the demand for these undergraduate-focused conferences shows that undergraduates want to be connected to disciplinary communities.

At the Annual Biomedical Research Conference for Minority Students (ABRCMS) and the National Diversity in STEM Conference organized by the Society for Advancement of Chicanos/Hispanics and Native Americans in Science (SACNAS), students have the opportunity to network on a larger scale than they do at regional conferences (Casad, et al., 2016; Zarate & Gonzalez, n.d.). While the National Diversity in STEM Conference by SACNAS is not specific to undergraduates like ABRCMS, it does host many programs specific to undergraduate students. These conferences have exhibit halls where societies can use exhibit booths as a tool to encourage membership, and allow students to network with those in their disciplines. For example, ASCB, ASPET, and BPS have had exhibit booths at ABRCMS and SACNAS in the past. ASCB has also held symposium sessions at both conferences and BPS has held sessions at SACNAS. These sessions facilitate networking and encourage interest from undergraduates in specific STEMM disciplines.

SACNAS also holds a separate "mini-conference" called Community College Day. While it is virtual, the conference provides similar programs to their in-person conference. There are panels, workshops, talks, and presentations, allowing students to learn more about careers in STEMM. Students that attend Community College day also have the options to present their research and attend a networking lunch with their peers (SACNAS, n.d.). Similarly, ABRCMS has a specific program track for community college students in which they can present research, network, and learn specifics about transitioning to a 4-year university (ABRCMS, n.d.). These specific programs for community college students offer exposure to STEMM careers (SACNAS, n.d.; ABRCMS, n.d.). Inclusion of community college students in ABRCMS ultimately provides societies with exhibit hall representation there like ASCB, ASPET, and BPS, the opportunity of an early introduction to these trainees.

CHALLENGES AND OPPORTUNITIES

Scientific Societies as a tool to retain undergraduate scientist talent

While programming from scientific societies allows for undergraduate students to gain confidence, professional skills, and the ability to network, recent studies have uncovered that membership in scientific societies may be more beneficial to some students than others (Morales, et al., 2020). Scientific societies can help navigate the barriers to success in STEMM but,

oftentimes, UR students who are members of societies still experience marginalization, isolation, and identity stereotypes within the society (Morales, et al., 2020). Specific inclusivity and diversity programs within scientific societies can counteract the marginalization and isolation UR members may experience (Segarra, et al., 2020; Ahern-Dodson, et al., 2020; Cuker, et al., 2016; Maton, et al., 2000; Casad, et al., 2016; Bruthers & Matyas, 2020; Womack, et al., 2020). The heightened sense of community and self-efficacy undergraduates can feel from involvement in societies can lead to higher retention rates for UR undergraduates (Graham, et al., 2013; Doerschuk, et al., 2016; Ahern-Dodson, et al., 2020; Russell, 2017; Casad, et al., 2016; Gopalan, et al., 2018; Bruthers, et al., 2021). This, in turn, highlights the potential of this type of programming to help decrease the attrition of the UR undergraduate talent pool in the early stages of their education.

Many societies offer opportunities and programs for UR members, such as travel awards, to help participants feel integrated into the scientific community, but undergraduate society programs that engage students beyond a society's annual meeting can be limited (Segarra, et al., 2020). When a society creates an inclusive environment, scientists from all backgrounds and career stages feel acknowledged and welcomed in their professional STEMM community. Not only do diverse groups produce innovative solutions and bring fresh perspectives to the discipline (Freeman & Huang, 2014), but having members of diverse career stages can act as an asset to the society and help create a mutualistic relationship between both the society members and the organization itself.

Engaging Community Colleges

Over half of all undergraduates have received some form of education from a community college at one time in their careers (AACC, 2017; Schinske, et al., 2017). Apart from SACNAS' Community College Day and ABRCMS' specific track for community college attendees, there is a noticeable lack of involvement of community college students in scientific societies. This means societies could easily increase the possibilities for engagement of community college undergraduates. It is important to mention that about 37% of students that enroll in a community college come from families that are financially below the poverty line (CCRC, n.d.). This heightens the potential value of society engagement with community college students since economic inequities may have limited the engagement of these students with STEMM.

Early engagement in disciplinary learning communities increases persistence in STEMM (Ahern-Dodson, et al., 2020; Cuker, et al., 2016; Chemers, et al., 2011; Good, et al., 2000; Russell, 2017; Doerschuk, et al., 2016). Professional scientific societies are well positioned to act as this early-career learning community and impact a large number of undergraduates. This connection might lead to increased opportunities for networking and connections with faculty between four year institutions and community colleges. This connection could then potentially ease the transition from a two year institution to four year university for the students. The COVID-19 pandemic has presented additional challenges to those with financial disadvantages and of rural areas. Due to the pandemic, there has been a sizable increase in the amount of online conferencing offered by scientific societies, which has posed challenges for undergraduate members in rural areas, who may not have reliable access to the internet. Increases in virtual meetings means access to internet connection is more important than ever (Community College Research Center, n.d.). While online meetings make conferences more accessible for many people (due to the lack of travel and decreased cost), scientific societies still must be cognizant of this issue when interacting with community college undergraduates.

As time goes on, more undergraduate institutions are recognizing and accepting undergraduate research experiences as one of the most direct ways of reinforcing undergraduate students to view themselves as “real scientists.” Despite the fact that they are in the beginning stages of their careers, studies show that when undergraduate students have deeper and broader learning experiences in science it increases the chances of that student persisting in their major and aspiring to complete a post baccalaureate degree (Eagan, et al., 2013; Casad, et al., 2016; Gopalan, et al., 2018; Bruthers, et al., 2021). Involvement and support for community college’s undergraduate research programs is a place where societies could aid institutions and students. Currently, the Council on Undergraduate Research (CUR) has made strides towards making UREs more accessible for community college students. Since 2005, CUR has used NSF funding to identify challenges preventing community colleges from offering UREs, while also identifying models for community colleges to implement research experiences into their undergraduate biology curriculum. At over 110 community colleges, CUR has been able to successfully hold workshops that teach community college faculty the most sustainable ways to implement UREs into their curriculum (CUR, n.d.).

Students at community colleges play a vital role in the local workforce as they move on to transfer into a four-year university, or begin working. Oftentimes, courses teach students the basics of a research project, but students do not always have the chance to apply their knowledge (Cejda & Hensel, 2009). These students are highly motivated, and need undergraduate research experience to put them on the same competitive level as other students when they transfer to a four-year institution (Hensel, 2011; Coggins, 2011). This experience would also help them transition to a four-year institution by increasing their scientific identity and self efficacy, but to support the undergraduates in the community college system, societies must first support the faculty. Faculty need support in writing and finding grants (Cejda & Hensel, 2009). This is a place where scientific societies could assist community college faculty members, by creating relevant programming in areas like grant writing.

Creating Additional Opportunities to Recognize Undergraduate Excellence

Scholarships and society awards can both provide undergraduates with recognition and/or support, oftentimes paving paths for further professional development in STEMM fields (Matayas, et al., 2017). Examples of society scholarships include ASBMB’s Marion B. Sewer Distinguished Scholarship which is awarded to an undergraduate student who is of a UR background. This scholarship provides up to \$2,000 for a student’s tuition at an undergraduate institution (ASBMB, n.d.). Similarly, ASPET offers the Dolores C. Shockley Poster Award, which recognizes UR scientists and includes an undergraduate category.

Robust Assessment of Undergraduate Program Outcomes

While many life sciences professional societies have increased the range of undergraduate programming they offer, the majority continue to still lack measurable outcomes for this programming (Matyas, et al., 2017). Without a way to measure the effectiveness of their undergraduate programs, societies lack data that could be used to iteratively optimize program implementation in ways that ensure the desired goals are being met. The American Physiological Society (APS) demonstrates ways in which scientific societies can articulate programming objectives and assess their achievement. For example, through the use of entry and exit surveys for undergraduate research fellowships since 2016, APS has been able to consistently track the

most beneficial elements and make informed adjustments to the program when needed (Bruthers, et al., 2021).

Expanding Undergraduate Mentorship Programs

Mentorship is another important component of creating community and increasing retention in STEMM for undergraduates (Cuker, et al., 2016; Chemers, et al., 2011; Good, et al., 2000; Ahern-Dodson, et al., 2020; Russell, 2017; Doerschuk, et al., 2016). The lack of undergraduate mentorship programs in the life sciences outside of academic institutions has created a gap that scientific societies are perfectly positioned to fill in ways that transcend geographical barriers. More robust mentoring programs could be designed to highlight STEMM professions outside of academia, expose the students to a wider range of possible careers in their fields of interest.

Societies can look to the Society for Freshwater Science (SFS)'s Instars Mentoring Program (IMP), where undergraduates are mentored by graduate students during their annual meeting. While this program is not long term, it does connect undergraduates with an individual at a more advanced career stage within their discipline. The students are also guided through the annual meeting which lessens confusion and overwhelming feelings that come with attending a large conference. Undergraduate student participants in the IMP report that this program reinforced their career and education aspirations in their discipline (Abernathy, et al., 2020). Comparable programs are organized by ASCB and ASPET at their annual meetings, called "Multiplying Participants Accomplishing Career Transitions (M-PACT)" and "Partnering for Success", respectively.

Creating Opportunities for Non-presenting Students at Annual Conferences

While undergraduate attendance at annual society meetings has been shown to be beneficial to non-presenting students (Gopalan, et al., 2018), most societies require that students applying for travel awards present their research at these conferences. This might present a barrier for non-presenting undergraduates who are early on in their STEMM careers and desiring to experience their disciplines of interest and connect with practitioners in these fields at a deeper level. For this reason, societies should consider adjusting the eligibility criteria for undergraduates applying for their resources and programming, to ensure they are not excluding those early-on in their STEMM careers.

Meeting the Needs of Recent Graduates Experiencing Career Transitions

Similarly, most societies require that students applying for travel awards or other programs identify a specific career stage (e.g. undergraduate, graduate student, postdoctoral trainee) and an academic affiliation (Segarra et al., 2020). This might present a barrier for recent graduates who desire to stay connected with their disciplines, but might be transitioning between jobs or positions. Societies reassessing applicant requirements for their program offerings can help make these opportunities more inclusive of those who might need it most.

Conclusion

Evidence shows that the implementation of specific STEMM-focused undergraduate programming can have positive outcomes such as increases in students' sense of community and scientific identity. This, in turn, can increase retention rates among undergraduate scientists at their home institutions (Graham, et al., 2013; Doerschuk, et al., 2016; Ahern-Dodson, et al.,

2020; Russell, 2017; Casad, et al., 2016; Gopalan, et al., 2018; Bruthers, et al., 2021; Asgari, et al., 2010; Chemers, et al., 2011). This highlights an opportunity scientific societies have to leverage their resources and networks in the service of the next generation of scientists at the undergraduate level. ACCESS societies are striving to find ways to expand professional development options for undergraduate scientists, making sure to be inclusive of and nurture their future workforce.

Acknowledgements

We thank the past and current members of ACCESS. ACCESS work is supported by the National Science Foundation, grant number 1744098 to MRA and VAS.

In review

References

- Abernethy, E. F., Arismendi, I., Boegehold, A. G., Colón-Gaud, C., Cover, M. R., Larson, E. I., ... & Woller-Skar, M. M. (2020). Diverse, equitable, and inclusive scientific societies: Progress and opportunities in the Society for Freshwater Science. *Freshwater Science*, 39(3), 363-376.
- About ABRCMS. (n.d.) ABRCMS. Retrieved June 16, 2021, from <https://www.abrcms.org/index.php/about-abrcms/about-abrcms>
- About ASCB. (n.d.). ASCB. Retrieved July 14, 2021, from <https://www.ascb.org/about-ascb/>
- About us. (n.d.). ASBMB. Retrieved July 14, 2021, from <https://www.asbmb.org/about>
- Ahern-Dodson J., Clark C. R., Mourad T., Reynolds J. A. (2020). Beyond the numbers: understanding how a diversity mentoring program welcomes students into a scientific community. *Ecosphere*, 11(2). <https://doi.org/10.1002/ecs2.3025>
- American Association of Community Colleges. (2017). Fast facts. Retrieved July, 14, 2021, from www.aacc.nche.edu/AboutCC/Documents/AACCFactSheetsR2.pdf
- Asgari, S., Dasgupta, N., & Cote, N. G. (2010). When does contact with successful ingroup members change self-stereotypes?. *Social Psychology*.
- ASPET. (2020, September 18). The Pharmacologist September 2020. Issuu. https://issuu.com/aspetpublications/docs/sept_2020_tpharm.
- Bruthers, C. B., Hedman, E. L., & Matyas, M. L. (2021). Undergraduate research programs build skills for diverse students. *Advances in Physiology Education*, 45(2), 399-408. <https://doi.org/10.1152/advan.00165.2020>
- Bruthers, C. B., & Matyas, M. L. (2020). Undergraduates from underrepresented groups gain research skills and career aspirations through summer research fellowship. *Advances in Physiology Education*, 44(4), 525-539. <https://doi.org/10.1152/advan.00014.2020>
- Casad, Bettina J., Chang A. L., & Pribbenow C. M. (2016). The benefits of attending the Annual Biomedical Research Conferences for Minority Students (ABRCMS): The role of research confidence. *CBE—Life Sciences Education*, 15(3). <https://doi.org/10.1187/cbe.16-01-0048>
- Cejda, B. D., & Hensel, N. (2009). An overview of undergraduate research in community colleges. *Undergraduate research at community colleges*, 1-7.

Chemers M. M., Zurbriggen E. L., Syed M., Goza B. K., & Bearman S. (2011). The role of efficacy and identity in science career commitment among underrepresented minority students. *Journal of Social Issues*, 67(3), 469-491. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-4560.2011.01710.x>

Coggins P. (2011). Institutionalizing Applied Research at Redlands Community College. *Undergraduate Research at Community Colleges*, 33-40.

Community College Day. (n.d.) SACNAS. Retrieved June 16, 2021, from <https://www.sacnas.org/what-we-do/community-college-day/>

Community College FAQs. (2021). Community College Research Center. Retrieved July 14, 2021, from <https://ccrc.tc.columbia.edu/Community-College-FAQs.html>

Cuker, B. E., Haxton, C., & Martinez, C. (2016). How a scientific society built multicultural diversity: a 25-year-long journey. *BioScience*, 66(3), 238-244. <https://doi.org/10.1093/biosci/biw001>

Doerschuk P., Bahrim C., Daniel J., Kruger J., Mann J., & Martin C. (2016). Closing the gaps and filling the STEM pipeline: A multidisciplinary approach. *Journal of Science Education and Technology*, 25(4), 682-95. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10956-016-9622-8>

Eagan Jr, M. K., Hurtado, S., Chang, M. J., Garcia, G. A., Herrera, F. A., & Garibay, J. C. (2013). Making a difference in science education: the impact of undergraduate research programs. *American educational research journal*, 50(4), 683-713. <https://doi.org/10.3102/0002831213482038>

Freeman, R. B., & Huang, W. (2014). Collaboration: Strength in diversity. *Nature News*, 513(7518), 305.

Frye, C. A., & Edinger, K. L. (2004). Northeast Under/graduate Organization for Neuroscience, a regional neuroscience meeting for undergraduates, graduate students, and faculty. *Journal of Undergraduate Neuroscience Education*, 2(2), A36-A40.

Good, J. M., Halpin, G., & Halpin, G. (2000). A promising prospect for minority retention: Students becoming peer mentors. *Journal of Negro Education*, 69(4), 375-383.

Gopalan, C., Halpin, P. A., & Johnson, K. M. (2018). Benefits and logistics of nonpresenting undergraduate students attending a professional scientific meeting. *Advances in physiology education*, 42(1), 68-74. <https://doi.org/10.1152/advan.00091.2017>

Goyette, S. R., Edinger, K. L., Luine, V., Young, J., & Frye, C. A. (2007). NorthEast Under/graduate Research Organization for Neuroscience (NEURON): Our Third New York City Meeting. *Journal of Undergraduate Neuroscience Education*, 6(1), A14-A20.

Graham, M. J., Frederick, J., Byars-Winston, A., Hunter, A. B., & Handelsman, J. (2013). Increasing persistence of college students in STEM. *Science*, 341(6153), 1455-1456. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1240487>

Helm, H. W., & Bailey, K. G. (2013). Perceived benefits of presenting undergraduate research at a professional conference. *North American Journal of Psychology*, 15(3), 527-536.

Hensel, N. (2011). Context, Implications, and Recommendations. *Undergraduate Research at Community Colleges*, 65-70.

Hulede I. V. (2018). Preparing students for success in STEM: Role of professional societies. *CBE—Life Sciences Education*, 17(3). <https://doi.org/10.1187/cbe.17-11-0243>

Hurd, M. W., Lom, B., & Silver, W. L. (2011). SYNAPSE, symposium for young neuroscientists and professors of the southeast: a one-day, regional neuroscience meeting focusing on undergraduate research. *Journal of Undergraduate Neuroscience Education*, 9(2).

Marion B. Sewer Distinguished Scholarship. (n.d.). ASBMB. Retrieved July 14, 2021, from <https://www.asbmb.org/diversity/undergraduate-scholarship>

Maton, K. I., Hrabowski III, F. A., & Schmitt, C. L. (2000). African American college students excelling in the sciences: College and postcollege outcomes in the Meyerhoff Scholars Program. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching: The Official Journal of the National Association for Research in Science Teaching*, 37(7), 629-654. [https://doi.org/10.1002/1098-2736\(200009\)37:7<629::AID-TEA2>3.0.CO;2-8](https://doi.org/10.1002/1098-2736(200009)37:7<629::AID-TEA2>3.0.CO;2-8)

Matyas, M. L., Ruedi, E. A., Engen, K., & Chang, A. L. (2017). Life science professional societies expand undergraduate education efforts. *CBE—Life Sciences Education*, 16(1). <https://doi.org/10.1187/cbe.16-01-0019>

McLaughlin, J. P., Gomes, S., Seliga, A., Ramos-Goyette, S., Morrison, A., Reich, C. G., & Frye, C. A. (2009). Northeast Under/graduate Research Organization for Neuroscience (NEURON): Our 13th conference for neuroscience trainees and educators. *CBE—Life Sciences Education*, 8(2), 111-113. <https://doi.org/10.1187/cbe.08-08-0050>

Morales N., Bisbee O'Connell K., McNulty S., Berkowitz A., Bowser G., Giamellaro M., & Miriti M.N. (2020). Promoting inclusion in ecological field experiences: Examining and overcoming barriers to a professional rite of passage. *The Bulletin of the Ecological Society of America*, 101(4). <https://doi.org/10.1002/bes2.1742>

Mourad T. M., McNulty A. F., Liwosz D., Tice K., Abbott F., Williams G. C., ... & Reynolds J.A. (2018). The role of a professional society in broadening participation in science: A national model for increasing persistence. *BioScience*, 68(9), 715-721. <https://doi.org/10.1093/biosci/biy066>

Poster Competitions. (n.d.). Biophysical Society. Retrieved June 17, 2021, from <https://www.biophysics.org/2021meeting/awards-competitions/poster-competitions>

Ramos, R. L., Comiskey, M., Dowling, J., McFarlane, H. G., & Betz, A. J. (2020). Undergraduate participation in the Society for Neuroscience. *Journal of Undergraduate Neuroscience Education*, 18(2), A129-A133.

Russell L. (2017). Can learning communities book success of women and minorities in STEM? Evidence from the Massachusetts Institute of Technology. *Economics of Education Review*, 1(16), 98-111. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.econedurev.2017.10.008>

Schinske, J. N., Balke, V. L., Bangera, M. G., Bonney, K. M., Brownell, S. E., Carter, R. S., ... & Corwin, L. A. (2017). Broadening participation in biology education research: Engaging community college students and faculty. *CBE—Life Sciences Education*, 16(2). <https://doi.org/10.1187/cbe.16-10-0289>

Segarra, V. A., Blatch, S., Boyce, M., Carrero-Martinez, F., Aguilera, R. J., Leibowitz, M. J., ... & Edwards, A. (2020). Scientific societies advancing STEM workforce diversity: lessons and outcomes from the Minorities Affairs Committee of the American Society for Cell Biology. *Journal of microbiology & biology education*, 21(1), 15. <https://doi.org/10.1128/jmbe.v21i1.1941>

Segarra V. A., Primus C., Unguez G. A., Edwards A., Etson C., Flores S. C., ... & Ramirez-Alvarado M. (2020). Scientific societies fostering inclusivity through speaker diversity in annual meeting programming: a call to action. *Molecular biology of the cell*, 31(23), 2495-501. <https://doi.org/10.1091/mbc.E20-06-0381>

Segarra, V. A., Vega, L. R., Primus, C., Etson, C., Guillory, A. N., Edwards, A., ... & Ramirez-Alvarado, M. (2020). Scientific societies fostering inclusive scientific environments

through travel awards: current practices and recommendations. *CBE—Life Sciences Education*, 19(2). <https://doi.org/10.1187/cbe.19-11-0262>

Seymour, E., & Hewitt, N. M. (1997). Talking about leaving (p. 134). *Boulder, CO: Westview Press*.

Sharp, J., Martin, J., & Kennedy, M. (2014). Factors associated with student decision-making for participation in the Research Experiences for Undergraduates program. *International Journal of Engineering Education*, 30(6), 1395-1404.

Smith C. A., Wao H., Kersaint G., Campbell-Montalvo R., Gray-Ray P., Puccia E., ... MacDonald G. (2021). Social capital from professional engineering organization and persistence of women and underrepresented minority undergraduates. *Frontiers in Sociology*, 6. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fsoc.2021.671856>

Starck, J. G., Sinclair, S., & Shelton, J. N. (2021). How university diversity rationales inform student preferences and outcomes. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 118(16). <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2013833118>

"SURF Summer Undergraduate Research Fellowship Program Booklet." Issuu. Accessed July 27, 2021. https://issuu.com/aspetpublications/docs/2017_aspet_surf_booklet?e=17904823/46424024.

Wiertelak, E., Ohnesorge, C., Dickinson, S., Muir, G., Crisp, K., Loebach, J., ... & Neiworth, J. (2012). Midbrains: Five successful years of regional undergraduate neuroscience conferences in the upper Midwest. *Council on Undergraduate Research Quarterly*, 32(4), 37-38.

Womack V. Y., Thakore B. K., Wood C. V., Jewett D. C., Jones R. F., Ingram S. L., ... & McGee R. (2020). The aspet mentoring network: Enhancing diversity and inclusion through career coaching groups within a scientific society. *CBE—Life Sciences Education*, 19(3). <https://doi.org/10.1187/cbe.19-10-0195>

Zarate S., & Gonzalez P. L. Society for the Advancement of Chicanos/Hispanics and Native Americans in Science. <https://www.worddisk.com/wiki/SACNAS/>