The Lasting Effects of Early Adversity and Updating Ability on the Tendency to Develop PTSD Symptoms Following Exposure to Trauma in Adulthood

Shilat Haim-Nachum^{1*}, M. Roxanne Sopp^{1,2*}, George A. Bonanno³, Einat Levy-Gigi^{1,4}

* These authors contributed equally to this work.

¹School of Education, Bar-Ilan University, Ramat-Gan, Israel.

²Division of Clinical Psychology and Psychotherapy, Department of Psychology, Saarland University, Saarbrücken, Germany.

³Department of Counseling and Clinical Psychology, Teachers College, Columbia University.

⁴The Gonda Multidisciplinary Brain Research Center, Bar-Ilan University, Ramat-Gan, Israel.

Author Note

Shilat Haim-Nachum: <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4461-5771>

M. Roxanne Sopp: <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9883-5465>

George A. Bonanno: <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8260-4435>

Einat Levy-Gigi: <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5759-6480>

Acknowledgements: SHN is grateful to the Azrieli Foundation for the award of an Azrieli Fellowship. MRS participation in this project was supported by the German Academic Exchange Service (DAAD).

Correspondence concerning this article should be addressed to Einat Levy-Gigi.

Phone: +972-35318153. Email: einat.levy-gigi@biu.ac.il

Abstract

Adverse childhood experiences (ACEs) serve as a risk factor for developing posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD) for those who experience additional adult trauma. However, while some individuals with ACEs develop severe PTSD symptoms following exposure to additional adult trauma, others do not. We propose that updating prior knowledge in the face of new inconsistent information may account for these individual differences. This notion is based on prior work showing that for individuals with a reduced ability to update negative-to-positive outcome associations, greater trauma is correlated with elevated PTSD symptoms. On the other hand, individuals with flexible updating do not develop such symptoms. Here, we tested whether these updating difficulties moderate the relationship between ACEs and PTSD symptoms following additional adult trauma. Firefighters ($N=123$, $M_{age}=28.02$, $SD_{age}=4.69$) were assessed for childhood adversity and PTSD symptoms and completed a performance-based learning paradigm to evaluate updating difficulties. We predicted and found strong associations between ACEs and PTSD symptoms for individuals with reduced updating of negative—but not positive—outcomes. The results may serve as a first step toward improving mental health outcomes in individuals with ACEs and protecting them from the aversive effect of exposure to additional adult trauma.

Keywords: childhood adversity, adult trauma, PTSD symptoms, updating, firefighters

The Lasting Effects of Early Adversity and Updating Ability on the Tendency to Develop PTSD Symptoms Following Exposure to Trauma in Adulthood

Adverse childhood experiences (ACEs) refer to a wide range of circumstances or events that pose a serious threat to a child's physical or psychological well-being and are one of the most consistent risk factors associated with adult mental disorders (Agbaje et al., 2021; Bellis et al., 2015; Bryant et al., 2020; Cleare et al., 2018; McLaughlin et al., 2013; Nelson et al., 2020; Sareen et al., 2013; Trotta et al., 2015). The current study aims to test the moderating role of cognitive flexibility on the tendency of individuals with different levels of exposure to ACEs to develop posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD) following exposure to additional trauma in adulthood.

Cognitive flexibility is broadly defined as the ability to adequately update previous beliefs, cognitions, and behaviors when new, inconsistent information is provided (Dajani & Uddin, 2015). Updating difficulties in PTSD are mostly demonstrated by reduced use of positive information to update negative expectations (e.g., someone who was in an abusive romantic relationship may ignore or misinterpret positive affection from new potential partners). In recent studies of individuals with a reduced ability to update outcome expectations from negative to positive, greater trauma exposure was associated with elevated PTSD symptoms (Haim-Nachum & Levy-Gigi, 2019; 2021). This effect was not evident in individuals with difficulties in updating positive-to-negative associations. However, to date, no study has tested the interactive effect of updating and levels of ACE exposure on the tendency to develop PTSD symptoms following additional exposure to trauma in adulthood.

In a framework that examined ACEs' impact on affective development, Callaghan & Tottenham (2016) proposed that children who have been neglected show faster development of affective regulation compared to those who have not experienced neglect. However, such accelerated development comes at the cost of more rigid regulation, which may persist into

adulthood. As a result, adults with ACEs may be less flexible when updating negative expectations, even when unambiguously positive information is clearly presented. Moreover, it was found that ACEs affect the development of certain brain regions, such as the amygdala and hippocampus, and the amygdala-prefrontal circuitry, which may impair updating (Andersen et al., 2008; Herzog & Schmahi, 2018; Teicher et al., 2016; Tomoda et al., 2012) and decrease cognitive control (for a review, see, McLaughlin et al., 2020).

Since the developmental process by which individuals learn to update expectations extends well into adolescence (Weiss et al., 2021), it is plausible to assume that adversity occurring either during childhood or adolescence results in updating difficulties in adulthood. However, given that early disruptions of neurophysiological development are assumed to result in more detrimental outcomes, adversity should have a greater impact on updating if it occurs during childhood than during adolescence (Hodel, 2018).

Based on these findings, we hypothesize that individuals who face childhood adversities and reduced negative-to-positive updating experience more PTSD symptoms after additional adult trauma exposure than individuals who face childhood adversities without reduced updating. Based on prior research, we assume that these effects should be evident only for negative-to-positive updating and not for positive-to-negative updating. Moreover, we predict that the link between reduced updating and PTSD symptoms would be more pronounced for individuals who experienced adversity in childhood rather than in adolescence and adulthood (Zlotnick et al., 2008; McLaughlin et al., 2012). To address these hypotheses, the current study used a performance-based learning paradigm that assesses the ability to update positive and negative stimulus-outcome associations (Levy-Gigi et al., 2014; see Figure 1) in a sample of trauma-exposed firefighter trainees. Whereas these individuals differ in the level of ACEs they experienced, they were all exposed to similar traumatic events in adulthood.

Methods

Participants

We used G*Power software to calculate the required sample size which revealed a need for at least 107 participants to detect a medium-size effect ($f^2 = 0.15$) (Faul et al., 2007) given an alpha of 5%, with 95% power ($1-\beta$). To account for potential equipment failure, the sample size was increased by 15%. We therefore recruited 123 trainee firefighters.

Participants were all male and recruited at the end of an-eight-month introductory firefighters' course in which they have experienced six weeks of extensive exposure to duty-related potential traumatic events including fires, car accidents, spilling of toxic/combustion substances, gas leak, breaking and entering due to fear of a lost life, and missile attacks. We used the Structured Clinical Interview for the *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual for Mental Disorders–Fourth Edition* Axis I Disorders (First et al., 1996) to exclude individuals with psychiatric disorders other than PTSD. Additional exclusion criteria were history of head injury, alcohol dependence, danger or harm to self or others, and color-blindness. The investigation was approved by the Institutional Review Board of Bar-Ilan University. After an explanation of the objectives and methods of the study, participants provided their written informed consent. At the end of the study, participants were debriefed.

Measurements

The cue-context reversal learning paradigm

In this paradigm (Levy-Gigi & Richter-Levin, 2014; Levy-Gigi et al., 2014; 2015), participants observe several boxes on a computer screen. On each box, the image of a target object (e.g., a hat) is placed against a background context (different colors, e.g., orange). Participants can decide to either open the box or leave it closed. When opened, each box is

associated with a specific outcome (positive, i.e., gold coins, or negative, i.e., a bomb) that results in either a gain or a loss of 25 points, respectively (Figure 2). The paradigm includes an *acquisition phase* followed by a *retention and updating phase* (Figure 1). In the acquisition phase, each box is unique, and the participants learn by trial and error to open positive boxes and skip negative boxes. The outcome of each box is counterbalanced across participants. To complete the acquisition phase and start the retention and updating phase, participants must achieve six consecutive correct responses within a minimum of 40 trials. If they fail to do so, they get another 16 trials, and if they fail again, they are opted out of the experiment. Once accomplished, the retention and updating phase starts immediately. In this phase, participants see the original boxes (retention trials e.g., a *hat* on an *orange* background has *gold* inside) in addition to two new types of boxes that share either target (e.g., a *hat* on a gray background) or context (e.g., a *phone* on an *orange* background) with an original box (Figure 1), but have an opposite outcome (i.e., if the box with the *hat* on the *orange* background has *gold* inside, then the boxes with the *hat* on a gray background and a *phone* on the *orange* background will have a *bomb* inside and vice versa). To successfully learn these new associations, participants need to reverse and update the association rule of either the original target or the original context. Boxes in the *retention and updating phase* are presented in 10 blocks of 12 boxes each (two boxes from each of the following conditions: positive/negative retention, positive/negative target updating, positive/negative context updating). Boxes in each block are randomly presented with a total of 120 trials, 20 trials per condition. The task allows to measure both positive-to-negative and negative-to-positive updating while differentiating between cue and context-related information. In the current study there were no differences as a function of the information type, hence they were collapsed, to simplify the report.

FIGURE 1 AND FIGURE 2 AROUND HERE

Assessment of adversity exposure and clinical measures

Exposure to adversity experiences was measured using a 10-item scale. Participants were screened for common adverse events, including, for example, loss of a parent, death of a sibling/parent, divorce of parents, chronic illness in the family, severe economic difficulties, a personal serious injury/accident/disease, having a mentally or physically disabled sibling, and domestic violence. Additionally, there was an open-ended question about exposure to ‘any other’ traumatic event. Participants were instructed to indicate whether the event occurred to them and when: during childhood (age < 10; range in this sample = 0-3), adolescence (10 < age < 18; range = 0-3), or adulthood (age > 18; range = 0-8). The total score of these events was computed separately for each life period.

PTSD symptomology. We used the *Posttraumatic Stress Disorder Checklist for DSM-IV (PCL-IV; Weathers et al., 1993)*, a 17-item self-report questionnaire to evaluate PTSD symptoms during the past month. Symptoms are rated on a scale of 1 = “not at all” to 5 = “extremely” (range in this sample = 17-37). This measure has good reliability and validity scores (Blanchard et al., 1996). We used the total symptom severity score for our analyses.

Data analyses

Descriptive statistics were computed to show variables’ means and standard deviations for all measures. We examined Pearson correlation coefficients to evaluate the associations between adversity, updating, and PTSD symptoms. In addition, we used hierarchical multiple regression analyses to investigate updating performance as a predictor of PTSD symptoms and as a moderator of the association between adversity and PTSD symptoms while accounting for the effects of socio-demographic variables (age, education

years, and military combat exposure) and adversity in all life phases. The alpha level was set to .05 for all analyses. Analyses were conducted using SPSS Statistics version 25 and R.

Results

Descriptive statistics

Firefighters were 28.02 years old on average ($SD = 4.69$) and reported 12.54 years of education ($SD = 1.25$). All had attended military service and 57.72 % had been involved in combat during service. Participants reported exposure to adversity in childhood ($M = 0.47$, $SD = 0.73$), adolescence ($M = 0.48$, $SD = 0.73$), and/or adulthood ($M = 1.52$, $SD = 1.65$). Task performance was medium-to-high for negative-to-positive ($M = 65.11\%$, $SD = 24.18$) and positive-to-negative ($M = 80.09\%$, $SD = 14.44$) updating, indicating sufficient understanding and task compliance. Participants showed significantly higher performance rates for positive-to-negative than for negative-to-positive updating, $t(122) = 5.78$, $p > .001$.

Correlation analyses

Zero-order correlations are reported in Table 1. Analyses did not yield any significant correlations between ACEs and PTSD symptoms, nor between ACEs and updating patterns (all $ps > .05$). Unsurprisingly, different forms of adversity were positively correlated with each other: A medium-sized correlation emerged between adversity during childhood and adolescence ($r = .32$, $p < .001$). Moreover, large correlations emerged between adversity during childhood and adulthood ($r = .72$, $p < .001$) and adolescence and adulthood ($r = .69$, $p < .001$). In addition, we found inverse significant correlations between PTSD symptoms and updating, $r = -.27$, $p = .003$; $r = -.29$, $p = .001$; for negative-to-positive and positive-to-

negative updating, respectively. That is, greater positive-to-negative and negative-to-positive updating was linked to fewer PTSD symptoms.

TABLE 1 HERE

Hierarchical regression analyses

To examine the moderating effect of updating on the association between ACEs and PTSD symptoms following additional trauma in adulthood, we conducted a series of hierarchical regression analyses with PTSD symptoms as dependent variable. In the baseline model, socio-demographic variables (age, education years, and combat exposure) were entered as predictors along with exposure to adversity during childhood, adolescence, and adulthood. In a subsequent step, we added updating performance as a predictor and examined the increase in explained variance. Our first models focused on negative-to-positive updating (see Table 2). Introducing negative-to-positive updating as a predictor led to a significant increase in variance explained by the model, $F(1,115) = 7.36, p = .008$. In line with our assumptions, higher updating performance was associated with fewer symptoms. In a second step, we examined whether the interaction between updating and adversity in different life phases further increased the portion of explained variance. While interactions involving adversity during adolescence and adulthood did not result in an increase of explained variance, the interaction between negative-to-positive updating and adversity during childhood significantly increased the portion of accounted variance, childhood: $F(1,114) = 4.26, p = .041$; adolescence: $F(1,114) = 0.24, p = .626$; adulthood: $F(1,114) = 0.17, p = .678$.

TABLE 2 HERE

In order to further decompose this interaction effect, we examined the slope of childhood adversity at different levels of negative-to-positive updating. While a negative link between adversity during childhood and PTSD symptoms was evident in those with below-average negative-to-positive updating (mean -1 *SD*; $b = 1.59$, $SE. = 0.67$, $t = 2.38$, $p < .02$), no significant link emerged for those with average (mean; $b = 0.76$, $SE. = 0.59$, $t = 1.27$, $p = .21$) and above-average negative-to-positive updating (mean + 1 *SD*; $b = -0.08$, $SE. = 0.76$, $t = 0.11$, $p = .92$). In a more in-depth analysis, we examined the region of significance for the slope of childhood adversity for continuous levels of negative-to-positive updating. For z-standardized negative-to-positive updating scores below -0.52 (range of observed values: -2.73 – 1.40), a significant slope of childhood adversity was evident (see Figure 3).

FIGURE 3 AROUND HERE

In a separate set of models, we examined positive-to-negative updating as a predictor and moderator of PTSD symptoms (see Table 3). First, we introduced positive-to-negative updating into the baseline model to examine its effects as a predictor of PTSD symptoms. Contrary to our assumptions and previous research indicating that only negative-to-positive updating is linked to PTSD symptoms, we found that introducing positive-to-negative updating as a predictor increased the portion of explained variance; $F(1,115) = 8.28$, $p = .005$. Greater positive-to-negative updating was linked to fewer PTSD symptoms. In a second step, we investigated incremental variance accounted for by the interaction between and updating. Three consecutive models examining adversity during childhood, adolescence, and adulthood did not yield any significant increases in the portion of explained variance, childhood: $F(1,114) = 1.17$, $p = .283$; adolescence: $F(1,114) = 1.36$, $p = .246$, adulthood: $F(1,114) =$

1.81, $p = .181$. Hence, the interactive effect between adversity and updating was selective to negative-to-positive updating and adversity during childhood.

TABLE 3 HERE

Discussion

The study examined the interactive effect of ACEs and updating difficulties on the tendency to develop PTSD symptoms among individuals who were exposed to additional trauma in adulthood. As predicted, and in line with previous studies, we found a significant main effect of reduced negative-to-positive updating on PTSD symptoms (Haim-Nachum & Levy-Gigi, 2019; Levy-Gigi et al., 2015; Zabag et al., 2020). This effect demonstrates the type of updating that is required after trauma and the obstacle that trauma-exposed individuals often face. That is, individuals associate neutral but trauma-associated stimuli within their posttraumatic environments with danger or negative reminders of the traumatic event. They therefore struggle to learn that trauma-associated stimuli can predict safety when presented in a harmless environment. These results are in line with the predictive processing framework (Kube et al., 2020); according to this framework, traumatic experiences may affect individuals' prior hypotheses about the world and the relative precision attributed to these hypotheses. That is, traumatized individuals tend to have strong, danger-related hypotheses about their environments. Conversely, they lack the ability to use disconfirmatory information within their harmless environments to update these hypotheses. This may facilitate PTSD symptoms, especially intrusive re-experiencing, which in turn, triggers re-selection of these maladaptive hypotheses and thoughts.

Most importantly, we predicted and found a significant interaction between ACEs and reduced negative-to-positive updating on PTSD symptoms. These results extend previous

research by signifying that for individuals with exposure to childhood adversity, updating difficulties may affect their tendency to develop PTSD symptoms following additional exposure to trauma in adulthood. These findings may additionally explain the inconsistent link between ACEs and PTSD symptoms (Stanley et al., 2021). Moreover, whereas prior work indicates that ACEs serve as a risk factor for PTSD following additional exposure to trauma during adulthood, our results suggest that this risk might be especially applicable to those who have updating difficulties. This could shed light on the ongoing debate regarding how, when, and why vulnerability versus resilience may emerge following trauma. That is, while some individuals might be vulnerable and show maladaptive outcomes such as PTSD symptoms following exposure to aversive and traumatic incidents (Bryant et al., 2015; for a review, see, Bryant, 2019), others may experience resilience and post-traumatic growth (Bonanno, 2004; Martin-Soelch & Schnyder, 2019; for a review, see, Galatzer-Levy et al., 2018).

Furthermore, we found that the effect of adversity was significant only when it was experienced in childhood – but not in adolescence or adulthood. One possible explanation is that during the first years of life, the brain undergoes its most rapid development. Experiencing different levels of exposure to adversity during this period may have a profound impact on the limbic regions, which are important to adaptively coping with future trauma (Luby et al., 2019; Tottenham, 2020). Such alterations may not be evident if adversity occurs later in life (Luby et al., 2019; for further support, see animal studies e.g., Richter-Levin & Jacobson-Pick, 2010; for reviews, see Tryon et al., 2021; Verbitsky et al., 2020). The findings stress the importance of differentiating between the effects of adversity that occurs in childhood as compared to that which occurs in adolescence and adulthood. Ignoring this distinction could result in a failure to detect important associations of maladaptive adult outcomes. Future studies may further address this point by comparing the effects of adversity

experienced in childhood, adolescence, and adulthood on PTSD symptoms in populations who additionally experience adult trauma.

Our findings highlight possible leads for a cognitive intervention aiming to improve updating skills. Such an intervention could be particularly beneficial for children, as plasticity occurs more readily in their brains as compared to adults, and updating developmental processes decline in later life (Uddin et al., 2021). Future investigations may aim to train those who have experienced ACEs to increase their negative-to-positive updating skills to improve their coping with additional adult trauma and overall mental health. Furthermore, the results stress the importance of detecting updating patterns following ACEs among those who are likely to face adult trauma as part of their daily routines. It is possible that training individuals to increase their negative-to-positive flexible updating may buffer the deleterious effects of early adversity and adult trauma on PTSD symptoms.

Several limitations should be noted. First, the present study utilized a self-report measure to capture diverse aversive childhood experiences. Such scales are influenced by memory biases and have been found to be less accurate (for a review, see Baldwin et al., 2019). However, this is currently the common and frequently used method to assess ACEs (see Bethell et al., 2017). Additionally, futures examination should aim to differentiate between distinct types and severity levels of ACEs (see McLaughlin & Sheridan, 2016; Negri, 2020). This could improve our understanding of vulnerability to ACEs and inform PTSD diagnosis. In addition, due to the timing of the study, PTSD symptoms were assessed using the PCL-IV according to the DSM-IV criteria for PTSD, which does not include negative alterations in cognitions and mood. Future studies should seek to replicate our results with DSM-5 PTSD criteria. Furthermore, while the current demographic allows for the generalization of the findings to more segments of society, future examinations may test the relationship between ACEs and PTSD symptoms in one specific segment—clinically

diagnosed individuals—and assess whether updating influences specific PTSD symptom clusters. Relatedly, further investigation would benefit from exploring this relationship among a more balanced sample, including both men and women and a wider age range. Finally, the cross-sectional design of this study does not allow causal inferences regarding the relationship between updating difficulties, ACEs, and PTSD symptoms. While it may pave the way for further research, such research may use longitudinal designs to assert, for example, whether reduced negative-to-positive updating leads individuals with a history that includes different levels of ACEs to choose a profession that involves exposure to adult trauma.

To conclude, our findings highlight the role of reduced negative-to-positive updating in the development of PTSD symptoms in individuals with different levels of ACE history and additional adult trauma exposure. The results provide an extension of the predictive processing framework (Kube et al., 2020) by suggesting that the ability to update information after trauma may interact with past experiences. That is, traumatic exposure alone may not be sufficient to alter predictive processing in a way that facilitates PTSD development. Rather, early adverse experiences may constitute a critical vulnerability factor that interacts with predictive processing upon trauma exposure in a way that results in the occurrence of PTSD symptoms. Hence, our findings suggest that the prevention of PTSD symptoms could be improved by identifying individuals with ACEs and reduced negative-to-positive updating. Such individuals should be the target population for preventive interventions, especially after adult trauma. The findings imply that increasing individuals' negative-to-positive updating skills may help to reduce PTSD symptoms and improve current PTSD treatments. Such treatments could help buffer the deleterious effects of ACEs and adult trauma on individuals' mental health.

References

- Agbaje, O. S., Nnaji, C. P., Nwagu, E. N., Iweama, C. N., Umoke, P. C. I., Ozoemena, L. E., & Abba, C. C. (2021). Adverse childhood experiences and psychological distress among higher education students in Southeast Nigeria: an institutional-based cross-sectional study. *Archives of Public Health*, 79(1), 1-15.
- Andersen, S. L., & Teicher, M. H. (2008). Stress, sensitive periods and maturational events in adolescent depression. *Trends in Neurosciences*, 31(4), 183-191.
- Baldwin, J. R., Reuben, A., Newbury, J. B., & Danese, A. (2019). Agreement between prospective and retrospective measures of childhood maltreatment: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *JAMA Psychiatry*, 76(6), 584-593.
- Bellis, M. A., Hughes, K., Leckenby, N., Hardcastle, K. A., Perkins, C., & Lowey, H. (2015). Measuring mortality and the burden of adult disease associated with adverse childhood experiences in England: a national survey. *Journal of Public Health*, 37(3), 445-454.
- Bethell, C. D., Carle, A., Hudziak, J., Gombojav, N., Powers, K., Wade, R., & Braveman, P. (2017). Methods to assess adverse childhood experiences of children and families: Toward approaches to promote child well-being in policy and practice. *Academic Pediatrics*, 17(7), S51-S69.
- Blanchard, E. B., Jones-Alexander, J., Buckley, T. C., & Forneris, C. A. (1996). Psychometric properties of the PTSD Checklist (PCL). *Behaviour Research and Therapy*, 34(8), 669-673.
- Bonanno, G. A. (2004). Loss, trauma, and human resilience: have we underestimated the human capacity to thrive after extremely aversive events?. *American Psychologist*, 59(1), 20.

- Bryant, R. A. (2019). Post-traumatic stress disorder: A state-of-the-art review of evidence and challenges. *World Psychiatry, 18*(3), 259-269.
- Bryant, D. J., Coman, E. N., & Damian, A. J. (2020). Association of adverse childhood experiences (ACEs) and substance use disorders (SUDs) in a multi-site safety net healthcare setting. *Addictive Behaviors Reports, 12*, 100293.
- Bryant, R. A., Nickerson, A., Creamer, M., O'Donnell, M., Forbes, D., Galatzer-Levy, I., McFarlane, A. C., & Silove, D. (2015). Trajectory of post-traumatic stress following traumatic injury: 6-year follow-up. *The British Journal of Psychiatry, 206*(5), 417-423.
- Callaghan, B. L., & Tottenham, N. (2016). The stress acceleration hypothesis: Effects of early-life adversity on emotion circuits and behavior. *Current Opinion in Behavioral Sciences, 7*, 76-81.
- Cleare, S., Wetherall, K., Clark, A., Ryan, C., Kirtley, O. J., Smith, M., & O'Connor, R. C. (2018). Adverse childhood experiences and hospital-treated self-harm. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, 15*(6), 1235.
- Dajani, D. R., & Uddin, L. Q. (2015). Demystifying cognitive flexibility: Implications for clinical and developmental neuroscience. *Trends in Neurosciences, 38*(9), 571-578.
- Faul, F., Erdfelder, E., Lang, A.-G., & Buchner, A. (2007). G*Power 3: a flexible statistical power analysis program for the social, behavioral, and biomedical sciences. *Behavior Research Methods, 39*(2), 175–191.
- First, M. B., Spitzer, R. L., Gibbon, M., & Williams, J. B. W. (1996). Structured clinical interview for DSM-IV axis I disorders, clinician version (SCID-CV) American Psychiatric Press. *Washington, DC*.

- Galatzer-Levy, I. R., Huang, S. H., & Bonanno, G. A. (2018). Trajectories of resilience and dysfunction following potential trauma: A review and statistical evaluation. *Clinical Psychology Review*, 63, 41-55.
- Haim-Nachum, S., & Levy-Gigi, E. (2019). A chink in the armor: The influence of training on generalization learning impairments after viewing traumatic stimuli. *Cognition*, 193, 104021.
- Haim-Nachum, S., & Levy-Gigi, E. (2021). To Be or Not to Be Flexible: Selective impairments as a means to differentiate between depression and PTSD symptoms. *Journal of Psychiatric Research*, 136, 366-373.
- Herzog, J. I., & Schmahl, C. (2018). Adverse childhood experiences and the consequences on neurobiological, psychosocial, and somatic conditions across the lifespan. *Frontiers in Psychiatry*, 9, 420.
- Hodel, A. S. (2018). Rapid infant prefrontal cortex development and sensitivity to early environmental experience. *Developmental Review*, 48, 113-144.
- Kube, T., Berg, M., Kleim, B., & Herzog, P. (2020). Rethinking post-traumatic stress disorder—A predictive processing perspective. *Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews* 113, 448-460.
- Levy-Gigi, E., & Richter-Levin, G. (2014). The hidden price of repeated traumatic exposure. *Stress*, 17(4), 343-351.
- Levy-Gigi, E., Richter-Levin, G., & Kéri, S. (2014). The hidden price of repeated traumatic exposure: Different cognitive deficits in different first-responders. *Frontiers in Behavioral Neuroscience*, 8, 281.
- Levy-Gigi, E., Szabo, C., Richter-Levin, G., & Kéri, S. (2015). Reduced hippocampal volume is associated with overgeneralization of negative context in individuals with PTSD. *Neuropsychology*, 29(1), 151.

- Luby, J. L., Tillman, R., & Barch, D. M. (2019). Association of timing of adverse childhood experiences and caregiver support with regionally specific brain development in adolescents. *JAMA Network Open*, 2(9), e1911426-e1911426.
- Martin-Soelch, C., & Schnyder, U. (2019). Resilience and vulnerability factors in response to stress. *Frontiers in Psychiatry*, 10, 732.
- McLaughlin, K. A., Colich, N. L., Rodman, A. M., & Weissman, D. G. (2020). Mechanisms linking childhood trauma exposure and psychopathology: A transdiagnostic model of risk and resilience. *BMC Medicine*, 18, 1-11.
- McLaughlin, K. A., Koenen, K. C., Hill, E. D., Petukhova, M., Sampson, N. A., Zaslavsky, A. M., & Kessler, R. C. (2013). Trauma exposure and posttraumatic stress disorder in a national sample of adolescents. *Journal of the American Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry*, 52(8), 815-830.
- McLaughlin, K. A., & Sheridan, M. A. (2016). Beyond cumulative risk: A dimensional approach to childhood adversity. *Current Directions in Psychological Science*, 25(4), 239-245.
- Negriff, S. (2020). ACEs are not equal: Examining the relative impact of household dysfunction versus childhood maltreatment on mental health in adolescence. *Social Science & Medicine*, 245, 112696.
- Nelson, C. A., Scott, R. D., Bhutta, Z. A., Harris, N. B., Danese, A., & Samara, M. (2020). Adversity in childhood is linked to mental and physical health throughout life. *bmj*, 371.
- Richter-Levin, G., & Jacobson-Pick, S. (2010). Juvenile stress as an animal model of childhood trauma. In R. Lanius, E. Vermetten, & C. Pain (Eds.), *The Impact of Early Life Trauma on Health and Disease: The Hidden Epidemic* (pp. 95-102). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Sareen, J., Henriksen, C. A., Bolton, S. L., Afifi, T. O., Stein, M. B., & Asmundson, G. J.

(2013). Adverse childhood experiences in relation to mood and anxiety disorders in a population-based sample of active military personnel. *Psychological Medicine*, 43(1), 73-84.

Stanley, E. A., Mumford, E. A., Liu, W., Taylor, B., & Maitra, P. (2021). The Role of Military Service and Childhood Adversity in US Law Enforcement Officer Health and Wellness. *Journal of Police and Criminal Psychology*, 1-16.

Teicher, M. H., & Samson, J. A. (2016). Annual research review: Enduring neurobiological effects of childhood abuse and neglect. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, 57(3), 241-266.

Tomoda, A., Polcari, A., Anderson, C. M., & Teicher, M. H. (2012). Reduced visual cortex gray matter volume and thickness in young adults who witnessed domestic violence during childhood. *PloS One*, 7(12), e52528.

Tottenham, N. (2020). Early adversity and the neotenuous human brain. *Biological Psychiatry*, 87(4), 350-358.

Trotta, A., Murray, R. M., & Fisher, H. L. (2015). The impact of childhood adversity on the persistence of psychotic symptoms: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Psychological Medicine*, 45, 2481–2498.

Tryon, V. L., Garman, H. D., Loewy, R. L., & Niendam, T. A. (2020). Links between human and animal models of trauma and psychosis: A narrative review. *Biological Psychiatry: Cognitive Neuroscience and Neuroimaging* 6(2), 154-165.

Uddin, L. Q. (2021). Cognitive and behavioural flexibility: Neural mechanisms and clinical considerations. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*, 22(3), 167-179.

Verbitsky, A., Dopfel, D., & Zhang, N. (2020). Rodent models of post-traumatic stress disorder: behavioral assessment. *Translational Psychiatry*, 10(1), 1-28.

Weathers, F. W., Litz, B. T., Herman, D. S., Huska, J. A., & Keane, T. M. (1993, October).

The PTSD Checklist (PCL): Reliability, validity, and diagnostic utility. In *annual convention of the international society for traumatic stress studies, San Antonio, TX* (Vol. 462).

Weiss, E. O., Kruppa, J. A., Fink, G. R., Herpertz-Dahlmann, B., Konrad, K., & Schulte-

Rüther, M. (2021). Developmental Differences in Probabilistic Reversal Learning: A Computational Modeling Approach. *Frontiers in Neuroscience, 14*, 1424.

Zabag, R., Deri, O., Gilboa-Schechtman, E., Richter-Levin, G., & Levy-Gigi, E. (2020).

Cognitive flexibility in PTSD individuals following nature adventure intervention: is it really that good?. *Stress*, 1-8.

Zlotnick, C., Johnson, J., Kohn, R., Vicente, B., Rioseco, P., & Saldivia, S. (2008).

Childhood trauma, trauma in adulthood, and psychiatric diagnoses: Results from a community sample. *Comprehensive Psychiatry, 49*(2), 163-169.

Table 1*Zero-order correlations between adversity experiences, PTSD symptoms and updating*

Variables	1	2	3	4	5	6
1. Childhood adversity	1					
2. Adolescence adversity	.32***	1				
3. Adulthood adversity	.72***	.69***	1			
4. PTSD symptoms	.09	.01	-.01	1		
5. Neg-to-pos updating	-.10	-.14	-.10	-.27**	1	
6. Pos-to-neg updating	-.05	.09	.04	-.29***	.10	1

** $p \leq .01$ *** $p \leq .001$

Table 2
Hierarchical regression analyses for negative-to-positive updating

Predictors	Baseline model			+ Negative-to-Positive Updating			+ Interaction Childhood Adversity × Negative-to-Positive Updating			+ Interaction Adolescence Adversity × Negative-to-Positive Updating			+ Interaction Adulthood Adversity × Negative-to-Positive Updating		
	Estimates	CI	p	Estimates	CI	p	Estimates	CI	p	Estimates	CI	p	Estimates	CI	p
(Intercept)	26.64	18.44 – 34.84	<0.001	27.89	19.85 – 35.92	<0.001	28.47	20.53 – 36.42	<0.001	27.74	19.66 – 35.83	<0.001	28.07	19.96 – 36.18	<0.001
Age	-0.11	-0.32 – 0.09	0.277	-0.11	-0.31 – 0.09	0.292	-0.11	-0.30 – 0.09	0.289	-0.10	-0.30 – 0.11	0.341	-0.10	-0.30 – 0.10	0.326
Education	-0.18	-0.89 – 0.54	0.626	-0.05	-0.75 – 0.65	0.885	-0.10	-0.79 – 0.60	0.784	-0.06	-0.77 – 0.64	0.862	-0.08	-0.80 – 0.64	0.826
Combat Exposure	0.33	-0.43 – 1.10	0.392	0.23	-0.52 – 0.98	0.543	0.35	-0.40 – 1.10	0.354	0.23	-0.52 – 0.98	0.541	0.27	-0.50 – 1.04	0.494
Adversity – Childhood (AC)	1.36	-0.30 – 3.03	0.107	1.27	-0.35 – 2.90	0.122	1.04	-0.58 – 2.65	0.205	1.36	-0.30 – 3.02	0.108	1.28	-0.34 – 2.91	0.121
Adversity – Adolescence (AAdo)	0.49	-1.08 – 2.06	0.538	0.31	-1.23 – 1.84	0.694	0.26	-1.25 – 1.77	0.734	0.23	-1.34 – 1.80	0.774	0.23	-1.36 – 1.81	0.777
Adversity – Adulthood (AAdu)	-0.54	-1.53 – 0.46	0.286	-0.55	-1.51 – 0.42	0.266	-0.41	-1.37 – 0.55	0.402	-0.57	-1.54 – 0.41	0.249	-0.52	-1.50 – 0.45	0.289
Neg-to-Pos (NtP)				-0.04	-0.07 – -0.01	0.008	-0.05	-0.08 – -0.02	0.004	-0.04	-0.07 – -0.01	0.009	-0.04	-0.07 – -0.01	0.008
AC×NtP							-0.84	-1.64 – -0.03	0.041						
AAdo×NtP										-0.19	-0.94 – 0.57	0.626			
AAdu×NtP													-0.18	-1.03 – 0.67	0.678
R² / R² adjusted	0.054 / 0.005			0.111 / 0.056			0.143 / 0.082			0.112 / 0.050			0.112 / 0.050		

Note. Neg-to-Pos = Negative-to-Positive

Table 3
Hierarchical regression analyses for positive-to-negative updating

<i>Predictors</i>	Baseline model			+ Positive-to-Negative Updating			+ Interaction Childhood Adversity × Positive-to-Negative Updating			+ Interaction Adolescence Adversity × Positive-to-Negative Updating			+ Interaction Adulthood Adversity × Positive-to-Negative Updating		
	<i>Estimates</i>	<i>CI</i>	<i>p</i>	<i>Estimates</i>	<i>CI</i>	<i>p</i>	<i>Estimates</i>	<i>CI</i>	<i>p</i>	<i>Estimates</i>	<i>CI</i>	<i>p</i>	<i>Estimates</i>	<i>CI</i>	<i>p</i>
(Intercept)	26.64	18.44 – 34.84	< 0.001	31.43	22.82 – 40.04	< 0.001	31.59	22.98 – 40.20	< 0.001	32.13	23.45 – 40.81	< 0.001	32.09	23.45 – 40.73	< 0.001
Age	-0.11	-0.32 – 0.09	0.277	-0.09	-0.29 – 0.11	0.350	-0.08	-0.28 – 0.12	0.427	-0.09	-0.29 – 0.11	0.382	-0.07	-0.27 – 0.14	0.512
Education	-0.18	-0.89 – 0.54	0.626	-0.10	-0.80 – 0.59	0.771	-0.16	-0.87 – 0.54	0.648	-0.18	-0.88 – 0.53	0.624	-0.23	-0.95 – 0.49	0.527
Combat Exposure	0.33	-0.43 – 1.10	0.392	0.04	-0.73 – 0.81	0.918	0.06	-0.71 – 0.83	0.872	0.06	-0.70 – 0.83	0.870	0.06	-0.71 – 0.83	0.877
Adversity – Childhood (AC)	1.36	-0.30 – 3.03	0.107	1.22	-0.40 – 2.84	0.137	1.09	-0.54 – 2.73	0.187	1.30	-0.32 – 2.92	0.114	1.28	-0.33 – 2.89	0.119
Adversity – Adolescence (AAdo)	0.49	-1.08 – 2.06	0.538	0.67	-0.86 – 2.20	0.387	0.56	-0.98 – 2.10	0.472	0.72	-0.81 – 2.25	0.352	0.58	-0.95 – 2.10	0.455
Adversity – Adulthood (AAdu)	-0.54	-1.53 – 0.46	0.286	-0.54	-1.51 – 0.42	0.265	-0.44	-1.42 – 0.54	0.373	-0.60	-1.56 – 0.37	0.223	-0.53	-1.49 – 0.43	0.278
Pos-to-Neg Updating (PtN)				-0.08	-0.13 – -0.02	0.005	-0.08	-0.13 – -0.02	0.006	-0.08	-0.13 – -0.02	0.005	-0.08	-0.13 – -0.02	0.006
AC×PtN							-0.41	-1.16 – 0.34	0.283						
AAdo×PtN										-0.45	-1.22 – 0.32	0.246			
AAdu×PtN													-0.49	-1.21 – 0.23	0.181
R ² / R ² adjusted	0.054 / 0.005			0.117 / 0.063			0.126 / 0.065			0.128 / 0.066			0.131 / 0.070		

Note. Pos-to-Neg = Positive-to-negative

Figure 1.

Example of Acquisition, Retention, and Updating Trials in the Two Phases of the Cue-Context Reversal Learning Paradigm.

Note: This Figure is being reproduced with the permission of the copyright holder

Neuropsychology. Reference of the original source: Levy-Gigi, Szabo, Richter-Levin, & Kéri (2015). Reduced hippocampal volume is associated with overgeneralization of negative context in individuals with PTSD. *Neuropsychology* 29(1),151–161.

Figure 2.*Example of Experimental Trials with Different Outcomes.*

Note: This Figure is being reproduced with the permission of the copyright holder

Neuropsychology. Reference of the original source: Levy-Gigi, Szabo, Richter-Levin, & Kéri (2015). Reduced hippocampal volume is associated with overgeneralization of negative context in individuals with PTSD. *Neuropsychology* 29(1),151–161.

(a) Example of experimental trial with positive outcome box **(b) Example of experimental trial with negative outcome box**

Figure 3.

An Illustration of the Region of Significance for the Interaction Between Childhood Adversity and Negative-to-Positive Updating on PTSD Symptoms.