Title: Enhancing Cosmesis While Achieving High Cure Rates For Early Stage Non-Melanoma Skin Cancer In The Outpatient Dermatology Clinic Using A Novel Non-Invasive Modality

Authors

Lio Yu, M.D., Director of Radiation Oncology, Laserderm Dermatology, Smithtown, NY

Mairead Moloney, B.S., OMS-II, New York Institute of Technology College of Osteopathic Medicine, Old Westbury, New York

Raymond Beers, M.S., DABMP, Astarita Associates, Smithtown, NY

Donna Serure, D.O., Chief of Dermatology, Laserderm Dermatology, Smithtown, NY

Corresponding Author:

Mairead Moloney, B.S., OMS-II

South Setauket, NY 11720

Business telephone: (631)-655-8019

Email: maireadhmoloney@gmail.com

Funding Sources: None

Compliance with Ethics Guidelines: The authors adhered to the principles established in the Federal Policy for the Protection of Human Subjects, referred to as the “Common Rule,” as well as the pertinent sections of the Helsinki Declaration and its amendments. The data have been de-identified for use in this study.

24 **Acknowledgments for grants and technical support:** The authors would like to
25 acknowledge the following people who were instrumental in collecting and extracting
26 data: Nick Natalizio, Krupal Patel, and Songzhu Zhang. We extend a special thanks to
27 Songzhu Zhang for his invaluable assistance in statistical analyses and curve
28 generation.

Abstract

Introduction: Non-Melanoma Skin Cancer (NMSC) is generally treated in dermatology offices using surgical techniques.

Objectives: We evaluate the feasibility, tolerance, effectiveness and cosmesis using an office-based non-surgical modality for NMSC.

Methods: 93 patients with 133 pathologically confirmed early stage invasive and in-situ NMSC lesions treated with Image-Guided Superficial Radiation Therapy (IGSRT) were retrospectively analyzed. All lesions received a median of twenty fractions of 50 or 70 kilovoltage(kV) IGSRT. Energy selection and subsequent kV changes were determined by ultrasound imaging. RTOG toxicity scoring was used. Treatment interruption was defined as greater than 2 weeks.

Results: Median age was 69. At an average follow-up of 16.23 months, 92 of 93 patients were alive. One patient expired from unrelated causes while no evidence of disease (NED). 132 of 133 lesions achieved local control (LC) with one lesion recurring at 12.9 months. Absolute LC was 99.2%, overall Kaplan-Meier LC (KM LC) was 98.95% at 30.8 months, and Disease Free Survival (DFS) was 100%. Acute toxicities were mild with RTOG grades 0, 1 or 2 in all lesions with no grade 3 or 4 toxicity. Cosmesis was felt to be excellent or very good (VG) by the clinicians with no fair/poor cosmesis. There were no severe toxicities or complications requiring treatment interruptions.

Conclusions: Office based IGSRT is feasible, safe, easily tolerable, and highly effective. Patients receiving IGSRT achieve excellent/VG cosmesis and welcome this nonsurgical option. IGSRT is an attractive non-invasive therapeutic option for NMSC and provides another valuable tool for dermatologists.

- 52 **Keywords:** Image-guided superficial radiation therapy, non-melanoma skin cancer,
53 basal cell carcinoma, squamous cell carcinoma, squamous cell carcinoma in situ

Introduction:

The incidence of skin cancer is on the rise for both melanoma and non-melanoma skin cancer (NMSC) with NMSC being 18 to 20 times higher than melanoma [1]. NMSC is the most prevalent cancer in the United States with the current estimate indicating 3.3 million patients with 5.43 million lesions in 2012 [2,3]. NMSC comprise of Basal Cell Carcinoma (BCC) and Squamous Cell Carcinoma (SCC), which are keratinocyte carcinomas, whereas melanoma is a melanocyte carcinoma [4]. BCC and SCC account for 99% of NMSC, with BCC making up 80% of skin cancers [1,4,5]. In the United States, there are between 5.03 to 5.23 million BCC lesions diagnosed each year and there are between 200,000 to 400,000 cases of SCC each year [6,7]. Major risk factors for the development of NMSC include duration and intensity of sun exposure, increased age and fair skin, which is why Caucasians over 65 are at the greatest risk for developing skin cancer [5,8,9,10]. The greatest incidence of NMSC occurs in sun exposed areas including the head and neck. Consequently it is imperative that we identify treatment modalities that result in high cure rates and superior cosmesis [5,7].

Despite the high occurrence of NMSC, they are considered nonfatal and curable due to their slow growth, low recurrence, and rare metastasis with limited impact on mortality and morbidity [9,10]. However, it is standard that NMSC are treated to prevent invasion and tumor growth [4,5]. Additionally, small superficial cutaneous squamous cell carcinoma, if left untreated, can locally invade and lead to metastasis and potential mortality. Treatment modalities include Mohs micrographic surgery (MMS), surgical excision, radiation therapy, electrodesiccation and curettage (ED&C), cryotherapy,

laser, photodynamic therapy, topical medications and systemic medications [2,4,9,10].

Although there are a variety of less invasive non-surgical options available for the treatment of NMSC, surgery remains the standard for NMSC treatment, specifically Mohs micrographic surgery [5]. This is due to the fact that current evidence suggests MMS offers the highest cure rates, with about a 1% 5-year recurrence for BCC and less than 6% 5-year recurrence for SCC [11,12]. A drawback of MMS for NMSC is that in anatomical locations where conservation of tissue is important, such as the ear, nose, eyelid and neck, MMS removes tissue layers posing cosmetic concerns [4,5,7,8].

Another concern is that a majority of NMSC occur in older patients, who might not be able to endure the length of time in the surgical position MMS requires to excise the skin cancer in addition to the sequela that follow after surgery [9,11]. Linos *et al.* reported that patients with a limited life expectancy (LLE) may not benefit from surgical removal of NMSC when compared to patients who received non-surgical treatment or no treatment [9]. Individuals with LLE typically have difficulty with activities of daily living (ADLs) and would likely need assistance with wound care after surgery [5]. A non-surgical treatment option would minimize the complications of skin surgery, such as infection, excessive bleeding, and wound dehiscence. Furthermore, a non-surgical approach is attractive to patients who are not medically surgical candidates, such as those with cardiac risk factors, on blood thinners, or otherwise precluded from having surgery. Nonsurgical options have the potential to maintain better cosmesis and function with minimal pain.

100 **Objective:**

101 We report early results of a non-surgical modality for the treatment of NMSC – Image-
102 Guided Superficial Radiation Therapy (IGSRT). This newer image guided treatment
103 combines the use of ultrasound for non-invasive imaging and an established treatment
104 of superficial radiation therapy, which has been used for decades [12].

Methods:

Patient Selection

We retrospectively analyzed the medical charts of 93 patients (60 female, 33 male) from an outpatient dermatology practice in Smithtown, NY. The authors adhered to the principles established in the Federal Policy for the Protection of Human Subjects, referred to as the “Common Rule,” as well as the pertinent sections of the Helsinki Declaration and its amendments. The data have been de-identified for use in this study. Patients with pathologically confirmed early stage (Stage 0, I, II) NMSC lesions, specified by 8th edition of the American Joint Committee on Cancer (AJCC) Staging Manual [13] treated with IGSRT between April 2017 and August 2018 were analyzed. All BCC and non-head and neck cutaneous SCC (cSCC) that fell outside of AJCC staging (which currently only include head and neck cSCC) were included and staging was extrapolated to those lesions for consistency. SCC In-Situ (SCCIS) lesions were only selected for treatment if they had full thickness atypia (FTA) deemed appropriate for treatment by NCCN guidelines [14]. Lesions from patients with multiple NMSC lesions treated synchronously or metachronously with IGSRT were included in the study for a total of 133 lesions. The histopathology and stage for all lesions were confirmed prior to IGSRT treatment. All patients signed informed consent prior to treatment.

Treatment Methods

Patient’s lesions were generally treated with 50, 70, or mixed (50 and 70) kiloVoltage (kV) energy IGSRT given 3 or 4 times weekly (range 2-4) (Table 1). Lesions were treated with a median of 20 fractions of 256 cGy (range 242 to 283 cGy) for a total

cumulative dose of 5128 cGy over 1.58 months. The protocol used recommended a typical dose fractionation in the range of 245-265 cGy for 20 fractions as a routine and can vary somewhat based on tumor characteristics, size of treatment cone applicator, histology and depth of the lesion ultrasound. The IGSRT machine is not designed to deliver doses based on cGy dose but instead based on time (minutes) the beam is turned on to 2 decimal points. Therefore, the treatment dose that comes closest to that recommended by the protocol doses is generally selected. Energy selection and subsequent kV changes were determined by ultrasound imaging of the lesion and clinical exam characteristics. Doses were prescribed to the skin surface. Radiation Treatment Oncology Group (RTOG) toxicity scoring [15] was used throughout the treatment every 1 to 2 weeks generally after every 5 fractions. The highest RTOG score was recorded for this retrospective analysis. Treatment interruption was defined as an unplanned break due to side effects of greater than 2 weeks. Patients were generally followed initially 2-4 weeks after treatment completion and scheduled for continued followed-up until there was no evidence of disease (NED) or if failure occurred. Thereafter or contemporaneously, patients returned to their dermatologists for follow-up and management.

Board-certified Radiation Therapists administered each fraction based on the IGSRT prescription determined by the Radiation Oncologist. A Medical Physicist ensured that all calculations and treatment parameters were in accordance with the prescription, as well as verifying the accurate completion of the treatment course. The Medical Physicist also maintained quality and safety checks on the SRT machine on a regular basis.

151 **Statistical Analysis**

152 Because follow-up time differed from patient to patient, we also used Kaplan-Meier
153 analysis to calculate local control rates. Statistical differences were calculated using the
154 log-rank test. P value <0.05 was considered statistically significant. Kaplan-Meier
155 analysis and descriptive statistical analysis was performed with R Studio. Any missing
156 data was not included in the statistical analyses.

Results:

This study analyzed 93 patients with 133 lesions receiving IGSRT with a median age of 69 at first treatment (Table 2). 60 patients were female (64.5%) and 33 patients were male (35.5%). Average follow-up after IGSRT treatment was 16.23 months (SD +/- 8.88). Lesion characteristics are shown in Table 3. Of the total of 133 lesions, 67 were BCC, 17 were SCC, 49 were SCCIS with full thickness atypia. Forty-nine lesions were stage 0 (zero), 77 lesions were stage I, and 7 lesions were stage II. Median lesion size was 1.0cm. Seventy-four lesions had diameters greater than or equal to 1cm. Six lesions were greater than 2cm in dimension with 3 of these lesions (all SCCIS) greater than 3cm in dimension.

Response

Local Control

Initially, all lesions achieved local control (LC) within 4 months. One lesion recurred at 12.9 months post treatment. Absolute local control (LC) rate was 99.2%. Overall Kaplan-Meier (KM) LC was 98.95% at the maximum follow-up time of 30.8 months (2.57 years) (Figure 1). There was no significant difference in KM LC between stages (Log-rank $p=0.6729$) (Figure 2). KM LC for stage 0 (SCCIS) is 100% at 12, 24 and 30.8 months. KM LC for stage I is 100% at 12 months, and remained at 98.11% for 24 and 30.8 months. KM LC for stage II remained at 100% at 12, 24 and 30.8 months.

Survival

Disease Free Survival (DFS) at 30.8 months was 100%. At an average follow-up of 16.23 months, 92 of 93 patients were alive. One patient expired from unrelated causes while NED.

Recurrence

The only recurrence in this cohort was a stage I nodular BCC lesion located on the ear which recurred at 12.9 months. This lesion received 20 fractions at 50kV of 251.79 cGy for a total cumulative dose of 5035.80 cGy. The Time Dose Fractionation (TDF) number for this lesion was 85. Treatment duration for this lesion was 1.64 months, which was within 1 standard deviation (SD) of the average treatment duration (1.56 months +/- 0.27 days). On follow-up 14 weeks after IGSRT completion, the lesion was noted as “well healed and no evidence of recurrence”. Recurrence was detected 56 weeks later (12.9 months) and confirmed by biopsy with pathology showing nodular BCC. Salvage with excision and electrodesiccation was performed. Pathology after salvage, excision and ED&C confirmed scar with no residual lesion identified.

Cosmesis

Cosmesis was felt to be almost entirely excellent and occasionally very good (VG) by the radiation oncologist and dermatologist with no fair or poor cosmesis.

Toxicities

Acute toxicities were graded using RTOG criteria (Table 4) [15]. Table 5 shows the distribution by RTOG toxicity. All lesions had minimal or mild toxicity (RTOG 0, 1, 2) with no lesions having severe or significant toxicity (RTOG 3, 4). There were no severe toxicities or complications requiring treatment interruption or delay.

Discussion:

There is presently very little modern data on the use of superficial radiotherapy for the treatment of NMSC. The American Academy of Dermatology (AAD) recommends superficial radiation therapy as a secondary treatment option reserved for special cases of NMSC [16,17]. This suggests that further research is necessary to establish the long-term efficacy and safety of SRT to establish that it provides comparable or acceptable cure rates in relation to MMS. The American Society for Radiation Oncology (ASTRO) analyzed the literature from May 1988 to June 2018 of studies that utilized radiotherapy (RT) for curative intent of BCC and cSCC lesions and concluded that there is limited modern randomized controlled trials (RCT) on RT and an absence of prospective RCT comparing NMSC treatment modalities [18]. Furthermore, there is even fewer data involving use of the newer technology of IGSRT, which this retrospective study reports.

In this retrospective study, IGSRT was found to be feasible, effective, safe, convenient, and achieves excellent/VG cosmetic outcomes. These results highlight the promise that IGSRT may be considered a first line alternative non-surgical option for the treatment of NMSC. These results are also consistent with the limited current published literature which support the use of SRT for the treatment of NMSC. Roth *et al.* evaluated the effectiveness of SRT to treat 38 BCC and 113 SCC lesions located on the lower extremity in an elderly population with a mean age of 82.5 [19]. The follow-up for a majority of patients was > 2 years, with 17% followed < 2 years. The overall cure rate was found to be 97.4% with four lesions that recurred and successfully salvaged. Another recent study published by Roth *et al.*, retrospectively evaluated the long-term

223 safety and efficacy of using SRT to treat NMSC in 516 patients with 776 lesions (448
224 BCC and 328 SCC) [20]. Six recurrences were noted (0.77%) with a KM local control
225 rate of 98.9%. at 7.1 years and the only minor long-term toxicity was hypopigmentation.
226 The results from both of these studies are consistent with our findings using IGSRT.

227
228 Cagnetta *et al.* concluded that SRT was a viable non-surgical option for the treatment of
229 NMSC with 5-year KM LC of 95.8% for BCC and 94.2% for SCC (invasive and in situ) in
230 1715 NMSC lesions located on the face and scalp from 1149 patients [21]. They also
231 report a 2-year KM recurrence rate of 2% for BCC, 1.2% for invasive SCC, and 1.9% for
232 SCCIS. The 5-year recurrence rate reported in Cagnetta *et al.* was compared to a 5-
233 year recurrence rate of 1.0% for BCC and a 5-year recurrence rate of 2.6% for primary
234 SCC treated with MMS. One difference between the regimen by Cagnetta *et al.* and
235 ours is the fractionation regimen used. Most lesions in their series were treated with 5
236 fractions of 700 cGy for a total cumulative dose of 3500 cGy. In our study, we routinely
237 used 20 fractions of approximately 255 cGy for a total cumulative dose in the range of
238 5100 cGy. Another difference in our study is the use of image guidance to determine the
239 tumor depth before, during, and after treatment. Our results are similar in comparison to
240 Cagnetta *et al.* with a slightly improved 2-year KM recurrence rate for SCC and SCCIS.
241 We report a 2-year KM recurrence rate of 2.22% for BCC, 0% for invasive SCC and 0%
242 for SCCIS. These early results concur with those of Cagnetta *et al.* with a suggestion
243 that SRT may be potentially superior to MMS for SCC particularly at certain high risk
244 regions, as one study reported the 2-year recurrence rate of SCC of the ear treated with
245 MMS to be 5.7% (5 of 87 tumors) [22]. With longer follow-up this trend may continue to

hold true, and is also suggested in another study (Yu, *et al.*, unpublished data). The reason for this potential superiority may be due to the routine use of a margin of “safety” (umbra) beyond the SCC lesion (typically 5-10mm distal to the edge of the lesion), which is a wider margin than that for MMS as the latter is purposely designed to conserve as much tissue from surgical removal as possible.

Our study further demonstrates that superficial radiotherapy combined with an image-guided modality does not detract from its effectiveness and may in fact enhance its effectiveness. There appears to be additional benefits of using image guidance as it can allow visualization of tumor dimensions even under the surface of the skin for better targeting accuracy as well as the reliable assessment of tumor depth. This is akin to the advantages of using polarized dermoscopy to help elucidate a lesion that is only visualized on the surface. The measurement of tumor depth is important as it allows selection of the proper penetrating energy to adequately encompass the entire tumor. The proper selection of energy can improve cosmetic results as normal tissue that is left unperturbed maintains a better cosmesis. On the other hand, if the energy used is not sufficient to cover the entire tumor, this can potentially result in decreased local control. The only lesion that recurred in our study was treated with an energy of 50 kV and had a relatively low TDF of 85. Our protocol currently increases the energy to 70 kV or higher and also increases the TDF number for nodular BCC lesions and is anticipated to decrease failure rates going forward. Furthermore, ultrasound use can detect potential satellite lesions or unexpected deep involvement which may change the management from non-surgical to surgical recommendations. The principles of image guidance in

improving radiotherapy outcome has been documented in various previous studies on different sites of disease in the radiation treatment of neoplasms [23, 24, 25].

This study suggest IGSRT has advantages over surgery for NMSCs, especially lesions located in cosmetically sensitive areas, such as the head and neck where a majority of NMSC lesions occur, where cosmetic and functional outcome is paramount. Having a NMSC treated in a vulnerable area, such as the face, is often associated with patient anxiety and concern about the cosmetic outcome especially with surgery [10]. In this study IGSRT appears to be able to achieve this without compromise in the control rate. Current research indicates after an individual's first NMSC, the risk for another one is 40.7% within 5 years [26]. For individuals diagnosed with a non-first NMSC the 5-year probability of another NMSC increases to 82.0%. Many patients suffer surgical fatigue from having multiple surgical procedures on an ongoing basis. In patients who may fear or decline surgery, IGSRT provides a viable and effective non-surgical option for these patients. Additionally, IGSRT is advantageous to treat NMSC in areas prone to poor wound healing, bleeding and infections (ie. below the knee, or very mobile or exposed portions of the skin). For patients who are on anticoagulation, the treatment can be given without suspension of the use of anticoagulants, minimizing the risk of stroke or thrombolytic events. Patients are very pleased with the results and availability of this non-surgical option and frequently request this option for additional lesions that occur after their first experience. From the providers' experience with IGSRT, there is improved patient compliance with treating their skin cancers versus ignoring them given this additional option.

292

293 Limitations of this study include that it is a retrospective observational study on a small
294 number of lesions with less than 5-year follow-up. No randomization was employed. A
295 matched cohort analysis may be beneficial to compare the results of this modality to
296 other surgical techniques as randomization to a surgical versus non-surgical treatment
297 may be ethically or practically difficult to accomplish. Another drawback is the use of
298 provider reporting of recurrences, which introduces a potential underreporting bias.
299 Further follow-up with a minimum of 2-year and preferably 5-year follow-up is
300 warranted.

301

302 **Conclusions:**

303 Office based IGSRT is feasible, safe, and easily tolerable. On early follow-up, this 20-
304 fraction regimen is highly effective with an overall absolute LC of 99.2%, and an overall
305 KM LC of 98.95% at 30.8 months, with a 100% DFS. It achieves excellent or very good
306 cosmesis and patients are very happy with the results and availability of this nonsurgical
307 option. IGSRT is an attractive-non-invasive therapeutic option for NMSC and provides
308 another valuable tool for dermatologists. Longer follow-up is underway.

309 **List of Abbreviations and Acronyms**

AAD	American Academy of Dermatology
ADLs	Activities of daily living
AJCC	American Joint Committee on Cancer
ASTRO	American Society for Radiation Oncology
BCC	Basal cell carcinoma
cGy	Centigray
cm	Centimeter
cSCC	Cutaneous squamous cell carcinoma
DFS	Disease free survival
ED&C	Electrodessication and curettage
FTA	Full Thickness Atypia
IGSRT	Image-guided superficial radiation therapy
KM	Kaplan-Meier
kV	Kilovoltage
LC	Local control
LLE	Limited life expectancy
mm	Millimeter
MMS	Mohs micrographic surgery
NCCN	National Comprehensive Cancer Network
NED	No evidence of disease
NMSC	Non-melanoma skin cancer
RCT	Randomized controlled trial
RT	Radiation therapy
RTOG	Radiation Treatment Oncology Group
SCC	Squamous cell carcinoma
SCCIS	Squamous cell carcinoma in situ
SD	Standard deviation
SRT	Superficial radiation therapy
TDF	Time Dose Fractionation
VG	Very Good

References:

1. Apalla Z, Lallas A, Sotiriou E, Lazaridou E, Ioannides D. Epidemiological trends in skin cancer What Does the Future Hold. *Dermatol Pract Concept*. 2017;7(2):1-6. PMID: 28515985 DOI:10.5826/dpc.0702a01
2. Rong Y, Zuo L, Shang L, Bazan JG. Radiotherapy treatment for nonmelanoma skin cancer. *Expert Rev Anticancer Ther*. 2015;15(7):765-776. PMID: 25955383 DOI:10.1586/14737140.2015.1042865
3. American Cancer Society. Cancer Facts & Figures 2020. American Cancer Society. <https://www.cancer.org/content/dam/cancer-org/research/cancer-facts-and-statistics/annual-cancer-facts-and-figures/2020/cancer-facts-and-figures-2020.pdf>. Accessed June 11, 2020.
4. Fahradyan A, Howell A, Wolfswinkel E, Tsuha M, Sheth P, Wong A. Updates on the Management of Non-Melanoma Skin Cancer (NMSC). *Healthcare*. 2017;5(4):82. PMID: 29104226 DOI:10.3390/healthcare5040082
5. Garcovich S, Colloca G, Sollena P, et al. Skin cancer epidemics in the elderly as an emerging issue in geriatric oncology. *Aging Dis*. 2017;8(5):643-661. PMID: 28966807 DOI:10.14336/AD.2017.0503
6. Rogers HW, Weinstock MA, Feldman SR, Coldiron BM. Incidence estimate of nonmelanoma skin cancer (keratinocyte carcinomas) in the us population, 2012. *JAMA Dermatology*. 2015;151(10):1081-1086. PMID: 25928283 DOI:10.1001/jamadermatol.2015.1187
7. Alam M, Armstrong A, Baum C, et al. Guidelines of care for the management of cutaneous squamous cell carcinoma. *J Am Acad Dermatol*. 2018;78(3):560-578. PMID: 29331386 DOI:10.1016/j.jaad.2017.10.007

- 335 8. Nestor MS, Berman B, Goldberg D, et al. Consensus Guidelines on the Use of
336 Superficial Radiation Therapy for Treating Nonmelanoma Skin Cancers and
337 Keloids. *J Clin Aesthet Dermatol*. 2019;12(2):12-18. PMID: 30881578
- 338 9. Linos E, Parvataneni R, Stuart SE, Boscardin WJ, Landefeld CS, Chren MM.
339 Treatment of nonfatal conditions at the end of life: Nonmelanoma skin cancer.
340 *JAMA Intern Med*. 2013;173(11):1006-1012. PMID: 23699934
341 DOI:10.1001/jamainternmed.2013.639
- 342 10. Zagrodnik B, Kempf W, Seifert B, et al. Superficial Radiotherapy for Patients with
343 Basal Cell Carcinoma: Recurrence Rates, Histologic Subtypes, and Expression of
344 p53 and Bcl-2. *Cancer*. 2003;98(12):2708-2714. PMID: 14669293
345 DOI:10.1002/cncr.11798
- 346 11. Patel R, Strimling R, Doggett S, et al. Comparison of electronic brachytherapy
347 and Mohs micrographic surgery for the treatment of early-stage non-melanoma
348 skin cancer: a matched pair cohort study. *J Contemp Brachytherapy*.
349 2017;9(4):338-344. PMID: 28951753 DOI:10.5114/jcb.2017.68480
- 350 12. McGregor S, Minni J, Herold D. Superficial radiation therapy for the treatment of
351 nonmelanoma skin cancers. *J Clin Aesthet Dermatol*. 2015;8(12):12-14. PMID:
352 26705443
- 353 13. Amin MB, Edge S, Greene F, et al. *AJCC Cancer Staging Manual*. 8th ed. New
354 York, NY: Springer; 2017.
- 355 14. NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology (NCCN Guidelines®): Squamous
356 Cell Skin Cancer. National Comprehensive Cancer Network.
357 https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/squamous.pdf. Accessed

October 1, 2020.

15. Cox JD, Stetz JA, Pajak TF. Toxicity criteria of the Radiation Therapy Oncology Group (RTOG) and the European organization for research and treatment of cancer (EORTC). *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys*. 1995. PMID: 7713792 DOI:10.1016/0360-3016(95)00060-C
16. American Academy of Dermatology. Position statement on superficial radiation therapy for basal cell carcinoma (BCC) and squamous cell carcinomas (SCC). 2016. <https://www.aad.org/%0AForms/Policies/Uploads/PS/PS-Superficial%2520Radiation%25%0A20Therapy.pdf>. Accessed June 11, 2020.
17. Bichakjian CK, Alam M. Reply to: "Comment on 'Guidelines of care for the management of basal cell carcinoma.'" *J Am Acad Dermatol*. 2018;79(5):e101. PMID: 29981388 DOI:10.1016/j.jaad.2018.06.051
18. Likhacheva A, Awan M, Barker CA, et al. Definitive and Postoperative Radiation Therapy for Basal and Squamous Cell Cancers of the Skin: Executive Summary of an American Society for Radiation Oncology Clinical Practice Guideline. *Pract Radiat Oncol*. 2020;10(1):8-20. PMID: 31831330 DOI:10.1016/j.prro.2019.10.014
19. Roth WI, Shelling M, Fishman K. Superficial Radiation Therapy: A Viable Nonsurgical Option for Treating Basal and Squamous Cell Carcinoma of the Lower Extremities. *J Drugs Dermatol*. 2019;18(2):130-134. PMID: 30794362
20. Roth W, Beer RE, Iyengar V, Bender T, Raymond I. Long-Term Efficacy and Safety of Superficial Radiation Therapy in Subjects With Nonmelanoma Skin Cancer: A Retrospective Registry Study. *J Drugs Dermatol*. 2020;19(2):163-168. PMID: 32129960 DOI:10.36849/JDD.2020.4647

21. Coggnetta AB, Howard BM, Heaton HP, Stoddard ER, Hong HG, Green WH. Superficial x-ray in the treatment of basal and squamous cell carcinomas: A viable option in select patients. *J Am Acad Dermatol*. 2012;67(6):1235-1241. PMID: 22818756 DOI:10.1016/j.jaad.2012.06.001
22. Silapunt S, Peterson SR, Goldberg LH. Squamous cell carcinoma of the auricle and Mohs micrographic surgery. *Dermatol Surg*. 2005;31(11 Pt 1):1423-1427. doi:10.1097/00042728-200511000-00008
23. Western C, Hristov D, Schlosser J. Ultrasound Imaging in Radiation Therapy: From Interfractional to Intrafractional Guidance. *Cureus*. 2015;7(6):23-24. DOI:10.7759/cureus.280
24. Sayan M, Vergalasova I, Hard D, et al. Daily localization of partial breast irradiation patients with three-dimensional ultrasound imaging. *Radiat Oncol J*. 2019;37(4):259-264. PMID: 31918463 DOI:10.3857/roj.2019.00052
25. Bohrer M, Schröder P, Welzel G, et al. Reduced rectal toxicity with ultrasound-based image guided radiotherapy using BAT (B-mode acquisition and targeting system) for prostate cancer. *Strahlentherapie Onkol*. 2008;184(12):674-678. PMID: 19107349 DOI:10.1007/s00066-008-1837-z
26. Wehner MR, Linos E, Parvataneni R, Stuart SE, Boscardin WJ, Chren MM. Timing of subsequent new tumors in patients who present with basal cell carcinoma or cutaneous squamous cell carcinoma. *JAMA Dermatology*. 2015;151(4):382-388. PMID: 25588079 DOI:10.1001/jamadermatol.2014.3307

403 **Figure Legend**

404 Figure 1: Kaplan-Meier (KM) Local control (LC) for 133 lesions (67 BCC, 17 SCC, 49
405 SCCIS) treated with IGSRT. Dots represent censored events.

406 Figure 2: Kaplan-Meier (KM) Local control (LC) of 133 lesions (Stage 0 = 49, 1 = 77, 2 =
407 7). Dots represent censored events.

408

409 **Table Legend**

410 Table 1: Treatment characteristics for IGSRT

411 Table 2: Patient demographics at the time of treatment

412 Table 3: Tumor characteristics at time of treatment

413 Table 4: RTOG (Radiation Treatment Oncology Group) acute skin radiation toxicity

414 Table 5: Acute toxicities RTOG grades for lesions treated with IGSRT

415 **Table 1: Treatment characteristics for IGSRT**

IG-SRT Treatment Characteristics				416
Total dose received (cGy)	Mean	5128.53 (SD +/- 140.93)		
	Median	5128		417
	Minimum	4783		
	Maximum	6032.57		418
Number of fractions	Mean	19.94 (SD +/- 1.02)		419
	Median	20		
	Minimum	13		420
	Maximum	24		421
Dose per fraction (cGy)	Mean	257.06 (SD +/- 14.96)		
	Median	256		422
	Minimum	242		
	Maximum	383		423
Energy (kV) [Number of lesions]	50 kV	110 (82.7%)		424
	70 kV	9 (6.8%)		
	100 kV	0 (0%)		425
	Mixed - 50 kV & 70 kV	14 (10.5%)		426
Treatment duration (months)	Mean	1.56 (SD +/- 0.26)		
	Median	1.58		427
	Minimum	0.99		
	Maximum	2.83		428
Treatment duration (weeks)	Mean	6.82 (SD +/- 1.12)		429
	Median	6.86		
	Minimum	4.29		430
	Maximum	12.29		431
Time Dose Fractionation	Mean	88.35 (SD +/- 3.23)		
	Median	88		432
	Minimum	81		
	Maximum	105		433

434 **Table 2: Patient demographics at the time of treatment**

Patient Characteristics		
Total Patients		93
Age at 1st treatment (years)	Mean	68.72 (SD +/- 10.9)
	Median	69
	Minimum	36
	Maximum	89
Gender	Female	60 (64.5%)
	Male	33 (35.5%)
Follow-up interval (months)	Mean	16.23 (SD +/- 8.88)
	Median	19.3
	Minimum	0
	Maximum	30.8

435

436 **Table 3: Tumor characteristics at time of treatment**

Tumor Characteristics		
Number of Lesions		133
Histopathology	BCC	67 (50.4%)
	SCC	17 (12.8%)
	SCCIS	49 (36.8%)
Stage	T0	49 (36.8%)
	T1	77 (57.9%)
	T2	7 (5.3%)
	T3	0 (0%)
	T4	0 (0%)
Lesion Size (cm)	Mean	1.04 (SD +/- 0.63)
	Median	1.0
	Minimum	0.11
	Maximum	4.5*

437 *SCCIS (Squamous Cell Carcinoma In Situ) all with full thickness atypia.

438 **Table 4: RTOG (Radiation Treatment Oncology Group) acute skin radiation**
439 **toxicity**^[15]

Grade	Signs / Symptoms
0	No symptoms
1	Follicular, faint or dull erythema; epilation; dry desquamation; decreased sweating
2	Tender or bright erythema, patchy moist desquamation; moderate edema
3	Confluent, moist desquamation other than skin folds, pitting edema
4	Ulceration, hemorrhage, necrosis
5	Death directly related to radiation effects

440 **Table 5: Acute toxicities RTOG grades for lesions treated with IGSRT**

RTOG	Number of lesions
0	1
1	101
2	31
3	0
4	0

441

442 **Figure 1: Kaplan-Meier (KM) Local control (LC) for 133 lesions (67 BCC, 17 SCC,**
443 **49 SCCIS) treated with IGSRT. Dots represent censored events.**

445 **Figure 2: Kaplan-Meier (KM) Local control (LC) of 133 lesions (Stage 0 = 49, I = 77,**
446 **II = 7). Dots represent censored events.**