STUDI MICENEI ED EGEO-ANATOLICI
NUOVA SERIE

SUPPLEMENTO 1

Paths into Script Formation in the Ancient Mediterranean

edited by
Silvia Ferrara and Miguel Valério

STUDI MICENEI
ED EGEO-ANATOLICI
NUOVA SERIE
SUPPLEMENTO 1

è una pubblicazione del Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche, Roma

ISBN 978-88-7140-898-9

Direttore / Editor-in-chief
Anna D'Agata (CNR, Roma)

Undertaken with the assistance of
Institute for Aegean Prehistory (INSTAP), Philadelphia

*

Printed with the support of
Gerda Henkel Stiftung, Düsseldorf

*

The editors are grateful to Judith Weingarten for revising
the English of the original manuscript

Immagine di copertina / Cover illustration
Writing Travels the Sea, drawing by Miguel Valério based on signs
from the Cretan Hieroglyphic, Byblos and Anatolian Hieroglyphic scripts

Stampa e distribuzione / Printing and distribution
Edizioni Quasar di Severino Tognon s.r.l.
Via Ajaccio 41-43 – 00198 Roma
tel. +39 0685358444, fax +39 0685833591
email: info@edizioniquasar.it
www.edizioniquasar.it

© 2018 CNR - Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche
Autorizzazione Tribunale di Roma nr. 288/2014 del 31.12.2014

SOMMARIO

<i>Anna Lucia D'Agata</i> Preface	7
<i>Silvia Ferrara, Miguel Valério</i> Introduction	9
Image-Bound Scripts at the Inception of Writing	
1. <i>Roeland P.-J.E. Decorte</i> The Origins of Bronze Age Aegean Writing: Linear A, Cretan Hieroglyphic and a New Proposed Pathway of Script Formation	13
2. <i>Mark Weeden</i> Hieroglyphic Writing on Old Hittite Seals and Sealings? Towards a Material Basis for Further Research	51
Adaptations: Between Pictorialism and Schematism	
3. <i>Juan Pablo Vita, José Ángel Zamora</i> The Byblos Script	75
4. <i>Miguel Valério</i> Cypro-Minoan: An Aegean-derived Syllabary on Cyprus (and Elsewhere)	103
5. <i>Javier de Hoz</i> The Southwestern Palaeo-Hispanic Script: State of Knowledge, Hypotheses and Controversies	129
6. <i>Ignasi-Xavier Adiego</i> Local Adaptations of the Alphabet among the Non-Greek Peoples of Anatolia	145
7. <i>Alex de Voogt</i> The Meroitic Writing System: Change and Variation	163
Patterns and Diversity: A World of Possibilities	
8. <i>Gordon Whittaker</i> Aztec Hieroglyphic Writing: A Comparative Perspective	173
9. <i>Piers Kelly</i> The Invention, Transmission and Evolution of Writing: Insights from the New Scripts of West Africa	189

CHAPTER 9

THE INVENTION, TRANSMISSION AND EVOLUTION OF WRITING: INSIGHTS FROM THE NEW SCRIPTS OF WEST AFRICA

Piers Kelly

Summary

West Africa is a fertile zone for the invention of new scripts. As many as twenty-seven have been devised since the 1830s (Dalby 1967; 1968; 1969; Rovenchak, Glavy 2011, *inter alia*) including one created as recently as 2010 (Ibekwe 2012; 2016). Talented individuals with no formal literacy are likely to have invented at least three of these scripts, suggesting that they had reverse-engineered the ‘idea of writing’ on the same pattern as the Cherokee script, *i.e.* with minimal external input. Influential scholars like E.B. Tylor, A.L. Kroeber and I.J. Gelb were to approach West African scripts as naturalistic experiments in which the variable of explicit literacy instruction was eliminated. Thus, writing systems such as Vai and Bamum were invoked as productive models for theorising the dynamics of cultural evolution (Tylor [1865] 1878; Crawford 1935; Gelb [1952] 1963); the diffusion of novel technologies (Crawford 1935; Kroeber 1940), the acquisition of literacy (Forbes 1850; Migeod 1911; Scribner, Cole 1981), the cognitive processing of language (Kroeber 1940; Gelb [1952] 1963), and the evolution of writing itself (Crawford 1935; Gelb [1952] 1963; Dalby 1967, 2). This paper revisits the three West African scripts that are known to have been devised by non-literates. By comparing the linguistic, semiotic and sociohistorical contexts of each known case I suggest various circumstances that may have favoured their invention, transmission and diffusion. I argue that while the originators of scripts drew inspiration from known systems such as Roman and Arabic, they are likely to have drawn on indigenous pictorial culture and annotation systems to develop their own scripts. Once established, their creations were used to circumscribe alternative politico-religious formations in direct opposition to the discourses of colonial administrations. The appeal of these scripts was thus tied more to their relative indexical power than their apparent technological or cognitive advantages. Just as earlier theorists imagined, I contend that West African scripts do have the potential to illuminate historical processes of creativity, transmission and evolution, but only when local particularities are given due consideration.

Few regions of the world rival West Africa for the sheer scale, diversity and dynamism of its indigenous writing traditions.¹ Over the past two centuries at least twenty-seven scripts have been invented in the western region of the sub-Saharan zone spanning from Senegal to Cameroon (see Fig. 5). The most widely known of these, and the earliest on record, is the Vai script of Liberia created in *ca.* 1832-1833; the most recent is the Akagü script of Nigeria, repurposed from an indigenous graphic code in 2010. The high-water mark for West African script invention was arguably the 1920s and 1930s, while the greatest density of indigenous scripts is found in Liberia and neighboring Sierra Leone.

In this paper I describe and evaluate the rise of writing in West Africa across three analytic dimensions: a *social dimension* encompassing historical, political and ethnographic contexts, a *graphic dimension* that considers the scripts visual appearance and range of semiotic associations, and a *glottographic* dimension that examines the ways these scripts model the structures of the languages they are designed to represent. I argue that, to date, scholarly research has centred primarily on this last dimension to the detriment of more holistic or context-informed approaches.

1 With thanks to Konrad Tuchscherer for commenting on an earlier draft, and to Hella Bruns and Brigitte Hofmann for helping to source important texts.

Fig. 1. Sites of origin for the Vai, Bamum and Masaba scripts.

In order to constrain my analysis within manageable limits I focus on three significant cases of invention, namely the Vai (𐎎𐎌𐎑) script of Liberia (invented *ca.* 1833), the Bamum (𐞀𐞁𐞂𐞃) script of Cameroon (*ca.* 1895-1896), and the Masaba (𐎎𐎌𐎑) script of Mali (1930) (Fig. 1). The three case studies under consideration are not random selections since they share a unique circumstance that distinguishes them from all other West African examples: their inventors are likely to have had no formal literacy instruction prior to developing their scripts. P.T. Daniels has observed that writing systems created by those who are already literate in another system appear to differ from those by illiterate creators in terms of their strategies for modelling phonology (Daniels 1992). In the examples he cites of non-literate inventions, including Vai, the resulting writing systems are always syllabically organised, a fact that lends strength to the hypothesis that syllables have psychological primacy while phonemes (and alphabets) are a second-order analytical construct (Liberman 1973; Aronoff 1992; Treiman, Tincoff 1997). Be that as it may, the full extent to which previous exposure to literacy influences the structural development of new writing systems has yet to be studied in any systematic, quantifiable or comprehensive way.² This paper does not seek to test or problematise

² In modern times there have been at least seven documented cases of scripts invented by non-literates in small-scale societies. These are: Cherokee (created 1821), Vai (Liberia, *ca.* 1833), Bamum (Cameroon, *ca.* 1895), Alaska script (1901-1905), Caroline Islands Script (1905-1909), Masaba (Mali, 1930), and Pahawh Hmong (Vietnam, 1955-1971). Potential additions to this list are Leke (Myanmar, *ca.* 1844-1845), Pau Cin Hau (Myanmar, 1902-1931), Djuka (Surinam, 1910), Bagam (Cameroon, *terminus ante quem* 1917), Urup Iban Dungle (Borneo, 1947-1962) and Sayaboury (Laos, *terminus ante quem* 1950) though the available documentation does not cast light on the state of literacy of their inventors. To the extent that the Rongorongo script of Easter Island is glottographic it might also be regarded as non-literate secondary invention. At least two of the aforementioned writing systems are not strictly syllabic (Caroline Islands Script, Pahawh Hmong), casting doubt on the extent to which Daniels' claim is generalisable. However, greater reliability would be achieved from

the issue, nor does it invoke the so-called 'autonomous model' (Street 1984) in which literacy is understood to have transformative cognitive effects (Goody, Watt 1963; Olson 1977; Hildyard, Olson 1978). Rather, it takes as a minimal assumption that *literate* inventors are better able to exploit the learned systematicity of the systems in which they are already familiar, while *non-literate* inventors have less conceptual scaffolding, making the process of invention harder but also 'freer' in the sense that it is unbiased by existing models. In other words, non-literates must pull themselves up by their own bootstraps, simultaneously inventing writing and their own experience of literacy.

As a result, scripts by non-literates (termed 'unsophisticated grammatogenies' by Daniels), reproduce at least one of the conditions – non-literacy – that obtained in the early development of writing as it unfolded in the known primary origination sites of the Middle East (Baines 2004; Green 1989; Schmandt-Besserat 1989; Michalowski 1994), China (Boltz 1986; 2000; Bagley 2004), Mesoamerica (Houston 2004), and possibly elsewhere.³ Given the opportunities offered by modern script inventions for studying the origin and evolution of writing more generally, I make a few cautious suggestions to extend the findings to ancient contexts. In so doing I hope to complicate the notion of stimulus diffusion, and to propose a distinction between patterns that are broadly typological versus those that are peculiar to non-literate inventions.

THREE INVENTIONS

Although the Vai, Bamum and Masaba scripts are equally deserving of scholarly attention, the documentary record remains uneven. Perhaps the best described is Bamum, which has been investigated in various French and German sources across the twentieth century (van Gennep 1908; Delafosse 1922; Friedrich 1937, 1938; Dugast, Jeffreys 1950; Friedrich 1951; 1954; *inter alia*) culminating in a monumental three-volume work by A. Schmitt (1963a, b). The existence of the Vai script was reported relatively soon after its invention and it has fared well in early missionary sources (for an excellent summary and analysis of these sources see Tuchscherer, Hair 2002). Its continued vitality throughout the twentieth and twenty-first centuries has granted it the highest profile of the three cases, and it has been discussed in a diverse range of scientific and historical contexts. The Masaba script, by stark contrast, is known from just one source (Galtier 1987) and urgent fieldwork in the Kayes region of Mali is needed to further assess its history, principles and present vitality.

Vai

On a fateful night in 1832 or 1833, a young man by the name of Mòmòlu Duwalu Bukèlè was taught the art of writing by a stranger who visited him in a dream. Like the other inhabitants of his village on the Pepper Coast of West Africa, Bukèlè was an illiterate speaker of Vai, a Mande language spoken in present-day Liberia and Sierra Leone.

At this time, Vai people and their neighbours were entering a prolonged period of violent transformation. In response to growing racial unrest in the nominally emancipated states of the US, the American Colonization Society secured support for a proposal to resettle vast numbers of freed slaves in an appropriate location in West Africa. Through force, barter and diplomacy, the Society laid claim to a tract of coastal territory just south of British colony of Sierra Leone, and as the new settlers arrived they would displace the Vai and other indigenous occupants from their homelands. Conflict with locals continued to simmer. A mere two years after Bukèlè's invention of the Vai script, the expanding coastal colony was proclaimed as the nation of 'Liberia', a Latinate derivation for a new 'land of the free'. Like the European visitors who had preceded them, the African-American colonists were Christians who neither spoke the local languages nor followed West African customs. In contrast, the communities along the Pepper Coast were linguistically diverse and while most were nominally Muslim they continued to observe indigenous spiritual beliefs and practices. Out of this collision of culture, religion and language the newcomers

a dedicated comparison of parameters that go beyond system type, including such things as glyphic inventory and orientation, as well as the phonotactic structure of the languages in question.

³ Candidates for possible additional sites of primary invention include Crete (Ferrara 2015), the Indus Valley (Salomon 1995), and Easter Island (Fischer 1997).

were to reproduce the conditions of segregation from which they had fled, for the most part denying indigenous Liberians constitutional rights within their brave new republic. A number of the arriving colonists were literate, and Vai people did not always draw a distinction between black ‘Americo-Liberians’ and the white missionaries who accompanied them, referring to all groups as ‘whites’ or *poros*.

Fuelled by the ongoing slave trade in which Vai chiefs were active participants, persistent intertribal warfare overshadowed the otherwise isolated anti-colonial skirmishes with the Liberian colony (Johnston 1906b). In the 1830s, life on the Pepper Coast was precarious, as territories were invaded or recaptured and the vanquished enslaved by the victors, or sold to Atlantic traders. Even the epithet of the Vai script’s primary instigator is evocative of the conflict in which the Vai were enmeshed: *Bukele* carries the meaning ‘gun war’.

It is against this background that I return to *Bukele*’s revelation and its aftermath, as it unfolded in the village on the swampy terrain near the southern border of Sierra Leone. His dream, reproduced through the imperfect filter of missionary sources, is recounted thus:

[Māmolu Duwalu Bukele] had a dream, in which a tall, venerable looking white man appeared to [him], saying, “I am sent to you by other white men [...] I bring you a book. [...] I am sent to bring this book to you, in order that you bring it to the rest of the people. But I must tell you, that neither you, nor any one who will become acquainted with the book, are allowed to eat the flesh of dogs and monkeys, nor of any thing found dead, whose throat was not cut; and to touch the book on those days on which you have touched the fruit of the To-tree [...]” The messenger then showed Doalu [*i.e.* Bukele] his book, and taught him, to write any Vei [Vai] words in the same way, in which the book was written. This made a deep impression on Doalu’s mind, and he described it to me quite graphically. He said: “Look, this sign (writing the sign with his finger on the ground) Doalu means i (English e). Then he wrote close to it another sign, saying, and this means na. Now, Doalu, read both together!” Doalu did so and was quite delighted to have learnt to read the word ina, *i. e.* come here! In the same way the messenger showed him how a great number of other words can be written. [...] A few days after, [Bukele’s cousin] Kali Bara also [...] had a dream [...] in which a white man told him that the book came from God, and that they must mind it well. (Koelle 1849, 21-22).

According to the same source Bukele was unable to remember the specific signs that had been revealed to him in the dream, but having acquired the principles of representing speech, he and his cousins soon set to work devising the Vai syllabary (Fig. 2).

The above account recorded by the German missionary S.W. Koelle is oft-repeated in commentaries on the script, but it should be noted that alternative and intersecting origin stories are known to Vai people. One of the more prosaic was recorded in an early Vai manuscript known as the Book of Rora, by Bukele’s aforementioned cousin who related that the script was devised one night by a group of Vai men as a challenge to the supposed cultural superiority of outsiders, or “Those-from-afar” (Dalby 1967, 8; Tuchscherer, Hair 2002, 449).⁴

Māmolu Massaquoi, a Vai intellectual who did much to promote and standardise the script in the early twentieth century, commented that “Vais believe [their script] was taught them by the great Spirit whose favourites they are” (Massaquoi 1911). And in the 1970s Scribner and Cole were repeatedly told by their Vai informants that “There are three books in this world—the European book, the Arabic book, and the Vai book; God gave us, the Vai people, the Vai book because we have sense” (Scribner, Cole 1981).⁵

4 Vai speakers told Creswick (1868) that they believed their writing system evolved from a special thieves code drawn in the dust to indicate the whereabouts of stolen goods to an accomplice. I have not come across any other instances of this explanation, though Creswick insists that it was “corroborated by other parties besides the individual who was my first informer” (1868, 262)

5 Scribner and Cole (1981, 264) write that “in the towns and villages some elders recount different stories about the invention and early development of the script”. Unfortunately, none of these stories were documented.

In large part these origin stories point to a persistent association between writing and religious experience, framed within a distinctly colonial context. In the dream narrative, an interaction between a literate outsider (not necessarily ‘white’) and a non-literate insider leads to an inspired revelation-within-a-revelation. The vision of written words, the enumeration of Halal dietary taboos, and taboos concerning the touching of a sacred book, would no doubt have resonated among Vai Muslims in the early nineteenth century. It is also worth bearing in mind that the name Māmolu is a Vai rendering of ‘Mohammed’ and that by 1849 he had acquired the nickname Māmolu Duwalu *Kpolo* meaning ‘book’ (Koelle 1854, 230) a term that semantically extended to ‘writing’ in the local vernacular (Tuchscherer, Hair 2002, 451). Thus the motif of a ‘book’ given to the prophet of God’s chosen, on equal terms with other ‘peoples of the book’ (*i.e.*, ‘European’ and ‘Arabic’), further reinforces an Abrahamic conceptualisation of the written word and its supernatural significance. In this way Vai origin stories recapitulate the founding of a religion based on scripture, prophecy and revelation, in the face of an encroaching group with a rival but commensurate set of beliefs and institutions.

It is telling that the literate stranger in Bukele’s dream exemplified the principles of writing by using two independently meaningful Vai morphemes: *i* (interpretable variously as ‘you’ plural; an affirmative marker; an aspectual suffix) and *na* (‘come’; intransitive marker⁶) that are – at least within the dream – given graphic representation as separate logograms. That these are then recombined to form the lexical phrase *ina* (‘come here’) theoretically permits an intuitive reanalysis of *i* and *na* as phonetic rather than morphemic syllables. In short, a crucial stepping stone towards phonographic writing is encapsulated in a subtle reorientation of meaning to sound. Accordingly, Bukele’s dreamed insight resembles other known discoveries of the phonetic principle in autonomous inventions of writing from the Middle East to Mesoamerica.

The earliest surviving versions of the Vai script do, in fact, include a number of syllabograms that can be redeployed for their phonetic values while shedding their original semantics in the manner of a classical rebus. These were identified by Koelle as the iconic characters for GUN ●● (bu) (shot pellets), WATER ~~~~~ (ʒi) and MONEY ●● (kpe), and according to Koelle they were all “used as frequently in a phonetic capacity as in the one which might be called symbolic” (Koelle 1854, 235). In 1933, A. Klingenheben, noted that the “Vai script is now a purely phonetic syllabic script” even though “to-day signs are occasionally found in Vai manuscripts which embody not a phonetic sound-sequence but a definite concept”. Among these he identified DEATH.DIE.KILL **E** (faa) (today: **F**) representing “a withered tree with drooping branches” as a positive logogram. More speculatively, Klingenheben singled out a further twenty Vai syllabograms as potential icons that may once have been used logographically, while D. Dalby later isolated eight such signs, cautioning however that the search for iconicity is a subjective enterprise (Dalby 1967, 17). But while the distinction between logographic and phonetic values for a given glyph is fundamental to definitions of glottographic writing, in practice it is not always possible to identify which function is being foregrounded.⁷

Fig. 2. “New invented native alphabet of Western Africa Recd. April 18, 1834 from Messrs Wilson and Wynkoop,” MS. Vai 1, page 1. Reproduced from Tuchscherer, Hair 2002, by permission United Church of Christ Wider Ministries.

6 The Vai morphemes *i* and *na* could be interpreted variously depending on their phrasal context. See Koelle 1854; Welmers 1976, 90; and the lexical lists in progress by Riley and Sherman (Riley 2015).

7 In Alan Gardiner’s seminal analysis of Egyptian hieroglyphics he noted that dual readings were often possible, citing the following example: “Elsewhere, as in **𓆎** when abbreviation for *hṯp* ‘favour’ [...], or in **𓆏** *sgm* ‘hear’ or **𓆐** *iw* ‘come’, the terms ideographic and phonetic seem almost equally suitable” (Gardiner [1927] 2001).

Whatever the status of these signs, the majority of the approximately 211 syllabograms devised in the early 1830s have no evident iconicism nor logographic values. The Vai script was always, first and foremost, a syllabary. Nonetheless, pictorial graphic codes were used in the Mande linguistic area prior to and contemporaneously with the emergence of the Vai script. Thus the derivation of actual and potential logograms may be the consequence of a conscious repurposing of existing semiotic resources, as has been argued by Massaquoi, Klingenheben and Dalby; this hypothesis is elaborated later. Indeed, familiarity with indigenous pictorial conventions may have assisted learners and given impetus to the early spread of Vai literacy. Of the many scripts subsequently created in West Africa, Vai still ranks as the most successful in terms of its transmission across time. Teaching of the Vai script in purpose-built schools was known from as early as *ca.* 1835 but came to an end in 1850 when the last school in Bandakoro was destroyed in war (Koelle 1854; Migeod 1909). It was in this town that Koelle observed that “all grown up people of the male sex are more or less able to read and to write, and that in all other Vei towns there are at least some men who can likewise spell their ‘country-book’ [ie, ‘local writing’]” (Koelle 1849).⁸ By the 1960s, Dalby (1967) reported that the script was used “widely” by the Vai in Liberia, but it was not until the 1970s that the first formal literacy survey was conducted by Scribner and Cole (1981, 63) who noted that in their fieldsite of Cape Mount County in 1973–1978 only 6.6 per cent of the general population and 20.3 per cent of the adult male population were literate in the Vai script. Although these figures appear low, literacy in *any* script – Vai, Roman or Arabic – was found to be at 19.1 per cent of the general population and 28.4 per cent of the adult male population.

Bamum

As the Scramble for Africa reached its zenith in the late nineteenth century, a West African noble reprised Mòmòlu Duwalu Bukele’s discovery in very different circumstances. Shortly after the German colony of Kamerun was proclaimed in 1884, the Kingdom of Bamum in the northwest of the colony suffered a crisis as the monarch was assassinated at the hands of his brothers. A civil war ensued before the heir, Ibrahim Mbouombouo Njoya, came of age to assume the throne. Njoya’s kingdom, centring on the town of Fumban in the northwest of the nation, was by then recovering from war with the Nso people and the king’s new allegiance to the Imperial German Government helped to shore up his legitimacy in a court divided by intrigues.

Like Bukele, the illiterate King Njoya was inspired to create writing after a revelatory dream. In Njoya’s retelling, a teacher instructed him to draw an image of a hand on a wooden tablet before washing it off and drinking the water (Dalby 1968, 163). The imagery is telling. In many regions of Africa a well-established healing ritual involves writing Quranic verses on wooden slabs or plates that are then washed off with water to be consumed by the patient (Silverman 2007 *inter alia*). These rites do not require the participants to be literate in the Arabic script: it is the body itself that must *read* the sacred text without interference (Nieber 2017). Possibly the dream prompted Njoya to discover a ‘literacy’ latent within his body that would find expression through his writing hand. Such rituals were sufficiently familiar in West Africa outside of Muslim communities that the Christian inventor of the unrelated Medefaidrin script (Fig. 5) had a similar inspirational vision which led him to believe that by drinking water he would “receive knowledge washed from a great book written in different colored inks and thus receive the words of God” (Hau 1961, 298).

Upon awaking, Njoya re-enacted the dreamed ritual before beginning work on a syllabary for his native language of Bamum. With assistance from at least two royal advisors, he drafted over 1000 pictographic characters that were intended, at first, to represent objects and actions. Progress was slow and there were several abortive attempts before Njoya and his team began experimenting with rebus writing and narrowed their attention to individual syllables. In a first practical draft, the working group completed a table of 465 syllabic characters of largely pictographic inspiration, and a single semantic determinative was introduced to differentiate homonyms. Over the next fourteen years, the script went through six revisions, becoming progressively smaller with each draft. The total inventory of characters was reduced successively from 465, to 437, to 381, to 295 and 205 until it stabilised

8 In a later edition of the same text, “all” was moderated to “a great proportion” (Koelle 1854).

A	B	C	D	E	
					yun[.], les hôtes
					tua['], viens
					yua['], que, dont
					pa['], eux
					tum['], retire, extrais
					ku['], la toux
					su[...], le caillou de pouzzolane
					tu['], penche
					vu['], perdu, la perte
					wu['], la mort
					la[...], la forge

Fig. 3. Excerpted comparison of Bamum graphemes across five successive phases (after Dugast, Jeffreys 1950, 87)

	i	e	ε	a	o	o	u
p							
t							
c							
k							
b							
d							
j							
g							
f							
s							
nz							
m							
n							
ny							
ŋ							
y							
w							
h							
l							
r							

Fig. 4. Chart of the Masaba syllabary, adapted from Galtier (1987) by Cleobie Impang.

at 80 characters in 1910 (Dugast, Jeffreys 1950). At the same time, Bamum transitioned from a logography to a logosyllabary to a syllabary and finally to an alphasyllabary. The graphic form of the characters themselves became marginally simpler (Fig. 3).

Njoya's collaborative creativity was not limited to the art of writing and he is also remembered for devising a secret courtly language. Assisted by a female European missionary, the pair generated a lexicon from nativised French, German and English words with reassigned Bamum glosses. Njoya employed the Bamum script to produce a corpus of manuscripts in both the Bamum language and the restricted courtly language.

In 2005, K. Tuchscherer led the Bamum Manuscripts Project to preserve and digitise over 7000 documents at the Archives du Palais des Rois Bamoun in Fumban. Despite the availability of an extensive corpus, present transmission of the script is very weak (Tuchscherer 2007; Unseth 2011).

Masaba

A third rediscovery of writing took place in the northwest border region of Mali, nearly a century after the invention of Vai. One night in 1930, a twenty-year-old Masasi man by the name of Woyo Couloubayi experienced a revelation while lying in a state of deep reflection. By morning he had produced the first draft of a writing system for his native language of Bambara, spoken today as a first language by some 4 million people. Couloubayi's village of Assatiémala is located at a point where the dry bushland of the south gives way to the Sahara desert, and at the time of his discovery literacy was practically non-existent; today, the adult literacy rate for the whole of Mali is 33.6 per cent though this tally does not specify languages or scripts.⁹

⁹ Literacy data is from <http://data.worldbank.org/>, accessed 6 October 2016.

Couloubayi's earliest attempts at writing have not been recorded but in subsequent years an assistant by the name of Lamine Konaté helped him revise the system until it reached its final form: a syllabary of 123 characters written from left to right. The script is referred to by its users as 'Masaba', a name derived from the first three letters of system in its conventional recitation order: $\ominus$ *ma*, $\circ\text{---}\sigma$ *sa* and $\circ\text{---}\circ$ *ba*, see Fig. 4.

Like Bukele and Njoya before him, Couloubayi was Muslim and aware of writing in the form of the Arabic script. Being a subject of a French colony it is likely that he would also have encountered the Roman script and later in life he was to acquire at least an oral command of both Arabic and French. Despite superficial formal similarities between Masaba and Vai, Couloubayi knew nothing of the inspired invention of other West African writing systems. Another indication that he was not generating his system from a pre-existing and complete model is the fact that numbers in Masaba are written out as words.

Couloubayi was aware that his system did not accurately represent the phonemes of Bambara since there was no means of indicating vowel contrasts by means of tone, nasality or length. Concerned about the additional learning-load, his collaborator advised against extending the system any further, but Couloubayi would eventually introduce vowel diacritics to specify nasality, vowel-length and a high tone.

Access to literacy in Masaba is not restricted to any class or gender and it has been taught in evening classes by an organisation devoted to its promotion. In 1978 when apprentices of Couloubayi were interviewed by a Malian and French research team, the script was used in the villages of Assatiémala, Dyabé, Ségala, Sérédji, Koronka and neighbouring areas. The present distribution of Masaba is unknown. Numbers of readers and writers have never been estimated, but by the late 1970s there were circumstantial indications that use of the script was in decline, a situation attributed to its difficulty of acquisition, the introduction of state-sponsored literacy, and a population exodus from rural areas (Galtier 1987). At this time, Masaba was used for recording taxes, registering debts, personal correspondence and the transcription of Muslim prayers.

Other new scripts

The Vai, Bamum and Masaba writing systems are set apart as special cases because their inventors were known to be illiterate, even if they were aware of the idea of writing. However the true status of their prior competence in other scripts can only be inferred from the documentary record. Scholars have pored over Mómolu Duwalu Bukele's biography to try to determine whether he had had some instruction in the Roman script. Similarly, Njoya and his coterie may have had some familiarity with the Arabic script and have been conscious of the existence of the Vai script due to Vai migrations into Cameroon in the late nineteenth century (Migeod 1909; Tuchscherer 2007). Arabic was being taught by Mandingo imams in Vai country by at least the middle of the century (Wilson 1856, 75), and it is possible though not proven that Bukele learned directly of the successful creation of the Cherokee script in Georgia (Dalby 1968; Tuchscherer, Hair 2002). At a bare minimum one could safely assert that all three inventors had been exposed to the idea of writing and its principles, but no piece of evidence has yet come to light indicating that they had any *competency* in these systems.

Whether in West Africa or elsewhere, script invention on the part of non-literates is a rare phenomenon. By contrast, inventions by those already literate in another system are comparatively more numerous. In the first half of the twentieth century an astonishing frenzy of scribal creativity is documented along the entire West African coast with particular density in Mande linguistic area encompassing the freed-slave republics of Sierra Leone and Liberia, and neighbouring Côte d'Ivoire (Fig. 5). Liberia saw no less than four new scripts created in the twentieth century, all for languages spoken by indigenous groups on the margins of the Americo-Liberian polity. D. Dalby, who did most to document and publicise these scripts outside of Africa, emphasises that Vai was standardised at the beginning of the century and gained new domains of official use. By way of consequence it became known beyond Vai country and this may have inspired other communities to emulate it. Dalby also speculates that the increasing political power of the Vai in the early twentieth century may have stimulated a kind of arms race wherein the possession a distinctive script became a marker of political legitimacy and cultural prowess.

Fig. 5. West African script invention, ca. 1832–2011.¹⁰

Whatever the case, the Bassa people whose lands lie to the southeast of Vai country were to acquire an alphabet in the 1920s thanks to the efforts of Dr T. Flo Lewis. An educated Bassa man who received his medical training in the United States, Lewis was to call his script ‘Vah’ or ‘Bassa Vah’, a possible derivation from ‘Vai’ (Dalby 1967). By the 1930s, both the Loma and Kpelle peoples would begin writing their languages in indigenous scripts and both these systems diffused across the border into neighbouring Guinea. Meanwhile, speakers of Gola whose territory was hemmed in by the literate Vai and newly literate Kpelle peoples produced their own alphabet some time prior to 1968, though it did not diffuse and only fragments have survived. The most recent Vai-centric script is Adlam, invented in Guinea for the Pular language in 1987 (Abdelhay *et al.* 2014).

Vai has also been suggested as a model for the Bamum script, which in turn may have been a source of inspiration for the Bagam script invented in the same region of Cameroon some time prior to 1917 (Tüchschere 2007). No connection has been established between Bamum and the nearby Medefaidrin script of Nigeria despite sharing a striking idiosyncrasy: the inventors of both scripts also invented new languages to go with them. Excluding in-

¹⁰ This map is limited to the West African region and includes only those scripts created by indigenous West Africans for their own languages. Systems created in the diaspora are not included here; among these are the Bassa Vah script, the Edo Oracle Rainbow syllabaries, Ndebe Igbo and Wolof Saaliw Wi (all cited in Rovenchak & Glavy 2011). Points on the map mark approximate sites of invention and not their later diffusion. Date spans refer to the years in which the script has undergone development. *E.g.*, the Tafi script has been continuously developed from 1972 until 2011. The various script samples are my attempt to render the name of the script in the script itself wherever possible. Note that the Bagam label is taken from the ideograph for ‘palaver’ (Tüchschere 1999), the label for the undeciphered Gola script is taken from the first four left-to-right consecutive glyphs in the sample provided by Mafundikwa (2004), and the Yoruba Holy Writing label comes from the first part of a ‘revealed’ lexeme analysed by Dalby (1969). The Bamum label is from the final version of the script, termed *akauku*.

ventions designed for works of fiction, the only other known case of simultaneous language-script creation of this kind is found in the Philippines (Kelly 2016).

In Mali, at least one new script was created in the wake of Masaba. The so-called Fula alphabet of Adama Ba was invented some time prior to 1964 but did not diffuse. In neighbouring Senegal, two separate alphabetic scripts were created for the Wolof language, the first in 1961 (Dalby 1969) and the most recent in 2002 (Mbaye 2010).

Many of the scripts marked in Fig. 5 did not last beyond a single generation, with some such as Fula and Yoruba Holy Writing failing to diffuse beyond their originators. There are no recent surveys of literacy in West African indigenous scripts, but those scripts that are known have recent communities of use include Vai, Bamum, Kikakui and Medefaidrin. The most successful West African writing system to date is undoubtedly N'ko, invented by Souleymane Kanté in the Côte d'Ivoire in 1949 for the mutually intelligible Mande languages of Malinke, Bambara, Mandengo, Dioula and Wankara. Its present scribal communities extend from Senegal to Nigeria, and N'ko associations exist in Burkina Faso, Côte d'Ivoire, the Gambia, Ghana, Mali, Senegal, and Togo, as well as in Cairo and Mecca (Oyler 1997).

While African script invention is concentrated in the western sub-Saharan region, other new scripts are attested on the continent. These have not been discussed here, but at least six twentieth-century scripts are known in east Africa (for an overview see Rovenchak, Glavy 2011), and two in Central Africa (Payi 2007; Mavinga 2011).

THE INTELLECTUAL USES OF “SAVAGE” LITERACY

The American Colonization Society at the helm of the resettlement policy in Liberia was particularly enthused by the Vai script, reporting it as a scientific find on a par with the discovery by Europeans of Mount Kilimanjaro that occurred in the same year (Church Missionary Society 1849). Indeed, as news of Vai and other West African writing systems spread through missionary networks, intellectuals from further afield began to ponder their potential for unravelling problems in anthropology and psychology.

It should be remembered that material support for the new Liberian settlement was to a large extent contingent on public opinion in the United States. The presence of independent Vai literacy was an argument against the view propounded by advocates of the slave trade that Africans were morally and intellectually incapable of assimilating the values of civilisation. For the Society, the Vai script instead afforded “proof of intellectual ability and enterprize in the Natives, as well as of a certain degree of moral and religious feeling” (Church Missionary Society 1849).

Teleology

Needless to say, belief in the intellectual inferiority of Africans was dominant in scientific circles at this time. In the second half of the nineteenth century, most anthropologists accepted a version of the progressivist hypothesis, the theory that all human cultures passed through successive stages of development from a condition of primitivity to an advanced state of civilisation. Progress, according to this schema, was motive force emanating from within society itself whose effects were both inevitable and predictable. Innovations in technology, from the development of fire-making tools to the invention of arrows and pottery, occurred in a unilinear sequence in which a given stage could not be reached without the attainment of an earlier prerequisite stage. Though cultural teleology had been in vogue since at least the late eighteenth century, it was to be eventually systematised and formalised in two highly influential works of theoretical anthropology: L. Morgan's *Ancient Society* (1877) and E.B. Tylor's *Researches into the early history of mankind and the development of civilization* (Tylor [1865] 1878).

For Morgan, the invention of writing was the sole innovation that marked the passage of humankind from what he called the ‘Upper Status of Barbarism’ to the final and consummate ‘Status of Civilisation’. The successful representation of language in writing, and more especially *alphabetic* writing, conferred civilisational legitimacy through its power to record a permanent narrative. “Without literary records,” Morgan argued, “neither history nor civilization can properly be said to exist” (Morgan 1877, 31).

As the signature achievement of Western civilisation the autonomous innovation of writing was regarded as well beyond the reach of the illiterate savages on the colonial frontier. Accordingly, the presence of both the Cherokee and Vai scripts presented a conundrum for progressivist theorists like Tylor who tried to account for both inventions by invoking the guided hand of colonial contact. For Tylor, these scripts necessarily owed their inspiration to “the books of civilized men”, pointing out that the celebrated inventor of Cherokee was a ‘halfbreed’, and asserting – incorrectly – that Bukele was instructed by a white missionary when he was a boy (Tylor [1865] 1878, 102–103).¹¹ Similarly, numerous scholars searched long and hard for semiotic evidence that the Vai writing system was either descended from scripts originating in non-African societies or at least modelled on them.¹²

Although social evolutionist theory was all but rejected by the 1920s, it proved to be a tenacious idea, especially in the popular imagination, while its application to the history of writing continued to hold appeal for European scholars well into the twentieth century. While the Cherokee and Vai scripts were hard to account for within Morgan and Tylor’s model of *societal* teleology, they were to be treated as primary evidence to sustain a progressivist theory of the evolution of *writing systems* by later thinkers. Of course, the notion that alphabets were superior to other writing systems had been in circulation in Europe for some time (see Boswell [1791] 1807, 52; Hegel [1837] 2001, *inter alia*). Koelle himself commented that the primitive syllabicity of Vai writing was characteristic of a “first attempt in writing” (Koelle 1849, 20) and that therefore it “cannot be suited for the present era of the world” (Koelle 1854, 15) in stark contrast to an alphabet as “the most developed method of representing thoughts to the eye” (Koelle 1854, 234). Ideas such as these were eventually condensed by I. Taylor (1883) who proposed an evolutionary progression from ‘ideograms’ through to ‘verbal’, ‘syllabic’ and finally ‘alphabetic’ signs, with the later stages coinciding with greater societal advancement. Similar models were espoused, apparently independently, by A.J. Evans (1895; 1909), E. Clodd (1900), and D. Diringier ([1948] 1968).

Diringier, in fact, was to redirect the formal progressivist model back to the phenomenon of autochthonous writing by non-literates. The Vai script, he argued, provided evidence of an evolutionary transition and he predicted that it must have begun life as a purely ideographic script before Bukele refashioned it as a syllabary (Diringier [1948] 1968, 131). Dugast and Jeffreys (1950, 99) likewise remarked on how the sequences of the Bamum script proceeded through progressive stages from pictography to phonography, in dutiful adherence to the theory. Later, I.J. Gelb extended the progressivist model to argue that the unilinear sequence of system types corresponded to “stages of primitive psychology” (Gelb [1952] 1963, 203). Moreover scripts invented by non-literates underwent rapid and predictable transformations that emulated the natural evolution of *all* writing across deep historical timescales (Gelb [1952] 1963, 21, 210). For Gelb, the Vai script had taken the first step in its transition from a pure logography to a syllabary, Cherokee was arrested at the syllabic stage, while the later-phase alphasyllabic tendencies of Bamum demonstrated its yearning for alphabetisation (Gelb [1952] 1963, 203). As such, the precocious Bamum script was “of greatest importance for the theory of writing” (Gelb [1952] 1963, 209).

The syllabicity of African systems had different implications for the nineteenth-century philologist E. Norris who maintained that a syllabic system was better suited to the clusterless syllable structure of the Vai language (Norris 1851). Not only was a syllabary easier for native speakers of Vai to acquire than an alphabetic script, but the manuscripts produced by Vai speakers were more faithful to the language and thus of greater benefit to philologists. Dalby (1967) later maintained that the high proportion of syllabaries among all the indigenous scripts of West Africa (Fig. 5) afforded proof that this system was perhaps more appropriate for the phonotactics of African languages. A similar observation was made by G. Galtier (1987) for Couloubayi’s invention of Masaba, a script

11 The source of Tylor’s claim that Bukele was already partly literate is Koelle (1849, 1854) who speculates that the young Bukele learned to read and write for about three months under the guidance of a white missionary. Although Koelle indicates that this is his own idle hypothesis (“it will not be amiss to state here what, *in my opinion*, will *account for* Doalu Bukere’s dream [...]”, my emphasis), the vignette is repeated as fact by Tylor and in other commentaries (Moody 1900, 478; Tuchscherer, Hair 2002). Arabic is likely to have been a more proximal source for Vai inventors (Wilson 1856, 75).

12 Vai graphemes were variously associated with Hebrew (Norris 1851, 104), Roman (Tylor [1865] 1878; Delafosse 1899; Johnston 1906b), Arabic (Johnston 1906b), Greek (Delafosse 1899) and Chinese (Wilson 1834, cited in Tuchscherer, Hair 2002, 437).

that was not included in Dalby's analysis since he was not aware of its existence. In a different context Tuchscherer attested to the greater learnability and accuracy of the syllabic Kikakui script (Fig. 5) for the Mende language of Sierra Leone in contrast to the Africa Alphabet, a pan-African orthography that missionaries had struggled to introduce in the area. Tuchscherer concluded that the drive to introduce alphabetic orthographies was founded on a Eurocentric progressivist ideology and that "the African inventors of syllabaries had insights into their languages which European linguists did not" (Tuchscherer 1995).

No scholar has yet gone so far as to claim that CV- languages *must* use a syllabary in order for literacy to be successful, nor conversely that languages with consonant clusters will resist a syllabary. Advocates of syllabaries, from the nineteenth century to the present, merely point out that the system makes the initial process of literacy acquisition demonstrably easier for speakers of CV- languages. Once literacy is successfully acquired in the first instance, it is sometimes argued, the skill can potentially be transferred to a different system (Dalby 1967, 2; Tuchscherer 1995, 183).

This view is not entirely shared by A. de Voogt who sees both literacy transmission and script invention as agnostic with regards to system types (de Voogt 2014). Noticing that African scripts invented prior to the 1930s have been mainly syllabic while later scripts (including those in East Africa) are mainly alphabetic, he hypothesised that the change was associated with the formulation of the Africa Alphabet in London in 1928 and the near concurrent foundation in 1934 of the Institute of Linguistics. That the top-down policy directives of distant colonial institutions could exert such an effect on grassroots literacy movements is unconvincing.¹³ A more parsimonious explanation is the mere fact that *all* creators of new scripts *after* the 1930s had prior literacy in either the Arabic or Roman alphabets. Their inventions, therefore, could be reasonably interpreted as cyphers for these systems even if they deliberately diverge in the visual appearance of their scripts. In other words, they represent cases in which the system and its sound values were (largely) borrowed but the sign shape was not.¹⁴ They could not, however, be regarded as reinventions via minimal input in the manner of stimulus diffusion.

Stimulus diffusion

While Tylor struggled to accommodate Vai writing within the progressivist paradigm, he understood that the unusual circumstances of its genesis had potential precedents in ancient contexts. Thus he supposed that "the difficulty in tracing the origin of some of the Semitic characters may result from their having been made in the same way these American and African characters" (Tylor [1865] 1878, 103). The fact that the Bamum script sprang from an *idea* of writing led the archeologist O. S. G. Crawford to wonder whether many of humanity's most transformative inventions might also have diffused under similar conditions (Crawford 1935). But it was not until 1940 that the anthropologist A. Kroeber – without reference to Tylor or Crawford – developed his concept of 'stimulus diffusion', again relying on the Cherokee and Vai scripts as exemplifications. Acknowledging the psychological primacy of syllables for non-literates, Kroeber maintained that the two syllabaries must have been reverse-engineered from the idea of (alphabetic) writing. But their inventors, he argued, were not merely adapting writing but had altered its basic principle by shifting to a different system. As such they were marked as "person[s] of originality capable of a primary invention" (Kroeber 1940).

Like for Tylor, Crawford and Gelb before him, Kroeber understood that the implications of this insight extended beyond the Cherokee and Vai examples. Indeed, he promptly suggested an application to the history of writing itself. At this time, writing was widely held to have been invented only once in Mesopotamia from where it diffused to other corners of the world. This theory failed to account for why early writing in Egypt should therefore be so radically different in form and organisation from its presumed cuneiform progenitor. Stimulus diffusion, on the model of Vai and Cherokee, provided an explanation for this difference since it permitted the possibility of a

13 Neither was the perceived 'shift' from syllabaries to alphabets quite so dramatic in West Africa. Of the six systems created before 1930, four are syllabaries (Vai, Bamum, Bagam, and Kikakui) and two are alphabets (Bassa Vah and Yoruba Holy Writing). After 1930, five are syllabaries (Masaba, Loma, Kpelle, Bété, Nwagu Aneke) and eight are alphabets (Medefaidrin, N'ko, Dita, Wolof, Fula, Gola, Adlam, and Wolof Saaliw Wi).

14 In de Voogt's typology of script transfer, these are classed as L6 (de Voogt 2012), accounting for scripts that borrow system and sound value but not the script shape.

‘primary’ creativity. Even the problem of Aegean scripts and their origin would soon after be addressed with reference to the Bamum script (Cohen 1958, 444).¹⁵

The glass slipper hypothesis

The notion that certain languages, on account of their simple syllable structures, are better ‘fitted’ to phonography was first proposed by H. Steinthal (1852) but has been championed more recently by Daniels (1992; 1996) and, independently, by W. Boltz (2000) (see also Sproat 2017). In a nutshell, they proposed that languages with monosyllabic morphemes present an easy entry point for logographic representation, from which point the pivot to a syllabary requires little imaginative effort. In effect, readers and writers do not need to make any analytical distinction between grapheme, morpheme, syllable and sound; and once the principle of phoneticisation has been discovered a nominally logographic system can be refashioned as a conventional syllabary. Of these scholars, Daniels was the first to remark that the earliest languages to be represented in writing were “syllabically organised” as are many languages with scripts invented via stimulus diffusion.¹⁶ On the face of it, the Vai and Bamum fit Daniels’ model very well in terms of their simple morphophonological structures. That they are both tonal languages may also be relevant since in theory the availability of tone contrasts makes the inventory of syllable shapes smaller allowing for a more economical syllabary. Yet non-literates have also been known to create *alphasyllabaries*, as in the case of Pahawh Hmong, the Caroline Islands script and the Alaska script.

Nonetheless, the strategies applied by non-literates to model sound systems of their languages remain worthy of further investigation. A comparative analysis of the seven to thirteen writing systems created by non-literates in modern times may well bring to light biases and typological patterns that cast light on ancient cases of stimulus diffusion such as Old Persian Cuneiform, Cretan Hieroglyphic and Linear A (Decorte, this volume), as well as the ruptures in the transmission of the Maya script (Houston 2008).

One aspect of non-literate glottography that has not yet been investigated seriously is an assessment of what phonological features are conspicuously *absent* from new writing systems. The Vai script, for example, does not represent tones and a later move to introduce tonal diacritics did not succeed. It would be premature, however, to claim that tones have less psychological reality than other phonemes. Yet contrastive features of vowels (including tone) were not represented in the earliest version of the Masaba script either. And beyond Africa, the non-literates who invented the Caroline Islands script did not choose to build contrastive vowel-length into their system. In a word, systems that are consciously constructed by non-literates tend to be vowel-agnostic and consonant-centric.

Typically, a poor fit between system and phonology can be accounted for when writing systems are repurposed for new languages, or when the evolution of the writing system is outpaced by language change. That such off-centredness is also present in writing systems that are purpose built from the ground up by non-literates suggests that a degree of schematicity may be a benefit to users rather than a source of friction. As such, the phenomenon of baked-in schematicity may help us analyse relationships between ancient scripts and their languages, especially in cases of suspected stimulus diffusion.

Evidence for functional schematicity might also serve to salvage part of the progressivist hypothesis, or at least reframe it in non-teleological terms. A pressure for greater efficiency, learnability and reproducibility may well account the evolution of the Bamum writing system, marked by its decline in inventory size and its transition through ever more compressed system types from logography to alphasyllabary. Whether the letter forms themselves evolve towards graphic simplicity remains to be tested.

15 For Aegean scripts, Cohen presumably had in mind Cretan hieroglyphics and Linear B, but his allusion is somewhat elliptical: “Si un système évolue à l’usage de la langue pour laquelle il a été inventé, en passant par des stades de perfectionnement, il se produit des réadaptations successives par bonds. Exemple: substitution de syllabo-phonogrammes à des signes-mots (égéen (?), bamoun [Bamum])” (question mark in original) (Cohen 1958, 444).

16 At the time he first made this suggestion (Daniels 1992), the discovery of the independent system at the U-j tomb in Abydos had not yet been made. If the U-j system is a glottographic precursor to Egyptian hieroglyphics this would present a counter-example to Daniels’s hypothesis, since the language being represented would most likely have had bi- or tri-consonantal semantic roots.

BEYOND SYSTEMS: THE SOCIAL AND SEMIOTIC DIMENSIONS OF WEST AFRICAN SCRIPTS

Writing systems, whether ancient or modern, do not materialise out of thin air, and mere exposure to the concept of writing and literacy is hardly sufficient to compel anybody to devise their own script. After all, long before Bukele, Njoya and Couloubayi experienced their revelatory dreams indigenous Africans had been interacting with literate Muslim and Christian missionaries in encounters that resulted in neither the wide diffusion of literacy nor the dramatic reinvention of writing across multiple sites.

What was it that changed in West Africa such that no less than three Cherokee-like stimulus diffusion events and two dozen post-literate creations of new scripts were witnessed in a relatively tight geographic-historical frame? Needless to say, this question cannot be addressed solely by examining typologies of writing systems and their relationships to linguistic structure. Social and historical explanations must be brought to the fore.

Social context

The century that spanned the three creations of the Vai, Bamum and Masaba scripts marked a period of unprecedented culture contact in West Africa. Sustained colonial activity introduced isolated communities to novel technologies and institutions, while expropriations of land, people and resources aggravated local conflicts and ultimately culminated in demands for self-determination. As foreign powers attempted to assert control over a continent of considerable ethnolinguistic diversity and mobility, cartographers projected seemingly indiscriminate territorial borders around local populations. Within these boundaries, indigenous communities would be subjected to further mapping in the form of ethnographic surveys, census reports and tariff systems that rendered them 'legible' to foreign administrators to borrow J.C. Scott's analogy (Scott 1998; Scott 2009). In effect, local populations had to be reduced to texts before they could be stabilised in colonial bureaucracies. Christian missionaries instigated the textual reification of local populations by recording ethnographic and linguistic information, translating scripture, and promoting indigenous literacy in the service of spreading 'the Word'. Indeed, the first meaningful experience of literacy for many Africans was in the context of evangelisation; sustained interaction with state bureaucracies came later. Underpinning all these colonial discourses were ideologies that variously associated the written word with Eurocentric ideas of civilisation, hierarchy, and religious or scientific truth. It is little wonder, therefore, that communities under the thumb of literate outsiders should develop an interest in writing as a real and imagined source of colonial power (Monaghan 2008).

For those living along the Slave Coast and its adjacent territories, writing would have at first been associated with record-keeping for the slave trade. The Vai in particular, found themselves as both middlemen and occasional victims of trans-Atlantic slaving. Scribner and Cole (1981, 269) noted a sudden increase in slave exports between 1807 to 1850, after slave trading was officially outlawed. They argued that the Vais' status as middlemen in this trade, and their ongoing conflict with the Liberian colony, created a demand for written technology that was opaque to outsiders. Earlier, Migeod (1909) contended that the trade resulted in an economic boom for the Vai and a subsequent upsurge in mental activity. In turn, he argued, this gave rise to an intellectual renaissance that produced the Vai script.

An existing circumstance that may have pre-disposed the Vai to the development of writing was their celebrated pre-eminence as artisans. Bukele's own occupation is not known but from the early 1800s to the present day, Vai men have been renowned for their skills as silversmiths, carpenters, masons and tailors – professions that, to a greater or lesser extent, demand drafting and spatial calculation. A graphic code of any kind would certainly have facilitated these trades, and today Vai carpenters still use their script for drafting (Fig. 6). Of some significance is the fact that these professions are known to be held by the creators of other West African scripts including Kikakui (developed by tailors and weavers), Loma (a weaver) and Dita (a carpenter). Couloubayi's profession is not recorded, but it is known that Bambara artisans, particularly blacksmiths, made use of indigenous notational systems for their work (Scribner, Cole 1981, 266). Given its wide-ranging secular uses, it is plausible that Masaba was also applied in the service of artisanal manufacturing; future fieldwork may resolve this question.

Although such functional needs may have made the development of a Vai script useful and desirable, a significant push factor was likely to have been the assertion of prestige and political autonomy as attested in early

narratives. As we have seen, the creators of the Vai script were moved to demonstrate their sophistication in relation to ‘Those-from-afar’, and in fact the early success of the Vai script was due to the support of a prestigious patron. In Bukele’s account the inventors approached the Vai king Fa Toro with a gift of salt in order to gain support for their initiative. The king was duly impressed with the Vai script and declared that it would raise the Vai to the same status as the other ‘book’ people, namely the *poros* (‘whites and Afro-Liberians’) and Mandingo Muslims. At this time, European colonists considered Mandingos to be “the most civilized, influential, and enterprising of all the tribes of Western Africa” (Wilson 1856, 74), partly on account of their observed literacy in the Arabic script.

P. Unseth (2011) remarked that most West African indigenous scripts were created after World War I in a time of enormous social change as Africans began to demand and achieve political independence. Indeed, the creation of a new script as a marker of ethnolinguistic prestige has, perhaps, a unique power to make a community and its language materially visible while simultaneously excluding non-literate outsiders from the discourse that it generates. Prestige and political status were certainly factors that influenced the development of Bamum and Masaba scripts. Part of Njoya’s stated motivation in developing the Bamum script was to resist the cultural dominance of colonisers and to inspire a distinctly Bamum identity for his people (Kootz, Pasch 2009, 107; Orosz 2015).

Galtier suggested, similarly, that the creation of Masaba was stimulated by a desire for political independence among the Masasi people. Couloubayi and other Masasi of Assatiémala were members of the Hamallaya order, a Sufi sect associated with anti-colonial struggle. In the late 1970s, the local government of Sandaré district raised a bicultural banner decorated in the Roman and Masaba scripts in celebration of Mali’s Independence Day. Political autonomy is even more explicitly referenced as a motivation by the creators of other West African scripts, most notably N’ko.

The Bamum script, in contrast to Vai and Masaba, could not be said to have had an obvious functional role at the time of its invention. The slave trade was not active in Cameroon at the time, nor were its inventors artisans as far as the record shows. Nonetheless, all three scripts would acquire both profane and sacred domains of use. Today the Vai script is employed for personal letters, shopping lists and recording town business among other uses, but it has also been applied in the creation of serious texts designed to record wise sayings, traditional tales, and translations from the Koran or the Bible (Dalby 1967). Masaba, likewise is used to keep track of transactions and for letter writing, but also for copying out Muslim prayers.

It would be misleading, however, to enforce a clear cut distinction between sacred and profane domains of script use, or to contrast the desire for political autonomy with that of spiritual self-determination. Anti-colonial movements in West Africa among other regions of the colonised world often took on a religious character, and new scripts associated with utopian spiritual movements have tended to be relatively successful despite or because of the fact that their domains of use are restricted (witness for example, the success of Pahawh Hmong in Vietnam and Laos, and the Eskaya script in the Philippines).

As Johnston commented with reference to the Vai script:

Their addiction to [the Vai script] is somewhat of a protest against “Christian” or missionary influence (the Vai are Muhammadan), and it is also due to their desire to carry on a correspondence not readily deciphered by the American Negro who rules their country. The Liberian Government can only combat this movement by adopting a simple and logical orthography in Roman letters for rendering Vai and other native languages, and then by spreading the knowledge of reading and writing in the Roman character among its native races as part of a secular education carried on by the State, and not associated with the teaching of doctrinal religion. (Johnston 1906a, 1115)

Fig. 6. Carpenter's plan using the Vai script (after Scribner, Cole 1981, 78).

V. Hiero.		Eng. Equivalent.		Meaning.		Present forms.
=	Mo	=	man	=

=	Ku (n.)	=	head	=

=	ta	=	fire	=

=	lba	=	{mother. large	=

Fig. 7. Massaquoi's diagram of traditional Vai pictograms and their relationship to the script (after Massaquoi 1911).

have been inspired by dreams. The motif is also found in the origin stories for Kikakui, Yoruba Holy Writing, Kpelle, Medefaidrin and Nwagu Aneke (Fig. 5).

Graphic repurposing and evolution

In his compendium *Afrikan alphabets: The story of writing in Afrika* (2004) S. Mafundikwa mentions that a few Vai people have begun to reject the narrative that Bukele was inspired by the apparition of an educated foreigner and that instead the script developed more gradually out of indigenous pictograms familiar from ritual contexts. While Bukele's claim to have been inspired by a dream is unlikely to have been confabulated by Koelle, since it was independently elicited by Migeod (1909), a continuity between the Vai, Bamum and Masaba scripts and pre-contact semiotic systems has been suggested by others and deserves consideration.

The pictorial culture of Vai people was, before and after Bukele's invention, expressed in sand divination rituals as well as ritualised body scarring (Johnston 1906a, 970-972). But pictograms of a comparable structure to those of North America are also attested among the Vai. M. Massaquoi himself referred to a system of Vai "hieroglyphics" that he assumed Bukele had drawn upon in composing his script. Massaquoi isolated four such pictograms to show how they had been graphically reduced and repurposed as logograms and syllabograms, as shown in Fig. 7.

Later he was to provide greater ethnographic detail concerning this system of Vai pictograms, telling Klinghenben that in the event of an attack on a village:

The figure of a man sitting down would then be carved on the bark of trees which those returning to the town would be bound to pass on their way. In order to indicate that it was not merely a single man, but a large number, several dots were placed beside this figure, each of which stood for a repetition of the figure, and thus, to some extent, signified an abbreviation. Any one conversant with this sort of picture-writing then 'read' that a large number of people, presumably enemies, was 'sitting down' farther along the path, and could take precautions accordingly. (Klinghenben 1933).

What Klinghenben noticed was that the use of dots for plurality was also found in Vai texts, further corroborating Massaquoi's contention that elements of the code had transferred into the script, including the logograms identified in early documentation. Klinghenben went on to delineate over twenty syllabograms that, in his view, bore an iconic relationship to their associated morphemes. Though Klinghenben and Massaquoi's hypothesis cannot be demonstrated empirically, a tradition of Vai pictography continues to exist independently of the Vai script, and symbols approximating the famous DEATH.DIE.KILL logogram are still used as motifs in non-script contexts such as tombstones (Scribner, Cole 1981, 267).

Non-linguistic graphic codes similar to the 'Vai hieroglyphs' described by Massaquoi have been in use across West Africa for some time. The most high profile of these is the *nsibidi* code, originating in the seventeenth cen-

Dalby has remarked that the dreams reported by Bukele, Njoya and Couloubayi are not out of place in West Africa as the meeting place of the two revelational religions of Islam and Christianity, and he goes on to compare the rise of new scripts with the movement for independent African churches (Dalby 1969, 180). But it should also be acknowledged that visionary trance rituals are part of the spiritual repertoire of indigenous West Africans. Arguably, too, visions have a particular force in the establishment of traditions since they lend otherworldly authority to a new idea, while allowing their inventors to deny authorship (Cooper 1991). Significantly, Vai, Bamum and Masaba are not the only West African scripts to

tury and found today in parts of Nigeria and Cameroon (Carlson 2007). In its current form, *nsibidi* is a system of iconic logograms, some of which are public and others restricted. Within its secular domains it is used for tallying resources and making notes about events. Esoteric domains are more restricted, and knowledge of certain ritual symbols are limited to an elite group of practitioners (Carlson 2007, 146). The Bambara people of Mali are also known for their use of a functionally similar code of 259 symbols used in ritual and secret societies, though details are necessarily scarce (De Ganay 1950; Zahan 1950; Scribner, Cole 1981, 266).

While King Njoya purports to have used traditional pictograms as the basis of the first phase of Bamum, the precise systems that he drew on are not identified. Dalby (1968, 191), however, compares a number of Bamum logograms with their *nsibidi* semantic equivalents to show a convincing correspondence. More speculative, but no less intriguing, is his table comparing the graphic forms of purported logograms in Vai, Kikakui, Loma, Kpelle and Bassa scripts with semantic equivalents in *nsibidi* and Bambara graphic codes (Dalby 1968, 185-189). Unfortunately, Dalby makes no attempt make his analysis systematic, turning instead to musings of a quasi-mystical variety. Despite his earlier caution over iconicity judgments he elaborates a theory that West African indigenous scripts and graphic codes are all descended from a single source in Arabic cypher scripts used in talismanic magic, going so far as to link them to the so-called 'Gypsy alphabet' (Decourdemanche 1908) that was later discredited as a hoax. Though Arabic cyphers certainly feature in the semiotic context of West African script creation, their highly restricted and esoteric nature make them a less-likely source of widespread inspiration. More to our purpose, their restriction makes it impossible for non-initiates to investigate a systematic relationship.

But such restrictiveness may, paradoxically, point to a fundamental dynamic in the transition between a non-linguistic (or logographic) code and glottographic writing. In his aptly named work *Inventer l'écriture* (2013), P. Délégé defined *écritures attachées* ('bound writing') as codes that depend heavily on restricted discourses and an oral channel in order to be stable (hence their boundedness). Such codes, he argued, are most likely to emerge among elite communities of specialists who develop conventionalised symbols as mnemonic aids in the performance of ritual. Though these symbols provide useful raw material for the subsequent development of more general-purpose glottographic writing, they are unlikely to propagate further given the limits placed on ritual knowledge.

However, in cases of secondary invention it is conceivable that ritual knowledge escapes and becomes democratised. The catalyst for this may be a social crisis such as war, occupation and the introduction by outsiders of alternative cosmogenies – conditions that tend to prevail in colonial expansions. Even after glottographic writing has been reinvented, restrictions on the use of a new script do not automatically hinder its survival and transmission. With the exception of the Caroline Islands script, all of the modern secondary inventions of writing in Southeast Asia and the Pacific have had political or ritual restrictions on their transmission. All this points to the hypothesis that new writing systems in West Africa did not necessarily arise or thrive because they fulfilled an ordinary communicative need. Rather, their primary function is the indexing of an elite politico-religious discourse in direct distinction – and defiance – of threatening outsiders.

Houston and Rojas (forthcoming) have recently proposed a tripartite typology for understanding secondary inventions, in which the "details of sound-to-sign correspondence" are subordinate to the real-world "intentions of makers and users". In their model, secondary inventions can be characterised by *accommodation* to an existing system, deliberate *contrast* from a source script, or *rupture* in which form and sound values are radically different from their original stimulus. Scripts in "rupture", they predict, are most likely to occur in circumstances of unequal power relations. Accordingly, the literate/illiterate status of the inventors may in fact be less relevant than their political motivations in generating an effective counter-cultural statement. From this perspective, the varied forms and structures of West African scripts may owe their distinctiveness to the dilemma of "mimicry and rejection" (Rao 2010) familiar from postcolonial ethnography (see for instance Bateson [1936] 1958; Tsing 1993; Sahlin 2012).

CONCLUSION

Many earlier commentaries discussed West African writing systems in almost a-historical terms encouraging theoretical generalities that either understated or ignored the critical relationship between writing and social dynamics. While it is useful to classify secondary inventions of writing in terms of their approaches to modelling meaning and sound, the explanatory power of this exercise is limited. In this paper I have argued that the rapid proliferation of new writing systems in sub-Saharan West Africa was a response to a set of shared historical circumstances framed by globalisation, colonial contact, missionary evangelisation and subsequent grassroots struggles for autonomy. The three case studies selected for more specific analysis – Vai, Bamum and Masaba – are united by the fact that their inventors had no sustained exposure to formal literacy. As such the commonalities of each situation have the potential to bring to light issues of importance to the invention of writing. Questions of script and language typology remain central. As we have seen, all three systems originated as syllabaries or logosyllabaries, in line with the predictions of Daniels and others, while the more extreme teleological positions remain without basis. The dynamics of stimulus diffusion are also neatly illustrated by these cases, especially when contrasted with the vast majority of new West African scripts that were devised by inventors who were already literate. But the scripts under discussion here were also conceived in an atmosphere of colonial conflict in which indigenous elites struggled to assert political primacy and express distinctive oppositional identities. For these three scripts, the idea of writing introduced from outside came into productive dialogue with indigenous systems of secular graphic communication as well as codes reserved for ritual events. Thus, the creation of these scripts was partly facilitated through an ingenious repurposing of an existing visual culture and the bold reimagining of indigenous systems of graphic communication, annotation and ritual.

References

- Abdelhay A., Asfaha Y.M., Juffermans K. 2014, African literacy ideologies, scripts and education, in Juffermans K., Asfaha Y.M., Abdelhay A. (eds), *African Literacies: Ideologies, Scripts, Education*, Newcastle upon Tyne, 1-62.
- Aronoff M. 1992, Segmentalism in linguistics: The alphabetic basis of phonological theory, in Downing P., Lima S.D., Noonan M. (eds), *The Linguistics of Literacy*, Amsterdam, 71-82.
- Bagley R.W. 2004, Anyang writing and the origin of the Chinese writing system, in Houston S.D. (ed.), *The First Writing: Script Invention as History and Process*, Cambridge, 190-249.
- Baines J. 2004. The earliest Egyptian writing: development, context, purpose, in Houston S.D. (ed.), *The First Writing: Script Invention as History and Process*, Cambridge, 150-189.
- Bateson G. [1936] 1958, *Naven*, Stanford.
- Boltz W.G. 1986, Early Chinese writing, *World Archaeology* 17, 420-436.
- Boltz W.G. 2000, The invention of writing in China, *Oriens Extremus* 42, 1-17.
- Boswell J. [1791] 1807, *The Life of Samuel Johnson*, Boston.
- Carlson A. 2007, Nsibidi: Old and new scripts, in Kreamer C.M., Roberts M.N., Harney E., Purpura A. (eds), *Inscribing Meaning: Writing and Graphic Systems in African Art*, Washington DC, 146-153.
- Church Missionary Society 1849, Preface, in Koelle S.W. (ed.), *Narrative of an Expedition into the Vy Country of West Africa and the Discovery of a System of Syllabic Writing Recently Invented by the Natives of the Vy Tribe*, London, iii-vi.
- Clodd E. 1900, *The Story of the Alphabet*, London.
- Crawford O.G.S. 1935, The writing of Njoya, *Antiquity* 9/36, 435-442.
- Creswick H.C. 1868, On the syllabic characters in use amongst the Vey negroes, *Transactions of the Ethnological Society of London* 6, 260-263.
- Cohen M. 1958, *La grande invention de l'écriture et son évolution*, Paris.
- Cooper R. 1991, Dreams of Scripts: Writing systems as gifts of God, in Cooper R., Spolsky B. (eds), *The Influence of Language on Culture and Thought: Essays in Honor of Joshua A. Fishman's 65th Birthday*, Berlin-New York, 219-226.
- Dalby D. 1967, A survey of the indigenous scripts of Liberia and Sierra Leone: Vai, Mende, Loma, Kpelle and Bassa, in Guthrie M. (ed.), *African Language Studies*, London, 1-51.

- Dalby D. 1968, The indigenous scripts of West Africa and Surinam: Their inspiration and design, *African Language Studies* 9, 156-197.
- Dalby D. 1969, Further indigenous scripts of West Africa: Manding, Wolof, and Fula alphabets and Yoruba holy-writing, *African Language Studies* 10, 161-191.
- Daniels P.T. 1992, The syllabic origin of writing and the segmental origin of the alphabet, in Downing P., Lima S.D., Noonan M. (eds), *The Linguistics of Literacy*, Amsterdam, 83-110.
- Daniels P.T. 1996, The invention of writing, in Daniels P.T., Bright W. (eds), *The World's Writing Systems*, New York-Oxford.
- De Ganay S. 1950, Graphies bambara des nombres, *Journal des Africanistes* 20/2, 295-305.
- De Voogt A. 2012, Invention and borrowing in the development and dispersal of writing systems, in de Voogt A., Quack J.F. (eds), *The Idea of Writing: Writing across Borders*, Leiden-Boston, 1-10.
- De Voogt A. 2014, The cultural transmission of script in Africa: The presence of syllabaries, *Scripta* 6, 121-143.
- Decourdemanche J.A. 1908, *Grammaire du Tchingané*, Paris.
- Delafosse M. 1922, Naissance et évolution d'un système d'écriture de création contemporaine, *Revue d'ethnographie et de traditions populaires* 3, 11-36.
- Déléage P. 2013, *Inventer l'écriture: Rituels prophétiques et chamaniques des Indiens d'Amérique du Nord, XVIIe-XIXe siècles*, Paris.
- Diringer D. [1948] 1968, *The Alphabet: A Key to the History of Mankind*, London.
- Dugast I., Jeffreys M.D.W. 1950, *L'écriture des Bamum: Sa naissance, son évolution, sa valeur phonétique, son utilisation*, Paris.
- Evans A.J. 1895, *Cretan Pictographs and Prae-Phoenician Script*, London.
- Evans A.J. 1909, *Scripta Minoa: The Written Documents of Minoan Crete with Special Reference to the Archives of Knossos*, Vol. 1, Oxford.
- Ferrara S. 2015, The beginnings of writing on Crete: Theory and context, *BSA* 110, 27-49.
- Fischer S.R. 1997, *Rongorongo, the Easter Island Script: History, Traditions, Texts*, Oxford.
- Forbes F.E. 1851, Despatch communicating the discovery of a native written character at Bohmar, on the Western Coast of Africa, near Liberia, accompanied by a vocabularie of the Vahie or Vei tongue, *JRGS* 20, 89-101.
- Friedrich J. 1937, Schriftgeschichtliche Betrachtungen, *Zeitschrift der Deutschen Morgenländischen Gesellschaft* 91, 319-342.
- Friedrich J. 1938, Zu einigen Schrifterfindungen der neuesten Zeit, *Zeitschrift der Deutschen Morgenländischen Gesellschaft* 92, 183-218.
- Friedrich J. 1951, Schriftsysteme und Schrifterfindungen im Alten Orieint und bei Modernen Naturvölkern, *Archiv Orientalni* 19, 245-259.
- Friedrich J. 1954, Alaska-Schrift und Bamum-Schrift, *Zeitschrift der Deutschen Morgenländischen Gesellschaft* 104, 317-329.
- Galtier G. 1987, Un exemple d'écriture traditionnelle mandingue: le «Masaba» des Bambara-Masasi du Mali, *Journal des africanistes* 57, 255-266.
- Gardiner A. [1927] 2001, *Egyptian Grammar*, Oxford.
- Gelb I.J. [1952] 1963, *A Study of Writing*, Chicago.
- Goody J., Watt I. 1963, The consequences of literacy, *Comparative Studies in Society and History* 5, 304-345.
- Green M.W. 1989, Early cuneiform, in Senner W.M. (ed.), *The Origins of Writing*, Lincoln, 43-57.
- Hau K. 1961, Obei Okaimé script, texts, and counting system, *Bulletin de l'Institut fondamental d'Afrique Noir* 23, 291-308.
- Hegel G.W.F. [1837] 2001, *The Philosophy of History*, Kitchener, Ontario.
- Hildyard A., Olson D.R. 1978, Memory and inference in the comprehension of oral and written discourse, *Discourse Processes* 1, 91-117.
- Ibekwe H.O. 2012, *Brief for the Akagu Alphabet*, unpublished manuscript.
- Ibekwe H.O. 2016, *The Optimization of Semantic Efficiency: A Philosophical Framework for the Orthographic Assimilation of Nsibidi Ideograms*, Saarbrücken.
- Houston S.D. 2004, Writing in early Mesoamerica, in Houston S.D. (ed.), *The First Writing: Script Invention as History and Process*, Cambridge, 274-309.
- Houston S.D. 2008, The small deaths of Maya writing, in Baines J., Bennet J., Houston S.D. (eds), *The Disappearance of Writing Systems: Perspectives of Literacy and Communications*, London.
- Houston S.D., Rojas F. forthcoming, Sourcing novelty: On the 'secondary invention' of writing, in Woods C., Shaughnessy E. (eds), *Signs of Writing*, Chicago.
- Johnston H. 1906a, *Liberia*, Vol. II, London.

- Johnston H. 1906b, *Liberia*, Vol. I, London.
- Kelly P. 2016, Introducing the Eskaya writing system: A complex Messianic script from the southern Philippines, *The Australian Journal of Linguistics* 36, 131-163.
- Klingenheben A. 1933, The Vai script, *Journal of the International African Institute* 6, 158-171.
- Koelle S.W. 1849, *Narrative of an Expedition into the Vy Country of West Africa and the Discovery of a System of Syllabic Writing Recently Invented by the Natives of the Vy Tribe*, London.
- Koelle S.W. 1854, *Outlines of a Grammar of the Vei Language together with a Vei-English Vocabulary*, London.
- Kootz A., Pasch H. (eds) 2009, *5000 Jahre Schrift in Afrika* (Kleine Schriften der Universitäts- und Stadtbibliothek Köln), Cologne.
- Kroeber A.L. 1940, Stimulus diffusion, *American Anthropologist* 42, 1-20.
- Liberman I.Y. 1973, Segmentation of the spoken word and reading acquisition, *Bulletin of the Orton Society* 23, 65-77.
- Mafundikwa S. 2004, *Afrikan Alphabets: The Story of Writing in Afrika*, West New York, NJ.
- Massaquoi M. 1911, The Vai people and their syllabic writing, *Journal of the Royal African Society* 10, 459-466.
- Mavinga D.M. 2011, *L'écriture Afrika: Une nouvelle invention Nègro-Africaine*, Paris.
- Mbaye S. 2010, Eine neue Schrift für die Wolof-Sprache, *Afrikanistik online* 2010, 1-7.
- Michalowski P. 1994, Writing and literacy in early states: A Mesopotamianist perspective, in Keller-Cohen D. (ed.), *Literacy: Interdisciplinary Conversations*, Cresskill, N.J., 49-70.
- Migeod F.W.H. 1909, The syllabic writing of the Vai people, *Journal of the Royal African Society* 9, 46-58.
- Monaghan J. 2008, Revelatory scripts, 'the unlettered genius', and the appearance and disappearance of writing, in Baines J., Bennet J., Houston S.D.H. (eds), *The Disappearance of Writing Systems: Perspectives of Literacy and Communications*, London.
- Moody J. 1900, Some aboriginal alphabets – a study: Part 1, *Annual Publication of the Historical Society of Southern California and Pioneer Register*, Los Angeles 5, 9-12.
- Morgan L.H. 1877, *Ancient Society*, Chicago.
- Nieber H. 2017, 'They all just want to get healthy!' Drinking the Qur'an between forming religious and medical subjectivities in Zanzibar, *Journal of Material Culture* 22/4, 453-475.
- Norris E. 1851, Notes on the Vei language and alphabet, *JRGS* 20, 101-113.
- Olson D. 1977, From utterance to text: The bias of language in speech and writing, *Harvard Educational Review* 47, 257-281.
- Orosz K.J. 2015, Njoya's alphabet: The Sultan of Bamum and French colonial reactions to the "A ka u ku" script", *Cahiers d'Études Africaines* 55/217, 45-66.
- Oyler D.W. 1997, The N'ko alphabet as a vehicle of indigenist historiography, *History in Africa* 24, 239-256.
- Payi W. 2007, *Histoire de la révélation de l'écriture mandombe*, Vol. I, La Courneuve.
- Rao R. 2010, Introduction, *Third World Protest: Between Home and the World*, Oxford, 1-31.
- Riley C. 2015, Contributions toward a dictionary of Vai, in Vydrin V. (ed.), *Mande Languages and Linguistics*, Lac-Beauport, Quebec, 6-10.
- Rovenchak A., Glavy J. 2011, *African Writing Systems of the Modern Age: The Sub-Saharan Region*, New Haven.
- Sahlins M. 2012., Alterity and autochthony: Austronesian cosmographies of the marvelous, *Hau: Journal of Ethnographic Theory* 2/1, 131-160.
- Salomon R. 1995, On the origin of the early Indian scripts: A review article, *Journal of the American Oriental Society* 115, 271-279.
- Schmandt-Besserat D. 1989, The precursors of writing: Plain and complex tokens, in Senner W.M. (ed.), *The Origins of Writing*, Lincoln, 27-41.
- Schmitt A. 1963a, *Die Bamum-Schrift: vol I*, Wiesbaden.
- Schmitt A. 1963b, *Die Bamum-Schrift: vols II & III*, Wiesbaden.
- Scott J.C. 1998, *Seeing like a State: How Certain Schemes To Improve the Human Condition Have Failed*, New Haven-London.
- Scott J.C. 2009, *The Art of Not Being Governed: An Anarchist History of Upland Southeast Asia*, New Haven-London.
- Scribner S., Cole M. 1981, *The Psychology of Literacy*, Cambridge, Mass.
- Silverman R.A. 2007, Drinking the Word of God, in Kreamer C.M., Roberts M.N., Harney E., Purpura A. (eds), *Inscribing Meaning: Writing and Graphic Systems in African Art*, Washington DC.
- Sproat R. 2017, A computational model of the discovery of writing, *Written Language & Literacy* 20/2, 194-227.
- Steinthal H. 1852, *Die Entwicklung der Schrift*, Berlin.

- Street B.V. 1984, *Literacy in Theory and Practice*, Cambridge.
- Taylor I. 1883, *The Alphabet: An Account of the Origin and Development of Letters*, Vol. I, London.
- Treiman R., Tincoff R. 1997, The fragility of the alphabetic principle: Children's knowledge of letter names can cause them to spell syllabically rather than alphabetically, *Journal of Experimental Child Psychology* 64, 425-451.
- Tsing A. 1993, *In the Realm of the Diamond Queen: Marginality in an Out-of-the-way Place*, Princeton, NJ.
- Tuchscherer K. 1995, African script and scripture: The history of the Kikakui (Mende) writing system for Bible translations, *African Languages and Cultures* 8, 169-188.
- Tuchscherer K. 1999, The lost script of the Bagam, *African Affairs* 98/390, 55-77.
- Tuchscherer K. 2007, Recording, communicating and making visible: A history of writing and systems of graphic symbolism in Africa, in Kreamer C.M., Roberts M.N., Harney E., Purpura A. (eds), *Inscribing Meaning: Writing and Graphic Systems in African Art*, Washington DC.
- Tuchscherer K., Hair P.E.H. 2002, Cherokee and West Africa: Examining the origins of the Vai script, *History in Africa* 29, 427-486.
- Tylor E.B. [1865] 1878, *Researches into the Early History of Mankind and the Development of Civilization*, Boston.
- Unseth P. 2011, Invention of scripts in West Africa for ethnic revitalisation, in Fishman J.A., García O. (eds), *Handbook of Language and Ethnic Identity: The Success-Failure Continuum in Language and Ethnic Identity Efforts*, New York, 23-32.
- Van Gennep A. 1908, Une nouvelle écriture nègre: Sa portée théorique, *Revue des études ethnographiques et sociologiques* 1/3, 129-139.
- Welmers W.E. 1976, *A Grammar of Vai*, Berkeley.
- Wilson J.L. 1856, *Western Africa: Its History, Condition and Prospects*, London.
- Zahan D. 1950, Pictographic writing in the Western Sudan, *Man* 50, 136-138.

Piers Kelly
 Sophienstraße 27
 07743 Jena
 Germany
 Piers.Kelly@gmail.com

Finito di stampare nel mese di ottobre 2018
presso Global Print srl – Gorgonzola (MI)